

The Sun THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 13, 1908. Entered at the Post Office at New York as Second Class Mail Matter.

Subscriptions by Mail, Postpaid. DAILY, Per Month, \$1.00. DAILY, Per Year, \$10.00.

Published by the Sun Printing and Publishing Association at 170 Nassau street, in the Borough of Manhattan, New York.

The daily and Sunday Sun is on sale in London at Murray's Exchange, Trafalgar Buildings, Northumberland avenue; Pall Mall American and Colonial Exchange, Carlton street, Regent street, and Dawson's Steamship Agency, 17 Green street, Leicester Square.

If our friends who favor us with manuscripts for publication wish to have rejected articles returned they must in all cases send stamps for that purpose.

The Campaign Against the Courts.

The war against the courts goes bravely on. When this last city of refuge for those unhallowed members of the community who still own a portion of their property is once destroyed, no obstacle will longer obstruct the onward march of the executive reformer.

In his recent diatribe address to Congress he remarked:

"Untruthful reticence is wicked at all times and whoever may be the object, but it is a peculiarly flagrant iniquity when a Judge is the object. No man should lightly criticize a Judge. No man should, even in his own mind, condemn a Judge unless he is sure of the facts."

The President illustrated this salutary doctrine by a repetition of the stale slander that the courts have abused the writ of injunction in labor controversies.

Obviously the President must refer to the Federal courts, for only these courts could be subjected to the Federal law which he advocates. Yet he fails to specify a single instance in which the writ of injunction has been improperly used.

We reprint elsewhere an extract from an argument made before the Judiciary Committee of the Senate about a year ago, when a similar attempt was being made in labor controversies to tie the courts in a straitjacket.

Compare this frigid analysis of facts with the vague rhetoric of the recent message on this subject.

Cuba's New Electoral Law.

A Cuban electoral law has been submitted in the form of a project which remains open for thirty days during which comment and criticism may be sent to the secretary of the Advisory Commission.

One of the interesting features of the law is its provision for minority representation in the House of Representatives. This may be illustrated by the operation of the system in the province of Havana.

having 12,000 votes may exclude one who has 50,000 votes.

The total number of the votes cast for all the candidates of all the parties officially recognized is divided by the number of seats to be filled, say 1,306,990 votes for 11 candidates for the first full term.

Let it be assumed that four parties are in the field and that the aggregate vote for the candidates of all is, as follows: Party A, 664,590; party B, 261,901; party C, 258,992; and party D, 121,788.

Two important proposals were rejected and are made the subjects of minority reports. In both cases the three American members of the commission, supported by two Cubans, were outvoted by the remaining seven Cubans.

In itself the plan to grant the right of franchise in municipal elections to foreigners of five years residence and specified social, financial and educational qualifications is excellent.

Whenever a terror or a thrill could be made or had he has made or had it. He has blown up malefactors and sons of ANANIAS with rapid firing messages. He has protected Nature herself from fakers, commanded at sea and under sea and on land and in the mines.

Unless some change is made the first test of the new law will be at the municipal elections on July 1 next.

Taft and Roosevelt.

One of the ablest and at the same time most prominent Republicans in official life has just said all indications now point to Mr. ROOSEVELT's nomination at Chicago next June.

Compare this frigid analysis of facts with the vague rhetoric of the recent message on this subject.

The Enterprising Divorce Lawyer.

A respectable and therefore indignant member of the bar in one of the interior counties of New York sends to THE SUN an advertising pamphlet issued by a firm of lawyers in a Western State in which are set forth the attractions of that community for those seeking divorce.

testing. It summarizes the advantages offered thus:

"The shortest period of residence, viz: six months. The greatest number of grounds, viz: seven separate and distinct grounds to prove. The simplest and least difficult grounds to prove."

No delays after time for defendant to answer has expired, our charges being always in season to bear testimony in unassailable cases.

Under the charge of extreme cruelty plaintiff may allege and prove producing mental anguish and threatening health.

Under the practice of our courts, where no real contest exists parties are not subjected to embarrassing cross-examinations.

In all uncontented cases parties may, on application of counsel, have hearing conducted in private chambers of Judge and thereby avoid embarrassment and exposure to the public.

Under the practice and rule in most States, the sole testimony of plaintiff without corroborative proofs is sufficient to establish the allegations of the complaint in all uncontented actions.

A decree absolute is granted immediately after proofs are submitted, so that party receiving same may marry again at once, and is not obliged to wait for any period thereafter, as is the law in many States.

Could a disaffected man or woman ask more liberal provisions of law? Yet these would be unavailable for these few legal men ready to undertake such cases.

Lastly, but most importantly, is the question for you to determine: Who shall I select as my attorneys to conduct my proceedings? Naturally you want the best, the most skillful and reliable talent obtainable, men in whose judgment and advice you will place implicit confidence before you incur the expense and time in travelling to this State to establish your new residence.

It is necessary that we tell you frankly who we are and how we stand in this community.

In telling of their standing this firm announces that they "are intimately acquainted with all the Judges" and have an "immaculate reputation."

The Washington psychopathologists are said to be grieved by the persistent refusal of POLYFRAMON to sail the azure depths of Air.

Some leading geologists are already welcoming the proposal. Dr. T. C. CHAMBERLIN of Chicago writes that probably "several times as many people as are now interested in our science would be among its enthusiastic promoters if its great truths had been habitually clothed in the plainest available terms in the literature of the past century."

But geological literature is thickly sprinkled with terms that are utterly meaningless to most persons; and even trained geologists are often puzzled and annoyed by them.

The fact is now widely recognized that many branches of science are befogged by nomenclatures that are needlessly arbitrary and technical. Its literature is trammelled, as Dr. CHAMBERLIN expresses it, by "pedantic turgidity."

To the EDITOR OF THE SUN—Sir: Please shed all the light possible on the question for us of the position of "in" in connection with the word "respect" in "in respect of."

AROUND THE GALLERIES.

Paul Cornoyer is showing some of his new paintings at the Powell Gallery, 393 Sixth avenue, between Fifty-fifth and Fifty-sixth streets.

The artist has always revealed—his sober style can be thus described—in the depiction of public places, squares, familiar street corners of New York city.

The Times Building is another example of careful observation allied with a sense of the picturesque factors in our city.

What could be more humane and beneficent than this method of dealing with a labor controversy? The court takes from the defendant no property, and in no respect infringes the right of the employer.

What could be more humane and beneficent than this method of dealing with a labor controversy? The court takes from the defendant no property, and in no respect infringes the right of the employer.

What could be more humane and beneficent than this method of dealing with a labor controversy? The court takes from the defendant no property, and in no respect infringes the right of the employer.

What could be more humane and beneficent than this method of dealing with a labor controversy? The court takes from the defendant no property, and in no respect infringes the right of the employer.

What could be more humane and beneficent than this method of dealing with a labor controversy? The court takes from the defendant no property, and in no respect infringes the right of the employer.

What could be more humane and beneficent than this method of dealing with a labor controversy? The court takes from the defendant no property, and in no respect infringes the right of the employer.

What could be more humane and beneficent than this method of dealing with a labor controversy? The court takes from the defendant no property, and in no respect infringes the right of the employer.

What could be more humane and beneficent than this method of dealing with a labor controversy? The court takes from the defendant no property, and in no respect infringes the right of the employer.

What could be more humane and beneficent than this method of dealing with a labor controversy? The court takes from the defendant no property, and in no respect infringes the right of the employer.

What could be more humane and beneficent than this method of dealing with a labor controversy? The court takes from the defendant no property, and in no respect infringes the right of the employer.

What could be more humane and beneficent than this method of dealing with a labor controversy? The court takes from the defendant no property, and in no respect infringes the right of the employer.

What could be more humane and beneficent than this method of dealing with a labor controversy? The court takes from the defendant no property, and in no respect infringes the right of the employer.

What could be more humane and beneficent than this method of dealing with a labor controversy? The court takes from the defendant no property, and in no respect infringes the right of the employer.

INJUNCTION IN LABOR CASES.

No Abuse of the Federal Courts' Power of Preliminary Restraint. From an argument by James M. Beck before the Senate Judiciary Committee in April, 1908.

The relations of employers and employees are such that with few exceptions the legislative departments of the Government can never enter into the field without causing worse mischief and confusion than previously existed.

The theory of our Government is that there is a vast field of human activity into which the legislature is not generally competent to enter, and into which it never goes with any benefit to the people.

Such questions are either left to the immediate parties to the controversy to adjust themselves, or where necessary are remanded to the courts, which, being in close contact with the people and administering that great body of the law which is the proud heritage of the English speaking race, are more responsive to the ever changing needs of society and are more faithful to all classes of the community than the legislature, acting through rigid and inflexible written laws, can possibly be.

The moderation of the courts is admirably illustrated by the limited and restricted use which has always been made of the prerogative writ of injunction. Notwithstanding Lord Coke's observation that preventive justice is always superior to compensatory justice, courts of equity are always indisposed to exercise their extraordinary powers except upon urgent cause, and thus have grown up great principles in equity procedure which seem a complete answer to the captious criticism visited upon the courts.

What could be more humane and beneficent than this method of dealing with a labor controversy? The court takes from the defendant no property, and in no respect infringes the right of the employer.

What could be more humane and beneficent than this method of dealing with a labor controversy? The court takes from the defendant no property, and in no respect infringes the right of the employer.

What could be more humane and beneficent than this method of dealing with a labor controversy? The court takes from the defendant no property, and in no respect infringes the right of the employer.

What could be more humane and beneficent than this method of dealing with a labor controversy? The court takes from the defendant no property, and in no respect infringes the right of the employer.

What could be more humane and beneficent than this method of dealing with a labor controversy? The court takes from the defendant no property, and in no respect infringes the right of the employer.

What could be more humane and beneficent than this method of dealing with a labor controversy? The court takes from the defendant no property, and in no respect infringes the right of the employer.

What could be more humane and beneficent than this method of dealing with a labor controversy? The court takes from the defendant no property, and in no respect infringes the right of the employer.

What could be more humane and beneficent than this method of dealing with a labor controversy? The court takes from the defendant no property, and in no respect infringes the right of the employer.

What could be more humane and beneficent than this method of dealing with a labor controversy? The court takes from the defendant no property, and in no respect infringes the right of the employer.

What could be more humane and beneficent than this method of dealing with a labor controversy? The court takes from the defendant no property, and in no respect infringes the right of the employer.

What could be more humane and beneficent than this method of dealing with a labor controversy? The court takes from the defendant no property, and in no respect infringes the right of the employer.

PLAIN WORDS.

A Southern Republican Writes a Letter to Mr. Roosevelt. I have just read your open letter of February 7 addressed to William Dudley Fouke, Richmond, Ind. I consider your position as a most unenviable one, especially when we take into consideration the fact that you, through look and deed you ascended to the Presidency you found in the North, East and West a strong, united Republican party, and in the South a remnant around which a strong, vigorous and active organization could have been formed, and by your assistance could have become a most formidable factor in moulding political sentiment in this section, the birthplace of your mother.

When labor organizations command by a strong support not merely the members of their own organization but also the members of the articles of association they have some authority, but other workmen and employees, over whom they have no authority, not to utilize their hands against except under the conditions imposed by labor organizations they in effect issue a non-judicial injunction. There is no preliminary injunction and never a hearing or trial. To this there can be no legal objection, provided that they do not seek to enforce the injunction by force or other unlawful methods, and it is only when they do attempt virtually to punish men for disobedience to their orders that courts of equity intervene and are equally the rights of those who wish to combine and those who do not wish to combine. Whatever may be the purposes of some of its supporters, it cannot be gainsaid that this bill and similar bills are aimed to destroy such power of intervention.

ET TU, CURTIS? The Job May Have Been Inevitable, but the Sawdust Followed. Boston, Feb. 12.—Boston and Massachusetts are in a state of commotion over the characteristic Curtissian Lincoln Day proclamation has hit the people between the eyes and made them sit up and take notice. Did he or did he not have Roosevelt in mind when he issued the proclamation? The question is a political and angry worshippers of the Great One who have wrathfully asked the Governor what he thought he meant by such a thing the Governor has answered: "I did not mean to say you think I meant." This puts the worshippers in the box of having too readily flitted the coat. What others them is that they know that the coat fits and that everybody else knows it too.

The Taft men are most unhappy over the incident. Guild said of Lincoln, "He despised clapping." He embodied a cause, not a candidacy. Per contra of course the dangerous demagogues may revel in clapping and anybody who is not a candidate. Can the creature be greater than his creator? Is Taft bigger than Teddy? What are things coming to if one here of the awful and bloody Spanish War, who was ready to die for his country but who did not, flung a brother here full of hot shot from a gun that he did not know was loaded.

Taft candidacy has already worked mischief in the Republican ranks. Massachusetts is a queer State. Her people like an autocrat, but they do not like an autocrat as much as the people of any other State, but they like to get up on their feet and be kicked on. It is here that ex-Congressman Sam Powers, who has been a member of the House of Representatives for many years, has taken the lead in the matter. He has cracked the whip of Roosevelt, but Guild's proclamation has taken the lead in the matter. He has cracked the whip of Roosevelt, but Guild's proclamation has taken the lead in the matter.

As always at a time of acute social excitement, the demagogue by catering to extremists seeks first to divide and then to conquer. The revolution into irrational anarchy. He [Lincoln] despised clapping. He embodied a cause, not a candidacy. He was ready to die for his country but who did not, flung a brother here full of hot shot from a gun that he did not know was loaded.

As always at a time of acute social excitement, the demagogue by catering to extremists seeks first to divide and then to conquer. The revolution into irrational anarchy. He [Lincoln] despised clapping. He embodied a cause, not a candidacy. He was ready to die for his country but who did not, flung a brother here full of hot shot from a gun that he did not know was loaded.

As always at a time of acute social excitement, the demagogue by catering to extremists seeks first to divide and then to conquer. The revolution into irrational anarchy. He [Lincoln] despised clapping. He embodied a cause, not a candidacy. He was ready to die for his country but who did not, flung a brother here full of hot shot from a gun that he did not know was loaded.

As always at a time of acute social excitement, the demagogue by catering to extremists seeks first to divide and then to conquer. The revolution into irrational anarchy. He [Lincoln] despised clapping. He embodied a cause, not a candidacy. He was ready to die for his country but who did not, flung a brother here full of hot shot from a gun that he did not know was loaded.

As always at a time of acute social excitement, the demagogue by catering to extremists seeks first to divide and then to conquer. The revolution into irrational anarchy. He [Lincoln] despised clapping. He embodied a cause, not a candidacy. He was ready to die for his country but who did not, flung a brother here full of hot shot from a gun that he did not know was loaded.

As always at a time of acute social excitement, the demagogue by catering to extremists seeks first to divide and then to conquer. The revolution into irrational anarchy. He [Lincoln] despised clapping. He embodied a cause, not a candidacy. He was ready to die for his country but who did not, flung a brother here full of hot shot from a gun that he did not know was loaded.

As always at a time of acute social excitement, the demagogue by catering to extremists seeks first to divide and then to conquer. The revolution into irrational anarchy. He [Lincoln] despised clapping. He embodied a cause, not a candidacy. He was ready to die for his country but who did not, flung a brother here full of hot shot from a gun that he did not know was loaded.

As always at a time of acute social excitement, the demagogue by catering to extremists seeks first to divide and then to conquer. The revolution into irrational anarchy. He [Lincoln] despised clapping. He embodied a cause, not a candidacy. He was ready to die for his country but who did not, flung a brother here full of hot shot from a gun that he did not know was loaded.

As always at a time of acute social excitement, the demagogue by catering to extremists seeks first to divide and then to conquer. The revolution into irrational anarchy. He [Lincoln] despised clapping. He embodied a cause, not a candidacy. He was ready to die for his country but who did not, flung a brother here full of hot shot from a gun that he did not know was loaded.

As always at a time of acute social excitement, the demagogue by catering to extremists seeks first to divide and then to conquer. The revolution into irrational anarchy. He [Lincoln] despised clapping. He embodied a cause, not a candidacy. He was ready to die for his country but who did not, flung a brother here full of hot shot from a gun that he did not know was loaded.

As always at a time of acute social excitement, the demagogue by catering to extremists seeks first to divide and then to conquer. The revolution into irrational anarchy. He [Lincoln] despised clapping. He embodied a cause, not a candidacy. He was ready to die for his country but who did not, flung a brother here full of hot shot from a gun that he did not know was loaded.

PLAIN WORDS.

A Southern Republican Writes a Letter to Mr. Roosevelt. I have just read your open letter of February 7 addressed to William Dudley Fouke, Richmond, Ind. I consider your position as a most unenviable one, especially when we take into consideration the fact that you, through look and deed you ascended to the Presidency you found in the North, East and West a strong, united Republican party, and in the South a remnant around which a strong, vigorous and active organization could have been formed, and by your assistance could have become a most formidable factor in moulding political sentiment in this section, the birthplace of your mother.

When labor organizations command by a strong support not merely the members of their own organization but also the members of the articles of association they have some authority, but other workmen and employees, over whom they have no authority, not to utilize their hands against except under the conditions imposed by labor organizations they in effect issue a non-judicial injunction. There is no preliminary injunction and never a hearing or trial. To this there can be no legal objection, provided that they do not seek to enforce the injunction by force or other unlawful methods, and it is only when they do attempt virtually to punish men for disobedience to their orders that courts of equity intervene and are equally the rights of those who wish to combine and those who do not wish to combine. Whatever may be the purposes of some of its supporters, it cannot be gainsaid that this bill and similar bills are aimed to destroy such power of intervention.

ET TU, CURTIS? The Job May Have Been Inevitable, but the Sawdust Followed. Boston, Feb. 12.—Boston and Massachusetts are in a state of commotion over the characteristic Curtissian Lincoln Day proclamation has hit the people between the eyes and made them sit up and take notice. Did he or did he not have Roosevelt in mind when he issued the proclamation? The question is a political and angry worshippers of the Great One who have wrathfully asked the Governor what he thought he meant by such a thing the Governor has answered: "I did not mean to say you think I meant." This puts the worshippers in the box of having too readily flitted the coat. What others them is that they know that the coat fits and that everybody else knows it too.

The Taft men are most unhappy over the incident. Guild said of Lincoln, "He despised clapping." He embodied a cause, not a candidacy. Per contra of course the dangerous demagogues may revel in clapping and anybody who is not a candidate. Can the creature be greater than his creator? Is Taft bigger than Teddy? What are things coming to if one here of the awful and bloody Spanish War, who was ready to die for his country but who did not, flung a brother here full of hot shot from a gun that he did not know was loaded.

Taft candidacy has already worked mischief in the Republican ranks. Massachusetts is a queer State. Her people like an autocrat, but they do not like an autocrat as much as the people of any other State, but they like to get up on their feet and be kicked on. It is here that ex-Congressman Sam Powers, who has been a member of the House of Representatives for many years, has taken the lead in the matter. He has cracked the whip of Roosevelt, but Guild's proclamation has taken the lead in the matter. He has cracked the whip of Roosevelt, but Guild's proclamation has taken the lead in the matter.

As always at a time of acute social excitement, the demagogue by catering to extremists seeks first to divide and then to conquer. The revolution into irrational anarchy. He [Lincoln] despised clapping. He embodied a cause, not a candidacy. He was ready to die for his country but who did not, flung a brother here full of hot shot from a gun that he did not know was loaded.

As always at a time of acute social excitement, the demagogue by catering to extremists seeks first to divide and then to conquer. The revolution into irrational anarchy. He [Lincoln] despised clapping. He embodied a cause, not a candidacy. He was ready to die for his country but who did not, flung a brother here full of hot shot from a gun that he did not know was loaded.

As always at a time of acute social excitement, the demagogue by catering to extremists seeks first to divide and then to conquer. The revolution into irrational anarchy. He [Lincoln] despised clapping. He embodied a cause, not a candidacy. He was ready to die for his country but who did not, flung a brother here full of hot shot from a gun that he did not know was loaded.

As always at a time of acute social excitement, the demagogue by catering to extremists seeks first to divide and then to conquer. The revolution into irrational anarchy. He [Lincoln] despised clapping. He embodied a cause, not a candidacy. He was ready to die for his country but who did not, flung a brother here full of hot shot from a gun that he did not know was loaded.

As always at a time of acute social excitement, the demagogue by catering to extremists seeks first to divide and then to conquer. The revolution into irrational anarchy. He [Lincoln] despised clapping. He embodied a cause, not a candidacy. He was ready to die for his country but who did not, flung a brother here full of hot shot from a gun that he did not know was loaded.

As always at a time of acute social excitement, the demagogue by catering to extremists seeks first to divide and then to conquer. The revolution into irrational anarchy. He [Lincoln] despised clapping. He embodied a cause, not a candidacy. He was ready to die for his country but who did not, flung a brother here full of hot shot from a gun that he did not know was loaded.

As always at a time of acute social excitement, the demagogue by catering to extremists seeks first to divide and then to conquer. The revolution into irrational anarchy. He [Lincoln] despised clapping. He embodied a cause, not a candidacy. He was ready to die for his country but who did not, flung a brother here full of hot shot from a gun that he did not know was loaded.

As always at a time of acute social excitement, the demagogue by catering to extremists seeks first to divide and then to conquer. The revolution into irrational anarchy. He [Lincoln] despised clapping. He embodied a cause, not a candidacy. He was ready to die for his country but who did not, flung a brother here full of hot shot from a gun that he did not know was loaded.

As always at a time of acute social excitement, the demagogue by catering to extremists seeks first to divide and then to conquer. The revolution into irrational anarchy. He [Lincoln] despised clapping. He embodied a cause, not a candidacy. He was ready to die for his country but who did not, flung a brother here full of hot shot from a gun that he did not know was loaded.

As always at a time of acute social excitement, the demagogue by catering to extremists seeks first to divide and then to conquer. The revolution into irrational anarchy. He [Lincoln] despised clapping. He embodied a cause, not a candidacy. He was ready to die for his country but who did not, flung a brother here full of hot shot from a gun that he did not know was loaded.

As always at a time of acute social excitement, the demagogue by catering to extremists seeks first to divide and then to conquer. The revolution into irrational anarchy. He [Lincoln] despised clapping. He embodied a cause, not a candidacy. He was ready to die for his country but who did not, flung a brother here full of hot shot from a gun that he did not know was loaded.