

Entered at the Post Office at New York as Second Class Mail Matter.

Subscriptions by Mail, Postpaid. DAILY, Per Month \$0 50. DAILY, Per Year \$5 00. SUNDAY, Per Year \$2 00. DAILY AND SUNDAY, Per Year \$6 00. DAILY AND SUNDAY, Per Month \$0 70.

Published daily, including Sunday, by the Sun Printing and Publishing Association at 170 Nassau street, in the Borough of Manhattan, New York.

London office, Edinburg House, 1 Arundel street, Strand. Paris office, 4 Rue de la Michodiere, off Rue du Quatre Septembre.

Regular readers of THE SUN, daily and Sunday editions, may subscribe for the paper to be sent by mail to them in any part of the country at the rate of 70 cents a month, daily edition, 50 cents a month, additional postage to foreign countries.

If our friends who favor us with manuscripts for publication wish to have their articles returned they must in all cases send stamps for that purpose.

The Police and the Gamblers.

The circumstances of the murder of HERMAN ROSENTHAL at 2 o'clock on Tuesday morning in front of the Hotel Metropole are so dramatic and the possibilities suggested by the homicide are so startling that the event has naturally given rise to great excitement on the part of those concerned in the investigation of the crime.

Already the District Attorney of the County of New York has expressly declared that "the police let the murder be committed and deliberately allowed the murderers to escape." And the Commissioner of Police replies with a square request for a complete investigation of the facts which seem, in the District Attorney's opinion, to warrant this extraordinary charge.

It cannot be true that the police "let the murder be committed," if it is meant that the officers of the precinct in any wise sanctioned the crime. Whether they were as efficient as they ought to have been in pursuit of the murderers is a question which can be settled only by calm and patient investigation.

There is no doubt that ROSENTHAL was, as Mr. WALDO calls him, "a self-confessed gambler." His bad character could properly be considered in determining how much weight should be given to his charge that a lieutenant of police was associated with him in the gambling business. His veracity in that respect or lack of veracity does not aid in solving the problem how he came to be killed.

There seems to be no doubt that he was seriously apprehensive of being murdered after his accusations against the police were made public; and, curiously enough, his apprehensions in this respect appear to have been shared by other men familiar with the sentiment and practice of darker New York. If there are influential members of the police force who occupy compromising relations with the gambling fraternity which might be revealed by ROSENTHAL'S disclosures, it is conceivable that such men might desire him put out of the way to protect themselves; but it is also possible that gamblers who saw themselves likely to be put out of business in consequence of his revelations might be inspired to kill him through motives of hatred and revenge. Both of these possibilities must occur to any one seeking a solution of the crime.

There is nothing to indicate the existence of any widespread or systematic corruption among the police such as would make the force generally a band leagued together for the promotion of gambling and the protection of gamblers. There is no Camorra in the New York police. We believe that a large majority of the force are personally honest. There must be some corrupt men in a body of ten thousand, and others who are weak and easily led into temptation, but it will require cogent proof to convince any candid person that there is any such evil element in the force as is implied by the excited charge of District Attorney WHITMAN.

The idea that this homicide demonstrates the necessity of substituting a State constabulary for our local police is preposterously premature. It will be time enough to discuss that question when it is proved that the local police is responsible for the murder.

Meantime let the investigation proceed vigorously but calmly, without a preconceived idea as to the parties really responsible, which may lead away from the truth instead of toward it. This town is fortunate at the present time in having an honest and extremely able Mayor and a Police Commissioner who is as efficient as he is fearless in the performance of his duty. Mr. GAYNOR and Mr. WALDO are equally concerned with all other law respecting citizens in uncovering and punishing any individual cases of collusion between policemen and lawbreakers. They can be depended upon to use for that purpose all the power that is in their hands.

Humbug in the Senate.

Twenty-three Republicans, including some of the most conservative men in the Senate, voted against the Bailey resolution declaring that Presidential tampering with the minds of Senators as to questions involving "a right to a seat in the Senate or any matter within the exclusive jurisdiction of the Senate would violate the spirit if not the letter of the Constitution and invade the right of the Senate." It might be argued that from an academic point of view they took untenable ground, but the fact is that being men of common sense they voted against obvious humbug.

It was impossible to take Mr. BAILEY'S

resolution seriously. Whether he intended to help his lame friend LORIMER over a stile or not, that exiled politician will not fail to use the terms of the resolution and the vote in his campaign for vindication. Mr. BAILEY was chiefly concerned, however, to get even with the President for his reflections upon a Senator who takes himself very seriously as a giant in these days. Mr. BAILEY cared little about Mr. TAFT'S emulations with Senators upon public questions, but was bent upon making things unpleasant for the President, hence the resolution, which in its original form limited Mr. TAFT'S offense to interfering in the Lorimer case.

Nothing could be more amusing than Mr. BAILEY'S compliance with Senator McCUMBER'S suggestion that the words "or any other matter within the exclusive jurisdiction of the Senate" be inserted, as otherwise the resolution would be "too pointed" in its reference to the Lorimer affair. The resolution could not have been too pointed to suit the Texas Senator, but in order to get some sort of condemnation into the record he was obliged to compromise. Even the word "the" before President was changed to "a," and in its amended form the resolution had a well developed squint toward the future and did not look back at the guilty past at all.

Mr. TAFT can afford to ignore it, especially as the Democrats made a party matter of it and several Progressive Republicans voted for the resolution, although help from any quarter in getting rid of LORIMER could not have been unwelcome to them.

An Ex-Republican Club Member.

"I hereby tender my resignation as a member of the Republican Club." This is the shortest, most straightforward and most rational utterance that Colonel ROOSEVELT has emitted in a long while. It serves to show a sporadic capability of logic in that meandering mind. The Republican Club is of and for Republicans; he is not a Republican; therefore he should not be a member of the Republican Club.

Yet, though he is not a Republican and therefore not eligible to the Republican Club, he wishes to have electors use the Republican name to dupe or defraud Republican voters, to beat the Republican party, to vote for him, the non-Republican.

We also observe that Colonel ROOSEVELT leaves the Republican Club intact and in good order. This is worth speaking of, for the Republican Club is the only thing Republican which Colonel ROOSEVELT has left without an attempt at absolute ruination.

Does the Habitual Use of Alcohol Incapacitate?

This question has recently become of supreme interest to the public because the capacity as leader assumed by an eminent man who has displayed marvelous intellectual activity and great physical and mental endurance has been impugned by some by reason of alleged excessive indulgence in alcoholics. THE SUN is not concerned with the stories in circulation; it simply does not believe them.

That men have attained eminence despite addiction to alcohol has often been demonstrated. There are many men active in the pursuit of their several vocations in professional, mercantile, financial and political circles who are so completely under the dominion of "Old King Cole" that their efficiency would be impaired if their normal stimulant were to be withheld. To men of this type alcohol is an absolute necessity. The system has become injured to the deleterious effects, just as the Styrian mountaineer is habituated to the regular use of arsenic. If the individual survives the period of probation and adaptation he becomes capable of imbibing alcohol in quantities which would menace life in the average individual. Morphine is a familiar example demonstrating that large and lethal quantities may be habitually taken without harm other than the increased craving demanding larger doses to meet it. That DANIEL WEBSTER'S oratorical powers were not diminished by his habits may be attributed to the conservative power of the human body to neutralize alcohol as it does other poisons after the battle for supremacy has been won by it. The fact, however, remains that WEBSTER did not display the superlative ability in logic that characterized his brilliant invective or his skill in the ornate and less intellectual elements of oratory.

The chief menace to the genius who is under the malign dominion of alcohol arises from the vitiation of the brain cells by a kind of hardening, technically termed sclerosis, the unfortunate effect of which appears to be more or less enfeeblement of the reasoning power, instability, eccentricity, vacillation in those so inclined, and often an exaggeration of ego—in other words, an intensifying of the normal and abnormal characteristics of the individual, with the exception of his logical acumen, which is always blunted. The tolerance of alcohol by the system may be enhanced also by regular habits of life, active physical exercise, avoidance of excesses of the table, and attention to certain peculiarities of the alcoholic poison, for instance, the fact that it is more readily absorbed before than after or during meals, etc. Physicians sometimes encounter men who without this knowledge have regularly taken alcohol in quantities that would have damaged if not killed them; the reason being that they never "indulge" before the noon meal. The system is thus unconsciously protected by the individual protected by reason of the freedom from the poison during the night and morning and consequent facility of elimination. The human organism is a self-regulating machine which repairs damage automatically "if it is given half a chance."

Nevertheless it may be stated without fear of successful contradiction that no man whose system demands the regular use of alcohol is fit to be a leader of men. He may be a great poet like Poe, an orator like WEBSTER, a musician like HANDEL, a painter like TURNER, but he

A Blind Kentucky Squirrel Hunter.

From the Hazel Green Herald. John Taylor, totally blind, of Thelville was the best squirrel hunter in the county. He has taught a dog to hunt for him. He is always accompanied by his wife, when the game is found he holds the gun, his wife takes sight, he pulls the trigger, and he rarely misses the squirrel he shoots at.

Compromise.

Klicker. Did the doctor advise a sea voyage? Bucker. Yes; so he wears a yachting cap in the back yard.

is absolutely untrustworthy in positions involving great responsibility and power to command men in momentous enterprises and to meet emergencies demanding rapid and calm action.

Any example of this type of man in modern history is the exception proving the rule.

A Discordant Note.

Another supporter of Colonel ROOSEVELT at Chicago, Senator BORAH of Idaho, has put his foot in it and must go on the list of undesirable Progressives. In explaining in the Senate on Tuesday how President TAFT came to write to the Colonel about the Lorimer case Mr. BORAH said:

"I had heard from an entirely reliable source that Colonel ROOSEVELT had decided to write an article for the Outlook on the Lorimer case, and I decided that it might do more harm than good and I went to see President TAFT to urge him to use his influence with Colonel ROOSEVELT to prevent him from writing the article."

The theory that the Contributing Editor might help the Hon. WILLIAM LORIMER to retain his seat by attacking him was not flattering. Apparently Mr. BORAH feared that the Colonel knew nothing about the case and would take dangerous liberties with the truth and provoke a crushing rejoinder from the other side. A perusal of the Colonel's unadorned reply to Mr. TAFT'S letter will show that the Contributing Editor restrained himself only by the exercise of that self-control for which he is famous; between the lines may be read a conviction that in giving up such a glorious opportunity to advertise his moralities the Colonel regarded himself as a martyr. Senator BORAH'S opinion, now published for the first time, that an article upon the celebrated case by the Colonel "might do more harm than good" accords ill with the pealing vaunt, "It was my fight and it is my victory," which was sounded when the Senate voted to oust LORIMER.

The Hon. WILLIAM E. BORAH had already fallen into disfavor for throwing cold water upon the third party movement. He has added insult to injury by his disclosure about the motive of the application which he made to President TAFT to stay the editorial pen of the Contributing Editor from discussing the Lorimer case. The latching string will not be out for the Senator if he comes to Oyster Bay.

The world is superabounding in good things. Baltimore American. And Baltimore has decidedly more than her proportionate share of them, particularly of things to eat.

STUBBS, the scarlet-poled Governor of Kansas, wants to be elected a Senator in Congress. Therefore as a candidate he is Republican, while he eagerly recommends the bunco game of choosing Roosevelt electors as "Republicans." There is a sublimity of self-possession in the Bull Moose herd.

Whiskey in oven blown up—Headline in the Inter Ocean.

As Lord PALMERSTON said of diet, a thing is in the wrong place.

Since the forcible expulsion of certain of the oldest of the guests in Ludlow street jail we recall no public outrage comparable with the restraint of the Hon. F. W. WHITTRIDGE from paying his taxes.

"Why be a little hypocrite?" asks a candidate for United States Senator from Texas, Colonel JAKE WOLTERS, of the Hon. MORRIS SHEPPARD, M. C., the world's prize valdicator orator, also a candidate for United States Senator. With no personal reference to Mr. SHEPPARD or any other statesman, the answer to the Hon. JAKE WOLTERS'S not too urbane inquiry is: "Because it pays."

There is nobody quite so "right" as a grafter. Some day to be "right" may come to mean that one ought to be watched. Cleveland Star.

Like "decent" and "honest," The Colonel has temporarily clouded the reputation of a number of worthy and long blameless adjectives.

An exacerbated friend complains to THE SUN of the cocoon and caterpillar "trust" in the Central Park. "Since," he writes, "trees exist in the park simply as houses for present or soon to be present caterpillars, why not put the benches somewhere where a poor devil can be at ease without having caterpillars fired at him from above? With the thermometer in the nineties and the humidity God knows how high, caterpillars are not welcomed either as decoration or food by visitors. Trees? There aren't any. Just cocooneries and caterpillars."

Hard lines, but why not a philosopher? A caterpillar is an ugly bug; then consider him as a potential butterfly. Besides, the park trees will be cut down in time because they hurt the grass; the grass, as tempting folks to walk on it, supplanted by stone or asphalt; and the whole park built over. Then nobody will be lonely; everybody will be safe, and caterpillars will have joined the "sparrow cop" away in the Ewigkeit.

Taft Progressives.

From the Rochester Courier of New Hampshire. If they do not know what a Taft Progressive is in other parts of the country we know up here in New Hampshire mighty well. It is a Progressive without personal ambitions to serve, a Progressive who is not an office holder under Governor Bass and not an office seeker under him, Roosevelt or any other man; it is a Progressive who looks with broad views at national questions and whose love of country is higher than his desire for gratification of personal appetites; it is a Progressive to whom the link of the prize ring does not appeal as an argument for his vote in a national election; it is a Progressive who has the ideal of universal peace among nations as the goal of world progress.

A Blind Kentucky Squirrel Hunter.

From the Hazel Green Herald. John Taylor, totally blind, of Thelville was the best squirrel hunter in the county. He has taught a dog to hunt for him. He is always accompanied by his wife, when the game is found he holds the gun, his wife takes sight, he pulls the trigger, and he rarely misses the squirrel he shoots at.

Compromise.

Klicker. Did the doctor advise a sea voyage? Bucker. Yes; so he wears a yachting cap in the back yard.

MAYOR GAYNOR FOR GOVERNOR.

Views of the Man and of the Democratic Opportunity.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE SUN—Sir: We are too apt in this country to wait until a man is dead to utter words of appreciation or praise about him. Therefore your editorial article on Mayor Gaynor was no less timely than generous. What specially characterizes the man is his freedom from pretence and his sincerity of purpose. He ought to be our next President. But since that is impossible now, let us at least make him our next Governor. F. M. NEW YORK, July 17.

From the Hon. E. Dennis Bailey's Union Observer.

THE SUN in a striking editorial nominates Mayor Gaynor for Governor of this great Empire State. The article is one of the boldest, involving an offer to "eat the City Hall from the statue with the golden scales down to the foundation stone" if it is not in him "to make one of the best Chief Magistrates the State has ever had."

We always read or hear of Gaynor with interest. When he was studying law in the Seymour office in the block below the Observer office back in the '60s and early '70s he was no stranger within its walls, and he wrote interesting letters for publication as our Boston correspondent the year or so after his departure, and before he found his true home in Brooklyn, now a part of Greater New York, of which he is Mayor. And we believe we are violating no confidence in declaring that he would now be the Democratic Presidential nominee if the Hon. John D. Kernan of Oneida county had been the headstrong Charles F. Murphy of the New York delegation.

The Gaynor case is just right for the ripest honors, Presidential or gubernatorial. He was born in 1831 and is therefore in his sixties with generous white locks giving pleasure to his admirers. For a Judge or juror to decide a case in accordance with what he believes would be pleasing or displeasing to the general public is not his duty; it is his duty to do as he sees fit on the bench or the jury.

And the Senator further inquires if any man, however honest and able, who has neither heard nor read the evidence—of which just forty-one copies have been removed from the document room and only fragmentary excerpts printed in the newspapers—or who does not know the law applicable to it, be competent to decide such a question. Senator Dillingham in his speech drew attention to the fact that Lorimer was opposed by the unanimous press of his State and presumably by a very large majority of the public, not one person in all the critical mass having read but a mere fraction of the evidence.

The people, taking their cue from the great apple of the Square Deal, the discoverer of the Ten Commandments, have refused to give Lorimer a square deal. They have convicted him without a hearing. They have shown the same spirit in dealing with him that the volatile French people showed in assailing Joffre upon Dreyfus. They have refused to give him the benefit of the doubt and consider him innocent until he is proved guilty. They have shouted "Expel him!" before the evidence was in; have continued to shout it in spite of evidence which fails to show, first, that any money was spent to influence the election of Lorimer, and secondly, that Lorimer had any knowledge of a purpose to spend money to insure his election. The American public has acted like a mob in this matter, and it would be a disgrace to the country if a man the Senate believes to be entitled to his seat in that body should be expelled in response to the demands of those none of whom have the facilities for determining the truth and many of whom have not the wit or wisdom to arrive at a proper determination of the question.

And the hypocrisy of it! Senator Johnson said: "It is, moreover, worthy of your consideration that William Lorimer, though conceded to be innocent of complicity in any wrongdoing, has been expelled from the Senate. The American public has acted like a mob in this matter, and it would be a disgrace to the country if a man the Senate believes to be entitled to his seat in that body should be expelled in response to the demands of those none of whom have the facilities for determining the truth and many of whom have not the wit or wisdom to arrive at a proper determination of the question."

It is, moreover, worthy of your consideration that William Lorimer, though conceded to be innocent of complicity in any wrongdoing, has been expelled from the Senate. The American public has acted like a mob in this matter, and it would be a disgrace to the country if a man the Senate believes to be entitled to his seat in that body should be expelled in response to the demands of those none of whom have the facilities for determining the truth and many of whom have not the wit or wisdom to arrive at a proper determination of the question.

The Sun's approval of the Mayor as a possible Democratic nominee for the Governorship is based upon the conviction that he is—and again we quote—"the best executive this town ever had." Admitting this to be an accurate estimate of the Mayor, or, at the very least, an estimate in which many thousands of Mr. Gaynor's fellow citizens enthusiastically concur, why should THE SUN seek to remove him from his chair in the City Hall and translate him to an office which the Mayor himself notoriously holds in less esteem than the one he now occupies? Surely his Honor's personal preferences are of some consequence. Surely the claim of the great city of New York upon the services of its "best executive" for the full term of four years is entitled to consideration, even by those who believe that Mr. Gaynor's candidacy for the Governorship would remove the last doubt as to an overwhelming Democratic victory in November.

The Eagle agrees with THE SUN that the Mayor would make a powerful appeal to the Democratic and independent voters of this State. He could have had the nomination for the Governorship more than once in his career, but he preferred to that distinction, first, his seat on the Supreme Court bench of this department, and second, his position as Mayor of the first city in the land. Nothing that we know of has since happened to change his exalted opinion of the Mayoralty, and many things have happened to make more than a remote possibility his renomination for a second term.

The Eagle agrees with THE SUN that the Mayor would make a powerful appeal to the Democratic and independent voters of this State. He could have had the nomination for the Governorship more than once in his career, but he preferred to that distinction, first, his seat on the Supreme Court bench of this department, and second, his position as Mayor of the first city in the land. Nothing that we know of has since happened to change his exalted opinion of the Mayoralty, and many things have happened to make more than a remote possibility his renomination for a second term.

The Firbolgs.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE SUN—Sir: In ancient Irish literature frequent mention is made of a race of people called Firbolgs. "Every talkative person and every interloper who disturbs the quietude of gatherings and every mischief maker and every mean, low, contemptible carrier of suspicions were of the Firbolg race," says one of the ancient Irish records. It is strange how many of the same physical type and having the same mental traits are to be found in every gathering of Americans to-day. The trouble makers at Baltimore are Firbolgs; you could pick them out at sight from descriptions given in the ancient Irish sagas.

The master Firbolg of Irish literature was Bricriu. Ireland's contempt for the type is shown in Bricriu's death. He was trampled under the feet of the two bulls in the famous bull fight of the Tain. JAMES T. FARRELL. NEW YORK, July 17.

Horace a Little Scratched.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE SUN—Sir: Particular notice is attracted ridiculous moose. NEW YORK, July 17. G. B. M.

The Seven Toed Bullfrog Catcher of Plainfield.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE SUN—Sir: A road-runner near Plainfield yesterday I discovered a seven toed cat which catches bullfrogs along the shores of the small lake in the grounds of the house. The cat snoops along the shores with stealthy tread and when it spots a frog it makes a flying leap and only the agile ones escape. But there are many more ones; for oft when the cat is prowling may be heard the thug of a frog in the water and the scratching of the disappointed cat lighting on the gravel where the frog is not. The cat only the hind legs of the frogs it captures. It has taught a dog the thug of a frog as almost as expert a frog catcher as it is. W. J. L. NEW YORK, July 17.

Compromise.

Klicker. Did the doctor advise a sea voyage? Bucker. Yes; so he wears a yachting cap in the back yard.

CONVICTION WITHOUT PROOF.

The State of Mind Displayed by the Popular Fury Against Lorimer.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE SUN—Sir: There are many indications that the American mind is more "frighty" than it used to be, and that it takes kindly to notions which in the "good old days" would have been promptly rejected. Two of the most notable of these instances are the denunciation of Roosevelt by a certain element of the press and by a majority of the public, probably, and found guilty. The first investigation resulted in acquittal almost unanimously, and the committee, which has just made its report after days, weeks and months of exhaustive study of the evidence, repeats that he is innocent of the charges made against him. Yet honorable Senators rise on the floor of that chamber and admit almost in so many words that heavy popular pressure is being brought to bear to force the expulsion of Lorimer from the Senate.

Under those circumstances well has Senator Johnson, one of the members of the committee, said: "I know that many people who have neither heard the evidence nor read it believe that Lorimer is guilty. I am sitting under my oath as a Judge, and if I knew that every man in the United States believed Lorimer not entitled to his seat, I should decide the case according to my conclusions upon the law and evidence, whatever the result. Should I decide against my judgment and conscience I would be unworthy to sit in the Senate. I would lose my own self-respect and be unfit to associate with honorable men. For a Judge or juror to decide a case in accordance with what he believes would be pleasing or displeasing to the general public is not his duty; it is his duty to do as he sees fit on the bench or the jury."

And the Senator further inquires if any man, however honest and able, who has neither heard nor read the evidence—of which just forty-one copies have been removed from the document room and only fragmentary excerpts printed in the newspapers—or who does not know the law applicable to it, be competent to decide such a question. Senator Dillingham in his speech drew attention to the fact that Lorimer was opposed by the unanimous press of his State and presumably by a very large majority of the public, not one person in all the critical mass having read but a mere fraction of the evidence.

The people, taking their cue from the great apple of the Square Deal, the discoverer of the Ten Commandments, have refused to give Lorimer a square deal. They have convicted him without a hearing. They have shown the same spirit in dealing with him that the volatile French people showed in assailing Joffre upon Dreyfus. They have refused to give him the benefit of the doubt and consider him innocent until he is proved guilty. They have shouted "Expel him!" before the evidence was in; have continued to shout it in spite of evidence which fails to show, first, that any money was spent to influence the election of Lorimer, and secondly, that Lorimer had any knowledge of a purpose to spend money to insure his election. The American public has acted like a mob in this matter, and it would be a disgrace to the country if a man the Senate believes to be entitled to his seat in that body should be expelled in response to the demands of those none of whom have the facilities for determining the truth and many of whom have not the wit or wisdom to arrive at a proper determination of the question.

And the hypocrisy of it! Senator Johnson said: "It is, moreover, worthy of your consideration that William Lorimer, though conceded to be innocent of complicity in any wrongdoing, has been expelled from the Senate. The American public has acted like a mob in this matter, and it would be a disgrace to the country if a man the Senate believes to be entitled to his seat in that body should be expelled in response to the demands of those none of whom have the facilities for determining the truth and many of whom have not the wit or wisdom to arrive at a proper determination of the question."

It is, moreover, worthy of your consideration that William Lorimer, though conceded to be innocent of complicity in any wrongdoing, has been expelled from the Senate. The American public has acted like a mob in this matter, and it would be a disgrace to the country if a man the Senate believes to be entitled to his seat in that body should be expelled in response to the demands of those none of whom have the facilities for determining the truth and many of whom have not the wit or wisdom to arrive at a proper determination of the question.

The Sun's approval of the Mayor as a possible Democratic nominee for the Governorship is based upon the conviction that he is—and again we quote—"the best executive this town ever had." Admitting this to be an accurate estimate of the Mayor, or, at the very least, an estimate in which many thousands of Mr. Gaynor's fellow citizens enthusiastically concur, why should THE SUN seek to remove him from his chair in the City Hall and translate him to an office which the Mayor himself notoriously holds in less esteem than the one he now occupies? Surely his Honor's personal preferences are of some consequence. Surely the claim of the great city of New York upon the services of its "best executive" for the full term of four years is entitled to consideration, even by those who believe that Mr. Gaynor's candidacy for the Governorship would remove the last doubt as to an overwhelming Democratic victory in November.

The Eagle agrees with THE SUN that the Mayor would make a powerful appeal to the Democratic and independent voters of this State. He could have had the nomination for the Governorship more than once in his career, but he preferred to that distinction, first, his seat on the Supreme Court bench of this department, and second, his position as Mayor of the first city in the land. Nothing that we know of has since happened to change his exalted opinion of the Mayoralty, and many things have happened to make more than a remote possibility his renomination for a second term.

The Eagle agrees with THE SUN that the Mayor would make a powerful appeal to the Democratic and independent voters of this State. He could have had the nomination for the Governorship more than once in his career, but he preferred to that distinction, first, his seat on the Supreme Court bench of this department, and second, his position as Mayor of the first city in the land. Nothing that we know of has since happened to change his exalted opinion of the Mayoralty, and many things have happened to make more than a remote possibility his renomination for a second term.

The Eagle agrees with THE SUN that the Mayor would make a powerful appeal to the Democratic and independent voters of this State. He could have had the nomination for the Governorship more than once in his career, but he preferred to that distinction, first, his seat on the Supreme Court bench of this department, and second, his position as Mayor of the first city in the land. Nothing that we know of has since happened to change his exalted opinion of the Mayoralty, and many things have happened to make more than a remote possibility his renomination for a second term.

The Eagle agrees with THE SUN that the Mayor would make a powerful appeal to the Democratic and independent voters of this State. He could have had the nomination for the Governorship more than once in his career, but he preferred to that distinction, first, his seat on the Supreme Court bench of this department, and second, his position as Mayor of the first city in the land. Nothing that we know of has since happened to change his exalted opinion of the Mayoralty, and many things have happened to make more than a remote possibility his renomination for a second term.

The Eagle agrees with THE SUN that the Mayor would make a powerful appeal to the Democratic and independent voters of this State. He could have had the nomination for the Governorship more than once in his career, but he preferred to that distinction, first, his seat on the Supreme Court bench of this department, and second, his position as Mayor of the first city in the land. Nothing that we know of has since happened to change his exalted opinion of the Mayoralty, and many things have happened to make more than a remote possibility his renomination for a second term.

The Eagle agrees with THE SUN that the Mayor would make a powerful appeal to the Democratic and independent voters of this State. He could have had the nomination for the Governorship more than once in his career, but he preferred to that distinction, first, his seat on the Supreme Court bench of this department, and second, his position as Mayor of the first city in the land. Nothing that we know of has since happened to change his exalted opinion of the Mayoralty, and many things have happened to make more than a remote possibility his renomination for a second term.

Cuckoo! Cuckoo!

TO THE EDITOR OF THE SUN—Sir: Of the many forms of political dishonesty put in practice by political parties from time immemorial I know of none that can compare with that recommended by Mr. Roosevelt for the use of Presidential electors. The plan is for an elector who favors Roosevelt to try to have himself named as Presidential elector on any ticket whatever, and in case that ticket triumphs at the polls in November to betray the party electing him and cast his vote in the Electoral College for an elector who favors Roosevelt. It is certain in time to be recognized as one of the most famous men. The citizens of New York should erect a statue to the world's greatest good and true politician.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE SUN—Sir: I am glad to see that the gallant General Slicker has rallied to the standard of Colonel Theodore Roosevelt. General Slicker's name spells success. As he threw himself in front of the Federal General Meade and the rest of the Federal Generals huddled in the rear, so now he has boldly taken his stand by Colonel Roosevelt, who at San Juan Hill saved the honor of the American arms. I now take the opportunity of proposing as Progressive candidate for Vice-President General Dan Slicker. He is a man of our modern Sir Galahad in his great fight for purity. WINTER RUSSELL. NEW YORK, July 17.

Is the Caucasian Played Out?

TO THE EDITOR OF THE SUN—Sir: With an African as the world's champion fighter and an American Indian as the world's first athlete doesn't it begin to look as though the vaunted Caucasian were becoming played out? Who is left to take up the white man's burden? BROOKLYN, July 17. INTELLECT.

Song of the Heeter.

Sister sleeksters, do you hear? Grasp this news of greatest cheer, Folks will stay at home this year. There's no whirling dervish train, Taft and Wilson both remain. And conduct a porch campaign. We won't have to fret and fuss, Eve y office seeking us. Will get up and hike to us. Delegations will be galled. At the names they will be called. Care will surely make them bald. I don't care which meets defeat. Either party will be sweet. Both are good enough to eat. MCLAND UGON WILSON.

Chop Houses of Yore.

Famous Welsh Rabbit Warrens Irrigated by Toby and Pawter. TO THE EDITOR OF THE SUN—Sir: There never was, there never will be again such a place as Old Tom's in Thamos street, the best, the best, the best of the best, Old Tom himself never to be forgotten. But the cowbells so carefully cultivated were unique and not to be duplicated. Tom tended them with great care. For Welsh rabbits, Browne's, near Thirteenth street, after the coroner's inquest, second, then Twenty-first, was the best. (Hifton's cannot be described. It had to be experienced to be appreciated. The entourage was indescribable. And what leader memories are brought to mind by the Studio? What will our modern days bring us? It certainly does not seem possible to have anything equal to the spirit of the places mentioned. E. T. W. NEW YORK, July 17.

WHAT IS IT?

A Scientific Description of the Bull Moose Needed. TO THE EDITOR OF THE SUN—Sir: What sort of a critter is that Bull Moose that is keeping the tongues and ears of everybody wagging and worried? Is it covered with hair or feathers or merely patchy demotons? Is it a fish or a snake or a lizard or a frog or a toad? Is it a beast of the field or of the forest? Is it carnivorous, piscivorous, gramnivorous, herbivorous or simply omnivorous? Does it chew the cud? Has it horns and a tail? Has it whiskers like a goat or a bump like a camel, or antlers like an elk, or a proboscis like an elephant? I have heard it so variously classified and so wonderfully described as possessing a monopoly of such heterogeneous natural endowments that the imagination conjures up a platypus with the wings of a bat, up to date I asked a man who poses as an "up to date" authority on all "worth while" topics if he could tell me what sort of a creature a Bull Moose is, and he only smiled superiorly and I thought entirely and was reminded of a story entirely inappropos the question. Bill McCabe, who is stronger on local politics perhaps than on natural history, was provoked to hilarity by the question and shouted: "It is a creature that lives in the bunch in California and Pennsylvania and is right at night." This seemed the extent of his information. An inquiry propounded to the next Republican who came along elicited the information that the Bull Moose is a goddess who had something to do with the building of the Erie Canal. He said there used to be a Bull Moose in the Erie Canal, but they were all females. Said he never heard of a Bull Moose which he seemed to classify as some sort of a goddess. The interesting information this gentleman furnished by inevitable association of ideas suggested to me the idea of analyzing the Bull Moose in the light of the correct use of the word "bull" and the quadrivalent game of Olympic, the interval is the Olympiad. To win this year we had to show an improvement of 30 per cent. over our "form" of 1908. The same people say the fact is not "American." These folks lack true Americanism. There are Americans by the accident of birth and Americans by election. Even in the ecclesiastic scheme of salvation a penitent sinner seems to be a more highly prized acquisition than the recruit that never needed regeneration. The Adams brothers of Newark, N. J., whose jumping accounted for several points in our grand total, went to Sweden at the expense of their jobs. It is reported that the Adams brothers refused them a vacation for the trip or to hold their jobs open for them. Such is the patriotism of the soulless corporations. We won a splendid victory based on skill, an original and courage. It is fair cause for national rejoicing. The correct use of the word "bull" in the quadrivalent game of Olympic, the interval is the Olympiad. To win this year we had to show an improvement of 30 per cent. over our "form" of 1908. The same people say the fact is not "American." These folks lack true Americanism. There are Americans by the accident of birth and Americans by election. Even in the ecclesiastic scheme of salvation a penitent sinner seems to be a more highly prized acquisition than the recruit that never needed