

OUR PUBLIC SCHOOLS.

What the Schools Are, What They Teach, - Management of the Schools, &c. There are comparatively few who really know what the public schools of New York are, and on this subject some light will be found in this article.

The Superintendent of the Boston public schools, after having visited the schools of nearly every city and State in the Union, and then those of New York, expressed his admiration of our schools.

The public schools of New York are the system of the country, and the New York system of instruction is the imperial system of the world.

It may be asked how are they superior to those of other cities? Many points might be mentioned, but among them is the free distribution of books and instruction to all the pupils applying for them.

As to the cost of the system, it is not so high as is generally supposed. The cost of the system is not so high as is generally supposed.

It is in fact there are over one thousand pupils and twenty-two teachers. The children are allowed three short recesses in the day, and in all the other primary schools, a portion of the recess is given to the children for play.

The system of instruction in the primary schools is not so high as is generally supposed. The cost of the system is not so high as is generally supposed.

The system of instruction in the primary schools is not so high as is generally supposed. The cost of the system is not so high as is generally supposed.

The system of instruction in the primary schools is not so high as is generally supposed. The cost of the system is not so high as is generally supposed.

The system of instruction in the primary schools is not so high as is generally supposed. The cost of the system is not so high as is generally supposed.

The system of instruction in the primary schools is not so high as is generally supposed. The cost of the system is not so high as is generally supposed.

The system of instruction in the primary schools is not so high as is generally supposed. The cost of the system is not so high as is generally supposed.

The system of instruction in the primary schools is not so high as is generally supposed. The cost of the system is not so high as is generally supposed.

The system of instruction in the primary schools is not so high as is generally supposed. The cost of the system is not so high as is generally supposed.

Scientific questions, natural astronomy, natural philosophy, electricity, magnetism, and compass, under the name of "Natural Science," are taught in the primary schools.

The following course of study will be pursued in the primary schools, to wit: Reading, writing, arithmetic, and geography.

Reading, writing, arithmetic, and geography are the four main branches of study in the primary schools.

Reading, writing, arithmetic, and geography are the four main branches of study in the primary schools.

Reading, writing, arithmetic, and geography are the four main branches of study in the primary schools.

Reading, writing, arithmetic, and geography are the four main branches of study in the primary schools.

Reading, writing, arithmetic, and geography are the four main branches of study in the primary schools.

Reading, writing, arithmetic, and geography are the four main branches of study in the primary schools.

Reading, writing, arithmetic, and geography are the four main branches of study in the primary schools.

Reading, writing, arithmetic, and geography are the four main branches of study in the primary schools.

Reading, writing, arithmetic, and geography are the four main branches of study in the primary schools.

Reading, writing, arithmetic, and geography are the four main branches of study in the primary schools.

Reading, writing, arithmetic, and geography are the four main branches of study in the primary schools.

Reading, writing, arithmetic, and geography are the four main branches of study in the primary schools.

Reading, writing, arithmetic, and geography are the four main branches of study in the primary schools.

Reading, writing, arithmetic, and geography are the four main branches of study in the primary schools.

Reading, writing, arithmetic, and geography are the four main branches of study in the primary schools.

In the atmosphere, fifteen, in the Charity Hospital, nine, in the St. Luke's Hospital and one in the Penitentiary.

Of the whole number of persons for whose internment burials permits were issued during the week ending February 3, there were thirty-two deaths from scarletina last week during the week previous.

THE COURTS.

UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT.

Important to Importers. Before Judge Smalley. Arthur & Hall vs. Hiram Barney. This was an action brought to recover \$1,045.70, paid in duties on a cargo of shells, imported by the plaintiffs from Calcutta in January, 1886.

The facts in the case, as detailed by Mr. Culver, counsel for the plaintiffs, are as follows:—A cargo of shells was imported from Calcutta by the plaintiffs, per ship Kit Carson. There was also a large quantity of gunny bags imported by the plaintiffs per same vessel.

On the arrival of the vessel in this port the invoice and entry of the goods were presented at the Custom House and the duties regularly paid thereon, a resolution of the department necessary to be complied with before permission to land the goods was given.

On subsequent examination of the goods it was found that the shells and gunny bags were damaged—the former article considerably so—in consequence of the fact of the vessel having been ordered by the Port Wardens for an examination, which was ordered, and the appraisers gave the regular certificate, allowing the plaintiffs thirty-five per cent. interest, amounting to \$1,045.70.

The plaintiffs then applied to the Collector for a certificate of the amount of damage done to the shells and gunny bags, and the Collector issued a certificate to that effect, which was presented to the appraisers, who refused to allow the same.

The plaintiffs then applied to the Collector for a certificate of the amount of damage done to the shells and gunny bags, and the Collector issued a certificate to that effect, which was presented to the appraisers, who refused to allow the same.

The plaintiffs then applied to the Collector for a certificate of the amount of damage done to the shells and gunny bags, and the Collector issued a certificate to that effect, which was presented to the appraisers, who refused to allow the same.

The plaintiffs then applied to the Collector for a certificate of the amount of damage done to the shells and gunny bags, and the Collector issued a certificate to that effect, which was presented to the appraisers, who refused to allow the same.

The plaintiffs then applied to the Collector for a certificate of the amount of damage done to the shells and gunny bags, and the Collector issued a certificate to that effect, which was presented to the appraisers, who refused to allow the same.

The plaintiffs then applied to the Collector for a certificate of the amount of damage done to the shells and gunny bags, and the Collector issued a certificate to that effect, which was presented to the appraisers, who refused to allow the same.

The plaintiffs then applied to the Collector for a certificate of the amount of damage done to the shells and gunny bags, and the Collector issued a certificate to that effect, which was presented to the appraisers, who refused to allow the same.

The plaintiffs then applied to the Collector for a certificate of the amount of damage done to the shells and gunny bags, and the Collector issued a certificate to that effect, which was presented to the appraisers, who refused to allow the same.

The plaintiffs then applied to the Collector for a certificate of the amount of damage done to the shells and gunny bags, and the Collector issued a certificate to that effect, which was presented to the appraisers, who refused to allow the same.

The plaintiffs then applied to the Collector for a certificate of the amount of damage done to the shells and gunny bags, and the Collector issued a certificate to that effect, which was presented to the appraisers, who refused to allow the same.

other witnesses testifying that there was. The case occurred on the 10th of January, 1887, at the residence of Mr. Woodruff and Mr. Sanford.

Mr. Woodruff and Mr. Sanford appeared for the plaintiffs and Messrs. Marsh and Sessions for the defendant. In his charge to the jury Judge Daly spoke of the law of warranty as follows:—The substantial and main question in this case, gentlemen, is whether there was a warranty.

The facts in the case, as detailed by Mr. Culver, counsel for the plaintiffs, are as follows:—A cargo of shells was imported from Calcutta by the plaintiffs, per ship Kit Carson. There was also a large quantity of gunny bags imported by the plaintiffs per same vessel.

On the arrival of the vessel in this port the invoice and entry of the goods were presented at the Custom House and the duties regularly paid thereon, a resolution of the department necessary to be complied with before permission to land the goods was given.

On subsequent examination of the goods it was found that the shells and gunny bags were damaged—the former article considerably so—in consequence of the fact of the vessel having been ordered by the Port Wardens for an examination, which was ordered, and the appraisers gave the regular certificate, allowing the plaintiffs thirty-five per cent. interest, amounting to \$1,045.70.

The plaintiffs then applied to the Collector for a certificate of the amount of damage done to the shells and gunny bags, and the Collector issued a certificate to that effect, which was presented to the appraisers, who refused to allow the same.

The plaintiffs then applied to the Collector for a certificate of the amount of damage done to the shells and gunny bags, and the Collector issued a certificate to that effect, which was presented to the appraisers, who refused to allow the same.

The plaintiffs then applied to the Collector for a certificate of the amount of damage done to the shells and gunny bags, and the Collector issued a certificate to that effect, which was presented to the appraisers, who refused to allow the same.

The plaintiffs then applied to the Collector for a certificate of the amount of damage done to the shells and gunny bags, and the Collector issued a certificate to that effect, which was presented to the appraisers, who refused to allow the same.

The plaintiffs then applied to the Collector for a certificate of the amount of damage done to the shells and gunny bags, and the Collector issued a certificate to that effect, which was presented to the appraisers, who refused to allow the same.

The plaintiffs then applied to the Collector for a certificate of the amount of damage done to the shells and gunny bags, and the Collector issued a certificate to that effect, which was presented to the appraisers, who refused to allow the same.

The plaintiffs then applied to the Collector for a certificate of the amount of damage done to the shells and gunny bags, and the Collector issued a certificate to that effect, which was presented to the appraisers, who refused to allow the same.

The plaintiffs then applied to the Collector for a certificate of the amount of damage done to the shells and gunny bags, and the Collector issued a certificate to that effect, which was presented to the appraisers, who refused to allow the same.

The plaintiffs then applied to the Collector for a certificate of the amount of damage done to the shells and gunny bags, and the Collector issued a certificate to that effect, which was presented to the appraisers, who refused to allow the same.

The plaintiffs then applied to the Collector for a certificate of the amount of damage done to the shells and gunny bags, and the Collector issued a certificate to that effect, which was presented to the appraisers, who refused to allow the same.

The plaintiffs then applied to the Collector for a certificate of the amount of damage done to the shells and gunny bags, and the Collector issued a certificate to that effect, which was presented to the appraisers, who refused to allow the same.

The plaintiffs then applied to the Collector for a certificate of the amount of damage done to the shells and gunny bags, and the Collector issued a certificate to that effect, which was presented to the appraisers, who refused to allow the same.

The Sun Scrip-holders Forward Their Report to Albany. Probable Action of the Commission. In Wall street yesterday the question of the liabilities and the prospects of marine insurance companies, although still the engrossing topic of conversation, did not create such an excitement as on last Friday and Saturday.

It was mainly due to the fact that the statements of all the corporations now lie in the Insurance Bureau at Albany, awaiting examination, and until the result of the examination is known, it is by no means probable that some of the dependent companies, which will either longer, until they receive assistance, which will either enable them to continue business or wind up their affairs.

It is stated on very good authority that the scrip-holders of the Sun Insurance Company are determined to show fight, and they have already forwarded their report to Albany, and they are waiting for the action of the Commission.

It is also stated that the receivers of the Columbia Insurance Company, which failed January 23, 1885, on account of the collapse of the company, have been appointed by the court, and they are waiting for the action of the Commission.

It is also stated that the receivers of the Columbia Insurance Company, which failed January 23, 1885, on account of the collapse of the company, have been appointed by the court, and they are waiting for the action of the Commission.

It is also stated that the receivers of the Columbia Insurance Company, which failed January 23, 1885, on account of the collapse of the company, have been appointed by the court, and they are waiting for the action of the Commission.

It is also stated that the receivers of the Columbia Insurance Company, which failed January 23, 1885, on account of the collapse of the company, have been appointed by the court, and they are waiting for the action of the Commission.

It is also stated that the receivers of the Columbia Insurance Company, which failed January 23, 1885, on account of the collapse of the company, have been appointed by the court, and they are waiting for the action of the Commission.

It is also stated that the receivers of the Columbia Insurance Company, which failed January 23, 1885, on account of the collapse of the company, have been appointed by the court, and they are waiting for the action of the Commission.

It is also stated that the receivers of the Columbia Insurance Company, which failed January 23, 1885, on account of the collapse of the company, have been appointed by the court, and they are waiting for the action of the Commission.

It is also stated that the receivers of the Columbia Insurance Company, which failed January 23, 1885, on account of the collapse of the company, have been appointed by the court, and they are waiting for the action of the Commission.

It is also stated that the receivers of the Columbia Insurance Company, which failed January 23, 1885, on account of the collapse of the company, have been appointed by the court, and they are waiting for the action of the Commission.

It is also stated that the receivers of the Columbia Insurance Company, which failed January 23, 1885, on account of the collapse of the company, have been appointed by the court, and they are waiting for the action of the Commission.

It is also stated that the receivers of the Columbia Insurance Company, which failed January 23, 1885, on account of the collapse of the company, have been appointed by the court, and they are waiting for the action of the Commission.

It is also stated that the receivers of the Columbia Insurance Company, which failed January 23, 1885, on account of the collapse of the company, have been appointed by the court, and they are waiting for the action of the Commission.

It is also stated that the receivers of the Columbia Insurance Company, which failed January 23, 1885, on account of the collapse of the company, have been appointed by the court, and they are waiting for the action of the Commission.

It is also stated that the receivers of the Columbia Insurance Company, which failed January 23, 1885, on account of the collapse of the company, have been appointed by the court, and they are waiting for the action of the Commission.

Mississippi Honors to the Rev. Dr. H. H. Hunt. Bishop Peter-Distinguished Personage Present-The Remains of Deceased Conveyed to Lockport, New York.

The funeral of ex-Governor Hunt, whose death occurred on Saturday last, took place yesterday afternoon at three o'clock. During the day yesterday the arms of the State of New York, as well as the arms of the principal cities of the State, were displayed in the principal hotels along the route.

The remains of the deceased were kept at the World House, where he had been suffering for many weeks, and had finally died, until the time for their conveyance to the church. The coffin lay in a large apartment on the right of the Seventeenth street entrance, and was of rosewood, richly mounted with silver. Upon the lid were wreaths and a cross of rare and beautiful flowers, camellias, roses, hyacinths and other flowers.

At three P. M. the friends of the deceased, followed by the members of his immediate family, followed the body on foot to the church. The funeral service was held at Trinity chapel, where the Rev. Dr. H. H. Hunt, assisted by the Rev. Dr. H. H. Hunt, officiated.

The opening sentences of the service for the burial of the deceased were read by the Rev. Dr. H. H. Hunt, who officiated at the altar, and was assisted by the Rev. Dr. H. H. Hunt, who officiated at the altar, and was assisted by the Rev. Dr. H. H. Hunt, who officiated at the altar.

The opening sentences of the service for the burial of the deceased were read by the Rev. Dr. H. H. Hunt, who officiated at the altar, and was assisted by the Rev. Dr. H. H. Hunt, who officiated at the altar, and was assisted by the Rev. Dr. H. H. Hunt, who officiated at the altar.

The opening sentences of the service for the burial of the deceased were read by the Rev. Dr. H. H. Hunt, who officiated at the altar, and was assisted by the Rev. Dr. H. H. Hunt, who officiated at the altar, and was assisted by the Rev. Dr. H. H. Hunt, who officiated at the altar.

The opening sentences of the service for the burial of the deceased were read by the Rev. Dr. H. H. Hunt, who officiated at the altar, and was assisted by the Rev. Dr. H. H. Hunt, who officiated at the altar, and was assisted by the Rev. Dr. H. H. Hunt, who officiated at the altar.

The opening sentences of the service for the burial of the deceased were read by the Rev. Dr. H. H. Hunt, who officiated at the altar, and was assisted by the Rev. Dr. H. H. Hunt, who officiated at the altar, and was assisted by the Rev. Dr. H. H. Hunt, who officiated at the altar.

The opening sentences of the service for the burial of the deceased were read by the Rev. Dr. H. H. Hunt, who officiated at the altar, and was assisted by the Rev. Dr. H. H. Hunt, who officiated at the altar, and was assisted by the Rev. Dr. H. H. Hunt, who officiated at the altar.

The opening sentences of the service for the burial of the deceased were read by the Rev. Dr. H. H. Hunt, who officiated at the altar, and was assisted by the Rev. Dr. H. H. Hunt, who officiated at the altar, and was assisted by the Rev. Dr. H. H. Hunt, who officiated at the altar.

The opening sentences of the service for the burial of the deceased were read by the Rev. Dr. H. H. Hunt, who officiated at the altar, and was assisted by the Rev. Dr. H. H. Hunt, who officiated at the altar, and was assisted by the Rev. Dr. H. H. Hunt, who officiated at the altar.

The opening sentences of the service for the burial of the deceased were read by the Rev. Dr. H. H. Hunt, who officiated at the altar, and was assisted by the Rev. Dr. H. H. Hunt, who officiated at the altar, and was assisted by the Rev. Dr. H. H. Hunt, who officiated at the altar.

The opening sentences of the service for the burial of the deceased were read by the Rev. Dr. H. H. Hunt, who officiated at the altar, and was assisted by the Rev. Dr. H. H. Hunt, who officiated at the altar, and was assisted by the Rev. Dr. H. H. Hunt, who officiated at the altar.

The opening sentences of the service for the burial of the deceased were read by the Rev. Dr. H. H. Hunt, who officiated at the altar, and was assisted by the Rev. Dr. H. H. Hunt, who officiated at the altar, and was assisted by the Rev. Dr. H. H. Hunt, who officiated at the altar.

The opening sentences of the service for the burial of the deceased were read by the Rev. Dr. H. H. Hunt, who officiated at the altar, and was assisted by the Rev. Dr. H. H. Hunt, who officiated at the altar, and was assisted by the Rev. Dr. H. H. Hunt, who officiated at the altar.

The opening sentences of the service for the burial of the deceased were read by the Rev. Dr. H. H. Hunt, who officiated at the altar, and was assisted by the Rev. Dr. H. H. Hunt, who officiated at the altar, and was assisted by the Rev. Dr. H. H. Hunt, who officiated at the altar.

UNITED STATES COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE.

Charge of Illegally Opening a Letter.

Before Commissioner Heving.

John Barrett was charged with having opened a letter in November last addressed to John F. Barrett, in violation of the statute.

The defendant does business at 59 Fulton street; the complainant does business at 29 Beekman street.

From the evidence it appears that some time in November a letter carrier left the letter in question at 59 Fulton street instead of 29 Beekman street; the Barrett in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the defendant in Beekman street opened and read the letter.

The defendant in Fulton street opened and read the letter, and the