

EUROPE. The human steamship City of London, Captain Brooks, from Liverpool the 30th of September and Queenstown the 1st of October, arrived at this port at an early hour yesterday morning, bringing a mail report in detail of our cable telegrams from Europe dated to her day of sailing from Ireland.

By the steamship City of London we have the following interesting history by mail of the progress of the Spanish revolution additional to the details published in the Herald on Sunday.

SPAIN. Prim's Revolutionary Proclamation. MADRID, Sept. 26, 1868. The following is the full text of General Prim's proclamation to the Spaniards, issued on the 26th inst.:

To arms! To arms! We have suffered long enough under the yoke of a despotic and tyrannical government, and the Spanish nation, which has so often been unfortunate and has yet never ceased to be a great and noble nation, resignedly to deplore the calamities which have befallen it.

The hour of revolution has sounded a heroic and glorious shout throughout the safety of the country demands it. Principles sufficiently liberal to satisfy the present and future generations, and to secure the aspirations of the nation, will be able to effect, without any violent shocks, the transformation of our country.

To destroy with glory the obstacles which systematically hinder the prosperity of nations in the midst of their revolutions, but to edify with calm reflection the objects of their revolutions, should have in view who desire to achieve by their valor their sovereignty, and to render themselves worthy of that sovereignty by preserving their prudence.

Let us not immediately destroy that which time has consecrated, and which, without plunging ourselves prematurely in a civil war, which circumstances and events may render unfavorable, and without prejudging the questions which will arise from the action of the war and have tended to weaken the sovereignty of the nation.

When peace shall be re-established and re-education take the place of force, the different parties will be able fearlessly to unfold their banners, and the property of all will be able to be put to use to constitute the judge before the universal suffrage then we shall seek all the guarantees which are believed to be necessary for the conduct of our life, and we shall be able to constitute a head of the national debt; but, doubtless, some incident by sea has retarded their arrival. I speak, not of the general, but of the officers of those illustrious generals, Spaniards and soldiers, who have sacrificed their lives for the country.

Let us not forget to add to the voice of the country—a voice which will be heard by all—the voice of our fathers, our wives, our sons and brothers. Let us go with ardor to the combat, without considering the price of it, and without being afraid of when it is the honor of the country which animates the arm when it strikes to reconquer our disgraced provinces, and to restore to the nation the pride and respect of foreign nations and again become worthy sons of noble Spain.

Spaniards! Long live the country! Long live the national sovereignty! JUAN PRIM. The following proclamation was published by General Prim before his entry into Cadiz:

CADIZ, Sept. 19, 1868. SPANIARDS—Since the above proclamation was written the movement has been followed by Fernando, Carraca, and the town of Cadiz, aided by the Carabiniers, the marine infantry and the troops of cadets.

The province of Cadiz, with all its land and sea forces, is already in arms. Long live the army! Long live the national flag. PRIM.

Naval Insurgent Manifesto. MADRID, Sept. 23, 1868. The following is the full text of the proclamation of Brigadier Topete to the inhabitants of Cadiz:

ON BOARD THE ZARAGOZA. BAY OF CADIZ, Sept. 17, 1868. A sailor beholden to you for many distinctions and notably for that having represented you in Parliament, I desire to address to you a few lines of a very serious nature, namely, the hostile attitude of the navy towards the unfortunate government.

It is not to be expected that you must no longer expect elegant phrases from me. You must be prepared to hear the truth. The number of years been subjected to the most horrible dictatorship; our fundamental laws have been violated, the rights of the citizen have been trampled.

The national representation is a fictitious shadow, the bonds which ought to attach the people to the throne and strengthen a constitutional monarchy are broken. The Emperor has appeared to proclaim these truths—they are written on the consciences of all.

It is not to be expected that you must no longer expect elegant phrases from me. You must be prepared to hear the truth. The number of years been subjected to the most horrible dictatorship; our fundamental laws have been violated, the rights of the citizen have been trampled.

At the moment of going to press we learn that the Marquis Concha, Marquis de la Havana and the Marquis de San Carlos have written to the Queen to inform her that in consequence of her refusal to go to Madrid—that is to say, without Mr. Marro's consent, they do not believe themselves able to communicate with her.

At the moment of going to press we learn that the Marquis Concha, Marquis de la Havana and the Marquis de San Carlos have written to the Queen to inform her that in consequence of her refusal to go to Madrid—that is to say, without Mr. Marro's consent, they do not believe themselves able to communicate with her.

At the moment of going to press we learn that the Marquis Concha, Marquis de la Havana and the Marquis de San Carlos have written to the Queen to inform her that in consequence of her refusal to go to Madrid—that is to say, without Mr. Marro's consent, they do not believe themselves able to communicate with her.

At the moment of going to press we learn that the Marquis Concha, Marquis de la Havana and the Marquis de San Carlos have written to the Queen to inform her that in consequence of her refusal to go to Madrid—that is to say, without Mr. Marro's consent, they do not believe themselves able to communicate with her.

At the moment of going to press we learn that the Marquis Concha, Marquis de la Havana and the Marquis de San Carlos have written to the Queen to inform her that in consequence of her refusal to go to Madrid—that is to say, without Mr. Marro's consent, they do not believe themselves able to communicate with her.

At the moment of going to press we learn that the Marquis Concha, Marquis de la Havana and the Marquis de San Carlos have written to the Queen to inform her that in consequence of her refusal to go to Madrid—that is to say, without Mr. Marro's consent, they do not believe themselves able to communicate with her.

THE PROTESTANT EPISCOPAL CONVENTION. The Fifth Day and Fourth Business Session. A New Diocese Created in Maryland—Hitherto to be "Stripped Out"—A Counter-Proposal for a New Church.

The fifth day and fourth business session of the Protestant Episcopal convention opened yesterday morning at the appointed hour in Trinity Chapel, on west Twenty-fifth street, near Broadway. The morning service was conducted by Rev. Dr. William Adams, of Wisconsin, and Rev. William C. Williams, of Georgia.

The first lesson was from Second Daniel, beginning with the twenty-fourth verse: "Therefore Daniel went unto Arioah, whom the King had ordained to destroy the wise men of Babylon." The second lesson was from the Gospel according to St. Luke, from the twenty-second chapter, the first to the thirty-first verse, opening with, "Now the feast of unleavened bread drew nigh."

The Psalms were not chanted as heretofore by the choir, but read alternately by the ministers and the congregation. Benediction was pronounced by the Right Rev. Dr. Whittingham, Bishop of Maryland.

On the call of committees, Rev. Dr. Thomas C. Pitkin, of Michigan, as chairman of the Committee on New Dioceses, made a report on the application of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the State of Maryland, being that part of the present diocese of Maryland east of the Susquehanna river and the Susquehanna Bay, to be erected into a new diocese.

On the call of committees, Rev. Dr. Thomas C. Pitkin, of Michigan, as chairman of the Committee on New Dioceses, made a report on the application of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the State of Maryland, being that part of the present diocese of Maryland east of the Susquehanna river and the Susquehanna Bay, to be erected into a new diocese.

On the call of committees, Rev. Dr. Thomas C. Pitkin, of Michigan, as chairman of the Committee on New Dioceses, made a report on the application of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the State of Maryland, being that part of the present diocese of Maryland east of the Susquehanna river and the Susquehanna Bay, to be erected into a new diocese.

On the call of committees, Rev. Dr. Thomas C. Pitkin, of Michigan, as chairman of the Committee on New Dioceses, made a report on the application of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the State of Maryland, being that part of the present diocese of Maryland east of the Susquehanna river and the Susquehanna Bay, to be erected into a new diocese.

On the call of committees, Rev. Dr. Thomas C. Pitkin, of Michigan, as chairman of the Committee on New Dioceses, made a report on the application of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the State of Maryland, being that part of the present diocese of Maryland east of the Susquehanna river and the Susquehanna Bay, to be erected into a new diocese.

On the call of committees, Rev. Dr. Thomas C. Pitkin, of Michigan, as chairman of the Committee on New Dioceses, made a report on the application of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the State of Maryland, being that part of the present diocese of Maryland east of the Susquehanna river and the Susquehanna Bay, to be erected into a new diocese.

On the call of committees, Rev. Dr. Thomas C. Pitkin, of Michigan, as chairman of the Committee on New Dioceses, made a report on the application of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the State of Maryland, being that part of the present diocese of Maryland east of the Susquehanna river and the Susquehanna Bay, to be erected into a new diocese.

On the call of committees, Rev. Dr. Thomas C. Pitkin, of Michigan, as chairman of the Committee on New Dioceses, made a report on the application of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the State of Maryland, being that part of the present diocese of Maryland east of the Susquehanna river and the Susquehanna Bay, to be erected into a new diocese.

On the call of committees, Rev. Dr. Thomas C. Pitkin, of Michigan, as chairman of the Committee on New Dioceses, made a report on the application of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the State of Maryland, being that part of the present diocese of Maryland east of the Susquehanna river and the Susquehanna Bay, to be erected into a new diocese.

On the call of committees, Rev. Dr. Thomas C. Pitkin, of Michigan, as chairman of the Committee on New Dioceses, made a report on the application of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the State of Maryland, being that part of the present diocese of Maryland east of the Susquehanna river and the Susquehanna Bay, to be erected into a new diocese.

On the call of committees, Rev. Dr. Thomas C. Pitkin, of Michigan, as chairman of the Committee on New Dioceses, made a report on the application of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the State of Maryland, being that part of the present diocese of Maryland east of the Susquehanna river and the Susquehanna Bay, to be erected into a new diocese.

On the call of committees, Rev. Dr. Thomas C. Pitkin, of Michigan, as chairman of the Committee on New Dioceses, made a report on the application of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the State of Maryland, being that part of the present diocese of Maryland east of the Susquehanna river and the Susquehanna Bay, to be erected into a new diocese.

On the call of committees, Rev. Dr. Thomas C. Pitkin, of Michigan, as chairman of the Committee on New Dioceses, made a report on the application of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the State of Maryland, being that part of the present diocese of Maryland east of the Susquehanna river and the Susquehanna Bay, to be erected into a new diocese.

On the call of committees, Rev. Dr. Thomas C. Pitkin, of Michigan, as chairman of the Committee on New Dioceses, made a report on the application of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the State of Maryland, being that part of the present diocese of Maryland east of the Susquehanna river and the Susquehanna Bay, to be erected into a new diocese.

On the call of committees, Rev. Dr. Thomas C. Pitkin, of Michigan, as chairman of the Committee on New Dioceses, made a report on the application of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the State of Maryland, being that part of the present diocese of Maryland east of the Susquehanna river and the Susquehanna Bay, to be erected into a new diocese.

On the call of committees, Rev. Dr. Thomas C. Pitkin, of Michigan, as chairman of the Committee on New Dioceses, made a report on the application of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the State of Maryland, being that part of the present diocese of Maryland east of the Susquehanna river and the Susquehanna Bay, to be erected into a new diocese.

On the call of committees, Rev. Dr. Thomas C. Pitkin, of Michigan, as chairman of the Committee on New Dioceses, made a report on the application of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the State of Maryland, being that part of the present diocese of Maryland east of the Susquehanna river and the Susquehanna Bay, to be erected into a new diocese.

On the call of committees, Rev. Dr. Thomas C. Pitkin, of Michigan, as chairman of the Committee on New Dioceses, made a report on the application of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the State of Maryland, being that part of the present diocese of Maryland east of the Susquehanna river and the Susquehanna Bay, to be erected into a new diocese.

On the call of committees, Rev. Dr. Thomas C. Pitkin, of Michigan, as chairman of the Committee on New Dioceses, made a report on the application of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the State of Maryland, being that part of the present diocese of Maryland east of the Susquehanna river and the Susquehanna Bay, to be erected into a new diocese.

THE PROTESTANT EPISCOPAL CONVENTION. The Fifth Day and Fourth Business Session. A New Diocese Created in Maryland—Hitherto to be "Stripped Out"—A Counter-Proposal for a New Church.

The fifth day and fourth business session of the Protestant Episcopal convention opened yesterday morning at the appointed hour in Trinity Chapel, on west Twenty-fifth street, near Broadway. The morning service was conducted by Rev. Dr. William Adams, of Wisconsin, and Rev. William C. Williams, of Georgia.

The first lesson was from Second Daniel, beginning with the twenty-fourth verse: "Therefore Daniel went unto Arioah, whom the King had ordained to destroy the wise men of Babylon." The second lesson was from the Gospel according to St. Luke, from the twenty-second chapter, the first to the thirty-first verse, opening with, "Now the feast of unleavened bread drew nigh."

The Psalms were not chanted as heretofore by the choir, but read alternately by the ministers and the congregation. Benediction was pronounced by the Right Rev. Dr. Whittingham, Bishop of Maryland.

On the call of committees, Rev. Dr. Thomas C. Pitkin, of Michigan, as chairman of the Committee on New Dioceses, made a report on the application of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the State of Maryland, being that part of the present diocese of Maryland east of the Susquehanna river and the Susquehanna Bay, to be erected into a new diocese.

On the call of committees, Rev. Dr. Thomas C. Pitkin, of Michigan, as chairman of the Committee on New Dioceses, made a report on the application of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the State of Maryland, being that part of the present diocese of Maryland east of the Susquehanna river and the Susquehanna Bay, to be erected into a new diocese.

On the call of committees, Rev. Dr. Thomas C. Pitkin, of Michigan, as chairman of the Committee on New Dioceses, made a report on the application of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the State of Maryland, being that part of the present diocese of Maryland east of the Susquehanna river and the Susquehanna Bay, to be erected into a new diocese.

On the call of committees, Rev. Dr. Thomas C. Pitkin, of Michigan, as chairman of the Committee on New Dioceses, made a report on the application of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the State of Maryland, being that part of the present diocese of Maryland east of the Susquehanna river and the Susquehanna Bay, to be erected into a new diocese.

On the call of committees, Rev. Dr. Thomas C. Pitkin, of Michigan, as chairman of the Committee on New Dioceses, made a report on the application of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the State of Maryland, being that part of the present diocese of Maryland east of the Susquehanna river and the Susquehanna Bay, to be erected into a new diocese.

On the call of committees, Rev. Dr. Thomas C. Pitkin, of Michigan, as chairman of the Committee on New Dioceses, made a report on the application of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the State of Maryland, being that part of the present diocese of Maryland east of the Susquehanna river and the Susquehanna Bay, to be erected into a new diocese.

On the call of committees, Rev. Dr. Thomas C. Pitkin, of Michigan, as chairman of the Committee on New Dioceses, made a report on the application of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the State of Maryland, being that part of the present diocese of Maryland east of the Susquehanna river and the Susquehanna Bay, to be erected into a new diocese.

On the call of committees, Rev. Dr. Thomas C. Pitkin, of Michigan, as chairman of the Committee on New Dioceses, made a report on the application of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the State of Maryland, being that part of the present diocese of Maryland east of the Susquehanna river and the Susquehanna Bay, to be erected into a new diocese.

On the call of committees, Rev. Dr. Thomas C. Pitkin, of Michigan, as chairman of the Committee on New Dioceses, made a report on the application of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the State of Maryland, being that part of the present diocese of Maryland east of the Susquehanna river and the Susquehanna Bay, to be erected into a new diocese.

On the call of committees, Rev. Dr. Thomas C. Pitkin, of Michigan, as chairman of the Committee on New Dioceses, made a report on the application of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the State of Maryland, being that part of the present diocese of Maryland east of the Susquehanna river and the Susquehanna Bay, to be erected into a new diocese.

On the call of committees, Rev. Dr. Thomas C. Pitkin, of Michigan, as chairman of the Committee on New Dioceses, made a report on the application of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the State of Maryland, being that part of the present diocese of Maryland east of the Susquehanna river and the Susquehanna Bay, to be erected into a new diocese.

On the call of committees, Rev. Dr. Thomas C. Pitkin, of Michigan, as chairman of the Committee on New Dioceses, made a report on the application of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the State of Maryland, being that part of the present diocese of Maryland east of the Susquehanna river and the Susquehanna Bay, to be erected into a new diocese.

On the call of committees, Rev. Dr. Thomas C. Pitkin, of Michigan, as chairman of the Committee on New Dioceses, made a report on the application of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the State of Maryland, being that part of the present diocese of Maryland east of the Susquehanna river and the Susquehanna Bay, to be erected into a new diocese.

On the call of committees, Rev. Dr. Thomas C. Pitkin, of Michigan, as chairman of the Committee on New Dioceses, made a report on the application of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the State of Maryland, being that part of the present diocese of Maryland east of the Susquehanna river and the Susquehanna Bay, to be erected into a new diocese.

On the call of committees, Rev. Dr. Thomas C. Pitkin, of Michigan, as chairman of the Committee on New Dioceses, made a report on the application of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the State of Maryland, being that part of the present diocese of Maryland east of the Susquehanna river and the Susquehanna Bay, to be erected into a new diocese.

On the call of committees, Rev. Dr. Thomas C. Pitkin, of Michigan, as chairman of the Committee on New Dioceses, made a report on the application of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the State of Maryland, being that part of the present diocese of Maryland east of the Susquehanna river and the Susquehanna Bay, to be erected into a new diocese.

On the call of committees, Rev. Dr. Thomas C. Pitkin, of Michigan, as chairman of the Committee on New Dioceses, made a report on the application of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the State of Maryland, being that part of the present diocese of Maryland east of the Susquehanna river and the Susquehanna Bay, to be erected into a new diocese.

On the call of committees, Rev. Dr. Thomas C. Pitkin, of Michigan, as chairman of the Committee on New Dioceses, made a report on the application of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the State of Maryland, being that part of the present diocese of Maryland east of the Susquehanna river and the Susquehanna Bay, to be erected into a new diocese.

On the call of committees, Rev. Dr. Thomas C. Pitkin, of Michigan, as chairman of the Committee on New Dioceses, made a report on the application of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the State of Maryland, being that part of the present diocese of Maryland east of the Susquehanna river and the Susquehanna Bay, to be erected into a new diocese.

THE PROTESTANT EPISCOPAL CONVENTION. The Fifth Day and Fourth Business Session. A New Diocese Created in Maryland—Hitherto to be "Stripped Out"—A Counter-Proposal for a New Church.

The fifth day and fourth business session of the Protestant Episcopal convention opened yesterday morning at the appointed hour in Trinity Chapel, on west Twenty-fifth street, near Broadway. The morning service was conducted by Rev. Dr. William Adams, of Wisconsin, and Rev. William C. Williams, of Georgia.

The first lesson was from Second Daniel, beginning with the twenty-fourth verse: "Therefore Daniel went unto Arioah, whom the King had ordained to destroy the wise men of Babylon." The second lesson was from the Gospel according to St. Luke, from the twenty-second chapter, the first to the thirty-first verse, opening with, "Now the feast of unleavened bread drew nigh."

The Psalms were not chanted as heretofore by the choir, but read alternately by the ministers and the congregation. Benediction was pronounced by the Right Rev. Dr. Whittingham, Bishop of Maryland.

On the call of committees, Rev. Dr. Thomas C. Pitkin, of Michigan, as chairman of the Committee on New Dioceses, made a report on the application of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the State of Maryland, being that part of the present diocese of Maryland east of the Susquehanna river and the Susquehanna Bay, to be erected into a new diocese.

On the call of committees, Rev. Dr. Thomas C. Pitkin, of Michigan, as chairman of the Committee on New Dioceses, made a report on the application of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the State of Maryland, being that part of the present diocese of Maryland east of the Susquehanna river and the Susquehanna Bay, to be erected into a new diocese.

On the call of committees, Rev. Dr. Thomas C. Pitkin, of Michigan, as chairman of the Committee on New Dioceses, made a report on the application of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the State of Maryland, being that part of the present diocese of Maryland east of the Susquehanna river and the Susquehanna Bay, to be erected into a new diocese.

On the call of committees, Rev. Dr. Thomas C. Pitkin, of Michigan, as chairman of the Committee on New Dioceses, made a report on the application of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the State of Maryland, being that part of the present diocese of Maryland east of the Susquehanna river and the Susquehanna Bay, to be erected into a new diocese.

On the call of committees, Rev. Dr. Thomas C. Pitkin, of Michigan, as chairman of the Committee on New Dioceses, made a report on the application of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the State of Maryland, being that part of the present diocese of Maryland east of the Susquehanna river and the Susquehanna Bay, to be erected into a new diocese.

On the call of committees, Rev. Dr. Thomas C. Pitkin, of Michigan, as chairman of the Committee on New Dioceses, made a report on the application of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the State of Maryland, being that part of the present diocese of Maryland east of the Susquehanna river and the Susquehanna Bay, to be erected into a new diocese.

On the call of committees, Rev. Dr. Thomas C. Pitkin, of Michigan, as chairman of the Committee on New Dioceses, made a report on the application of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the State of Maryland, being that part of the present diocese of Maryland east of the Susquehanna river and the Susquehanna Bay, to be erected into a new diocese.

On the call of committees, Rev. Dr. Thomas C. Pitkin, of Michigan, as chairman of the Committee on New Dioceses, made a report on the application of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the State of Maryland, being that part of the present diocese of Maryland east of the Susquehanna river and the Susquehanna Bay, to be erected into a new diocese.

On the call of committees, Rev. Dr. Thomas C. Pitkin, of Michigan, as chairman of the Committee on New Dioceses, made a report on the application of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the State of Maryland, being that part of the present diocese of Maryland east of the Susquehanna river and the Susquehanna Bay, to be erected into a new diocese.

On the call of committees, Rev. Dr. Thomas C. Pitkin, of Michigan, as chairman of the Committee on New Dioceses, made a report on the application of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the State of Maryland, being that part of the present diocese of Maryland east of the Susquehanna river and the Susquehanna Bay, to be erected into a new diocese.

On the call of committees, Rev. Dr. Thomas C. Pitkin, of Michigan, as chairman of the Committee on New Dioceses, made a report on the application of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the State of Maryland, being that part of the present diocese of Maryland east of the Susquehanna river and the Susquehanna Bay, to be erected into a new diocese.

On the call of committees, Rev. Dr. Thomas C. Pitkin, of Michigan, as chairman of the Committee on New Dioceses, made a report on the application of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the State of Maryland, being that part of the present diocese of Maryland east of the Susquehanna river and the Susquehanna Bay, to be erected into a new diocese.

On the call of committees, Rev. Dr. Thomas C. Pitkin, of Michigan, as chairman of the Committee on New Dioceses, made a report on the application of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the State of Maryland, being that part of the present diocese of Maryland east of the Susquehanna river and the Susquehanna Bay, to be erected into a new diocese.

On the call of committees, Rev. Dr. Thomas C. Pitkin, of Michigan, as chairman of the Committee on New Dioceses, made a report on the application of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the State of Maryland, being that part of the present diocese of Maryland east of the Susquehanna river and the Susquehanna Bay, to be erected into a new diocese.

On the call of committees, Rev. Dr. Thomas C. Pitkin, of Michigan, as chairman of the Committee on New Dioceses, made a report on the application of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the State of Maryland, being that part of the present diocese of Maryland east of the Susquehanna river and the Susquehanna Bay, to be erected into a new diocese.

On the call of committees, Rev. Dr. Thomas C. Pitkin, of Michigan, as chairman of the Committee on New Dioceses, made a report on the application of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the State of Maryland, being that part of the present diocese of Maryland east of the Susquehanna river and the Susquehanna Bay, to be erected into a new diocese.

On the call of committees, Rev. Dr. Thomas C. Pitkin, of Michigan, as chairman of the Committee on New Dioceses, made a report on the application of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the State of Maryland, being that part of the present diocese of Maryland east of the Susquehanna river and the Susquehanna Bay, to be erected into a new diocese.

On the call of committees, Rev. Dr. Thomas C. Pitkin, of Michigan, as chairman of the Committee on New Dioceses, made a report on the application of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the State of Maryland, being that part of the present diocese of Maryland east of the Susquehanna river and the Susquehanna Bay, to be erected into a new diocese.

On the call of committees, Rev. Dr. Thomas C. Pitkin, of Michigan, as chairman of the Committee on New Dioceses, made a report on the application of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the State of Maryland, being that part of the present diocese of Maryland east of the Susquehanna river and the Susquehanna Bay, to be erected into a new diocese.

NEW YORK CITY. THE COURTS. UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT—IN ADMIRALTY. Appeal in Admiralty—Decision.

Before Judge Blatchford. *Metropolis v. The Steamship Western*. The collision in this case occurred between the steamer Western Metropolis and the schooner Mary C. Town, about eight o'clock in the evening of the 10th of February, 1864, on the Potomac, a few miles above Blackstone's Island and lighthouse. The steamer was coming up the river and the schooner going down, the wind northerly, so that she had nearly free, moving at the rate of about eight knots an hour; the steamer high speed, intending soon to anchor, about five knots. The night was dark, the steamer having been seen four or five miles ahead by the hands on the schooner, and the schooner about a mile and a half or two miles—though there is some diversity of opinion among the hands on board—by the steamer. Each vessel, however, had a light on board, and followed out proper measures to avoid collision.

As it was the duty of the steamer to take care of the schooner and avoid her in passing, assuming that she kept her course, it was the duty of the schooner to show that she did not keep it, or some other fault which contributed to the collision. This she has not done, and the court is of opinion that the schooner is liable for the collision, and that the steamer is not liable.

The schooner is liable for the collision, and the steamer is not liable. The court is of opinion that the schooner is liable for the collision, and that the steamer is not liable.

The schooner is liable for the collision, and the steamer is not liable. The court is of opinion that the schooner is liable for the collision, and that the steamer is not liable.

The schooner is liable for the collision, and the steamer is not liable. The court is of opinion that the schooner is liable for the collision, and that the steamer is not liable.

The schooner is liable for the collision, and the steamer is not liable. The court is of opinion that the schooner is liable for the collision, and that the steamer is not liable.

The schooner is liable for the collision, and the steamer is not liable. The court is of opinion that the schooner is liable for the collision, and that the steamer is not liable.

The schooner is liable for the collision, and the steamer is not liable. The court is of opinion that the schooner is liable for the collision, and that the steamer is not liable.

The schooner is liable for the collision, and the steamer is not liable. The court is of opinion that the schooner is liable for the collision, and that the steamer is not liable.

The schooner is liable for the collision, and the steamer is not liable. The court is of opinion that the schooner is liable for the collision, and that the steamer is not liable.

The schooner is liable for the collision, and the steamer is not liable. The court is of opinion that the schooner is liable for the collision, and that the steamer is not liable.

The schooner is liable for the collision, and the steamer is not liable. The court is of opinion that the schooner is liable for the collision, and that the steamer is not liable.

The schooner is liable for the collision, and the steamer is not liable. The court is of opinion that the schooner is liable for the collision, and that the steamer is not liable.

The schooner is liable for the collision, and the steamer is not liable. The court is of opinion that the schooner is liable for the collision, and that the steamer is not liable.

The schooner is liable for the collision, and the steamer is not liable. The court is of opinion that the schooner is liable for the collision, and that the steamer is not liable.

The schooner is liable for the collision, and the steamer is not liable. The court is of opinion that the schooner is liable for the collision, and that the steamer is not liable.

The schooner is liable for the collision, and the steamer is not liable. The court is of opinion that the schooner is liable for the collision, and that the steamer is not liable.

The schooner is liable for the collision, and the steamer is not liable. The court is of opinion that the schooner is liable for the collision, and that the steamer is not liable.

The schooner is liable for the collision, and the steamer is not liable. The court is of opinion that the schooner is liable for the collision, and that the steamer is not liable.

The schooner is liable for the collision, and the steamer is not liable. The court is of opinion that the schooner is liable for the collision, and that the steamer is not liable.

The schooner is liable for the collision, and the steamer is not liable. The court is of opinion that the schooner is liable for the collision, and that the steamer is not liable.

The schooner is liable for the collision, and the steamer is not liable. The court is of opinion that the schooner is liable for the collision, and that the steamer is not liable.

CITY INTELLIGENCE. THE WEATHER YESTERDAY.—The following record will show the changes in the temperature for the past twenty-four hours, as indicated by the thermometer at Hudson's pharmacy, 218 Broadway, Herald Building: 5 A. M. 58 3 P. M. 67 6 A. M. 58 6 P. M. 67 7 A. M. 58 7 P. M. 67 8 A. M. 58 8 P. M. 67 Average temperature, 60 1/2 P. M. 65 1/2 Average temperature Sunday, 63 1/2.

THE HUDSON STREET FIRE—DISCHARGE OF THE PRISONER.—Jacob Baum, the youth arrested several days ago on suspicion of setting fire to the premises of his elder brother, No. 21 Hudson street, was yesterday discharged by Justice Bowler, after being no motive whatever shown for firing the place.

SUSPENSION OF PUBLIC OPINION ASKED.—Edward McGill, who was arrested and brought before Justice Connolly, of the Fourth District Police Court, on Saturday last, on the alleged charge of perjury, requests the suspension of public opinion, until both sides are fully heard, when he says he will show the whole affair as a conspiracy to injure him in his business and social standing.

TRAGEDY DECEASED.—A man named Peter Rush, late of No. 42 West Fourth street, died suddenly yesterday morning of one of the Coroners was notified to hold an inquest on the body.

ALFRED GALE, a man twenty-four years of age, was found dead in bed at his late residence, No. 222 Fifth avenue, on Saturday morning. Coroner Keenan was notified to hold an inquest.

THE SUFFOCATION CASE IN THE BOWERY.—In the case of the man, Charles McCarr, who was