

CHICKASAW JOCKEY CLUB.

Third Day of the Spring Meeting.

Large and Spirited Gathering of Southern Turfmen.

THE FAVORITES AGAIN BEATEN.

Flush the Winner of the Minor Stake and Enchre the Heats of Two Miles.

Washington Wins the Great Cooking Main.

MEMPHIS, May 1, 1872.

The weather was very fine to-day, which called out to the Fair Grounds a grand attendance. The club stand, as with the public stands, was nicely filled, while the field bore evidence of the great excitement the noble pastime of racing causes among the citizens of this beautiful place.

THE MINOR STAKE.

The first race was for the Minor Stake, a mile and a half, for three-year-olds that had not appeared in public prior to the closing of the stake—a sweepstake of \$25 each, play or pay; to which the Club added \$300. There were thirteen entries, but only five came to the post. These were J. Ballentine's black colt High Constable, by Blacklock, Alton; G. R. Drake's chestnut colt Tom Alkin, by John Alkin, dam J. Ballentine's; George Rice's chestnut filly by War Dance, dam Alexander; also chestnut colt Foley, by Rogers or Roseau, dam by The Colonel, and A. Turner's chestnut filly Flush, by Blawatha, dam Fanny Bug. Rice's entries were the favorites over the field, but they were lost in the race, Turner's filly Blush, winning in a gallop, High Constable being second and Tom Alkin third. The following are the details of the race:

THE RACE.

The start was good, but instantly Rice's War Dance filly and Foley went to the front side and side, in which manner they ran around the turn, Tom Alkin third, Flush fourth and High Constable fifth. They came rattling up the homestretch, and as they passed the stand the War Dance filly was in front, Tom Alkin second, Flush third, High Constable fourth, Foley fifth. Going around the upper turn Alkin and the War Dance filly ran side and side, Flush third, High Constable fourth and Foley fifth. Down the homestretch, the War Dance filly was in front, followed by Alkin, Foley and Flush. The race was very exciting. Tom Alkin led by a head at the half-mile pole, Rice's filly second, and when it seemed that these two had the race to themselves, Flush, with a rush, and in an instant was on the lead. At the three-quarter pole she had the race to herself, and then High Constable cut loose and she second place, followed by Foley, Tom Alkin third, and Rice's filly fourth. Time of the dash, 2:44 1/2.

MEMPHIS, TENN.—THIRD ANNUAL SPRING MEETING OF THE CHICKASAW JOCKEY CLUB.—THIRD DAY, May 1, 1872.—The Minor Stake.

The first race was for three-year-olds that had not appeared in public prior to the closing of the stake; a sweepstake of \$25 each, play or pay; to which the Club added \$300; closed with thirteen nominations: A. Turner entered colt F. Flush, by Blawatha, dam Fanny Bug, by Lexington, and by Rogers or Roseau, 1; G. H. Rice entered colt G. High Constable, by Blacklock, dam Alton, 2; E. T. Drake entered colt F. Tom Alkin, by John Alkin, dam J. Ballentine's, 3; G. R. Drake entered colt F. John Alkin, dam J. Ballentine's, 4; G. R. Drake entered colt F. John Alkin, dam J. Ballentine's, 5; Time, 2:44 1/2.

HEATS OF TWO MILES.

The second race was for two miles, for all ages, for the Jockey Club purse of \$500. Two only came to the post—J. Ballentine's bay filly Enchre, by Lexington, and by Rogers or Roseau, dam Harding's chestnut filly Enchre, by Brown Dick, dam Old Trick. The betting was even between these two miles, and Enchre won in a gallop, the start. But little attention was paid to the pool sales by gentlemen of the old school, who wagered their hundreds with a freedom that was rare in this country. The following are the details of the race:

THE RACE.

First Heat.—At the start the drum Anna Bush jumped away with the lead, and she kept it for a mile; then Enchre went up and passed her and galloped home a very easy winner of the heat, in 2:15. Second Heat.—There was no further interest in the race, and the people began to move for home. One hundred to two hundred dollars were bet on Enchre. They got the word head and head, and made the pace strong from the start, and continued it throughout the race. Enchre won in a gallop, and up and down the upper turn and down the backstretch. Anna made a desperate effort for the supremacy under the lower turn, and they entered the homestretch, but Enchre rattled up the stretch decided it, resulting in a victory for Enchre by half a length. Time of the heat, 2:15.

THE WINNER.

The winner, Enchre, is a chestnut filly, owned by General W. G. Harding; was foaled in 1868 on the Belle Meade stud farm, Nashville, Tenn., and is by Brown Dick, out of Old Trick, by Lexington, grand dam Little Trick, by Imported Fritan. As a two-year-old she won the first race, being beaten by a three-year-old she started four times—twice at the Nashville spring meeting and twice at the fall meeting. At the spring meeting she ran third in both heats for the Belle Meade purse, being beaten by Salina and Creole Dance. At the same meeting she was third in the first heat of two-mile heats, but was distanced in the second heat. On the next day of the Fall Meeting she ran second in a race of two-mile heats to Nellie Gray. On the last day of the same meeting she was fourth in the race for the Maxwell House stake, a race of mile heats. In the year-ends, on the first day of the present meeting she won the victory in the Handicap Sweepstakes, dash of a mile and a quarter, beating Keno, Lord Byron, Repeater and Etta Powell. Enchre accidentally had an eye knocked out a short time since, but this second year she met paired her racing qualities. She is a good one, and should General Harding send her North she could show some of the very best four-year-old cracks now there.

RECAPITULATION.

SAME DAY.—Jockey Club Purse of \$500, for two miles, for all ages. W. G. Harding entered colt F. Enchre, 4 years old, by Brown Dick, dam Old Trick, by Lexington. Enchre entered colt F. Enchre, 4 years old, by Brown Dick, dam Old Trick, by Lexington. Rice & McCormick entered colt F. Enchre, 4 years old, by Lexington, dam Repeater, 2; Time, 3:45—3:41 1/2.

THE GREAT COOKING MAIN.—WASHINGTON.

The great cooking main between the City of Washington and the State of Tennessee was continued and finished this morning in the presence of an immense and greatly interested assemblage. It will be remembered that yesterday the fighting was Washington 5, and Tennessee 4. The battles were fought in the former winning six of the eight matches, this morning it battled for Washington 10 to 8 for Tennessee. The birds shown on both sides were of the finest order, and the betting, as usual, was very liberal. The character seen in the South for many years. Messrs. Fella Brothers, of Washington, the winners, and their adherents, won large sums of money, as the betting, on each side, was very liberal, involving hundreds of dollars. The stakes alone net the handsome sum of \$3,000.

LOUISIANA STATE FAIR.

Third Day of the Spring Meeting.—The State Fair was exceedingly well attended yesterday, considering that it rained in the morning. Of the 7,000 present the majority were anxious to witness the trotting contest announced to take place, and during their progress the excitement and speculation were very great. The programme embraced two events, the mile heat, best three in five, in harness, the second mile heat, best three in five, in harness, and the third mile heat, best three in five, in harness, and the fourth mile heat, best three in five, in harness. The following are the details of the race:

THE TRIAL OF LIBBE GARRABANT.

Sixth and Seventh Days' Proceedings.

A Mass of Testimony in Regard to the Furniture and Effects of Burroughs, but Nothing Relative to the Circumstances of His Death.

THE NATIONAL GAME.

Match between the Olympics and the Boston Nine.

THE NATIONAL GAME.

WASHINGTON, D. C., May 1, 1872.

About five hundred people witnessed the game of baseball to-day, between the Olympics of this city and the Boston Nine. The Olympics could not successfully beat the Boston pitcher. The following is the score: Olympics, 10; Boston Nine, 0. The following is the score: Olympics, 10; Boston Nine, 0.

PHILADELPHIA, May 1, 1872.

The championship game between the Athletics and the Olympics was witnessed by 3,000 spectators. The play was fine, although several errors were made, both sides. The betting was very heavy. The Athletics made the finest display ever seen on the ball field. Mills, of the Athletics, acted as umpire. The following is the score: Athletics, 10; Olympics, 0.

NEW YORK CITY.

At six o'clock yesterday morning Officer Booz, of the Eleventh precinct, found the body of a man named Charles L. Street floating in the dock foot of Houston street, and sent it to the morgue. The coroner Schirmer was notified to hold an inquest. Deceased was about fifty years of age. Officer Kelly, of the Sixth precinct, arrested a man named John Sullivan, who, together with another party as yet unknown, was attempting to break into the liquor saloon No. 73 Baxter street last night. The man named Sullivan was taken before Judge Dowling and committed in default of \$2,000 bail.

THE BROOKLYN FINANCE DEPARTMENT Muddle.

Testimony of Ex-Mayor Kalbfleisch.—The Finance Committee of the Brooklyn Common Council, Messrs. Raiber, Nolan, Boggs and Ropes, met last evening in the Alderman Chambers, for the purpose of investigating the accounts of Ex-City Comptroller Evan M. Johnson, whose books, it is alleged, were found to contain a balance of \$50,000 behind in the accounts of sales of sewerage and assessment bonds in the years 1869-70. Ex-Mayor Martin Kalbfleisch was sworn, and testified that he upon a certain occasion in 1870 asked Mr. Johnson to sell him \$50,000 worth of assessment bonds, which were then selling at 97. Mr. Johnson refused to let him have the bonds, but subsequently sold them to the city for 97, and a half per cent. Mr. Kalbfleisch then assigns as a reason why Mr. Johnson wouldn't let him have the bonds, that he had anything further to communicate to the Council. Mr. Johnson replied that his communication to the Aldermen last year contained his views upon the subject. Then he closed the examination of witnesses and declared their intention of reporting the result of their investigations to the Board.

THE BOARD OF APPOINTMENT.

The first meeting of the above Board was held yesterday at the comptroller's office, Mayor Hall in the chair. Considerable private discussion took place as to the legal powers of the Board, and ultimately the Board was organized. The members of the Board are: Mayor Hall, Chairman; Mr. Johnson, Vice-Chairman; Mr. Johnson, Secretary; Mr. Johnson, Treasurer; Mr. Johnson, Auditor.

THE BOARD OF APPOINTMENT.

The first meeting of the above Board was held yesterday at the comptroller's office, Mayor Hall in the chair. Considerable private discussion took place as to the legal powers of the Board, and ultimately the Board was organized. The members of the Board are: Mayor Hall, Chairman; Mr. Johnson, Vice-Chairman; Mr. Johnson, Secretary; Mr. Johnson, Treasurer; Mr. Johnson, Auditor.

THE BOARD OF APPOINTMENT.

The first meeting of the above Board was held yesterday at the comptroller's office, Mayor Hall in the chair. Considerable private discussion took place as to the legal powers of the Board, and ultimately the Board was organized. The members of the Board are: Mayor Hall, Chairman; Mr. Johnson, Vice-Chairman; Mr. Johnson, Secretary; Mr. Johnson, Treasurer; Mr. Johnson, Auditor.

THE BOARD OF APPOINTMENT.

The first meeting of the above Board was held yesterday at the comptroller's office, Mayor Hall in the chair. Considerable private discussion took place as to the legal powers of the Board, and ultimately the Board was organized. The members of the Board are: Mayor Hall, Chairman; Mr. Johnson, Vice-Chairman; Mr. Johnson, Secretary; Mr. Johnson, Treasurer; Mr. Johnson, Auditor.

THE BOARD OF APPOINTMENT.

The first meeting of the above Board was held yesterday at the comptroller's office, Mayor Hall in the chair. Considerable private discussion took place as to the legal powers of the Board, and ultimately the Board was organized. The members of the Board are: Mayor Hall, Chairman; Mr. Johnson, Vice-Chairman; Mr. Johnson, Secretary; Mr. Johnson, Treasurer; Mr. Johnson, Auditor.

THE BOARD OF APPOINTMENT.

The first meeting of the above Board was held yesterday at the comptroller's office, Mayor Hall in the chair. Considerable private discussion took place as to the legal powers of the Board, and ultimately the Board was organized. The members of the Board are: Mayor Hall, Chairman; Mr. Johnson, Vice-Chairman; Mr. Johnson, Secretary; Mr. Johnson, Treasurer; Mr. Johnson, Auditor.

METHODIST GENERAL CONFERENCE.

First Day's Proceedings—Organization of the Conference—Amendments to the Restrictive Rules and Admission of the Lay Delegates—Address of the Laymen to the General Conference.

THE LAYMEN'S ADDRESS TO THE MINISTERS.

REARLY has the Academy of Music in Brooklyn been so largely filled as it was yesterday forenoon with delegates and visitors to the General Conference of the Methodist Episcopal Church in the United States. Not only is Methodism in this country represented, but wherever a conference of Methodists exists throughout the world they have a delegate here. The Academy was lighted up and the galleries and rear of the parquetry were occupied by visitors. Over the centre of the stage was suspended the motto, "Welcome," in vari-colored flowers, and at the back of the chairman a large star in diamonds also stood, while at either side of the stage were rustic vases, containing exotics and creepers. The Bishops, Morris, James, Ames, Simpson and Scott, occupied one table in the front centre of the stage, and on either side were tables for the official reporters of the Church papers and the secretaries of the Conference.

THE LAYMEN'S ADDRESS TO THE MINISTERS.

Shortly after nine o'clock A. M. Bishop Morris called the Conference to order, and Bishop Ames read Isaiah XXXV., after which Bishop James intimated the coronation hymn, which the vast congregation sang heartily. A prayer was then offered by Dr. George Peck. A second lesson, from Second Corinthians, XIII., was read by Bishop Simpson, and prayer of remarkable union was next offered up by Rev. F. Ackers, of Illinois. Bishop James then called the attention of the Conference to the Restrictive Rule, which he proposed to be amended, requiring a roll of delegates, lay and clerical, to be kept by the Secretary of the last General Conference, Rev. Dr. W. L. Harris, who at once read the Restrictive Rule, and proceeded to call the roll of delegates.

THE LAYMEN'S ADDRESS TO THE MINISTERS.

Dr. Harris was then unanimously elected Secretary of the Conference. Bishop James made reference to the plan adopted by the last General Conference for the election of delegates to the Annual Conference, and announced that the Bishop's report was ready whenever called for. The report having been called for by Bishop Simpson read simply the action and votes of the last Annual Conference, and then announced that he had called for the report of the lay delegates, and that he would call the roll of delegates.

THE LAYMEN'S ADDRESS TO THE MINISTERS.

Dr. James called for a division of the resolutions, so that the Conference might first vote on the Restrictive Rule, and then on the other resolutions. Dr. James called for a division of the resolutions, so that the Conference might first vote on the Restrictive Rule, and then on the other resolutions. Dr. James called for a division of the resolutions, so that the Conference might first vote on the Restrictive Rule, and then on the other resolutions.

THE LAYMEN'S ADDRESS TO THE MINISTERS.

Dr. James called for a division of the resolutions, so that the Conference might first vote on the Restrictive Rule, and then on the other resolutions. Dr. James called for a division of the resolutions, so that the Conference might first vote on the Restrictive Rule, and then on the other resolutions. Dr. James called for a division of the resolutions, so that the Conference might first vote on the Restrictive Rule, and then on the other resolutions.

THE LAYMEN'S ADDRESS TO THE MINISTERS.

Dr. James called for a division of the resolutions, so that the Conference might first vote on the Restrictive Rule, and then on the other resolutions. Dr. James called for a division of the resolutions, so that the Conference might first vote on the Restrictive Rule, and then on the other resolutions. Dr. James called for a division of the resolutions, so that the Conference might first vote on the Restrictive Rule, and then on the other resolutions.

THE LAYMEN'S ADDRESS TO THE MINISTERS.

Dr. James called for a division of the resolutions, so that the Conference might first vote on the Restrictive Rule, and then on the other resolutions. Dr. James called for a division of the resolutions, so that the Conference might first vote on the Restrictive Rule, and then on the other resolutions. Dr. James called for a division of the resolutions, so that the Conference might first vote on the Restrictive Rule, and then on the other resolutions.

THE LAYMEN'S ADDRESS TO THE MINISTERS.

Dr. James called for a division of the resolutions, so that the Conference might first vote on the Restrictive Rule, and then on the other resolutions. Dr. James called for a division of the resolutions, so that the Conference might first vote on the Restrictive Rule, and then on the other resolutions. Dr. James called for a division of the resolutions, so that the Conference might first vote on the Restrictive Rule, and then on the other resolutions.

THE LAYMEN'S ADDRESS TO THE MINISTERS.

Dr. James called for a division of the resolutions, so that the Conference might first vote on the Restrictive Rule, and then on the other resolutions. Dr. James called for a division of the resolutions, so that the Conference might first vote on the Restrictive Rule, and then on the other resolutions. Dr. James called for a division of the resolutions, so that the Conference might first vote on the Restrictive Rule, and then on the other resolutions.

THE LAYMEN'S ADDRESS TO THE MINISTERS.

Dr. James called for a division of the resolutions, so that the Conference might first vote on the Restrictive Rule, and then on the other resolutions. Dr. James called for a division of the resolutions, so that the Conference might first vote on the Restrictive Rule, and then on the other resolutions. Dr. James called for a division of the resolutions, so that the Conference might first vote on the Restrictive Rule, and then on the other resolutions.

THE LAYMEN'S ADDRESS TO THE MINISTERS.

Dr. James called for a division of the resolutions, so that the Conference might first vote on the Restrictive Rule, and then on the other resolutions. Dr. James called for a division of the resolutions, so that the Conference might first vote on the Restrictive Rule, and then on the other resolutions. Dr. James called for a division of the resolutions, so that the Conference might first vote on the Restrictive Rule, and then on the other resolutions.

THE COUNT AND HEIRESS.

The Amherst Scandalmongers Lose Their "Sensation."

The Showy "Count" Mickiewicz Returns from Europe Without Credentials, Claims the Hand of Miss Lester and Succeeds in His Suit.—A Strange Story—A Monetary Coll.

THE COUNT AND HEIRESS.

THE COUNT AND HEIRESS.

THE COUNT AND HEIRESS.

AMHERST, Mass., April 30, 1872.

The readers of the HERALD will remember the excitement a so-called Russian Count created in this little village something more than a year ago, when he came to marry a Miss Lester, the ward of Professor Tyler, of Amherst College, on which occasion he was summarily turned out of the house and commanded never to show his face in the place again. The town was "all agog" at that time, fairly seething with excitement, and it had been only partially allayed when it was rumored that a compromise had been effected. The Count was to leave the country for a year, have no correspondence with the object of his affection, and not to return until bearing credentials establishing his character and right to the title he assumed.

THE COUNT AND HEIRESS.

Who is he? Is the question of the greatest interest. Count Eugene Oscar Emil Constantine Mickiewicz, son of Count Ivan Ivanovich Mickiewicz, a Polish nobleman, and a Russian nobleman, is the man who has been so long absent from the town. He is a man of great wealth, and has been so long absent from the town. He is a man of great wealth, and has been so long absent from the town. He is a man of great wealth, and has been so long absent from the town.

THE COUNT AND HEIRESS.

Who is he? Is the question of the greatest interest. Count Eugene Oscar Emil Constantine Mickiewicz, son of Count Ivan Ivanovich Mickiewicz, a Polish nobleman, and a Russian nobleman, is the man who has been so long absent from the town. He is a man of great wealth, and has been so long absent from the town. He is a man of great wealth, and has been so long absent from the town.

THE COUNT AND HEIRESS.

Who is he? Is the question of the greatest interest. Count Eugene Oscar Emil Constantine Mickiewicz, son of Count Ivan Ivanovich Mickiewicz, a Polish nobleman, and a Russian nobleman, is the man who has been so long absent from the town. He is a man of great wealth, and has been so long absent from the town. He is a man of great wealth, and has been so long absent from the town.

THE COUNT AND HEIRESS.

Who is he? Is the question of the greatest interest. Count Eugene Oscar Emil Constantine Mickiewicz, son of Count Ivan Ivanovich Mickiewicz, a Polish nobleman, and a Russian nobleman, is the man who has been so long absent from the town. He is a man of great wealth, and has been so long absent from the town. He is a man of great wealth, and has been so long absent from the town.

THE COUNT AND HEIRESS.

Who is he? Is the question of the greatest interest. Count Eugene Oscar Emil Constantine Mickiewicz, son of Count Ivan Ivanovich Mickiewicz, a Polish nobleman, and a Russian nobleman, is the man who has been so long absent from the town. He is a man of great wealth, and has been so long absent from the town. He is a man of great wealth, and has been so long absent from the town.

THE COUNT AND HEIRESS.

Who is he? Is the question of the greatest interest. Count Eugene Oscar Emil Constantine Mickiewicz, son of Count Ivan Ivanovich Mickiewicz, a Polish nobleman, and a Russian nobleman, is the man who has been so long absent from the town. He is a man of great wealth, and has been so long absent from the town. He is a man of great wealth, and has been so long absent from the town.

THE COUNT AND HEIRESS.

Who is he? Is the question of the greatest interest. Count Eugene Oscar Emil Constantine Mickiewicz, son of Count Ivan Ivanovich Mickiewicz, a Polish nobleman, and a Russian nobleman, is the man who has been so long absent from the town. He is a man of great wealth, and has been so long absent from the town. He is a man of great wealth, and has been so long absent from the town.

THE COUNT AND HEIRESS.

Who is he? Is the question of the greatest interest. Count Eugene Oscar Emil Constantine Mickiewicz, son of Count Ivan Ivanovich Mickiewicz, a Polish nobleman, and a Russian nobleman, is the man who has been so long absent from the town. He is a man of great wealth, and has been so long absent from the town. He is a man of great wealth, and has been so long absent from the town.

THE COUNT AND HEIRESS.

Who is he? Is the question of the greatest interest. Count Eugene Oscar Emil Constantine Mickiewicz, son of Count Ivan Ivanovich Mickiewicz, a Polish nobleman, and a Russian nobleman, is the man who has been so long absent from the town. He is a man of great wealth, and has been so long absent from the town. He is a man of great wealth, and has been so long absent from the town.