

THE COURTS.

The Case of Mme. Luca in Supreme Court, Chambers.

The Walters Contempt Case Dismissed.

Trial of Julius Sarner on a Charge of Perjury in the General Sessions.

THE CALLENDER CASE.

Continuation of the Trial.

Testimony was given for the prosecution that Callender had borrowed \$123,000 from the Fourth National Bank of Philadelphia...

LUCCA'S DIVORCE SUIT.

Baron Von Rabden's Rights Judicially Recognized—An Amended Answer—Judge Donohue's Decision.

The effort made by Mme. Luca, or rather by her counsel in her behalf, to put an stop to any further litigation by her former husband, Baron Von Rabden, in connection with their cross divorce suits, has not been altogether successful.

OPINION OF JUDGE DONOHUE.

In this case the facts upon which both motions were made are as follows: On the 17th of March, 1873, the plaintiff commenced an action in this court for a divorce on the ground of desertion...

OPINION OF JUDGE DONOHUE.

In this case the facts upon which both motions were made are as follows: On the 17th of March, 1873, the plaintiff commenced an action in this court for a divorce on the ground of desertion...

OPINION OF JUDGE DONOHUE.

In this case the facts upon which both motions were made are as follows: On the 17th of March, 1873, the plaintiff commenced an action in this court for a divorce on the ground of desertion...

OPINION OF JUDGE DONOHUE.

In this case the facts upon which both motions were made are as follows: On the 17th of March, 1873, the plaintiff commenced an action in this court for a divorce on the ground of desertion...

OPINION OF JUDGE DONOHUE.

In this case the facts upon which both motions were made are as follows: On the 17th of March, 1873, the plaintiff commenced an action in this court for a divorce on the ground of desertion...

OPINION OF JUDGE DONOHUE.

In this case the facts upon which both motions were made are as follows: On the 17th of March, 1873, the plaintiff commenced an action in this court for a divorce on the ground of desertion...

OPINION OF JUDGE DONOHUE.

In this case the facts upon which both motions were made are as follows: On the 17th of March, 1873, the plaintiff commenced an action in this court for a divorce on the ground of desertion...

OPINION OF JUDGE DONOHUE.

In this case the facts upon which both motions were made are as follows: On the 17th of March, 1873, the plaintiff commenced an action in this court for a divorce on the ground of desertion...

OPINION OF JUDGE DONOHUE.

In this case the facts upon which both motions were made are as follows: On the 17th of March, 1873, the plaintiff commenced an action in this court for a divorce on the ground of desertion...

OPINION OF JUDGE DONOHUE.

In this case the facts upon which both motions were made are as follows: On the 17th of March, 1873, the plaintiff commenced an action in this court for a divorce on the ground of desertion...

OPINION OF JUDGE DONOHUE.

In this case the facts upon which both motions were made are as follows: On the 17th of March, 1873, the plaintiff commenced an action in this court for a divorce on the ground of desertion...

OPINION OF JUDGE DONOHUE.

In this case the facts upon which both motions were made are as follows: On the 17th of March, 1873, the plaintiff commenced an action in this court for a divorce on the ground of desertion...

OPINION OF JUDGE DONOHUE.

answer to be served in two days after entry of order. Judgment and levy to stand as security. O'Shaughnessy vs. O'Neill—Same. Sava vs. Harrison—Default opened on payment of \$10 trial fee and \$10 costs of motion, and case set down for trial in Part I, June 4, 1874, otherwise motion to be granted. Herz vs. Cohn—The action is on an account stated, and the plaintiff is required to furnish a copy of the account. The trial is set for June 4, 1874, and motion any other or further account denied, \$10 costs to the successful party to be added.

Continuation of the Trial. The rest of the charges Callender on a charge of receiving a bribe from the Ocean National Bank was continued to-day in the criminal branch of the United States circuit court, before Judge Benedict.

Testimony was given for the prosecution that Callender had borrowed \$123,000 from the Fourth National Bank of Philadelphia, on Portage Lake and other unmarketable securities, and did not repay the loan; that the bank failed shortly after the trial, and that the President of the bank made the loan good from his own resources.

LUCCA'S DIVORCE SUIT. Baron Von Rabden's Rights Judicially Recognized—An Amended Answer—Judge Donohue's Decision.

The effort made by Mme. Luca, or rather by her counsel in her behalf, to put an stop to any further litigation by her former husband, Baron Von Rabden, in connection with their cross divorce suits, has not been altogether successful.

OPINION OF JUDGE DONOHUE. In this case the facts upon which both motions were made are as follows: On the 17th of March, 1873, the plaintiff commenced an action in this court for a divorce on the ground of desertion...

OPINION OF JUDGE DONOHUE. In this case the facts upon which both motions were made are as follows: On the 17th of March, 1873, the plaintiff commenced an action in this court for a divorce on the ground of desertion...

OPINION OF JUDGE DONOHUE. In this case the facts upon which both motions were made are as follows: On the 17th of March, 1873, the plaintiff commenced an action in this court for a divorce on the ground of desertion...

OPINION OF JUDGE DONOHUE. In this case the facts upon which both motions were made are as follows: On the 17th of March, 1873, the plaintiff commenced an action in this court for a divorce on the ground of desertion...

OPINION OF JUDGE DONOHUE. In this case the facts upon which both motions were made are as follows: On the 17th of March, 1873, the plaintiff commenced an action in this court for a divorce on the ground of desertion...

OPINION OF JUDGE DONOHUE. In this case the facts upon which both motions were made are as follows: On the 17th of March, 1873, the plaintiff commenced an action in this court for a divorce on the ground of desertion...

OPINION OF JUDGE DONOHUE. In this case the facts upon which both motions were made are as follows: On the 17th of March, 1873, the plaintiff commenced an action in this court for a divorce on the ground of desertion...

OPINION OF JUDGE DONOHUE. In this case the facts upon which both motions were made are as follows: On the 17th of March, 1873, the plaintiff commenced an action in this court for a divorce on the ground of desertion...

OPINION OF JUDGE DONOHUE. In this case the facts upon which both motions were made are as follows: On the 17th of March, 1873, the plaintiff commenced an action in this court for a divorce on the ground of desertion...

OPINION OF JUDGE DONOHUE. In this case the facts upon which both motions were made are as follows: On the 17th of March, 1873, the plaintiff commenced an action in this court for a divorce on the ground of desertion...

answer to be served in two days after entry of order. Judgment and levy to stand as security. O'Shaughnessy vs. O'Neill—Same. Sava vs. Harrison—Default opened on payment of \$10 trial fee and \$10 costs of motion, and case set down for trial in Part I, June 4, 1874, otherwise motion to be granted.

Continuation of the Trial. The rest of the charges Callender on a charge of receiving a bribe from the Ocean National Bank was continued to-day in the criminal branch of the United States circuit court, before Judge Benedict.

Testimony was given for the prosecution that Callender had borrowed \$123,000 from the Fourth National Bank of Philadelphia, on Portage Lake and other unmarketable securities, and did not repay the loan; that the bank failed shortly after the trial, and that the President of the bank made the loan good from his own resources.

LUCCA'S DIVORCE SUIT. Baron Von Rabden's Rights Judicially Recognized—An Amended Answer—Judge Donohue's Decision.

The effort made by Mme. Luca, or rather by her counsel in her behalf, to put an stop to any further litigation by her former husband, Baron Von Rabden, in connection with their cross divorce suits, has not been altogether successful.

OPINION OF JUDGE DONOHUE. In this case the facts upon which both motions were made are as follows: On the 17th of March, 1873, the plaintiff commenced an action in this court for a divorce on the ground of desertion...

OPINION OF JUDGE DONOHUE. In this case the facts upon which both motions were made are as follows: On the 17th of March, 1873, the plaintiff commenced an action in this court for a divorce on the ground of desertion...

OPINION OF JUDGE DONOHUE. In this case the facts upon which both motions were made are as follows: On the 17th of March, 1873, the plaintiff commenced an action in this court for a divorce on the ground of desertion...

OPINION OF JUDGE DONOHUE. In this case the facts upon which both motions were made are as follows: On the 17th of March, 1873, the plaintiff commenced an action in this court for a divorce on the ground of desertion...

OPINION OF JUDGE DONOHUE. In this case the facts upon which both motions were made are as follows: On the 17th of March, 1873, the plaintiff commenced an action in this court for a divorce on the ground of desertion...

OPINION OF JUDGE DONOHUE. In this case the facts upon which both motions were made are as follows: On the 17th of March, 1873, the plaintiff commenced an action in this court for a divorce on the ground of desertion...

OPINION OF JUDGE DONOHUE. In this case the facts upon which both motions were made are as follows: On the 17th of March, 1873, the plaintiff commenced an action in this court for a divorce on the ground of desertion...

OPINION OF JUDGE DONOHUE. In this case the facts upon which both motions were made are as follows: On the 17th of March, 1873, the plaintiff commenced an action in this court for a divorce on the ground of desertion...

OPINION OF JUDGE DONOHUE. In this case the facts upon which both motions were made are as follows: On the 17th of March, 1873, the plaintiff commenced an action in this court for a divorce on the ground of desertion...

OPINION OF JUDGE DONOHUE. In this case the facts upon which both motions were made are as follows: On the 17th of March, 1873, the plaintiff commenced an action in this court for a divorce on the ground of desertion...

Brigham Young's New Revelation.

THE ORDER OF ENOCH.

The Prophet Proposes to Reduce the People to Serfdom.

The Country Saints Obey—The City Saints Rebel.

MORMONISM RUDELY SHAKEN.

THE ORDER OF ENOCH.

once there was never to be a resurrection of his kind, and that he was to be the only one to be resurrected. Brigham Young's new revelation, which he called the "Order of Enoch," was a revelation of the same kind, and it was to be the only one to be resurrected.

THE ORDER OF ENOCH. There are no half-way measures with Brigham; it must be all or nothing. Fellowship with him now must be at the price of a full surrender of everything else. The people must either follow him or be left to their own devices.

THE ORDER OF ENOCH. The prophet proposes to reduce the people to serfdom. He has a plan to reduce the people to serfdom, and he has a plan to reduce the people to serfdom.

THE ORDER OF ENOCH. The prophet proposes to reduce the people to serfdom. He has a plan to reduce the people to serfdom, and he has a plan to reduce the people to serfdom.

THE ORDER OF ENOCH. The prophet proposes to reduce the people to serfdom. He has a plan to reduce the people to serfdom, and he has a plan to reduce the people to serfdom.

THE ORDER OF ENOCH. The prophet proposes to reduce the people to serfdom. He has a plan to reduce the people to serfdom, and he has a plan to reduce the people to serfdom.

THE ORDER OF ENOCH. The prophet proposes to reduce the people to serfdom. He has a plan to reduce the people to serfdom, and he has a plan to reduce the people to serfdom.

THE ORDER OF ENOCH. The prophet proposes to reduce the people to serfdom. He has a plan to reduce the people to serfdom, and he has a plan to reduce the people to serfdom.

BRICKLEY DIVORCE SUIT.

More Evidence as to the Reputation of the Plaintiff—What a Detective Swore To.

The peculiar interest attached to this case, which is now drawing to a close, attracted yesterday a large assemblage to the Supreme Court room. Although nothing unusually startling was developed, the fact that the great question at issue was approaching a solution created a considerable amount of comment throughout the building.

GENERAL REPUTATION. James E. Lamper, in response to Mr. Anthon, testified as follows:—I reside in Memphis, and have lived there nearly all my life; I am acquainted with the defendants in this suit, and know the general reputation of the plaintiff and defendant living together was that they were living together in an illegal manner.

Cross-examined by Mr. Beach—Did you come to this city to deliver this testimony? A. No, sir. Q. Then you were caught here? A. I met the defendant a week ago for the first time. Q. Did he converse with you about this case? A. He spoke to me about it.

Q. Did you talk with anybody else about it? A. Yes, I believe I talked with Major Gray about it; Major Gray is a merchant in Memphis; I met him at the New York Hotel; I don't remember having spoken to any one else; I saw Mr. Brinkley, Sr., and Colonel Thompson at the New York Hotel; I came to New York in the interest of some Memphis merchants; among other parties whom I heard speaking about the case were Sidney Woodard, Samuel Wilson and Horace Pigot; after ten o'clock I had an interest in the case; Wilson is engaged in the manufacture of wagons and Pigot is a clerk.

Q. How long did you stay in New York? A. I was in New York for about a week. Q. How long did you stay in Memphis? A. I was in Memphis for about a week. Q. How long did you stay in Memphis? A. I was in Memphis for about a week.

Q. How long did you stay in Memphis? A. I was in Memphis for about a week. Q. How long did you stay in Memphis? A. I was in Memphis for about a week.

Q. How long did you stay in Memphis? A. I was in Memphis for about a week. Q. How long did you stay in Memphis? A. I was in Memphis for about a week.

Q. How long did you stay in Memphis? A. I was in Memphis for about a week. Q. How long did you stay in Memphis? A. I was in Memphis for about a week.

COMMISSION OF APPEALS.

ALBANY, N. Y., May 25, 1874.

COURT OF APPEALS.

ALBANY, N. Y., May 25, 1874.

COURT OF APPEALS.

ALBANY, N. Y., May 25, 1874.

COURT OF APPEALS.

ALBANY, N. Y., May 25, 1874.

COURT OF APPEALS.

ALBANY, N. Y., May 25, 1874.

COURT OF APPEALS.

ALBANY, N. Y., May 25, 1874.

COURT OF APPEALS.

ALBANY, N. Y., May 25, 1874.

COURT OF APPEALS.

ALBANY, N. Y., May 25, 1874.

COURT OF APPEALS.

ALBANY, N. Y., May 25, 1874.

COURT OF APPEALS.

ALBANY, N. Y., May 25, 1874.

COURT OF APPEALS.

ALBANY, N. Y., May 25, 1874.

COURT OF APPEALS.

ALBANY, N. Y., May 25, 1874.

COURT OF APPEALS.

ALBANY, N. Y., May 25, 1874.

COURT OF APPEALS.

ALBANY, N. Y., May 25, 1874.

COURT OF APPEALS.

ALBANY, N. Y., May 25, 1874.

COURT OF APPEALS.

ALBANY, N. Y., May 25, 1874.

COURT OF APPEALS.

ALBANY, N. Y., May 25, 1874.

THE ORDER OF ENOCH.

COMMISSION OF APPEALS.

ALBANY, N. Y., May 25, 1874.

COURT OF APPEALS.

ALBANY, N. Y., May 25, 1874.

COURT OF APPEALS.

ALBANY, N. Y., May 25, 1874.

COURT OF APPEALS.

ALBANY, N. Y., May 25, 1874.

COURT OF APPEALS.

</