THE COURTS.

The Unhappily Married and Their Burdens of Woes.

A CHILD-ABDUCTING DOMESTIC.

Repelling Fraudulent Claims Against the City.

Proceedings growing out of unhappy marriages seem at present to be the principal feature of the courts. Several cases came up yesterday on various motions and examinations before referees. Leading off the list and presenting rather novel features there is now pending before the referee, on the question of the amount of alimony and counsel fee to be allowed in favor of the wife, a divorce suit between Emma and David D. Thomas, which presents features of peculiar interest. The suit, although brought for a separation on the ground of cruel treatment alleged to have been practised by the defendant toward the plaintiff, mainly rests on the question whether any marriage ever took place between them. The defendant is sixty years old, and said to be a deacon in a Welsh church on the east side of the city. The story of the plaintiff is that on the evening of the 28th of May, 1874, in the presence of her daughter, the defendant brought in a person whom he represented to be a Welsh minister named Davidson, or some name like that, by whom the ceremony of marriage was performed. For two years they lived together, and after that, as alleged, he began to gradually move away his clothes and effects piece by piece, until finally all had disappeared, including himself. She sought to win him back, but without success. She then appealed to one of the deacons of the church in the hope of securing influence enough to induce her husband to give her a decent support, but he would not even hear the deacon, and her final resource was the present suit. On the question of the alleged marriage the defendant is directly in conflict with the plaintiff. He denies absolutely that any marriage was ever celebrated between him and plaintiff, and asserts that in this respect the plaintiff's story is made out of whole cloth. The statement of plaintiff as to the alleged ceremony of marriage is corroborated by her daughter, and it is insisted on the part of plaintiff that such a ceremony was performed, and if not valid it is only because the defendant may have imposed upon her by introducing as a clergyman some person who was not such. The case is still on.

There came up before Mr. William Sinclair, as referee, yesterday, the habeas corpus case in relation to the infant son of Stewart M. Freeman and his wife Hannah. Freeman and his wife are living separate, he having possession of the son, and the wife asks the custody of the child, on the ground that financially, morally and socially she is the fitter of the two to have its care and custody. The husband has a divorce suit pending against his wife, in which no answer has been put in, owing, as she alleges, to the fact that her husband told her there was no use in putting in an answer, as he had cohabited with her since the commencement of the action. As to the possession of the child the husband denied before the referee the charges made against him by his wife and makes answer that the child was adopted out by the mother's consent and that he does not know where it is. On close questioning by the referee he admitted he had control of the child and the referee thereupon gave him until Saturday next to produce it. The contest between the parties is unusually bitter. A few days ago they came to blows in the Court House lobby, on which occasion the wife exhausted the resources of her parasol on her husband's head, and their meeting is generally attended with belligerent demonstrations, only restrained by their respective counsel, A. H. Reaney and Albert Day. The wife's claim is that the "gray mare is the better horse" and that most of the trouble has grown out of the fact that she will no longer contribute to her husband's support.

Emelie M. Smith brought in August, 1876, a suit for divorce against her husband, Edward D. Smith. The matter was referred to James M. Fowler as referee, who reported in favor of a decree of divorce, which was granted, and payments ordered of $30 a week for the support of plaintiff and her children, which report was confirmed. Before the referee testimony was given showing that the defendant was receiving $40 a week salary; that he had considerable money in various savings banks and was the owner of some stock. Mr. Smith petitioned Judge Donohue to have the alimony reduced to $6 a week. He says in his petition that he has lost his situation; that the savings banks in which he had money have failed, and that besides his own expenses he has to pay for the support of his aged mother and her nurse. He also says that he has already paid his wife about $2,000; that she stills owns the piano and most of the household furniture, which he gave her; that he understands she recently loaned about $2,500, and besides she has a good income from renting furnished rooms in the house she occupies, No. 20 West Twenty-fifth street. Judge Donohue yesterday referred the matter to William H. Boyd.

Mrs. Sophia King claims to be the widow of the late John T. King, formerly a livery stable keeper in this city, who died on July 30 last. She says that she has received nothing from the estate of her husband since his death; that she only earns $5 a week, and is very anxious to have speedily tried a suit brought by her against William H. Terry to recover her dower interest in some real estate. The case was recently placed on the calendar of Supreme Court, Circuit, and she asks to have it placed among the preferred cases for trial during the coming month. Mr. Terry says in reply that he bought the real estate in question for $19,000; that the deed of conveyance made on April 20, 1874, was signed by John T. King, and Mary Matilda King as his wife; that the present plaintiff lives in Chicago, and that she has not lived with Mr. King for the last twenty years. He asked for a postponement of the case until October next, to enable him to get the history of plaintiff's wife. Judge Donohue ordered a reference yesterday to Philo T. Ruggles.

In the suit brought to annul the decree of divorce granted in the suit of Ruth Cooper against her husband, Isaac P. Cooper, the facts of which have been published, Mrs. Cooper claiming to be the widow of John F. Marshal, Judge Donohue yesterday granted a reference to ex-Judge Barbour. The order directs that the plaintiff file and show to Messrs. Robinson & Scribner a list of the names of those she wishes to examine in opposition to the order to show cause why the divorce should not be set aside on the ground of fraud, collusion and imposition on the Court. The referee is directed to proceed with the examination with all convenient speed, and if any imposition has been practised on the Court to report the guilty parties.

John McGown applied yesterday to Judge Donohue, through his counsel, Mr. James T. Quinn, for a writ of habeas corpus to obtain possession of his infant child, Ellen Josephine McGown. He says that the child is now living with her mother, Bridget McGown, and her grandmother, Ann McGuire; that they occupy small rooms in a rear tenement house in an unhealthy neighborhood; that they will not allow him to see the child; that they are both poor and unable to bring up the child properly, whereas he possesses abundant means to assure for it good care. He says, further, that his wife is partially insane; that she refuses to return to his house on account of delusion that there is some one lying in wait here to murder her and that the house is haunted by ghosts. The writ was granted and made returnable to-morrow.

Flora Halstead states that she was married to Edward Halstead on April 27, 1842; that they lived together until June 15, 1871, when he deserted her and their six children. She states further that during the five years he has been living with another woman she brings a suit for limited divorce, and that she have undisturbed custody of the children, also that a receiver be appointed for a legacy received by her husband from his father. A stay was ordered.

...DUCTION AND LARCENY.

On the 22d inst. Mrs. Adeline M. Elfers, after a visit to her residence, No. 18 Willett street, found on her return that her servant, Julia Long, a girl of sixteen, had fled the place, taking with her Mrs. Elfers' son Henry, a child two years and six months old, together with a quantity of jewelry valued at $145. Notice was immediately given to the police authorities, and on Thursday the girl was seen straying through Chrystie street. The faithful domestic was subsequently overhauled and confessed having stolen the jewelry and pawned it for $60. 357 Second avenue. It further appeared that she had committed a similar offence while employed by Michael Bolton, of No. 608 Water street, and had disappeared on being sent on an errand, taking with her a silver watch and chain and a brooch of value. The comely young criminal was arraigned in Court yesterday in Part 1 of the Court of General Sessions, and pleaded guilty to both indictments, the tears streaming down her face. Recorder Hackett sent her to the Penitentiary for the term of three years and six months.

VICTORY FOR THE CITY.

The suit brought by Charles A. Hawkins against the city, which has been on trial since Tuesday before Judge Van Hoesen, in Trial Term, Part 1, of the Court of Common Pleas, went to the jury yesterday. Mr. Hawkins sued to recover $3,356 40, with interest from June, 1870, on account of publishing, as he alleges, the official county canvass for May, 1870, in the New York *Official Railway News*. This case first came before the Courts in January, 1875, when, upon the point that the Board of County Canvassers had no power to authorize the publication in the *Official Railway News*, Judge Loew dismissed the complaint. The General Term of the Court affirmed the dismissal, but the Court of Appeals, by a divided bench, however, decided that the authority was good in law, and so the case came back for a new trial. The city defended upon the ground that the *Official Railway News* had little or no circulation; that what circulation it did have was of no value as a medium for advertising, and that the canvass in question had no genuine publication. The plaintiff's case, although he called a number of other witnesses, rested entirely upon statements made by himself and his son. The defence called but two witnesses, John Scott and S. M. Pettengill, who contradicted in all respects the sworn testimony of the plaintiff. Mr. Scott was an unwilling witness, and refused to testify at all until the Court threatened to have him attached and punished for contempt, and then only with great reluctance. The jury in retiring stood eleven to one for the defendants, and after an absence of a few minutes returned with a verdict for them. It is a great victory for the city, for the plaintiff has claims against the municipal treasury aggregating over $250,000. This verdict virtually disposes of them all. Messrs. Elliot Sandford and George Norris appeared for plaintiff, and Messrs. Charles P. Miller and Thomas P. Wickes for the city.

"STRAIGHTS" AND "FLUSHES."

There was tried, in the Marine Court, before Judge Goepp and a jury yesterday, the suit of Henry S. Sohn against Moritz Mayer, the plaintiff being represented by Mr. J. Waltheimer as counsel, and the defendant by Mr. L. Waliach. The suit was to recover $287, which plaintiff alleged he advanced in various sums and at different times to the defendant. The latter gentleman, who is now in Europe, had put in an answer that he had not borrowed the sum claimed from plaintiff, but had lost that amount to him in friendly games of "draw poker" in a saloon in Walker street, and on this point the plaintiff was cross-examined at some length. He denied the charge made on behalf of the defendant, asserted that his knowledge of "draw poker" was very limited, but so far as his limited knowledge extended endeavored to enlighten the Court, jurors and counsel on the subject of "straights," "flushes," "two pairs" and "three of a kind." He was rewarded by a judgment in his favor for the full amount claimed and interest.

SUMMARY OF LAW CASES.

There will be no courts to-day, owing to the general observance of Decoration Day.

The suit of Frederick H. Greer against John T. Hoffman was yesterday dismissed by Judge J. F. Daly in the Court of Common Pleas for want of prosecution.

Peter W. Van Est was formerly a collector of the Howe Sewing Machine Company. He is charged with retaining $2,500 of the company's money, and with it fled to Canada, where he is now said to be conducting a hotel. In a suit brought to recover the amount Judge Donohue yesterday granted an order permitting service of summons by publication.

Andrew Moore, the young newsboy who was convicted of manslaughter in the lowest degree, having in an unguarded moment and without any felonious intent stabbed and killed a companion named Frederick Lawlor in a quarrel which occurred between them about two years ago while pushing his youthful assailant away, was yesterday sentenced by Judge Gildersleeve to the nominal penalty of ten days in the City Prison, the jury having strongly commended the boy to the utmost clemency of the Court.

John Creagh, a messenger in the employ of D. J. Sadlier & Co., book publishers of Barclay street, in the month of October last was sent to the Grocers' Bank to draw $1,405. He received the money but did not return, and it was subsequently discovered that he had left the city in company with a lad named Joseph Callaghan. When the proceeds of the embezzlement had been disposed of the fugitives returned to the city and were arrested. They were arraigned for trial yesterday in Part 2 of the Court of General Sessions and acknowledged their guilt. Judge Gildersleeve sent them to the Penitentiary, each for the term of two years.

In the trial of a cause before Judge Goepp, in the Marine Court, yesterday, in which John Cully was plaintiff and William Harrington defendant, it appeared on the examination of the latter that his testimony was in conflict with his sworn answer to the extent of the word "not." On being asked to explain this discrepancy the witness stated that in swearing to his answer he had overlooked the fact that the word "not" was contained therein. The Judge, under the statute to that effect, deemed it his duty to send the papers to the District Attorney. At the same time the witness was placed in charge of the Court officer, Captain Wines, who accompanied him to the office of the District Attorney, and there he was admitted to bail in $500.

COURT CALENDARS—THIS DAY.

SUPREME COURT—CHAMBERS—Held by Judge Donohue.—Nos. 19, 55, 58, 70, 72, 81, 96, 107, 172, 176, 184, 191, 208, 210, 212, 224, 225, 227, 228, 231.

SUPREME COURT—GENERAL TERM.—Adjourned until July 30.

SUPREME COURT—SPECIAL TERM—Held by Judge Van Brunt.—Nos. 105, 292, 453, 56, 458, 459, 460, 462, 418, 472, 473, 475, 476, 480, 481, 487, 489, 491, 492, 498, 500, 502, 504, 506, 508, 512.

SUPREME COURT—CIRCUIT—Parts 1, 2 and 3.—Adjourned for the term.

SUPERIOR COURT—GENERAL TERM.—Adjourned until the fourth Monday of June.

SUPERIOR COURT—SPECIAL TERM—Held by Chief Justice Curtis.—No day calendar.

SUPERIOR COURT—TRIAL TERM—Parts 1, 2 and 3.—Adjourned for the term.

COMMON PLEAS—GENERAL TERM.—Adjourned for the term.

COMMON PLEAS—EQUITY TERM.—Adjourned for the term.

COMMON PLEAS—CHAMBERS—Held by Judge J. F. Daly.—No day calendar.

COMMON PLEAS—TRIAL TERM—Parts 1 and 2.—Adjourned for the term.

MARINE COURT—TRIAL TERM—Parts 1, 2 and 3.—Adjourned for the term.

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS—Part 1—Held by Recorder Hackett.—The People vs. Michael Sullivan and John Dugan, felonious assault and battery; Same vs. Michael B. Kiley, felonious assault and battery; Same vs. Peter Dwyer, larceny from the person; Same vs. John Loftus, assault and battery; Same vs. Joseph Wagner, felonious assault and battery. Part 2.—Adjourned for the term.

COURT OF APPEALS.

ALBANY, N. Y., May 29, 1878.

In the Court of Appeals, Wednesday, May 29, present Hon. Sanford E. Church, Chief Judge, and associates.

No. 90½. Samuels vs. The Evening Mail Association.—Argument resumed and concluded.

No. 232. James Jackson, respondent, vs. Charles Johnson, appellant.—Argued by John E. Parsons for appellant and Daniel P. Hays for respondent.

No. 241. Michael L. Doyle et al., respondents, vs. George H. Sharpe, appellant.—Argued by D. M. Porter for appellant and William H. Arnoux for respondent.

No. 243. William H. Litchhult, appellant, vs. Benjamin F. Treadwell et al., respondents.—Argued by L. K. Church for appellant and Counsey Shaffer for respondents. Case still on.

CALENDAR.

The following is the day calendar for Thursday, May 30:—Nos. 245, 252, 217, 230, 244, 228, 5, 252.

ALLEGED FRAUD.

Thomas A. R. Webster, the real estate agent of No. 3 Broad street, who was arrested by Officers Bonnett and Walters in Park place on Tuesday, and committed the same afternoon for examination by Judge Wheeler, in the Harlem Police Court, was yesterday held by His Honor in $1,000 bail for trial at the General Sessions. The complaint against Mr. Webster is preferred by John Braun and John Duggan, two laboring men, who allege under oath that on August 19, 1873, Webster transferred to them four lots of ground at Holbrook, L. I., for the sum of $400; that Webster had no right, title or interest in said property, and that the whole transaction was a conspiracy to defraud the complainants, who are ignorant in regard to such matters and unsuspectingly accepted the deeds, which are of no value whatever.

Mr. Webster answers that by a clerical error in the deeds a mistake in the location of the property was unintentionally perpetrated; that he has offered to correct the writings, but that the complainants refuse to listen. He further alleges that real estate in Holbrook has greatly depreciated since 1873, and that Brown and Duggan are trying to blackmail him, in the hope of getting rid of an unprofitable operation.

CHARGED WITH HOMICIDE.

Coroner Hartranft, of Riverhead, held an inquest on Tuesday upon the body of Van Buren Sawyer, eight years old, son of Mr. William Sawyer, living on the south side of the Peconic River, who was found drowned in the river in the afternoon of that day. The lad was missed on the previous evening, and the river was dragged for some time before the body was found. It appeared, according to the testimony of several witnesses at the inquest, that young Sawyer, while in a boat, had a quarrel with another boy, named Edward Stone, and one boy testified that he saw Stone push Sawyer overboard. The inquest was concluded at midnight, when the jury rendered a verdict to the effect that the boy came to his death by drowning and there was reason to believe that "he was pushed from a boat into the water by Edward Stone." Young Stone, who is ten years old, denies the allegation strenuously, but is contradictory in his own statements as well as contradicted by others. The criminal authorities have as yet taken no proceedings against him.

CRUELTY TO A CHILD.

Mr. Frederick Lundberg, an agent of the Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Children, yesterday caused the arrest of Theodore Sniffen and Mary, his wife, who live at No. 120 East 130th street, for alleged ill treatment of their three-year-old child. An examination of the child's back revealed many scars, hardly in keeping with moderate chastisement. The prisoners were arraigned yesterday before Judge Wheeler in the Harlem Police Court, who held both for examination.

ESCAPED FROM SING SING.

Joseph Sullivan, a convict confined in the State Prison at Sing Sing for the third term, effected his escape on Monday afternoon. He was sent up the last time for one year for attempt at burglary, and had only served about three months at the time of his escape. Thus far he has eluded the pursuit of the prison officials.

THE BAGOT WILL.

CONCLUDING SPEECH IN THE GREAT TRIAL AT DUBLIN.

[From the London Daily News, May 18.]

The interest in the Bagot will case can scarcely be said to have abated, although now that the evidence is closed and no further revelations are looked for, there is not the same anxiety to obtain a seat in court. Every seat, however, is occupied, and the court would be overcrowded were it not for the excellent arrangements by which admission is granted. The speech of Mr. Porter, Queen's Counsel, on behalf of the defendants, the trustees under the will which Mrs. Bagot disputes, and which is the closing speech of counsel in the case, was a very able performance. Mr. Porter had not concluded when the Court rose at half-past four o'clock, but will probably conclude by one o'clock to-morrow. Mr. Porter, in the course of his speech, contended that the will was the most fairly established one that was ever brought to court. It was seldom that so important a case was tried in Ireland, and although the amount of property was great there were still more important considerations at stake. Bernard Bagot, who was charged with coercion, would derive five thousand pounds whether Mrs. Bagot succeeded in breaking the will or not. It was a painful thing for any man to have to speak of a lady of position, good appearance and aristocratic manners, as counsel would have to speak of Mrs. Bagot in this case. He would prove out of her own mouth that she had over and over again deliberately perjured herself. It was a strange thing that immediately after she first met Mr. Bagot they found her receiving presents from him—a circumstance curious in any rank of life, but very strange in the upper classes.

A WILD FABRICATION.

That fact threw a curious light over the education of this lady. The whole story of the secret marriage was plainly a wild fabrication too ridiculous to be believed by any one. It was too much to ask them to believe that a baronet's daughter and a millionnaire could find no other place in which to be married than the third story of some back house in London, and that at a time when the bridegroom was paralyzed and unable to go up or down stairs. She asked them to believe that she blindly threw herself into the arms of this old man, and, although she had a doubt upon her mind as to the legality of the ceremony, yet she never asked a question. If such a story was told by a little ignorant Irish maiden from Connemara she would be ignominiously scouted from court. One bright idea of Neville Bagot's life was that the estate which he had purchased by the industry of a lifetime should descend to his own right heir, and who could tell how he had been cajoled and pressed until he became almost convinced that, although ignorant of it, he was in reality the father of this child? If he believed that he was the father of this child, would he not have jumped at the offer to marry this handsome daughter of a baronet, and to declare before the world that she was his lawful wife and that he would one day be the proud father of an heir to Aughrane?

Was Mrs. Bagot so entirely above suspicion and was the man and woman suspected her when neither her counsel nor herself had attempted to explain that document which she wrote and signed, agreeing to give up all past and tipsy acquaintances, former lovers and admirers and never again to receive letters or presents from them? They found her travelling the streets of a foreign city after midnight, and alone, coming from the company of a man whom no one knew she had been married to, and this with the knowledge of her mother, Lady Verner, and family. Such conduct was revolting. She had sinned and intrigued with Neville Bagot, and did her conduct lead him to believe that she was not sinning and intriguing with others? Counsel did not mean to say that the conduct of Mrs. Bagot and her sister with the two Lancer officers was not perfectly innocent, but would any man who was unable to leave his bed like to hear that his wife was dining until one in the morning with officers whom he had never seen? He (counsel) did not say that officers were worse than other men, but there was a common opinion that young unmarried officers were not very straitlaced on points of morality, and if they wanted a modern Joseph the Seventeenth Lancers was scarcely the place to go to look for him. It was, at all events, something to try human nature for the husband to see the wife leaning on the arm of an unknown handsome young military man and cutting her husband when she met him in the street. Would the commonest workman have tolerated it for a single moment? No, it would be asking too much of human nature.

Judge Warren intimated that he would commence his charge at the termination of Mr. Porter's speech to-morrow, and would conclude it early on Monday.

THE QUEEN AGAINST DILKE.

MAJOR FEATHERSTONE-DILKE'S ALLEGED LIBEL AGAINST HIS SISTER-IN-LAW TO BE TRIED BEFORE A SPECIAL JURY.

[From the London Standard, May 14.]

Queen's Bench Division—Before the Lord Chief Justice and Justices Mellor and Manisty.

The Solicitor General, with whom were Mr. Harrison, Q. C., and Mr. Hall, applied on behalf of Major Dilke for a writ of certiorari to remove into this court any indictment that might be found against him for libel, on the ground that it was a fit and proper case to be tried by a judge and a special jury. Major Dilke was the brother of a gentleman who committed suicide in August. During his brother's lifetime a correspondence took place between them, in which he had expressed a strong opinion with reference to the conduct of his brother's wife. Proceedings had commenced in the Divorce Court, in which the Earl of Aylesford sued for a divorce from the Countess, and which was shortly to come before the Court, when it was alleged that Mrs. Dilke, the prosecutrix in this case, had committed adultery with the Earl, and the Queen's Proctor had intervened. The letter on which the criminal proceedings were founded was dated the 27th of April, 1877, but from that date down to the time when the Queen's Proctor intervened no proceedings or suggestions appeared to have been made by the lady that the latter was a libel, but on April 20, 1878, a summons was applied for in respect of the letter. It appeared from the affidavits that the late Mr. Dilke was a gentleman who, during the year 1877, made three attempts on his life, unfortunately on the third occasion with success. Mrs. Dilke was very intimate with the Earl of Aylesford, at which the defendant was very angry. His brother had been in confinement, but on his being certified to be sane the defendant wished to prevent his return to Maxtowe Castle, and thereby condone any misconduct of which his wife might have been guilty, and it was in reference to a letter that he had written to his brother that these proceedings were taken.

The Lord Chief Justice—How did the lady become possessed of that letter?

The Solicitor General—She says that her husband showed it to her during his lifetime.

The Lord Chief Justice—Did he spontaneously show her the letter? What reason was there for waiting so long before taking proceedings upon it?

The Solicitor General—Yes. He showed it to her spontaneously, she says; and the delay was accounted for from no notice being taken of it until the intervention of the Queen's Proctor.

The Lord Chief Justice said he thought this was a case for a special jury.

The Solicitor General said there was a point he should mention. To show malice, and that this was a malicious libel, a letter was produced, directed to Mrs. Dilke, to the care of the Earl of Aylesford, enclosing a book with which their lordships were familiar in that Court, called the "Fruits of Philosophy." The lady swore that the envelope was in the defendant's writing. The defendant swore that it was not, and that he knew nothing about it, and the defendant's solicitor swore that not only was it not the defendant's writing, but that it bore no resemblance to it.

The Lord Chief Justice—Take a rule.

VALUATION OF IMPORTS.

The commission appointed by Secretary Sherman to investigate valuations of imports resumed its sessions yesterday. The proceedings were as secret as ever—in fact more so, as strict orders have been issued not to give even the names of the witnesses summoned for examination. This is done to prevent the reporters from interviewing the merchants as to the testimony given by them before the commission.

EXCHANGE FOR WOMEN'S WORK.

The New York Exchange for Women's Work, at No. 4 East Twentieth street, will be open to the public to-day. This is not an art society, nor is it exactly a ladies' depository, but it is rather a cross between the two, being a place where any article of women's work, except undergarments, may be sent for sale. The contributors, besides being women in straitened circumstances, will consist of the benevolently inclined, who will give the proceeds of their sales to charitable purposes. The members are among the best known ladies of this city, whose names are a guarantee of the reliability and success of the enterprise.

FAMISHING CHINESE.

Mr. A. A. Low acknowledges the receipt since May 17 of the following additional contributions for the relief of the sufferers by the famine in China:—

Mrs. H. Foster, Oil City	$50 00
Cash	5 00
Total	$55 00
Previously acknowledged	$1,974 99
Grand total to May 30	$2,029 99

THE POOR CHILDREN'S PICNICS.

NEW YORK, May 29, 1878.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE HERALD:—

Will you please let the poor boys of the lower wards know why the picnics for the poor children have been neglected the last two summers, and whether they are going to be revived this summer? Why has Mr. G. F. Williams neglected the poor boys' picnics the past few seasons?

AN OLD FOURTH WARDER.

REAL ESTATE.

The following sales took place on the Real Estate Exchange yesterday:—

BY R. V. HARNETT.

Public auction sale of the two houses, with lots, each 20x98.9, Nos. 521 and 523 West 26th st., n. s., 260 ft. w. of 10th av.; to Mrs. H. Bloxome	$6,000
Also, similar sale—C. R. Conger, referee—of the building, with lot 25x37.5, on Water st., s. w. corner of Whitehall st.; to plaintiff	21,000
Also, similar sale—Frederick Swartz, referee—of the house, with lot 18.10x100.5, No. 118 West 53d st., s. s., 281.7 ft. w. of 6th av.; to plaintiff	12,800
BY JOHN T. BOYD	
E. A. Jacob, referee—Foreclosure sale of the buildings, with lot 25x100, No. 110 Ridge st., e. s., 100 ft. n. of Stanton st.; to Hermann Ridder	6,170
BY BERNARD SMYTH.	
Bradbury C. Chetwood, referee—Foreclosure sale of the house, with lot 25.7x100.8, No. 168 East 87th st., s. s., 184.5 ft. w. of 4th av.; to plaintiff	7,000
Also a plot of land, 100x137.8, on Vermilyea av., n. w. corner of Academy st.; to plaintiff	2,300
BY SLEVIN AND M'ELROY.	
Thomas L. Feitner, referee—Foreclosure sale of six lots, each 25x100.11, on the n. s. of West 104th st., 100 ft. e. of 9th av.; to Isaac A. Lawrence	10,375
Total	$63,045

OFFICIAL REAL ESTATE TRANSFERS.

The following statement, showing the real estate transactions recorded in the Register's office May 29, 1878, is obtained from Augustus T. Docharty, deputy register:—

11th av., e. s., 74.3 ft. s. of 27th st., 24.8x100; also, 11th av., s. e. corner of 27th st., 74.3x100; also, 27th st., n. s., 100 ft. e. of 11th av., 197.6x100; Joseph Colwell and wife to Lewis Colwell	Nom.
Same property; Lewis Colwell and wife to Joseph Colwell	Nom.
2d av. (24th ward); William M. Corner and wife to Margaret Corner	$2,000
Albany Post road (24th ward); William G. Ackerman and wife to Mary A. Pigot	1,800
Essex st. (No. 108); Charles Bethelmer and wife to Henry W. Bamford and wife	13,500
2d av. (24th ward); John Coner and wife to William M. Corner	2,000
8th st., s. s., 130.5 w. of Macdougal st., 25x120; R. Emeline Clark to E. O. Hendricksen	Nom.
Clinton st., n. s., 125 ft. e. of Houston st., 25x100; Elizabeth A. Groot to William Mullen	Nom.
Chestnut st. (24th ward); Jos. P. Fitch and husband to Olonzo Fitch	3,000
33d st., s. s., 250 ft. e. of 2d av., 25x98.9; Jane Potter (executrix) to Frederick C. Potter	5,500
64th st., s. s., 120 ft. w. of 4th av., 30x100.5; Frederick W. Loew and wife to Francis Kenney	35,000
East Broadway, lot No. 36; Henry Jacobs to George H. Nauss	12,000
Governeur st., w. s., 130 ft. s. of Madison st., 17.1x64.3; same to same	6,000
7th st., s. s., 125 ft. e. of 2d av., 25x100; Nathan Lowenberg to Magdalena Koontze	13,500
87th st., n. s., 90 ft. e. of 3d av., 25x102.2; Charles R. Parfitt and wife to John J. Cummins	6,000
9th av., w. s., 66.2 ft. s. of 17th st., 21.3x100; Union Dime Savings Bank to P. Ballantine	13,500
Broad st. (No. 39), 30.8x117.2; Mary E. Good to Calvin E. Stevens	803
27th st., s. s., 65 ft. w. of 6th av., 35x24.8½; Edward J. King and wife to Harris Aronson	7,500
14th st., s. s., 321 ft. e. of av. A., 25x100.3; Esther Sullivan to Mary A. O'Brien	8,000
29th st., n. s., 180 ft. w. of 8th av., 22x98.9; Eliza Graham to Elizabeth Brewerton	10,500
120th st., n. s., 225 ft. w. of 1st av., 50x100.10; Eugene Pattier (referee) to Martha J. W. Wright	5,000
Av. B, e. s., 40.5 ft. n. of 6th st., 20.2x93; Augusta Stern and husband to Michael swan	18,000
6th av., w. s., 24.8 ft. n. of 20th st., 24.8x100; Sylvester Brush and wife to Harris Brown	Nom.
6th av., w. s., 24.8 ft. n. of 20th st., 48.1x100; also 27th st., s. s., 65 ft. w. of 6th av., 35x24.8; Harris Aronson and wife to Edward J. King	Nom.
6th av., same property; Harry Aronson and wife to Sylvester Brush	Nom.
Wooster st., w. s., 100 ft. n. of Grand st., 50x100; J. M. Fisk (referee) to Mary E. Peck	41,000
111th st., s. s., 140 ft. w. of 2d av., 20x100.11; E. S. Repallo (referee) to Alfred Dickinson (executor)	2,500
73d st., n. s., 100 ft. w. of 2d av., 25x102.2; same to George R. Goldschmidt	1,000
38th st., n. s., 500 ft. w. of 9th av., 25x98.9; Governeur E. Schafer (referee) to Margaret Horgan	6,000
Gouverneur st., between Cherry and Monroe, 25x79.9; F. W. Loew (referee) to Samuel Weil	2,000
New av., s. w. corner, 117th st., 100x100; P. Fowler (referee) to Thomas Morch	5,000
8th av., w. s., 77.2 ft. n. of 82d st., 75x100; also 83d st., s. s., 100 ft. w. of 8th av., 67.9x102.8½; C. C. Higgins (referee) to German Savings Bank	20,000
Houston st., s. w. corner of Mott st., 61.5½x51.6½; J. J. Thomson (referee) to Manhattan Life Insurance Company	20,000
120th st., n. s., 275 ft. e. of 2d av., 25x100.11; John N. Barbour (referee) to Grace I. Turnbull	475
RECORDED MORTGAGES.	
Amend, Bernard and wife, to Christian Ziegler, No. 19 3d st.; 5 years	$7,000
Callaghan, Mary A., to Catharine Bradley, n. s. of 26th st., e. of 3d av.; 1 year	3,000
Carr, Andrew and wife, to Henry P. Townsend, s. r. of 105th st., w. of 10th av.; 3 years	500
Clifford, Olivia M. and husband, to Charles W. Randall, Macomb's Dam road and Morris st.; 4 months	600
Colwell, Joseph and wife, to Lewis L. Delafield, trustee, &c., n. s. of 26th st., e. of 11th av.; 5 years	15,000
Cummins, John J. and wife, to Robert Center and others (trustees, &c.), n. s. of 87th st., e. of 3d av.; 3 years	3,500
Hard, Julia and husband, to Frederika Becker, w. s. of Lafayette av., s. of Gray st. (24th ward); 5 years	6,000
Kaliske, Theodore and others, to Joanna H. Grinnell, n. w. corner of 8th av. and 48th st.; 5 years	20,000
Kenney, Francis, to Lloyd Aspinwall and others (executors, &c.), s. s. of 64th st., w. of 4th av.; 5 years	20,000
Lane, William H., to William C. Weaver, No. 250 8th av.; installments	12,500
McGerry, Mary, to Rose Donohue, n. s. of 75th st., 113 ft. e. of 1st av.; 2 years	250
McNamara, Mary M. S. and another, to Nelson Smith, s. e. corner of Hudson and Broome sts.; 3 months	250
Mallery, George S. and others, to the United States Trust Company, No. 47 Lafayette place; 3 years	25,000
Power, Amelia R. and husband, to Amelia Masines, n. s. of 11th st., e. of 5th av.; 3 years	4,500
Reed, Sarah T. and husband, to Jonathan N. Tifft, executor &c., n. s. of 5th st., e. of 56th st.; 6 years	400
Schappert Phillippina and another, executors, &c., to Michael Dempsey, n. e. corner of 2d av. and 45th st.; 5 years	14,000
RECORDED ASSIGNMENTS OF MORTGAGES	
Burtis, Martha L. D., to Charles A. and Cooper H. Ritter, executors, &c.	$2,500
Russell, William F. (receiver of the Sixpenny Savings Bank), to Warren A. James	2,000
Sander, Adam, to Eva Muller	662
Snizoacher, William, and Lewis May, to the Emanuel Congregation	10,000
Union Dime Savings Institution to E. D. Lawrence	15,000
Varnum, James M., to Arthur W. Austin, executor, &c	7,500

EUROPEAN STEAMSHIPS.

WHITE STAR LINE.
United States and Royal Mail Steamers.
for Queenstown and Liverpool.
NOTICE.—The steamers of this line take the lane routes recommended by Lieutenant Maury, U. S. N., on both the outward and homeward passages.
BRITANNIC.....Saturday, June 1, 4 P. M.
CELTIC.....Thursday, June 6, 9:30 A. M.
GERMANIC.....Saturday, June 15, 4 P. M.
From White Star dock, pier 52 North River.
Rates—Saloon, $80 and $100, in gold. Return tickets on reasonable terms. Steerage, $28, currency.
Saloons, staterooms, smoking and bath rooms are placed amidships, where the noise and motion are least, affording a degree of comfort hitherto unattainable at sea.
For inspection of plans and other information apply at the company's office, 37 Broadway, New York.
R. J. CORTIS, Agent.

NORTH GERMAN LLOYD
Steamship Line, between New York, Southampton, London, Havre and Bremen.
MOSEL.....Sat., June 1 | DONAU.....Sat., June 15
ODER.....Sat., June 8 | WESER.....Sat., June 22
Rates of passage from New York to Southampton, London, Havre and Bremen:—
First cabin, $100, gold; second cabin, $60, gold; steerage, $30, currency. Return tickets at reduced rates. Prepaid steerage certificates, $30, currency.
For freight or passage apply to
OELRICHS & CO., 2 Bowling Green.

GUION LINE UNITED STATES MAIL STEAMERS
for Queenstown and Liverpool,
leaving pier No. 38 North River, foot of Kingst., every Tuesday.
WISCONSIN June 4, 8 A. M. | WYOMING..June 18, 8 A. M.
NEVADA...June 11, 2 P. M. | IDAHO.....June 25, 1 P. M.
Cabin passage, $55, $75 and $80, according to location. Smoking, bath rooms and piano on each steamer. Intermediate, $40; steerage, $26.
WILLIAMS & GUION, 29 Broadway.

ANCHOR LINE UNITED STATES MAIL STEAMERS.
NEW YORK AND GLASGOW.
ETHIOPIA..June 1, 3 P. M. | VICTORIA June 15, 3 P. M.
BOLIVIA..June 8, 11 A. M. | CIRCASSIA June 22, 10 AM
From pier 20 North River, New York.
NEW YORK TO SOUTHAMPTON AND LONDON.
ALSATIA....June 5, 9 A. M. | ELYSIA....June 12, 3 P. M.
From pier 40 North River, foot of Charles st.
Cabin, $65 to $80. Excursion Tickets at reduced rates. Second Cabin, $40. Steerage, $28.
HENDERSON BROS., Agents, 7 Bowling green.

CUNARD LINE.—B. AND N. A. R. M. S. S. CO.
NOTICE.
With a view to diminish the chances of collision the steamers of this line take a specific course for all seasons of the year.
On the outward passage from Queenstown to New York or Boston, crossing the meridian of 50 at 43 latitude, or nothing to the north of 43.
On the homeward passage, crossing the meridian of 50 at 42 latitude, or nothing north of 42.
NEW YORK, LIVERPOOL AND QUEENSTOWN.
SCYTHIA...Wed., June 5 | BOTHNIA....Wed., June 19
ABYSSINIA..Wed., June 12 | ALGERIA....Wed., June 26
Cabin passage and return tickets on favorable terms. Steerage tickets to and from all parts of Europe at very low rates. Freight and passage office, No. 4 Bowling Green.
CHARLES G. FRANCKLYN, Agent.

MOST DIRECT AND ECONOMICAL ROUTE TO Holland, Belgium, the Rhine, Switzerland, &c., &c., via Rotterdam. Cheapest route to Paris.
Steamer CALAND.....June 5
Steamer SCHIEDAM.....June 15
These beautiful steamers, carrying the United States mail to the Netherlands, are great favorites with the public.
Trips regular, rates low, comfort and living perfect.
For freight, For passage,
FUNCH, EDYE & CO., L. W. MORRIS,
27 South William st. 50 Broadway.
(Morris' European and American Express.)

HAMBURG-AMERICAN PACKET COMPANY'S LINE for Plymouth, Cherbourg and Hamburg.
HERDER, Thursday, May 30 | WIELAND Thursday, June 13
LESSING, Thursday, June 6 | POMMERANIA, June 20
Rates of passage to Plymouth, London, Cherbourg, Hamburg and all points in England: First cabin, $100, gold; second cabin, $60, gold