IRELAND'S DICTATOR.

Interview with Parnell, the Tribune of the People.

FREEING THE SOIL.

How the Country Can Be Saved from Emigration and Starvation.

LANDLORDS AND LANDJOBBERS.

DUBLIN, Oct. 28, 1879.

Having met the leader of the new Irish land agitation at one of the monster demonstrations, Mr. Parnell expressed to a correspondent of the NEW YORK HERALD his gratification at the interest which "the greatest paper published in the English language," as he called it, manifested in the condition of the Irish people at home and their social and political future. On an intimation from the HERALD's correspondent of a desire to learn from the lips of the member for Meath the motive, position and prospects of the anti-rent agitation, as it is termed by the English journals, Mr. Parnell at once invited your representative to visit him at Avondale or to meet him the following week in Dublin for the purpose of expounding for the benefit of the readers of the HERALD his views on the proposed land revolution in Ireland. In accordance with this arrangement the representative of the HERALD met Mr. Parnell at Avondale, county Wicklow. Mr. Parnell is a tall, thin young man, of fair complexion and fair beard, a pale, firm face and a mouth speaking volumes of determination. It is the fashion to say that he looks like an English gentleman. He looks rather like what he is—a union of the American and the Hibernian man of the world. He expressed himself glad to meet the representative of the HERALD again, and after a few complimentary preliminaries he entered on the subject of the HERALD representative's visit.

MR. PARNELL—I understand that the HERALD takes a strong interest in our proceedings over here, but I dare say in New York men are as ill-informed as to our objects as they seem to be in London.

HERALD REPRESENTATIVE—That may be, sir, but the HERALD takes a strong interest in every great question affecting any portion of the human race. It only wants correct information on this great question of yours in Ireland. What do you aim at?

MR. PARNELL—Just now we aim at keeping the people from starvation and emigration, at keeping them at home at all hazards. Ultimately we aim at establishing the actual tillers of the Irish soil on the land as its owners, not as tenants.

HERALD REPRESENTATIVE—That is to say, you mean to abolish landlordism outright, as speedily as possible. But you know the British government will not permit the landlords to be evicted. The landlords are its friends.

MR. PARNELL—That may be one reason for their removal, but it is not the reason at the bottom of this agitation. They are an incubus on agricultural development in Ireland, and form an unnatural institution, interfering with the natural progress of the country.

HERALD REPRESENTATIVE—They have landlords in England, and the same charges would apply against them; but the English people do not make it.

MR. PARNELL—That does not alter our right to make our own question, even if the circumstances under which landlordism exists were not different here.

ENGLISH AND IRISH TENURE.

HERALD REPRESENTATIVE—How do the circumstances differ?

MR. PARNELL—The majority of the people in Ireland live by the land. In England they do not, and therefore do not feel the pressure of landlordism so keenly, though they are beginning to feel it now. In England the landlord holds quite a different relation toward his tenants. He makes all improvements at his own cost and thereby has a direct interest in the profits. In Ireland the landlord is merely a rent receiver, who does nothing to earn anything from the land, but takes the result of the tenants' capital in the shape of rent. When he buys he buys the right to the occupier's earning, for without the occupier the land could earn nothing. The Irish occupier builds and makes his own improvements; he makes a business for the landlord, without any aid from the landlord. A number of tenants spend their capital and the labor of their lives, and while they continue merely to make out a decent existence in prosperous times they between them supply the landlord with a large income, which enables him to live luxuriously without any labor of any kind. When they leave the land they leave the landlord all the results of their capital and their labor, for which he has done nothing but accept and spend the rents they have paid him. They stand in altogether a different position from the English farmer, who takes a going concern, with all the fixtures necessary for the business, from the landlord—the land ready prepared, in fact, to yield its products—at a fixed remuneration. The cases are altogether different.

HERALD REPRESENTATIVE—Then you look upon the Irish landlord as an unnecessary institution?

MR. PARNELL—Not only unnecessary, but injurious. He is the creature of a bad system, and that system must be got rid of for the sake of the people. The landlord will go with the system and be all the better for the change. He will be a useful member of society and be able to add something to the wealth and happiness of the country. Landlordism does neither and cannot do either.

HERALD REPRESENTATIVE—Then I may take it that the ultimate end of the movement of which you, Mr. Parnell, are accepted as the leader in Ireland, is to get rid of the system of Irish landlordism?

MR. PARNELL—You may.

HERALD REPRESENTATIVE—You are denounced in the English journals on another count—for advising the people to pay no rents.

MR. PARNELL—I never advised the people to pay no rents. What I did and do advise them to do is not to pay the landlord more in bad times than they can really afford. The past three seasons have been bad; the profits of the good years have been partially eaten up by the landlord, while the tenant has been enabled to live somewhat better than before; and I say to the tenants, Ask your landlord to reduce his share of the result of the year's farming as you have been compelled to reduce yours. If he says no, then I advise the tenant to offer arbitration as to the amount that ought to be paid under the circumstances. If the landlord refuses that I simply advise the tenant to keep whatever money he may have in his pocket and to stick to the land at the same time. He has as great a right to live and to retain his means of living as the landlord has to his rents.

THE LANDLORDS' RIGHTS.

HERALD REPRESENTATIVE—But according to notions over this side of the world the landlord has a claim to the possession of the land when the tenant cannot pay.

MR. PARNELL—Legally that is so; but that is the sort of law we complain of. It makes the landlord the authority to grant the tenant a license to get a living and to claim from the tenant as the price of the license to live a yearly rent. If we admitted the legal theory it would mean that we admitted the right of the Irish landlords to evict from the land the greater portion of the farming population whenever hard times interfered with their ability to pay rent. That would be monstrous, and that is the first idea we resist in this agitation. The idea is immoral and tyrannical. No country could prosper in which it is put into practical operation. But it is the notion on which the relations between landlord and tenant have been conducted in this country. The serfs of the soil—for in most cases the farmers are nothing else—have been taught to accept it. They have known of no other theory. The first thing this agitation has done is to open their eyes and to give them courage, to make them feel that they have rights and that there is another side to the question, and a strong one in their favor. To give them a sense of independence and hope which may place them above fear and induce them to persevere with the movement for a change of owners in the land is another step which I hope we have accomplished. The tenants now know that there is a public opinion with them and that they are the authors of a serious problem for English statesmen and Irish landlordism. They know they have raised the greatest question of the hour in British politics.

HERALD REPRESENTATIVE—But suppose the landlords as a body maintain their legal rights and do evict their non-paying tenants?

MR. PARNELL—They will not attempt it, that is my belief; not, at all events, on any large scale. If they did, then the crisis of the question would come all the sooner, and we should be so much nearer the solution. There is one thing upon which the mind of the tenant class is fully made up. The people will not and must not be turned off the land, and they have the feeling of the towns with them. There will be no more crowbar brigade business in Ireland. You may depend on that, unless, indeed, the English government should openly undertake to make war on the people in the interest of the landlords, and that I deem to be too improbable an event to be seriously thought of.

HERALD REPRESENTATIVE—There are no public signs of any such action by the landlords. On the contrary, they are many of them offering reductions in their rents.

MR. PARNELL—That is a direct result of this agitation. Before it obtained public support the landlords did not reduce their rents. When I told the people to pay no rents without a reduction the landlords began to reduce, and not till then. But I wish to point out that, though the reduction of rent is a temporary alleviation of the evil, it is not a cure for the disease, one of the causes of which is landlordism itself. We must get rid of the cause of the system before the cure can come. What we want is to put the people in such a position as will save them from the periodic appearance of famine and enable them, from their own accumulated business, to bear the strain which may arise from the occasional depression in the business of farming. That we can only have by a peasant proprietary, by which we mean a transfer of the ownership of the land from the class called landlords to the tillers of the soil themselves. To accomplish this we want organization, for which we have the material in the people themselves, and we want money, which we in Ireland have not, but the Irish outside of Ireland will, I hope, help us in that direction.

THE APPEAL TO AMERICA.

HERALD REPRESENTATIVE—That, I may take it, is the object of the address to the "Irish race" which you and your colleagues have issued, and which was telegraphed to the HERALD.

MR. PARNELL—That is the object of the address—to obtain the financial aid of the Irish in America and elsewhere.

HERALD REPRESENTATIVE—But the Irish at home cannot expect the Irish abroad to provide the money to buy the whole of the land of Ireland. They could not subscribe the millions required, even if they would. The amount would be enormous.

MR. PARNELL—We don't ask them to subscribe for any such purpose. It would be a very wild scheme indeed if we proposed to effect the settlement of the land question in that way. I am told there is a notion abroad that we want by a general subscription by Irishmen all the world over to raise the capital ourselves to buy out the landlords. Now, we are not lunatics over here. We mean to have the land, but we have a practical method of getting possession of it.

HERALD REPRESENTATIVE—For what, then, do you appeal to the Irish-Americans?

MR. PARNELL—For money to organize and sustain the movement over here. We won't get rid of landlordism by merely asking for its removal. We must press the subject home and keep at it until it becomes the interest of the landlords themselves to compel the British government to take them out of their false position. The farmers in Ireland have not had such an opportunity, with the ability they now possess to utilize it. The English cannot say now—they never could fairly say it—that with a little over five millions the country is overpopulated. They said that in 1847, you know, but we are two and a half millions less now. If we were over-populated then it was because the conditions under which the land was held were such as to prevent the proper development of its products by the industry of the people so as to provide sufficient food for the population. If they say we are over-populated now, they only show that those conditions are more indefensible than ever, and are altogether unnatural. Belgium can support a much denser population than even England itself in a condition of more equally distributed comfort. France, portions of Austria, portions of Flanders and Prussia, can support their populations and accumulate capital, but they are enabled to do so because the soil is owned as well as worked by the occupiers—because they have got rid of landlordism, in fact. We say we can do the same thing in our degree under the same conditions, and we mean to obtain those conditions. That is our answer to the over-population fallacy. But we must bring our answer home tangibly—bring it home to every landlord in the country. For that purpose we must keep up the movement in every county, in every town, and in Parliament and out of it, in the press and on the platform. We must be prepared to resist the demand for exorbitant rents, generally and in individual cases, and we must resist the demand by sustaining the victim in refusing to pay them and still keeping him on his land. Conducted vigorously, we will thus teach the landlords that they are not the only class entitled to obtain a living out of the land; and, persevered in, the landlords must learn that they can only remain landlords by consenting to a very material reduction of their incomes—in fact, such a reduction as will make it to their interest to sell out to the tenants before matters become worse for them.

HERALD REPRESENTATIVE—It is generally complained that even with the rents at present charged men are always found to give increased rentals for vacant holdings. How can you put an end to that? Does it not show that the land has even a higher value than the landlord at present obtains for it?

MR. PARNELL—You are referring to another curse generated by the land system—the land jobbers. We must ostracize them socially, and we can do it by public opinion. We cannot blame the landlord for dealing with the land jobber, who is generally the small tradesman of the town, who has made money in business and has no other outlet for it, and looks upon the occupation of land as the only other calling to which he can take. It gives him interest for his money—the money which he has made in other business from which he retires. Or the jobber is a farmer starting with capital who requires additional and adjoining land to complete his business. But his very existence is a reversal of the natural order of things. The land should be the manufacturer of capital, not an abyss swallowing it up. What the retired tradesman or the capitalist is able to do with land by the money made in the town the occupier of the land should, under equitable conditions, be able to do by the profits of his labor. But, instead, the profits have gone in improving the land for the landlord, who sells the capital of the tenant sunk in the improved land to the land jobber, thus developing another evil of a radically evil system. The landlord robs the tenant and sells the plunder to the land jobber. Is not that an immoral transaction, condemning by the very fact landlordism in Ireland altogether? The land jobber will go when we get rid of the system which has produced him.

LANDLORDISM MUST GO.

HERALD REPRESENTATIVE—Well, having ripened the question for solution as far as making the condition of things existing longer unbearable, how do you propose to get rid of landlordism? Will you ask the State to evict the landlords?

MR. PARNELL—It practically comes to that, and they may be very glad to be evicted from a bad business. It may be done in various ways, not one of them smelling even faintly of injustice or revolution. Stein did it in Russia, and the French people required a revolution to accomplish it.

HERALD REPRESENTATIVE—You would, I suppose, ask the State to turn the landlords into *renters*, to give them the purchase value of their lands in consols, and then sell to the tenants, extending the instalments of the purchase money over a long period in the shape of rents to the State?

MR. PARNELL—No, I would not ask the State to do even that much. It is not necessary. A much simpler plan would be to pay the landlords two-thirds of the purchase money down and allow them to draw the other third by instalments from the estate over a number of years. Why should they receive all their capital at once?

HERALD REPRESENTATIVE—How would you get the State to produce the purchasing capital?

MR. PARNELL—The British government can borrow to any extent at four per cent. Taking the present purchase value as twenty-two years' rental, the tenants would pay for thirty-five years in thirty-five instalments at the rate of two-thirds to the government and paying one-third rental to the landlord, either through the government or directly. This would not only pay back the capital to the government, but pay also interest and the working expenses of the same. At the end of thirty-five years the tenant or his lineal representative would become owner in fee forever, and all paying of rent would cease. The landlord would lose nothing, he would obtain the full value of his land interest in the bond, and would be forever freed from dependence on the prosperity or the non-prosperity of his tenant for his income. He would have two-thirds of his capital at once realized to invest in any security he liked, and probably he would invest in government securities. It would take just a generation to make the occupiers of the Irish soil owners without recourse to revolution or without "robbing" the landlords or interfering with the rights of property, or doing any of those dreadful things the English papers and public men charge us with intending to do. The principle is already at work in the operation of the Bright clauses; but then the tenant has to provide purchase money, and to borrow. With the plan I suggest the land would revert to the occupier in process of time, and revert without any hardship to the landlord.

HERALD REPRESENTATIVE—Well, Mr. Parnell, the plan seems feasible, but the British government might not feel disposed to borrow capital to buy up all Ireland at once, and the landlords might object to selling.

MR PARNELL—The government could provide the whole capital if Parliament decreed it, and the landlords must obey the State. If Parliament decreed their disestablishment they would be reduced to Hobson's choice. At all events, Parliament could easily take the £5,000,000 surplus of the Irish Church, add £10,000,000 more to it, and start the process with £15,000,000 in hand. There are landlords enough in Ireland now who would gladly sell out. As long as the agitation continues the value of their rights will go down. It has gone down at least two years in purchase value during the last six months, and in time they would get no one to purchase.

HERALD REPRESENTATIVE—Would you take the purchase valuation at the present rentals?

MR. PARNELL—Decidedly not. In some cases the rentals may be fair enough; in many they are not. They are and have been rack rents. The proper way would be to assess the fair valuation of the lands through a duly constituted public tribunal, whose award should be final and the basis of the amount of purchase. But there are, as you see, matters of detail, and there are various modes in which the end can be accomplished. What we want immediately is to demonstrate that a settlement on some process involving the extinction of landlordism in Ireland is a necessity and the only sort of settlement which can be final, and that the people are not willing to suffer for ages while the result is being arrived at by gradual and easy stages. That is why we want help from America, to enable us to keep on demonstrating this—to protect any victims whom the landlords may attempt to sacrifice, and to give the people the courage which will come from the knowledge that they are not unfriended.

HERALD REPRESENTATIVE—There are many sections of Irishmen in America, Mr. Parnell, who think that the best way is to get rid of the British government altogether.

MR. PARNELL—Well, even they are agreed that the one great thing, if we are to have a self-governed Irish people, is to keep the people at home and to make them the owners of the Irish land. It is the first great step toward nationhood.

HERALD REPRESENTATIVE—It is said you intend going to the United States to address your countrymen and ask their aid to the movement and their active sympathy, too.

MR. PARNELL—Yes; I mean to leave this side for America about the middle of November. I will spend two months among my countrymen, addressing them at public meetings, and I hope to return in time for the meeting of Parliament.

EXPECTATIONS OF AID.

HERALD REPRESENTATIVE—Do you expect much aid from Irish Americans?

MR. PARNELL—I can't say what I expect. I dare say they will receive me in a friendly way, at all events, and I can only tell them what we are trying to do, and how and to what extent they can help us. If they believe that we are right in desiring to place the Irish people in the possession of the Irish soil then they will help us generously if they see that our method of doing it is practicable. There are computed to be ten millions of Irish in the States and Canada. If they could send us $100,000 a year for five years, it would not be half a dollar a family in all, and it would go a long way in enabling us to win.

HERALD REPRESENTATIVE—But your people here would, I suppose, do something financially.

MR. PARNELL—Of course; but they are poor and cannot do all. It will be a big struggle—the biggest Ireland has yet seen of its kind.

HERALD REPRESENTATIVE—But it has been said that the Irish would do better by helping to bring out your Irish farmers. We have lands for them and no landlords.

MR. PARNELL—True; but the Irish farmers don't want to go, and won't go if they can help it. One thing we have made up our minds to here, and that is, there will never be another exodus, never another famine. Besides, it is not the interest of the Irish in America or of the laboring classes to invite another half million or so of Irishmen to settle in the States, increasing the labor supply and reducing thereby the pay for labor. It is said you have thousands of idle men in your cities. Send them to till the untilled lands. It is plainly the interest of the Irish in America to enable the Irish at home to stay in Ireland. But they do not desire to look at the question of interest. Their desire is to see a prosperous Ireland ruled by Irishmen, and all the movements in which they have been enthusiastic have had that object.

HERALD REPRESENTATIVE—How would the settling of the occupier on the soil of Ireland as owner do away with American competition, which is admitted as one of the causes of the present crisis?

MR. PARNELL—By taking away the drain of landlordism the occupier could produce more cheaply, and sell to the home consumer at as low a rate as the American can. Of course Americans might say they don't want to lose our market or to aid in producing Irish competition against them in England, but America has other markets to sell her produce in, and the profits of our land system with peasant proprietary would go into our towns to create manufactures and commerce and means of exchange with the States. In any case, with the use of the wealth produced from her own soil, Ireland would, with her own capital, be enabled to make herself the great transit station for the commerce between America and Europe, and vice versa. Ultimately the States would gain more from us and we from the States than by another exodus.

HERALD REPRESENTATIVE—Rumors are afloat that troops are coming on here to meet disturbances and protect the landlords in clearing their estates. Will the people resist?

MR. PARNELL—The people will simply stay where they are. There is no idea of using force. If any disturbance comes the government or the landlords must begin it. I don't believe they will provoke bloodshed. If they do it will be their crime, not ours. The movement will go on peacefully, but determinedly and unfalteringly.

This closed the interview between the HERALD representative and the member for Meath, who expressed his gratification again and again at the interest which America takes in the condition of Ireland.

EXCITING SCENE IN THE BOWERY.

TWO HIGHWAY ROBBERS UNDER ARREST AROUSING THE SYMPATHIES OF A MOB.

There was a scene of wild excitement in the Bowery early yesterday morning, caused by the attempt of a desperate crowd to rescue two highway robbers from the custody of the police. James C. Board, of No. 526 Eighth avenue, an employé of the American District Telegraph Company, was sent late on Saturday night to transact some business on the east side of the city. Between twelve and one o'clock yesterday morning, when he was on his way home, in passing along the Bowery he incautiously displayed his watch at a time when two hard-featured men were eyeing him. They simultaneously attacked him. John Laydon, one of the highwaymen, caught hold of Board's watch chain, and after pulling the timepiece from his vest pocket snapped the chain. He then passed the watch to his confederate, Joseph Smith. The robbers then tried to escape, but Board pluckily caught hold of Laydon. Smith returned to aid his companion and both beat and kicked their victim. He in turn raised loud cries of "Police," "Help," and the like. A crowd assembled but took no part in the struggle. Smith, in his efforts to rescue Laydon, dropped the watch, which a citizen picked up. Detectives Wade and Hess, of the Tenth precinct, who were in civilian's dress, arrived while the desperadoes were still struggling with Board. They promptly took the highwaymen into custody. The hitherto passive crowd now became an indignant mob. It was full of sympathy for the ruffians. Cries of "Take them away from the perlice," "Don't let the cops lock them up," were uttered, and the prisoners, encouraged by these assurances that they had many sympathizers, resisted the detectives. At this the mob yelled with delight. Wade and Hess had no clubs and the prisoners struggled with all their energy. Step by step along the Bowery the detectives fought their way through the crowd. As the distance to the station house gradually decreased the cries of the crowd became louder and their opposition more marked. On the corner of Canal street and the Bowery a young man named John Wilson came prominently to the front, brandishing a large clasp knife and threatening to make use of it upon Wade and Hess. Another young man named John Lewis, by his violent language and actions, encouraged the crowd to venture nearer the detectives. The station house in Eldridge street was at length reached, but the crowd, nothing daunted, followed, hooting, cursing and threatening, to its very doors. Foremost in the mob were Wilson and Lewis. They stood at the steps of the station house inciting the excited men to make a last attempt to release the prisoners. Policeman Brady, who was on his post near by, came to the detectives' assistance. Before they were aware of his movements he had a strong hold on the coat collars of both Wilson and Lewis and in a very short time they were side by side, at the Sergeant's desk in the station house, with the highwaymen they had attempted to rescue. The clothing of Wade and Hess was torn. In the Essex Market Court a few hours later the four prisoners were arraigned, Smith and Laydon for highway robbery, Wilson for brandishing a knife, accompanied by threats and Lewis for inciting the crowd to do the detectives bodily injury. Smith said he was a waiter at No. 29 Bowery, and Laydon that he was a clerk living at No. 115 Mott street. Bail in their case was fixed at $1,000 each. Justice Duffy then said to Wilson and Lewis:—

"You belong to a numerous class of young men who on the slightest provocation join in an attack on the police. Now, I intend, as far as in my power lies, to break up this system. Wilson and Lewis, I put you under $500 bail each to keep the peace for six months, impose upon you a fine of $10, and in default of the bail named send you to the Island for a month."

FATALLY KICKED.

Joseph Murphy, a laborer, aged thirty, died yesterday, at St. Francis' Hospital, Jersey City, from the effects of injuries received in a quarrel with one Timothy Murphy on Tuesday last. Coroner Parslow ascertained that on Tuesday, Murphy and his namesake, Timothy Murphy, quarrelled in their boarding house on Van Buskirk avenue, Bayonne, and Joseph was thrown to the floor and kicked about the abdomen. Timothy Murphy fled and Joseph was removed to the hospital. After taking charge of the case Coroner Parslow placed officers on the track of Timothy Murphy.

FIRST ANNIVERSARY.

Imposing ceremonies were yesterday held at the church of Our Lady of Grace in Hoboken, in celebration of the first anniversary of the dedication. The church was thronged and a large number of the clergy from the different parts of the State participated in the ceremony. Mass was celebrated by Rev. Father De Concilio, of St. Michael's Church, Jersey City, assisted by deacon, Rev. F. Smith, of St. Bridget's, Jersey City; sub-deacon, Rev. Father John Baxter, of Hoboken; master of ceremonies, Rev. Father R. E. Burke, of Hoboken; deacons of honor, Rev. Father William McNulty, of Paterson, and Rev. Father O'Connor, of St. Michael's Monastery. Very Rev. M. A. Hunt, O. M. I., of London, delivered an eloquent and appropriate sermon from the Apocalypse, xxi., 3. Among the visiting clergymen were the Right Rev. M. A. Corrigan, Bishop Rev. G. H. Doane, Vicar General Rev. Mgr. Seton, Pro-A.; Father Walsh, of Jersey City; Father Connolly, of Brooklyn; Fathers Giraud and Farrelly, of this city; Father Murphy, of Hoboken, and Father Smit, of Englewood. In the evening Rev. Father Hunt delivered a sermon in St. Agnes' Church, this city.

UNTER DEN LINDEN.

Kaiser Wilhelm Is Welcomed Home to Berlin.

"OUR FRITZ" IN ITALY.

Excitement Anent the Alliance—Baron Bulow's Burial—Bismarck In Love.

BERLIN, Oct. 24, 1879.

The imperial flag is again floating over the palace, a sign of His Majesty's residence in the capital. The Emperor returned the day before yesterday after an absence of nearly four months. A distinguished company had assembled at the station to welcome the revered monarch who, on his way home, was enthusiastically greeted by the crowd thronging the streets through which he had to pass. He drove in an open carriage drawn by two magnificent Orloff trotters, a present of the Czar, and was only accompanied by his adjutant on duty. Kindly acknowledging and graciously returning the salutations of his loyal subjects, the Emperor, looking as hale and hearty as ever, appeared to be deeply touched by the warm reception. Knowing beforehand the time of his arrival I had taken my stand near the house from which Nobiling had made his murderous attack. Watching the imperial cortége at a distance of only a few feet I could not help wondering at the changes which have taken place in the Emperor's life within the comparatively short time of only about fifteen months. Severely, nay almost fatally injured by the assassin's ruthless shot, His Majesty, who, for the moment had lost consciousness and was bleeding profusely from his many wounds, afforded on that fatal Sunday a really pitiable spectacle, and but few of those, seeing him so prostrate, ever thought of the possibility of his recovery. These gloomy forebodings fortunately proved not only fallacious, but the octogenarian has, beyond the most sanguine expectations, regained his strength and is able to wield his sceptre as heretofore. Without the least regard to his advanced years, which fairly entitle him to rest from his long and arduous labors, the Emperor does not shrink from any trials or exertions inseparable from the discharge of his august function, as soon as the welfare of his country is in the least at stake. On his return to Berlin he at once resumed his sovereign duties to their full extent. Unmindful of the fatigues of the night journey, he, shortly after his arrival in the palace, where the light at the well known corner window of his study may now often again be seen burning until midnight, worked with his secretaries and granted audiences to the Ministers and other dignitaries.

THE HEIR TO THE THRONE.

The sense of duty, so conspicuous in the Emperor, is characteristic of the house of Hohenzollern, whose members are taught to cherish it from their earliest infancy, as is also discernible in the education of Prince Wilhelm, the Crown Prince's eldest son and future heir to the imperial throne, who has been carefully brought up, but without undue regard to his exalted birth and high calling. The Prince, now staying with his parents in Italy, is shortly to come back to continue his military exercises with the First regiment of the Prussian Guards, of which he is honorary captain. During his approaching residence at Potsdam the Emperor's eldest grandson will embrace the opportunity of simultaneously preparing himself for the administrative career and his examination as a "referendar," which he intends to pass in the same way as any ordinary candidate. The young Prince, who graduated at Bonn with high honors, is said to be a talented young man, who bids fair to equal his father and grandfather in the diligence and zeal with which he pursues the various studies incumbent upon him. The only drawback is his rather delicate constitution and his inability to move his left arm, paralyzed since his birth. Prince William will bring good news to the Emperor of his parents and sisters, who are quietly enjoying their sojourn at Pegli, so delightfully situated on the Gulf of Genoa. The Crown Prince and Princess, maintaining the strictest incognito, are much liked by the Italian as well as foreign residents—quite charmed with their unobtrusive manner. Probably owing to the still existing Church conflict and rupture between the Cabinet and the Vatican, their Imperial Highnesses have definitively abandoned their projected visit to Rome for a thorough study of its art treasures and antiquities. Although nothing positive is yet known of the time of their return home, we believe they will be back home before the commencement of the new year, particularly as the Empress, feeling rather lonely, much desires a shortening of their foreign tour. An anonymous contributor of sensational articles in the *Grenzboten*, a leading weekly periodical, has lately dared to impute political motives to the Crown Prince's journey to Italy, ascribed to be the consequence of his bitter altercations with the Emperor, whose Russophile policy he could not ignore. The insinuations we are assured are utterly groundless and the result of idle speculations. The Crown Prince is too dutiful a son not to submit to his father's inclinations and would certainly never go so far as to raise his ire in a more or less puerile political demonstration. The same high authority which empowers us to contradict the above allegation affirms that both father and son continue to be on the best of terms and are in constant communication by post and telegraph with each other.

THE ALLIANCE WITH AUSTRO-HUNGARY.

Public curiosity is at the highest pitch with regard to the reported defensive and offensive alliance between Germany and Austro-Hungary. Notwithstanding the reticence of government on the matter the rumors of a formal conclusion of such a treaty are the more gaining ground, as they seem to be based on numerous incidents, all tending to increase their veracity. The agreement as first worded by Prince Bismarck and Count Andrassy in a preliminary protocol, said to be dated the 5th of October, was afterward enlarged into a regular treaty, the ratification of which must have occurred about the middle of the present month, when Count Stalberg, the Vice President of the Prussian Ministry and a confidant of the Chancellor, proceeded to Baden-Baden to submit the draft to His Majesty, together with an explanatory memorandum, drawn up by the Cabinet in a course of sittings held under the presidency of Prince Bismarck. The latter, aware of the Emperor's leanings toward Russia, was cautious enough to avoid any allusion to the possibility of a war with her, although it is an open secret that the Austro-German alliance has no other aim than that of effectually protecting the general peace of Europe against any Muscovite aggressions. The Chancellor, in apprising the Emperor of the result of his negotiations at Vienna, completely identified himself with the treaty, and even declared that he would stand or fall with its stipulations. When Kaiser Wilhelm hesitated to sign the important document, which almost opens a new era in the history of his country, Prince Bismarck had his resignation ready at hand in case of a decided opposition on the part of His Majesty. But while the Chancellor was thus busily engaged in a momentous crisis, which might well have absorbed his whole attention, he yet found time to think of days long gone by and the love of his youth. In his rambles among the Tyrolese Mountains Prince Bismarck, then simply Herr von Bismarck, was obliged to have recourse at Mitterhad, a small village near Meran, to the hospitality of a well-to-do farmer, called Holgner, with whose only daughter Josefa, a beautiful girl, he fell madly in love. His affections were reciprocated by the fair one, out the father, a bigoted Catholic, interposed, sternly forbidding all further intercourse with the heretic Protestant, who, after vain endeavors to appease the animosity of the parent, finally left Mitterhad and reluctantly withdrew his suit. The poor girl, whose love and sympathies were so rudely tried, had eventually to marry a Catholic farmer at Salzburg, where she died after giving birth to a daughter. In one of his visits to Gastein Prince Bismarck, whose name had in the meantime become famous all over the world, retraced his steps to the scene of his early romance, and on hearing of the sad fate of his former love transferred his interest to her child. The latter, now grown and regarded as the very picture of her mother, recently celebrated her wedding, on which festive day she was gratified by a kind letter and costly presents from her generous friend and patron. Contrary to expectations, Prince Bismarck has not attended the funeral of Baron Bülow, the late Secretary of State, his intimate friend and trusted coadjutor for the last seven years. The Chancellor was resolved on coming to Berlin for the sad occasion, when his physician objected on account of his patient's impaired health. Intelligence received directly from Varzin describes the Chancellor as again suffering intensely from his neuralgic complaint, which at times renders him quite melancholy and unfit for work. He is so nervous that the least unexpected noise makes him tremble. His wife is not a little frightened at her husband's physical condition and seriously urges him to retire from office, or at least abstain from any exciting work.

BARON BULOW'S FUNERAL.

Being unable to take part in the obsequies of the defunct statesman, the Prince had requested Count Herbert Bismarck, his eldest son, and Count Rantzau, his son-in-law, who had both served under the late Baron Bülow, to represent him at the mournful ceremonies. The funeral of the late Secretary of State was very imposing. His Majesty, Prince Charles, all the Cabinet Ministers, the counsellors at the Foreign Office and the members of the diplomatic corps being present to pay their last respects to the lamented Baron. His death, caused by congestion of the brain, occurred at Frankfort-on-the-Main, the scene of his first diplomatic triumphs, where he represented the King of Denmark at the German Diet, of which His Majesty as Duke of Schleswig-Holstein was then a member. On the annexation of the duchies by Prussia, Baron Bülow accepted office in his native Mecklenburg, from which Prince Bismarck, who had made his personal acquaintance at Frankfort during their joint accreditation there, called him to his side for the direction of the German Foreign Office. He quite fulfilled Prince Bismarck's high anticipations, who had never cause to regret the Baron's appointment as Secretary of State. Of all the high officials called upon to assist the leading statesman at the helm of government Baron Bülow continued the longest at his side, and was only removed by the inexorable destiny of mankind. He had thoroughly accustomed himself to the many peculiarities, not to say idiosyncrasies of his chief, and amid all the petty annoyances which had so tried the patience and friendship of others never lost sight of the greatness of the eminent man under whom it it was his pride and pleasure to serve. No slight difficulty will be encountered in the selection of a suitable successor to the late Secretary of State. Among the candidates mentioned in connection with the vacant office, Baron Schlözer, the German Minister at Washington, an able diplomatist, enjoying the Chancellor's fullest confidence, seems to have the greatest chance, if the triumvirate of Baron Radowitz and Lothar Bucher should not be indefinitely prolonged. There is much political work to be done. Accounts from the Samoan Islands are far from satisfactory, and grave doubts prevail as to whether the recent treaty between the German Empire and the native kings will have the desired effect of protecting the lives and interests of its countrymen residing on the island. Captain Zembich, of the Imperial Navy, appointed as Consul General in the South Sea Archipelago, will probably have now arrived at Upolu and entered on his new functions. Despite all official contradictions a belief is still entertained that the German Empire, sorely in need of a naval station in those distant parts, will soon incorporate the Samoan Islands. Prince Bismarck is certainly no friend of an acquisition of colonial possessions, but to judge from various indications I apprehend his power will not be sufficiently strong to resist the current of public opinion in this matter. There is also the report on the Astrakhan plague to be considered. When the pest was raging last winter this government sent a medical commission, consisting of competent authorities, to the infected districts, for a study of the character of the disease and the best means for its suppression. Professor Hirsch, a celebrated epidemiologist, submitted a lengthened report on the matter to government, which has, however, abstained from its publication, owing to the severe strictures on the negligence and want of all precautions on the part of the Russian Cabinet.

The well merited success at the local Art and Industrial Exhibition at Berlin this summer has given rise to a project for holding an International Exhibition in the German capital. The Crown Prince is greatly enamored with the idea, and has lent his powerful support to its realization. Since the fiasco of German industry at the Philadelphia Exhibition a marked improvement is manifest in its productions, which again aspire to artistic perfection and well merit the favor bestowed upon them.

A COMING DRAMATIST.

A man with an unshaven face and a meditative air climbed to the bar of the Jefferson Market Police Court, yesterday, and said to His Honor:—

"Look a here, I've got something on my mind that's botherin' me a heap, and I dropped in thinkin' as how you might help me out of it."

"All right. Let's hear it," said the Court, good-naturedly.

"Supposin' I was a feller that cracked banks and broke open tills and knocked people on the head for their money—a regular out and out bad un, you know."

The Court in surprise surveyed the speaker from head to foot.

"Oh, you needn't size me up," the man interrupted; "a white linen tie may do service where a hemp bandage oughter be, and a striped ulster may kiver a multitood o' sins. There's no tellin'. So supposin' I was a bad egg of extra quality and a-puttin' up a job to clean out a rich ole file's house when I sees his darter and falls in love with her away up to the top of my hatband. Well, the gal kinder takes to me, and I'm a figgerin' on reformin' and winnin' her and gettin' the ole man's tin. D'you see?"

"Quite clearly," said His Honor. "A very laudable purpose."

"You bet," the narrator complacently observed, "But there's a hitch to my happiness," he went on, "for I finds out that the gal hankers after a lumtum young feller with a four-in-hand which his father has give him when he went inter bankruptcy for the fifth time, and the treacherous female is a-goin' to give me the go-by. See?"

The Court nodded affirmatively.

"I ain't done nothin' agin the lor so far, eh?"

"No, there is nothing that you have mentioned that could be legally construed into a misdemeanor."

The man seemed quite relieved. "But I'm bound to get square with that team," he continued; "so one dark night I brings my pals around and we climbs up the fire escape and lays at the parlor winder when the young feller comes a lovin', and when he and the gal is together I bounces inter the room and gives it to him right and left with a ten-inch bowie knife, and we takes all the jools we kin get a hold of, and we set the house afire, and I carries off the gal."

"What do you mean?" cried His Honor, quite horror-stricken. "Be careful what you say, or——"

"Oh, don't worry," interrupted the other, naively. "I don't play a card without lookin' at my hand. What I want to know is what all them offences is worth. Let's figger. How much for takin' the jools?"

"Five years at the least."

The man pondered for a moment.

"And the gal?" he then asked.

"A still heavier penalty."

More profound reflection.

"And a-settin' the house on fire?"

"Imprisonment for life."

"And a-knifin' the young feller?"

"Hanging, you fool. Are you a lunatic to come here to tell me this? Do you seriously mean to denounce yourself?"

"Denounce! Ha, ha! Why, Jedge, you're clean off the track. I was only supposin' all that. You see, I've bin on the road with a theatrical combination, and I'm a thinkin' o' startin' in business meself and gettin' up a dramar. See! But I'm a werry partiklar man, and though the piece is a goin' to be a red-hot, blue-fire and blazes sensation, I want lots o' facts to bolster it up. I must have the lor in the play dead straight, so I jest dropped in to have a talk with you about it. Now, supposin'——"

But His Honor interrupted.

"My nerves can stand a pretty stiff strain," he said, "but if you put yourself into any more such violent, hypothetical positions I'm afraid the illusion will be so perfect that I'll have to lock you up anyway. So get out while you've time."

The meditative man looked at him reproachfully, but grabbed his hat and acted on the suggestion all the same.

MATTHEW HALE SMITH.

AN ANECDOTE OF THE LATE DIVINE—HIS ENCOUNTER WITH CLARA MORRIS.

Combativeness was a marked characteristic of the late Matthew Hale Smith, as is illustrated by the following reminiscence. Under the *nom de plume* of "Burleigh" the late divine wrote to the Boston *Journal* the following story about Clara Morris:—

One of our pastors sat in his parlor one afternoon. A lady was introduced in elegant attire and of a prepossessing presence. She came to talk, she said, on the subject of religion. A friend was anxious about her soul. She had difficulties, and the visitor stated what they were. And what shall I say to all this? The pastor gave her such advice as he thought was suited to the case, and said:—"To what church do you belong?" "I belong to no church," was the response. "I am an actress. I do not suppose that any church would receive me." "Why, then, do you take so much interest in your friend?" "she is anxious about her soul and I am desirous of leading her to Jesus." Many people are certain that an actress can have no religious emotions, but here is a popular woman, herself excluded from grace, in her judgment, seeking help for the lost one, and in her own words, "Anxious to lead her to Jesus." The minister is an eminent pastor. The actress was Clara Morris.

When the story appeared in print Clara Morris sent this despatch to the paper that published it:—

It is an unmitigated lie. I never had such an interview.
CLARA MORRIS.

Mr. Smith on being questioned in reference to the source of his information responded to the inquiry in vigorous English:—

I have