

The Sun
AND NEW YORK PRESS

FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 8, 1916.

Entered at the Post Office at New York as Second Class Mail Matter.

Subscriptions by Mail, Postpaid.

DAILY, Per Month	90
DAILY, Per Year	1,000
SUNDAY, Per Month	30
SUNDAY, Per Year	300
DAILY AND SUNDAY, Per Month	120
DAILY AND SUNDAY, Per Year	1,200

Published daily, including Sundays, by the Sun Printing and Publishing Association at 150 Nassau street, in the Borough of Manhattan, New York. President, Frank A. Munsey, 150 Nassau street; Vice-President, Edwin W. Hartman, 150 Nassau street; Secretary, R. H. Thiburnton, 150 Nassau street; Treasurer, Wm. T. Dewart, 150 Nassau street.

London office, 40-41 Fleet street.
Paris office, 8, Rue de la Michodiere, off Rue du Quatre Septembre.
Washington office, Hubbs Building, Broadway office, Room 202, Eagle Building, 202 Washington street.

If our friends who favor us with news articles and illustrations for publication will send them to the editorial department, they will in all cases send stamps for postage.

now probably by less than one-half of one per cent. of the population of the United States. And when there comes a call for more revenue to meet the bills of the unprecedented extravagance which the party has inflicted upon the nation, in place of that return to economy which it promised the country if Wilson were elected, what does the Democratic party do? Instead of adding a single name to the slender list of income tax payers, instead of extending the tax even to another half of one per cent. of the exempt who are really able to pay their proportionate share, the Democratic majority under the leadership of Woodrow Wilson simply doubles the tax in the case of the five hundred thousand citizens more or less who are already paying!

What has the word "Democracy" come to signify in the time of President Woodrow Wilson and the Sixty-fourth Congress?

A Paper That Did Not Mind.

Of what good would be the conferences, the arbitrations, the compromises that are being chattered about by those who seem to believe that when a strike is made or threatened some board or body should solemnly execute a document which pleases the strikers and lets his employer? Is it not a fact that only a few weeks ago the men of the great car lines agreed that "all disputes that may arise between the company and its employees in the future shall be submitted to arbitration?" And is it not also a fact that yesterday, without a word of arbitration, these same men struck?

Why talk of compromise when agreements entered into are discarded? Better for all that no such tests be put on paper. Who shall hold the strikers to that which they signed? If the peace-makers of the recently "settled" strike believe that there is any way, let us see it. It would be better to prove that the power exists than to invite a new conference on the subject of abrogating contracts—a matter for the courts if there was fraud or duress.

This new stroke for power, delivered by the local organization leaders, is doubtless the result of the success of their national prototypes in gaining dominion over the weaklings in Washington last week. The temptation to duplicate that triumph must indeed be great. Luckily for New York, it is not in the hollow of Wilson's hand and he cannot lay it on the altar of his candidacy; nor is any official of this State or city likely with the public temper as it is, to ape his performance.

As long as the railways, protected from violence, show that they are able to man the cars, it will be well for this town to have more of backbone and less of arbitration until a way is found to hold both sides to an agreement.

The Match Race.

Although it may be said that the match at Belmont Park to-morrow will have a direct bearing on the improvement of the thoroughbred, for BELMONT'S STROMBOLI and MILLER'S ROEMER are geldings, the race promises to afford a scene reminiscent of the older days when turf duels were more frequent than they have been in the last two decades. In a match race there are usually but two, never more than three horses; accident and luck are practically eliminated, the public's eye has it easy and the public interest is narrowed. The trainers are able to map their campaigns accurately, and the jockeys are free from interference.

Match races are forbidden by the Jockey Club, yet the technicality of the rule against them is easily overridden whenever owners come to a proper fever of enthusiasm. By offering a sweepstake, with the starting fee so high that inferior horses are kept out, the match race appears in fact. In to-morrow's race the owners hazard \$1,000 apiece and the racing association adds \$2,000. This is a small prize when it is compared with the purse for which KEENE'S DOMINO and CHOKKA'S DOBBINS raced down the Futurity course in their memorable dead heat in 1893. The owners of the two-year-olds put up \$10,000 a side and the track added \$2,500. That same September saw the match between MARCUS DALY'S TAMMANY and FRED WALBURN'S LAMPLIGHT, a victory for the copper king's entry. A year later came the match, perhaps the most celebrated in American turf history, when Domino encountered Henry of Navarre at a mile and a furlong on the Gravesend track, TABAL and DOGGY in the saddles and a fortune bet on the result. Again a divided purse was all that Domino could earn, although it must be said that he met, in McCLELLAN'S chestnut, a foe worthier than Dobbin.

If there is any other match that will be remembered as long as that dead heat, it is the struggle between Salvator and Tenny at Sheepshead Bay in 1890. The owners, JAMES B. HAGEN and DAVID PULFRIE, put up \$5,000 each and the added money was \$5,000. That was a race! ISAAC MURPHY on Salvator, the merciless GARRISON on Tenny, a mile and a quarter to go and every furlong run as if it were the last; and HAGEN'S horse was only a fouse ahead of Tenny at the finish. For years afterward young ladies in boarding schools recited a familiar piece of verse about the race, but the ancients who chew straws in the paddock will tell you that Tenny, when the race was over, "looked like a slaughterhouse."

It is seventeen years since a match race has excited real interest in this quarter of the turf world, and then

the outcome was disappointing, for in her contest with Admiration May Hempstead did not live up to the reputation she had won in the West. In that match, however, the contenders were runners that had never met, whereas the recent victory of Stromboli over Roemer has given racegoers a line, though perhaps a false line, on the merits of the two. Whether either of them is a great cup horse is another matter. The railbird, contemplating a race at a mile and a half, may sigh for the days of Hamburg and Ben Holladay, Imp and Fitz Herbert. But he must take what the turf gods give.

Rumania's Reverse.

In their first important battle with the enemy the Rumanians have sustained a defeat that may have the most serious consequences for them. Turrakun on the Danube, which is ten miles from the Bulgarian frontier and thirty-five miles southeast of Bucharest, the Rumanian capital, has been captured by an army of Germans and Bulgarians and 20,000 Rumanians with 100 guns have fallen into their hands. This is the official report from Berlin, and there seems to be no reason to doubt it, as Petrograd admits the evacuation of Turrakun after a three days battle.

The objective of the Teutonic allies is obviously Bucharest, and they will not let the grass grow under their feet. They must have secretly massed a great force on the Bulgar-Rumanian border south of the Rumanian capital in anticipation of the decision by KING FERDINAND to enter the war. This was made possible by a very good system of railways in northern Bulgaria. The strategic advantage is now with Germany and her ally. It will matter little that the Rumanians have seized Hermannstadt and Kronstadt in southern Transylvania if the Germans are menacing Bucharest and are but thirty odd miles away.

KING FERDINAND must now bend all his energies to saving his capital by a concentration of troops, if it can be accomplished. The loss of it would take the heart out of his people. Unfortunately for him, two of his armies are at points far distant from the capital, at Orsova on the Serbian frontier and in southern Transylvania. The battle of Bucharest may now be said to have begun.

Who Kept Us Out of What War?

It was excessively disturbing to find in the September number of Colonel GEORGE HARVEY'S *North American Review* a conversation between one WILLIAM P. WORTHINGTON of Boston and his nephew Mr. WORTHINGTON presented to us as a Democrat, "a retired merchant of a philosophical mind, firm in his convictions and patriotic to the core of his being." He is represented as saying of Woodrow Wilson:

"The President has not kept us out of war. He put us into war when, before Congress had given him the power, he employed the armed forces of the United States to enforce a personal decree in a neighboring State, and he repeated the operation when he ordered the troops to invade the same country. Battles have been fought and blood has been shed to a far greater extent than is commonly supposed by the regular soldiers of both countries. We are at war now and will continue to be so as long as an American soldier remains on foreign soil against the protest of that duly recognized foreign Government."

Mr. WORTHINGTON'S nephew makes it clear, however, that he is not thinking of Mexico but of Europe. Whereupon Mr. WORTHINGTON declares:

"Mr. Wilson invited trouble when he handed the Austrian Ambassador his passports, but the Austrian Emperor refused to take up the gage of battle. It was he, not the President, who averted hostilities. . . . And it is not the President who has kept us out of war with Germany. It is the Kaiser. Mr. Wilson's notes have been truculent enough to make for war over and over again, but Germany has averted conflict at each psychological moment. . . ."

We had thought that VENUSTIANO CARRANZA had kept this country out of war with Mexico and that Woodrow Wilson had kept this country out of all other wars, but in view of Mr. WORTHINGTON'S assertion we are rapidly becoming confused. The next time any one tells us that somebody or other has kept us out of war we shall ask for minute specifications of when, how and where.

How much, up to date, has it cost in American lives to get VILLA?

COUNT VON REVENTLOW seems to have been gagged, not muzzled. He had done the Teutonic cause incalculable harm by the violence and venom of his attacks upon its enemies and he had alienated neutrals by not sparing them when they defended their rights. An overwhelming rabid fellow with an insatiable sense of his own importance.

It adds to the gaudy of the nation when United States Senators swear to get each other dead or alive.

The garage at Shadow Lawn is as large as the White House.—Washington despatch.

But it never has heard so much as back flink or so many blowouts.

HENRY FOND need not bring a libel suit to persuade the public that he is not an anarchist. No man with a hundred dollars, much less a hundred millions, is an anarchist.

The Mexican commission at New London refuse in the most dignified manner to borrow trouble.

Reporters who use the subway were careful to say, in yesterday's news,

that the service was normal as distinguished from good.

Where is the froth of yestereen's arbitration?

Health Department permits public gatherings.—Headline.

The kindly restoration of a constitutional right.

Vera Cruz is not referred to in these days at New London, Conn.

A suffrage orator at Atlantic City on Wednesday evening informed her hearers that President Wilson is "an open minded man." GARRISON will agree to this.

As always, the public gets it in the neck.

ANOTHER SHADOW OF SHADOW LAWN.

Supplementary Report of Saturday's Notification Ceremony.

To THE EDITOR OF THE SUN—Sir: In your characteristic editorial article of September 3 on the "Shadows at Shadow Lawn" you omitted mention of the most accusing Shadow of all that came to challenge the sincerity of the President. It was the Shadow of that Baltimore promise that runs:

"The constitutional rights of American citizens should protect them on our borders and go with them throughout the world; and every American citizen residing or having property in any foreign country is entitled to and must be given the full protection of the laws of that country, both for himself and for his property."

This Shadow heard, with tolerance and respect for the Chief Magistrate, though with a doubling smile, the remarks on our performance under that platform plank in the European war:

"Property rights can be vindicated by claims of the citizen. . . . The nation that violates these essential rights must expect to be checked and called to account by direct challenge and resistance."

The Shadow knew that public pressure and feeling would be aroused by this statement of regard for Europe. But when the President spoke of our performance as regards the same constitutional rights and their treatment in Mexico the Shadow emitted a lumpy yell that the gathering thought came from the belly of the beast. James, and was the Shadow to be blundered for? President said four minutes after his statement of the necessity of calling nations to account for causing "irreparable loss" to American life:

"The authorities of Mexico were powerless to prevent it. Many serious wrongs against the property, many irreparable wrongs against the lives of our citizens have been committed within the territory of Mexico herself. . . . We could not act directly in that matter ourselves."

The modest Shadow was moved to chastise wrath. His finger grazed the President's shoulder as he shouted:

"Your promises made to keep! I am Baltimore Promise of Protection to American Lives. So you admit you could not or dared not to 'keep' me in a neighboring country your own meddling had thrown into anarchy anarchy fed the American people's desire to make that you allowed to go to Mexico for the only purpose for which they are made, to take human life! You admit the loss of American lives there was irreparable. You admit that such losses must be met by direct challenge and resistance. Four minutes later you say 'We could not act directly in that matter.' You know, sir, that while Mexicans were murdering Americans the stream of soft noed bullets continued to flow to Mexico. That your weak protest against inhumanities were nullified by the continuance of that stream, for why should you care to perform the paramount duty of government as described by your notes were anything but empty when you allowed the means for killing more of your fellow citizens to continue to come? 'Powerless to prevent?' The factions of Mexican anarchy were powerless to prevent American murder and rape when their hands and soldiers were supplied with American bullets by you."

"My friends, these other Shadows, were brought to their feet by your denial of duplicity. It is your admission of failure to 'keep' me that brings me forward. You could not act directly in that matter. You did act directly, and with the force of the United States, to put out one Mexican ruler after another, for another who had defied you and beaten you in war. Your promise was to protect American lives and property. Why, then, could you not have used your punitive instruments to keep your sacred promise to perform the paramount duty of government as described by your notes? You would the Vera Cruz classification anti-Huerta war out of the war classification. Why could you not, why should you not, have used your forces in the same manner to keep your promise and do your duty? It didn't mean war when Pershing was sent to chase the Mexicans from Mexico. It did not mean war when Rangers violated Mexican soil to recover the corpse of Vergara, American citizen. Your own Democratic Senator, Smith, had shown you at the beginning of your term that such use of American force in Mexico had been made many times, and war did not result. 'We could not act directly in that matter ourselves!' That is what brings me to your feet. You could have so acted. And you admit your promise has not been kept in my case and excuse it by a statement that you could not act directly in that matter."

American lives were taken exclusively by American bullets allowed to overflow a friendly country by an American President. That American President could have stopped the killing by stopping the bullets. But he wished to serve mankind, American mankind, excluded, Pershing and Mexico, by his own admission at the funeral of his own son killed at Vera Cruz that he did not know how to serve mankind.

He promised to protect American lives. He created a situation whereby American lives were lost, and he fed that situation with the means of taking more American lives. His own policy has been to kill off as many Americans as humanity is possible, we must call it a success.

HONDERLANDEL.
WASHINGTON, D. C., September 5.

Another Shadow of Shadow Lawn.

To THE EDITOR OF THE SUN—Sir: In your characteristic editorial article of September 3 on the "Shadows at Shadow Lawn" you omitted mention of the most accusing Shadow of all that came to challenge the sincerity of the President. It was the Shadow of that Baltimore promise that runs:

"The constitutional rights of American citizens should protect them on our borders and go with them throughout the world; and every American citizen residing or having property in any foreign country is entitled to and must be given the full protection of the laws of that country, both for himself and for his property."

This Shadow heard, with tolerance and respect for the Chief Magistrate, though with a doubling smile, the remarks on our performance under that platform plank in the European war:

"Property rights can be vindicated by claims of the citizen. . . . The nation that violates these essential rights must expect to be checked and called to account by direct challenge and resistance."

The Shadow knew that public pressure and feeling would be aroused by this statement of regard for Europe. But when the President spoke of our performance as regards the same constitutional rights and their treatment in Mexico the Shadow emitted a lumpy yell that the gathering thought came from the belly of the beast. James, and was the Shadow to be blundered for? President said four minutes after his statement of the necessity of calling nations to account for causing "irreparable loss" to American life:

"The authorities of Mexico were powerless to prevent it. Many serious wrongs against the property, many irreparable wrongs against the lives of our citizens have been committed within the territory of Mexico herself. . . . We could not act directly in that matter ourselves."

The modest Shadow was moved to chastise wrath. His finger grazed the President's shoulder as he shouted:

"Your promises made to keep! I am Baltimore Promise of Protection to American Lives. So you admit you could not or dared not to 'keep' me in a neighboring country your own meddling had thrown into anarchy anarchy fed the American people's desire to make that you allowed to go to Mexico for the only purpose for which they are made, to take human life! You admit the loss of American lives there was irreparable. You admit that such losses must be met by direct challenge and resistance. Four minutes later you say 'We could not act directly in that matter.' You know, sir, that while Mexicans were murdering Americans the stream of soft noed bullets continued to flow to Mexico. That your weak protest against inhumanities were nullified by the continuance of that stream, for why should you care to perform the paramount duty of government as described by your notes were anything but empty when you allowed the means for killing more of your fellow citizens to continue to come? 'Powerless to prevent?' The factions of Mexican anarchy were powerless to prevent American murder and rape when their hands and soldiers were supplied with American bullets by you."

"My friends, these other Shadows, were brought to their feet by your denial of duplicity. It is your admission of failure to 'keep' me that brings me forward. You could not act directly in that matter. You did act directly, and with the force of the United States, to put out one Mexican ruler after another, for another who had defied you and beaten you in war. Your promise was to protect American lives and property. Why, then, could you not have used your punitive instruments to keep your sacred promise to perform the paramount duty of government as described by your notes? You would the Vera Cruz classification anti-Huerta war out of the war classification. Why could you not, why should you not, have used your forces in the same manner to keep your promise and do your duty? It didn't mean war when Pershing was sent to chase the Mexicans from Mexico. It did not mean war when Rangers violated Mexican soil to recover the corpse of Vergara, American citizen. Your own Democratic Senator, Smith, had shown you at the beginning of your term that such use of American force in Mexico had been made many times, and war did not result. 'We could not act directly in that matter ourselves!' That is what brings me to your feet. You could have so acted. And you admit your promise has not been kept in my case and excuse it by a statement that you could not act directly in that matter."

American lives were taken exclusively by American bullets allowed to overflow a friendly country by an American President. That American President could have stopped the killing by stopping the bullets. But he wished to serve mankind, American mankind, excluded, Pershing and Mexico, by his own admission at the funeral of his own son killed at Vera Cruz that he did not know how to serve mankind.

He promised to protect American lives. He created a situation whereby American lives were lost, and he fed that situation with the means of taking more American lives. His own policy has been to kill off as many Americans as humanity is possible, we must call it a success.

HONDERLANDEL.
WASHINGTON, D. C., September 5.

Another Shadow of Shadow Lawn.

To THE EDITOR OF THE SUN—Sir: In your characteristic editorial article of September 3 on the "Shadows at Shadow Lawn" you omitted mention of the most accusing Shadow of all that came to challenge the sincerity of the President. It was the Shadow of that Baltimore promise that runs:

"The constitutional rights of American citizens should protect them on our borders and go with them throughout the world; and every American citizen residing or having property in any foreign country is entitled to and must be given the full protection of the laws of that country, both for himself and for his property."

This Shadow heard, with tolerance and respect for the Chief Magistrate, though with a doubling smile, the remarks on our performance under that platform plank in the European war:

"Property rights can be vindicated by claims of the citizen. . . . The nation that violates these essential rights must expect to be checked and called to account by direct challenge and resistance."

The Shadow knew that public pressure and feeling would be aroused by this statement of regard for Europe. But when the President spoke of our performance as regards the same constitutional rights and their treatment in Mexico the Shadow emitted a lumpy yell that the gathering thought came from the belly of the beast. James, and was the Shadow to be blundered for? President said four minutes after his statement of the necessity of calling nations to account for causing "irreparable loss" to American life:

"The authorities of Mexico were powerless to prevent it. Many serious wrongs against the property, many irreparable wrongs against the lives of our citizens have been committed within the territory of Mexico herself. . . . We could not act directly in that matter ourselves."

The modest Shadow was moved to chastise wrath. His finger grazed the President's shoulder as he shouted:

"Your promises made to keep! I am Baltimore Promise of Protection to American Lives. So you admit you could not or dared not to 'keep' me in a neighboring country your own meddling had thrown into anarchy anarchy fed the American people's desire to make that you allowed to go to Mexico for the only purpose for which they are made, to take human life! You admit the loss of American lives there was irreparable. You admit that such losses must be met by direct challenge and resistance. Four minutes later you say 'We could not act directly in that matter.' You know, sir, that while Mexicans were murdering Americans the stream of soft noed bullets continued to flow to Mexico. That your weak protest against inhumanities were nullified by the continuance of that stream, for why should you care to perform the paramount duty of government as described by your notes were anything but empty when you allowed the means for killing more of your fellow citizens to continue to come? 'Powerless to prevent?' The factions of Mexican anarchy were powerless to prevent American murder and rape when their hands and soldiers were supplied with American bullets by you."

"My friends, these other Shadows, were brought to their feet by your denial of duplicity. It is your admission of failure to 'keep' me that brings me forward. You could not act directly in that matter. You did act directly, and with the force of the United States, to put out one Mexican ruler after another, for another who had defied you and beaten you in war. Your promise was to protect American lives and property. Why, then, could you not have used your punitive instruments to keep your sacred promise to perform the paramount duty of government as described by your notes? You would the Vera Cruz classification anti-Huerta war out of the war classification. Why could you not, why should you not, have used your forces in the same manner to keep your promise and do your duty? It didn't mean war when Pershing was sent to chase the Mexicans from Mexico. It did not mean war when Rangers violated Mexican soil to recover the corpse of Vergara, American citizen. Your own Democratic Senator, Smith, had shown you at the beginning of your term that such use of American force in Mexico had been made many times, and war did not result. 'We could not act directly in that matter ourselves!' That is what brings me to your feet. You could have so acted. And you admit your promise has not been kept in my case and excuse it by a statement that you could not act directly in that matter."

American lives were taken exclusively by American bullets allowed to overflow a friendly country by an American President. That American President could have stopped the killing by stopping the bullets. But he wished to serve mankind, American mankind, excluded, Pershing and Mexico, by his own admission at the funeral of his own son killed at Vera Cruz that he did not know how to serve mankind.

He promised to protect American lives. He created a situation whereby American lives were lost, and he fed that situation with the means of taking more American lives. His own policy has been to kill off as many Americans as humanity is possible, we must call it a success.

HONDERLANDEL.
WASHINGTON, D. C., September 5.

Another Shadow of Shadow Lawn.

To THE EDITOR OF THE SUN—Sir: In your characteristic editorial article of September 3 on the "Shadows at Shadow Lawn" you omitted mention of the most accusing Shadow of all that came to challenge the sincerity of the President. It was the Shadow of that Baltimore promise that runs:

"The constitutional rights of American citizens should protect them on our borders and go with them throughout the world; and every American citizen residing or having property in any foreign country is entitled to and must be given the full protection of the laws of that country, both for himself and for his property."

This Shadow heard, with tolerance and respect for the Chief Magistrate, though with a doubling smile, the remarks on our performance under that platform plank in the European war:

"Property rights can be vindicated by claims of the citizen. . . . The nation that violates these essential rights must expect to be checked and called to account by direct challenge and resistance."

The Shadow knew that public pressure and feeling would be aroused by this statement of regard for Europe. But when the President spoke of our performance as regards the same constitutional rights and their treatment in Mexico the Shadow emitted a lumpy yell that the gathering thought came from the belly of the beast. James, and was the Shadow to be blundered for? President said four minutes after his statement of the necessity of calling nations to account for causing "irreparable loss" to American life:

"The authorities of Mexico were powerless to prevent it. Many serious wrongs against the property, many irreparable wrongs against the lives of our citizens have been committed within the territory of Mexico herself. . . . We could not act directly in that matter ourselves."

The modest Shadow was moved to chastise wrath. His finger grazed the President's shoulder as he shouted:

"Your promises made to keep! I am Baltimore Promise of Protection to American Lives. So you admit you could not or dared not to 'keep' me in a neighboring country your own meddling had thrown into anarchy anarchy fed the American people's desire to make that you allowed to go to Mexico for the only purpose for which they are made, to take human life! You admit the loss of American lives there was irreparable. You admit that such losses must be met by direct challenge and resistance. Four minutes later you say 'We could not act directly in that matter.' You know, sir, that while Mexicans were murdering Americans the stream of soft noed bullets continued to flow to Mexico. That your weak protest against inhumanities were nullified by the continuance of that stream, for why should you care to perform the paramount duty of government as described by your notes were anything but empty when you allowed the means for killing more of your fellow citizens to continue to come? 'Powerless to prevent?' The factions of Mexican anarchy were powerless to prevent American murder and rape when their hands and soldiers were supplied with American bullets by you."

"My friends, these other Shadows, were brought to their feet by your denial of duplicity. It is your admission of failure to 'keep' me that brings me forward. You could not act directly in that matter. You did act directly, and with the force of the United States, to put out one Mexican ruler after another, for another who had defied you and beaten you in war. Your promise was to protect American lives and property. Why, then, could you not have used your punitive instruments to keep your sacred promise to perform the paramount duty of government as described by your notes? You would the Vera Cruz classification anti-Huerta war out of the war classification. Why could you not, why should you not, have used your forces in the same manner to keep your promise and do your duty? It didn't mean war when Pershing was sent to chase the Mexicans from Mexico. It did not mean war when Rangers violated Mexican soil to recover the corpse of Vergara, American citizen. Your own Democratic Senator, Smith, had shown you at the beginning of your term that such use of American force in Mexico had been made many times, and war did not result. 'We could not act directly in that matter ourselves!' That is what brings me to your feet. You could have so acted. And you admit your promise has not been kept in my case and excuse it by a statement that you could not act directly in that matter."

American lives were taken exclusively by American bullets allowed to overflow a friendly country by an American President. That American President could have stopped the killing by stopping the bullets. But he wished to serve mankind, American mankind, excluded, Pershing and Mexico, by his own admission at the funeral of his own son killed at Vera Cruz that he did not know how to serve mankind.

He promised to protect American lives. He created a situation whereby American lives were lost, and he fed that situation with the means of taking more American lives. His own policy has been to kill off as many Americans as humanity is possible, we must call it a success.

HONDERLANDEL.
WASHINGTON, D. C., September 5.

Another Shadow of Shadow Lawn.

To THE EDITOR OF THE SUN—Sir: In your characteristic editorial article of September 3 on the "Shadows at Shadow Lawn" you omitted mention of the most accusing Shadow of all that came to challenge the sincerity of the President. It was the Shadow of that Baltimore promise that runs:

"The constitutional rights of American citizens should protect them on our borders and go with them throughout the world; and every American citizen residing or having property in any foreign country is entitled to and must be given the full protection of the laws of that country, both for himself and for his property."

This Shadow heard, with tolerance and respect for the Chief Magistrate, though with a doubling smile, the remarks on our performance under that platform plank in the European war:

"Property rights can be vindicated by claims of the citizen. . . . The nation that violates these essential rights must expect to be checked and called to account by direct challenge and resistance."

The Shadow knew that public pressure and feeling would be aroused by this statement of regard for Europe. But when the President spoke of our performance as regards the same constitutional rights and their treatment in Mexico the Shadow emitted a lumpy yell that the gathering thought came from the belly of the beast. James, and was the Shadow to be blundered for? President said four minutes after his statement of the necessity of calling nations to account for causing "irreparable loss" to American life:

"The authorities of Mexico were powerless to prevent it. Many serious wrongs against the property, many irreparable wrongs against the lives of our citizens have been committed within the territory of Mexico herself. . . . We could not act directly in that matter ourselves."

The modest Shadow was moved to chastise wrath. His finger grazed the President's shoulder as he shouted:

"Your promises made to keep! I am Baltimore Promise of Protection to American Lives. So you admit you could not or dared not to 'keep' me in a neighboring country your own meddling had thrown into anarchy anarchy fed the American people's desire to make that you allowed to go to Mexico for the only purpose for which they are made, to take human life! You admit the loss of American lives there was irreparable. You admit that such losses must be met by direct challenge and resistance. Four minutes later you say 'We could not act directly in that matter.' You know, sir, that while Mexicans were murdering Americans the stream of soft noed bullets continued to flow to Mexico. That your weak protest against inhumanities were nullified by the continuance of that stream, for why should you care to perform the paramount duty of government as described by your notes were anything but empty when you allowed the means for killing more of your fellow citizens to continue to come? 'Powerless to prevent?' The factions of Mexican anarchy were powerless to prevent American murder and rape when their hands and soldiers were supplied with American bullets by you."

"My friends, these other Shadows, were brought to their feet by your denial of duplicity. It is your admission of failure to 'keep' me that brings me forward. You could not act directly in that matter. You did act directly, and with the force of the United States, to put out one Mexican ruler after another, for another who had defied you and beaten you in war. Your promise was to protect American lives and property. Why, then, could you not have used your punitive instruments to keep your sacred promise to perform the paramount duty of government as described by your notes? You would the Vera Cruz classification anti-Huerta war out of the war classification. Why could you not, why should you not, have used your forces in the same manner to keep your promise and do your duty? It didn't mean war when Pershing was sent to chase the Mexicans from Mexico. It did not mean war when Rangers violated Mexican soil to recover the corpse of Vergara, American citizen. Your own Democratic Senator, Smith, had shown you at the beginning of your term that such use of American force in Mexico had been made many times, and war did not result. 'We could not act directly in that matter ourselves!' That is what brings me to your feet. You could have so acted. And you admit your promise has not been kept in my case and excuse it by a statement that you could not act directly in that matter."

American lives were taken exclusively by American bullets allowed to overflow a friendly country by an American President. That American President could have stopped the killing by stopping the bullets. But he wished to serve mankind, American mankind, excluded, Pershing and Mexico, by his own admission at the funeral of his own son killed at Vera Cruz that he did not know how to serve mankind.

He promised to protect American lives. He created a situation whereby American lives were lost, and he fed that situation with the means of taking more American lives. His own policy has been to kill off as many Americans as humanity is possible, we must call it a success.

HONDERLANDEL.
WASHINGTON, D. C., September 5.

Another Shadow of Shadow Lawn.

To THE EDITOR OF THE SUN—Sir: In your characteristic editorial article of September 3 on the "Shadows at Shadow Lawn" you omitted mention of the most accusing Shadow of all that came to challenge the sincerity of the President. It was the Shadow of that Baltimore promise that runs:

"The constitutional rights of American citizens should protect them on our borders and go with them throughout the world; and every American citizen residing or having property in any foreign country is entitled to and must be given the full protection of the laws of that country, both for himself and for his property."

This Shadow heard, with tolerance and respect for the Chief Magistrate, though with a doubling smile, the remarks on our performance under that platform plank in the European war:

"Property rights can be vindicated by claims of the citizen. . . . The nation that violates these essential rights must expect to be checked and called to account by direct challenge and resistance."

The Shadow knew that public pressure and feeling would be aroused by this statement of regard for Europe. But when the President spoke of our performance as regards the same constitutional rights and their treatment in Mexico the Shadow emitted a lumpy yell that the gathering thought came from the belly of the beast. James, and was the Shadow to be blundered for? President said four minutes after his statement of the necessity of calling nations to account for causing "irreparable loss" to American life:

"The authorities of Mexico were powerless to prevent it. Many serious wrongs against the property, many irreparable wrongs against the lives of our citizens have been committed within the territory of Mexico herself. . . . We could not act directly in that matter ourselves."

The modest Shadow was moved to chastise wrath. His finger grazed the President's shoulder as he shouted:

"Your promises made to keep! I am Baltimore Promise of Protection to American Lives. So you admit you could not or dared not to 'keep' me in a neighboring country your own meddling had thrown into anarchy anarchy fed the American people's desire to make that you allowed to go to Mexico for the only purpose for which they are made, to take human life! You admit the loss of American lives there was irreparable. You admit that such losses must be met by direct challenge and resistance. Four minutes later you say 'We could not act directly in that matter.' You know, sir, that while Mexicans were murdering Americans the stream of soft noed bullets continued to flow to Mexico. That your weak protest against inhumanities were nullified by the continuance of that stream, for why should you care to perform the paramount duty of government as described by your notes were anything but empty when you allowed the means for killing more of your fellow citizens to continue to come? 'Powerless to prevent?' The factions of Mexican anarchy were powerless to prevent American murder and rape when their hands and soldiers were supplied with American bullets by you."

"My friends, these other Shadows, were brought to their feet by your denial of duplicity. It is your admission of failure to 'keep' me that brings me forward. You could not act directly in that matter. You did act directly, and with the force of the United States, to put out one Mexican ruler after another, for another who had defied you and beaten you in war. Your promise was to protect American lives and property. Why, then, could you not have used your punitive instruments to keep your sacred promise to perform the paramount duty of government as described by your notes? You would the Vera Cruz classification anti-Huerta war out of the war classification. Why could you not, why should you not, have used your forces in the same manner to keep your promise and do your duty? It didn't mean war when Pershing was sent to chase the Mexicans from Mexico. It did not mean war when Rangers violated Mexican soil to recover the corpse of Vergara, American citizen. Your own Democratic Senator, Smith, had shown you at the beginning of your term that such use of American force in Mexico had been made many times, and war did not result. 'We could not act directly in that matter ourselves!' That is what brings me to your feet. You could have so acted. And you admit your promise has not been kept in my case and excuse it by a statement that you could not act directly in that matter."

American lives were taken exclusively by American bullets allowed to overflow a friendly country by an American President. That American President could have stopped the killing by stopping the bullets. But he wished to serve mankind, American mankind, excluded, Pershing and Mexico, by his own admission at the funeral of his own son killed at Vera Cruz that he did not know how to serve mankind.

He promised to protect American lives. He created a situation whereby American lives were lost, and he fed that situation with the means of taking more American lives. His own policy has been to kill off as many Americans as humanity is possible, we must call it a success.

HONDERLANDEL.
WASHINGTON, D. C., September 5.

Another Shadow of Shadow Lawn.

To THE EDITOR OF THE SUN—Sir: In your characteristic editorial article of September 3 on the "Shadows at Shadow Lawn" you omitted mention of the most accusing Shadow of all that came to challenge the sincerity of the President. It was the Shadow of that Baltimore promise that runs:

"The constitutional rights of American citizens should protect them on our borders and go with them throughout the world; and every American citizen residing or having property in any foreign country is entitled to and must be given the full protection of the laws of that country, both for himself and for his property."

This Shadow heard, with tolerance and respect for the Chief Magistrate, though with a doubling smile, the remarks on our performance under that platform plank in the European war:

"Property rights can be vindicated by claims of the citizen. . . . The nation that violates these essential rights must expect to be checked and called to account by direct challenge and resistance."

The Shadow knew that public pressure and feeling would be aroused by this statement of regard for Europe. But when the President spoke of our performance as regards the same constitutional rights and their treatment in Mexico the Shadow emitted a lumpy yell that the gathering thought came from the belly of the beast. James, and was the Shadow to be blundered for? President said four minutes after his statement of the necessity of calling nations to account for causing "irreparable loss" to American life:

"The authorities of Mexico were powerless to prevent it. Many serious wrongs against the property, many irreparable wrongs against the lives of our citizens have been committed within the territory of Mexico herself. . . . We could not act directly in that matter ourselves."

The modest Shadow was moved to chastise wrath. His finger grazed the President's shoulder as he shouted:

"Your promises made to keep! I am Baltimore Promise of Protection to American Lives. So you admit you could not or dared not to 'keep' me in a neighboring country your own meddling had thrown into anarchy anarchy fed the American people's desire to make that you allowed to go to Mexico for the only purpose for which they are made, to take human life! You admit the loss of American lives there was irreparable. You admit that such losses must be met by direct challenge and resistance. Four minutes later you say 'We could not act directly in that matter.' You know, sir, that while Mexicans were murdering Americans the stream of soft noed bullets continued to flow to Mexico. That your weak protest against inhumanities were nullified by the continuance of that stream, for why should you care to perform the paramount duty of government as described by your notes were anything but empty when you allowed the means for killing more of your fellow citizens to continue to come? 'Powerless to prevent?' The factions of Mexican anarchy were powerless to prevent American murder and rape when their hands and soldiers were supplied with American bullets by you."

"My friends, these other Shadows, were brought to their feet by your denial of duplicity. It is your admission of failure to 'keep' me that brings me forward. You could not act directly in that matter. You did act directly, and with the force of the United States, to put out one Mexican ruler after another, for another who had defied you and beaten you in war. Your promise was to protect American lives and property. Why, then, could you not have used your punitive instruments to keep your sacred promise to perform the paramount duty of government as described by your notes? You would the Vera Cruz classification anti-Huerta war out of the war classification. Why could you not, why should you not, have used your forces in the same manner to keep your promise and do your duty? It didn't mean war when Pershing was sent to chase the Mexicans from Mexico. It did not mean war when Rangers violated Mexican soil to recover the corpse of Vergara, American citizen. Your own Democratic Senator, Smith, had shown you at the beginning of your term that such use of American force in Mexico had been made many times, and war did not result. 'We could not act directly in that matter ourselves!' That is what brings me to your feet. You could have so acted. And you admit your promise has not been kept in my case and excuse it by a statement that you could not act directly in that matter."

American lives were taken exclusively by American bullets allowed to overflow a friendly country by an American President. That American President could have stopped the killing by stopping the bullets. But he wished to serve mankind, American mankind, excluded, Pershing and Mexico, by his own admission at the funeral of his own son killed at Vera Cruz that he did not know how to serve mankind.

He promised to protect American lives. He created a situation whereby American lives were lost, and he fed that situation with the means of taking more American lives. His own policy has been to kill off as many Americans as humanity is possible, we must call it a success.

HONDERLANDEL.
WASHINGTON, D. C., September 5.

Another Shadow of Shadow Lawn.

To THE EDITOR OF THE SUN—Sir: In your characteristic editorial article of September 3 on the "Shadows at Shadow Lawn" you omitted mention of the most accusing Shadow of all that came to challenge the sincerity of the President. It was the Shadow of that Baltimore promise that runs:

"The constitutional rights of American citizens should protect them on our borders and go with them throughout the world; and every American citizen residing or having property in any foreign country is entitled to and must be given the full protection of the laws of that country, both for himself and for his property."

This Shadow heard, with tolerance and respect for the Chief Magistrate, though with a doubling smile, the remarks on our performance under that platform plank in the European war:

"Property rights can be vindicated by claims of the citizen. . . . The nation that violates these essential rights must expect to be checked and called to account by direct challenge and resistance."

The Shadow knew that public pressure and feeling would be aroused by this statement of regard for Europe. But when the President spoke of our performance as regards the same constitutional rights and their treatment in Mexico the Shadow emitted a lumpy yell that the gathering thought came from the belly of the beast. James, and was the Shadow to be blundered for? President said four minutes after his statement of the necessity of calling nations to account for causing "irreparable loss" to American life:

"The authorities of Mexico were powerless to prevent it. Many serious wrongs against the property, many irreparable wrongs against the lives of our citizens have been committed within the territory of Mexico herself. . . . We could not act directly in that matter ourselves."

The modest Shadow was moved to chastise wrath. His finger grazed the President's shoulder as he shouted:

"Your promises made to keep! I am Baltimore Promise of Protection to American Lives. So you admit you could not or dared not to 'keep' me in a neighboring country your own meddling had thrown into anarchy anarchy fed the American people's desire to make that you allowed to go to Mexico for the only purpose for which they are made, to take human life! You admit the loss of American lives there was irreparable. You admit that such losses must be met by direct challenge and resistance. Four minutes later you say 'We could not act directly in that matter.' You know, sir, that while Mexicans were murdering Americans the stream of soft noed bullets continued to flow to Mexico. That your weak protest against inhumanities were nullified by the continuance of that stream, for why should you care to perform the paramount duty of government as described by your notes were anything but empty when you allowed the means for killing more of your fellow citizens to continue to come? 'Powerless to prevent?' The factions of Mexican anarchy were powerless to prevent American murder and rape when their hands and soldiers were supplied with American bullets by you."

"My friends, these other Shadows, were brought to their feet by your denial of duplicity. It is your admission of failure to 'keep' me that brings me forward. You could not act directly in that matter. You did act directly, and with the force of the United States, to put out one Mexican ruler after another, for another who had defied you and beaten you in war. Your promise was to protect American lives and property. Why, then, could you not have used your punitive instruments to keep your sacred promise to perform the paramount duty of government as described by your notes? You would the Vera Cruz classification anti-Huerta war out of the war classification. Why could you not, why should you not, have used your forces in the same manner to keep your promise and do your duty? It didn't mean war when Pershing was sent to chase the Mexicans from Mexico. It did not mean war when Rangers violated Mexican soil to recover the corpse of Vergara, American citizen. Your own Democratic Senator, Smith, had shown you at the beginning of your term that such use of American force in Mexico had been made many times, and war did not result. 'We could not act directly in that matter ourselves!' That is what brings me to your feet. You could have so acted. And you admit your promise has not been kept in my case and excuse it by a statement that you could not act directly in that matter."

American lives were taken exclusively by American bullets allowed to overflow a friendly country by an American President. That American President could have stopped the killing by stopping the bullets. But he wished to serve mankind, American mankind, excluded, Pershing and Mexico, by his own admission at the funeral of his own son killed at Vera Cruz that he did not know how to serve mankind.

He promised to protect American lives. He created a situation whereby American lives were lost, and he fed that situation with the means of taking more