

WAR FINANCE BOARD GRUDGINGLY O. K.'D

Senate Committee Makes Favorable Report, but Criticisms Continue.

SOME MEMBERS SWAMPED

More Data Requested About Appointees to Capital Issues Committee.

WASHINGTON, April 30.—The Senate Committee on Finance voted today to report favorably to the Senate the nominations sent by the President of four members of the War Finance Corporation.

Although having made no audible protest, the individual members of the Finance Committee are by no means satisfied with the selections for the War Finance Corporation positions.

The fact that the functions of the Capital Issues Committee present a man sized job caused the Senators to delay action until they can be satisfied that the appointments of John Skelton Williams, Charles S. Hamlin and Frederick A. Delano are in themselves wise in view of the multiplicity of duties which they impose on the three men.

The committee's staid responsibility as purchasing director of the Railroad Administration in the Federal Reserve Board is being heard that in this, as in other matters involving the group of men surrounding Secretary of the Treasury McAdoo, too much of a burden is being laid upon the shoulders of already busy individuals.

IDENTIFY ILL FATED MOTORIST

J. B. Feeler Thrown Into River by Skidding Car.

Through the license number on the wrecked automobile the police yesterday identified the motorist as John B. Feeler, a brush manufacturer who lived at Springfield Gardens, Queens, as the motorist who was hurled over the guard rail of the Williamsburg Bridge on the East River when his machine skidded against the rail early yesterday morning.

CRUDE RUBBER RESTRICTION

Trade Board Intends to Prevent Hoarding and Profiteering.

WASHINGTON, April 30.—Crude rubber has been placed on the restricted import list by the War Trade Board, effective to-morrow, and rules and regulations will soon be promulgated to govern the industry so that the limitation of imports will not result in hoarding and profiteering.

AUSTRIAN SENT TO JAIL

Deckhand Expressed Hope That Transporter Would Sink.

Thomas Dubcek, an Austrian who is charged with the unseemly flow of passengers and cargo into the United States, was sentenced yesterday by Judge Gardner to a term of one year in the State Prison at Dannenberg for having expressed the hope that all the steamships would sink to the bottom of the sea.

FINNISH TROOPS KILL 6,000

Government Forces Capture Viborg After Severe Fight.

HELSINKI, April 30.—Finnish Government forces have captured Viborg after a severe fight, according to an official announcement by the Ministry of Defense.

The Nation's Honor Roll

Table with columns: Killed in action, Died of wounds, Died of disease, Died from other causes, Severely wounded, Slightly wounded, Missing in action, Day's total.

WASHINGTON, April 30.—The casualty list to-day contained fifty-eight names, divided as follows:

- KILLED IN ACTION: JARRICK, RICHARD LAURENCE, Captain. BROWN, ROBERT C., private. DIED OF ACCIDENT: COPE, ELDRIDGE, private. WASHINGTON, WILLIAM W., private. DIED OF DISEASE: CHAMBERLAIN, CHARLES A., Captain. MUGGETT, ORVILLE, private. NOEL, PRESTON, private. WORTH, CLARENCE B., private. BATES, JAMES, private. SIEBERT, WALTER, private. CURTAIN, THOMAS J., sergeant. PATTON, GERALD E., sergeant. DAVIS, MICHAEL, private. SYLVIA, LEONARD P., private. SMITH, JOHN LEVI, private. COYE, ROBERT D., Lieutenant. BRAIN, RUFUS B., Lieutenant. WASHINGTON, LEONARD, private. WEST, BURRIS, private. BROWNSKY, GEORGE, corporal. BROWN, WILLIAM, private. BROWN, WILLIAM, private. ABRAHAM, ALBERT, private. BARRETT, JOHN R., private. BRADY, CHARLES W., private.

PUBLISHERS SHOW ZONE PLAN FLAWS

Newspaper Owners Ask Senate Committee to Modify New Law.

WASHINGTON, April 30.—Representatives of the American Newspaper Publishers Association protested to the Senate Post Office Committee today against the second class mail postage law which would take effect July 1 and urged a modification of the plan in so far as it affects newspapers.

The committee system, by which one rate is to be charged for advertising matter and a separate rate for news sections, the publishers contended, is discriminatory and excessive. In view of the war and the present print paper situation they asked that a lower rate be prescribed.

Mr. McNary said the protest was the "unanimous voice of the daily newspapers of the country. Newspaper publishers, he said, are alarmed and do not believe that the Government should have the right to increase the rate if there are any means to be corrected let them proceed and the Government will have the support of the newspaper publishers," said Mr. McNary.

NEW TRIAL FOR MRS. GILLIGAN

Granted to Alleged Poisoner by Connecticut Court.

HARTFORD, Conn., April 30.—Mrs. Amy E. Archer Gilligan of Windsor, under sentence of death for murder, obtained today a new trial by order of the Supreme Court of Errors.

M'ADOO PURCHASES 1,025 LOCOMOTIVES

Standard Type Engines to Be Distributed to Roads.

WASHINGTON, April 30.—Contracts for building 1,025 locomotives of a standard type were awarded by Director-General of Railroads McAdoo today to the Baldwin Locomotive Works. The contracts call for the expenditure of approximately \$60,000,000.

Reluctant Suspended Policemen

Police Commissioner Enright Announced Yesterday that he has reinstated in active duty two policemen who were suspended for perjury.

Men Released to Work Farms

WASHINGTON, April 30.—Connecticut is the first State in which industrial plants have released workers for farm labor. The Department of Labor announced today that thirteen of the largest plants in Hartford, many of them on war work, have released employees for the farms.

TOKIO SPECULATES ON CABINET SHIFTS

Marquis Salonki Is Expected to Head New Coalition Government.

SIBERIAN OUTLOOK GRAVE

"Yamiuri" Declares Sentiment for Mobilization and Intervention Has Subsided.

Special Cable Despatch to The Sun from the London Times.

TOKYO, April 30.—There seems no prospect of a reconsideration of the Siberian question by the present Government. The resignation of which seems imminent. The appointment of Baron Goto is considered upon widely as creating a precedent, since he has no diplomatic training.

The Japanese recognize the lack of harmony in the allied policy toward Siberia. The Japanese Yamiuri points out that the early enthusiasm for mobilization has been killed and that if Japan no longer entertains the idea of intervention the responsibility does not rest with her.

U. S. SHIP HELD OFF NEW SUPER-U-BOAT

Type With 5 Inch Guns and Two Conning Towers Failed to Get Chinchas.

WASHINGTON, April 30.—Report of an encounter between a German cruising submarine and the steamship "Chincha" was made public today by Secretary Daniels. E. D. Arzold, chief boatswain's mate commanding the armed guard, says the U-boat had two conning towers and was armed with five inch guns.

JAPANESE ENVOY CALLS ON WILSON

Viscount Ishii Hopes to Bind Nations Together.

WASHINGTON, April 30.—Viscount Ishii, the new Ambassador from Japan, presented his credentials to President Wilson today. He was accompanied to the White House by Secretary Daniels.

Archbishop Ireland May Recover

St. Paul, Minn., April 30.—The condition of the Most Rev. John Ireland, Roman Catholic Archbishop of St. Paul, again was announced as "improved" today.

Farmer Found Dead in Well

The body of George E. Haines, 59, a wealthy farmer of Brookdale, N. J., was taken from the bottom of a well in his farm yesterday.

SENATE URGES NEW MAN POWER DRAFT

Mobilization Under Way.

Senator Read (Mo.) Introduced a bill to amend the existing draft law in order that the National Army might be increased to 2,000,000 men at once.

Senator Poindexter (Wash.) Introduced a bill to provide for the enrollment, training, equipment, organization and use of 1,500,000 men for the National Army.

Senator Read (Mo.) Introduced a bill to amend the existing draft law in order that the National Army might be increased to 2,000,000 men at once.

Senator Poindexter (Wash.) Introduced a bill to provide for the enrollment, training, equipment, organization and use of 1,500,000 men for the National Army.

Senator Read (Mo.) Introduced a bill to amend the existing draft law in order that the National Army might be increased to 2,000,000 men at once.

Senator Poindexter (Wash.) Introduced a bill to provide for the enrollment, training, equipment, organization and use of 1,500,000 men for the National Army.

Experience—

Most Juniors in every profession would excel from the beginning rather than become Past Masters through hard-earned experience.

Practise Sound Economy Select Your Chauffeur's Apparel at Saks


We know your requirements. You want something that is not stereotyped in point of style; serviceable fabrics; and tailoring put into each garment that will resist the strain of service to which all chauffeur's apparel is subject.

1,300 DRAFTED MEN LEAVE FOR UPTON Mayor's Daughter Bids Farewell to Secretary Sinnott.

A Greenwich Village parade, lots of excitement in the Bronx, where a mixed delegation of the United States Marine Corps went off to war, and a Brook-Ny party in which John F. Sinnott, Mayor Hyman's secretary, featured, were the chief events in the withdrawal from the city yesterday of 1,300 drafted men for Camp Upton.

Saks Suits for Chauffeurs give both comfort and service. There is none better. Ask the man who bought one. Prices \$19.50 to \$50.00

Saks & Company Broadway at 34th Street



WILSON ASKS WAR WORK BY CONVICTS Urges That Atlanta Prisoners Make Textiles for Army and Navy.

WASHINGTON, April 30.—President Wilson wrote Chairman Poy of the House Committee on Rules today asking preferred status for a bill to establish a textile manufacturing in the Atlanta penitentiary so that the prisoners confined there may be assigned work on textiles needed for war purposes.

The bill introduced by Representative Sherry (Ky.) and reported some time ago by the Judiciary Committee authorizes the Attorney-General to install equipment and operate a factory or factories at the Atlanta penal institution for the manufacture of cotton fabrics to supply the army, navy and Shipping Corporation and cotton duck suitable for tents and other army uses.

"I am as much interested as the Attorney-General is in House bill 8328 providing for a cotton factory at the Atlanta penitentiary. Indeed, I regard the measure as of genuine and pressing public importance. I trust therefore that you will think me justified in asking if in your opinion it will be possible to put this bill on the preferred calendar following the bill which the Committee on Rules has already listed for preferred action. I am sure you will believe that I would not ask this if it were not a matter of real concern to me."

President Wilson's interest in the Sherry bill applying only to the Atlanta penitentiary may give impetus to a bill reported by the Labor Committee providing general use of Federal convicts on war work. This bill contemplates utilization of prisoners confined in army and navy prisons, as well as other Federal penal institutions, and provides in addition that the Federal Government under certain conditions make use of State convicts for the production of war supplies.

The Sherry bill appropriates \$650,000 for the installation and equipment of a textile factory at the Atlanta penitentiary and also \$250,000 as a working fund to be used by the Attorney-General for the manufacture of fabrics for war uses. The Attorney-General is empowered to establish a scale of compensation for the prisoners and their dependents.

It is expected that the House Committee on Rules will comply with the President's suggestion.

Archbishop Ireland May Recover. St. Paul, Minn., April 30.—The condition of the Most Rev. John Ireland, Roman Catholic Archbishop of St. Paul, again was announced as "improved" today.

Farmer Found Dead in Well. The body of George E. Haines, 59, a wealthy farmer of Brookdale, N. J., was taken from the bottom of a well in his farm yesterday.

Saks Suits for Chauffeurs give both comfort and service. There is none better. Ask the man who bought one. Prices \$19.50 to \$50.00

Saks & Company Broadway at 34th Street

MOONEY'S LAWYERS BEGIN NEW ATTACK

Want Judgment Set Aside on Ground Perjury Was Committed.

SENTENCE IS POSTPONED

Defence Now Charges Prosecuting Officers "Railroaded" Prisoner.

Special Despatch to The Sun.

SAN FRANCISCO, April 30.—Pending the outcome of Thomas Mooney's plea for pardon now under consideration by Gov. Stephens, the attorneys for the convicted Preparedness Day murderer launched a new attack in the courts last week, when they moved that the court proceedings and judgment against Mooney be set aside, charging that they were achieved through willful fraud and malfeasance of the District Attorney and the police.

The motion was made Saturday, when Mooney appeared before Superior Judge Franklin A. Griffin for sentence to death on the verdict of guilt in his trial a year ago.

He was returned to his cell with the sentence indefinitely postponed, and Thursday was set as the day for arguments on the new motion. The defence attorneys based their motion on what is known as a writ of "coram nobis" under the old English law.

This writ provides for the setting aside of judgment under proof that fraud has been practiced on the court or jury. It is of common practice in civil procedure, but in rare in criminal proceedings, having been used only once before in the history of the California criminal courts.

Affidavits Submitted. The charges of fraud are supported by affidavits Mooney, Police Inspector William H. Smith of Oakland and F. E. Rigall, who charged Frank Coxman, the State's star witness, with perjury.

Mooney's affidavit reviews the history of his arrest, indictment, trial, sentence and appeal. He attacked particularly the testimony of Nellie Edeau, San Francisco, who identified him as one of the men who placed the bomb at Stewart and Market streets on July 22, 1916. Denying their testimony, Mooney sought to bring them before the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a new trial, in violation of the duties and oaths of said officers and public officers, and members of the police department, through willful fraud committed upon the court and jury and upon the defendant, and which prevented a fair submission of the case to the court and jury, and a fair submission of the motion for a