

THE BUTTE DAILY BULLETIN

(Continued from Page Five.)

simply happens that we are opposed to the people that you represent. That is all there is to it.

GOVERNOR STEWART: And you proceed to lambast us? MR. DUNN: To use exactly the same tactics as the opposition uses in fighting us.

GOVERNOR STEWART: You wrote the editorial that attacked us?

MR. DUNN: Yes.

GOVERNOR STEWART: You didn't understand at that time that the order of the State Council of Defense was made in order to carry out the purposes of the War Industries Board?

MR. DUNN: I had no direct knowledge that any such order had been made. I had read the statements in the other daily papers, and being somewhat familiar with the situation here in Montana, I had an idea that probably something along that line had been done, but we had received no notification. I have never yet been officially notified of any such order.

GOVERNOR STEWART: You didn't get that telegram that was referred to by Mr. Smith?

MR. DUNN: The Western Union people informed me that such telegram had never been received in Butte. At our request they sent and got a duplicate.

GOVERNOR STEWART: They got this duplicate?

MR. DUNN: I got that yesterday morning from the office.

GOVERNOR STEWART: That came to them at your request?

MR. DUNN: Yes, sir.

GOVERNOR STEWART: Did they make any explanation as to what could have become of the original?

MR. DUNN: They didn't. I don't think they had any explanation to make. They had no knowledge of ever having received it.

GOVERNOR STEWART: Do any members desire to ask Mr. Dunn any questions?

MR. LOGAN: Mr. Dunn, in answer—the language of your statement there a minute ago, you said, we are opposed to the people you represent, referring to the Council.

MR. DUNN: Yes.

MR. LOGAN: Was that intended substantially to be a reiteration of the sentiments expressed here in this editorial?

MR. DUNN: My sentiments are pretty well known, Mr. Logan, I think by this time, the way I look at matters.

MR. LOGAN: I want to know if that was reiterating—

MR. DUNN: I will explain, so you will know exactly what I think when I get through. The way I look at it is this, that when gentlemen like Mr. Stewart for instance—I should say Governor Stewart—is elected by either the Democratic or Republican party, when the machinery of that party is responsible for his election, he must represent that party. Now, the campaign fund of both the Democratic and Republican party is largely made up of large contributions from corporations. I don't think anybody will dispute that. So I say that Mr. Stewart must necessarily pay more attention to the interests of corporations in the state of Montana than he could possibly to the interests of the rank and file; and the State Council of Defense having been appointed by Governor Stewart to my mind at least would indicate he would necessarily have to be somewhat in sympathy with that line of procedure.

MR. LOGAN: Then, in short, Mr. Dunn, you mean to convey the idea that you were opposed to the people that we represent, meaning thereby that we represent the interests that you say we represent in this editorial?

MR. DUNN: Yes, sir.

MR. LEASE: I would like to ask this. If you are elected this fall as a member of the legislature, will you feel that you are elected to serve all of the people, or just the people who happen to vote for you?

MR. DUNN: The machinery—if I am elected the machinery of the Democratic party won't be responsible for my election.

MR. LEASE: If you are elected, do you feel that you are there to serve the entire people of the state, or do you feel that you are there to serve the people who vote for you?

MR. DUNN: The only people that I feel I would have any allegiance to are the working people of the state, who I should judge are about 90 per cent of the population.

MR. LOGAN: If the big interests should contribute large sums to the Democratic party over there—

MR. DUNN: There is no danger of my candidacy being benefited by it.

MR. LOGAN: Would you refuse to run on that ticket, or if elected, would you consider that you are representing the democracy of Silver Bow county?

MR. DUNN: I tell you, if there will be large sums contributed, as no doubt there will be, I would have to come to my own conclusions. I would think they were trying to beat me, or else I would think that they had discovered some weakness that I didn't know anything about myself.

MR. LOGAN: Weren't you and Dan Kelly in cahoots in the primaries?

MR. DUNN: I have heard that statement made.

MR. LOGAN: In some places you and Kelly were the only ones voted for?

MR. DUNN: I saw one of those ballots myself. I understand there have been several duplicates made. I believe they are being circulated now.

MR. CAMPBELL: I have always got to ask one or two questions or I wouldn't retain my job. There's a paragraph that interests me a whole lot. It reads like this:

"Fortunately, they (the State Council) have no legal status or authority. They can fulminate to their heart's content against anything and everything that menaces their master's interests, but—no one need pay any attention to them."

You wrote that?

MR. DUNN: Just go ahead and read the rest of that.

MR. CAMPBELL: I am asking you about this one paragraph.

MR. DUNN: Yes.

MR. CAMPBELL: In other words, you mean to say that nobody in the state of Montana need pay any attention to the State Council of Defense?

MR. DUNN: I mean to say that in my opinion the State Council of Defense has no legal status.

MR. CAMPBELL: In other words, you advise everybody in this state of Montana, so far as you or your paper reach that they don't need to pay any attention to the State Council of Defense?

MR. DUNN: Will you read the rest of the editorial?

MR. CAMPBELL: This is the only thing I am concerned in. They can fulminate to their heart's content against anything and everything, but no one need pay any attention to them. As far as you can reach the people of Montana, you mean to tell the people of Montana that they didn't need to pay any attention to the State Council of Defense; isn't that a fact?

MR. DUNN: Mr. Campbell, you can't segregate—

MR. CAMPBELL: No, I want only that one thing. I want you to say if you mean what I read in this paper.

MR. DUNN: Well, now, Mr. Campbell—

MR. CAMPBELL: Did you mean what you said in there, when you said that no one need pay any attention to them? Did you mean that when you wrote it?

MR. DUNN: I am not going to answer—

MR. CAMPBELL: Did you mean that?

(To be continued tomorrow.)

WORTH GETTING

(By ANISE in Seattle Union Record.)

When they organized Chicago's PACKING HOUSES The CRAFT delegates met And said in turn: "We know From hard experience NO CRAFT can stand alone. We've each been organized ONE HUNDRED PER CENT At various times And been DISRUPTED later. How shall we act TOGETHER?" Up spoke one man: "We need ONE big industrial union. Raise a row Let them THROW US OUT We'll go it ALONE." Then a few cheered But most drew away Fearing a split. And another said: "Let each craft work Of its own free will Only let us try To KEEP STEP TOGETHER." Then a few more cheered But it seemed to most A bit Utopian For a lot of crafts To ACT in concert Without being HOOKED TOGETHER. Then Foster spoke: "We need SOLIDARITY in action. It doesn't matter HOW We get it. But solidarity includes The INTERNATIONAL unions As well as the workers' groups In the packing houses. These fellows who talk of Solidarity And start it with a SPLIT, Just make me TIRE, And so do folks that think That crafts can act together By INSTINCT. If 'one big' word offends Our conservative brothers, We've a good word of our own It's FEDERATION." Yes, that's the Foster Who is now in Pittsburg LINING UP The whole steel industry In one big "Federation." And the Internationals let down Their initiation fees And sort of overlooked Their constitution. And so they are sailing ahead And KNOCKING NOBODY EXCEPT the bosses. Because they are learning (Just as WE are) That SOLIDARITY Is not an EASY word To be lightly YELLED. For it takes patience And thought and planning. And HARD concessions. But when you GET IT You've got THE EARTH.

THREE MORE BODIES FROM WRECKED SHIP

(By United Press.) Juneau, Alaska, Feb. 22.—(By Mail.)—Three more bodies were recovered from the Princess Sophia wreck today—those of Mr. and Mrs. W. J. O'Brien of Dawson and an unidentified woman. The remains of Mrs. O'Brien were identified by a wedding ring inscribed "W. J. O'Brien to S. K. June 30, 1903." The body of her husband was lost after being brought to the surface of the water. The line fouled and the body was carried away by the strong current. It is believed that nothing more can be done in the way of recovering bodies until the weather conditions improve.



TO THE BUSINESS MEN OF BUTTE

YOUR firm name in this list will be seen and discussed by every member of the family. If you seek the patronage of the workers, make sure of first getting their good-will by advertising in their paper—the only paper in Butte that is published in the interests of your customers.

NOT THE LARGEST CIRCULATION BUT THE LARGEST PROVEN RESULTS Wage-Earners' Shopping Guide

Grid of advertisements for various services including Auto Repair Shops, Clothing and Tailoring for Men, Haberdasher, Pool Rooms, Restaurants, Real Estate, Shoemakers, etc.