# Daily Appeal.

MEMPHIS.

FRIDAY, MAY 18, 1860.

Reading Matter on Every Page.

Largest Circulation in the City

CIRCULATION OF DAILY APPEAL.....1,024
CIRCULATION OF SUNDAY EDITION.....2,200
CIRCULATION OF TRI-WEEKLY.....[illegible]
CIRCULATION OF WEEKLY.....3,800

SEE FIRST AND FOURTH PAGES.


TO THE DEMOCRACY OF THE 10th CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT:

In view of the fact that the Charleston convention has been adjourned to Baltimore, to meet on the 18th day of June, the undersigned, members of the central committee for West Tennessee, have thought proper to adjourn the convention for the purpose of nominating an elector for this district, from Grand Junction to Memphis, to meet on Wednesday the 11th day of July.

W M. H. CARROLL,
M. G. O'CLAVAN,
JNO. R. McCLANAHAN.

THE SECEDERS ARE NOT THE SOUTH.

We observe that a number of our northern Democratic exchanges are disposed to regard the conduct of the seceders at Charleston as a movement of the South. We beg to inform them differently. The secession movement at Charleston, when it comes to be well understood, will meet with but little sympathy from the great mass of the Southern people. It was a movement, disorganizing in its nature and anarchical in its tendency, and finds favor with principally a few aspiring politicians, who have nothing to lose, but everything to gain by a disruption of the Democratic party.

We beg to assure our northern Democratic friends that the South, as it ever has been, is still with them. Incratitude is one of those sins that can never be laid at the door of the southern people, and as long as they have the memory that the northern Democracy assisted them to annex Texas, pass the fugitive slave law and repeal the Missouri restriction, they will prove their loyalty whatever may be the course of the Yancyites or secessionists.

WHAT NEXT!

The politicians of the YANCEY secession school, having run nearly to their ropes' end in defense of the insane quibble upon which they made a pretext to desert the national Democracy at Charleston, are at least forced to the frail alternative of abusing the friends of Mr. DOUGLAS, because of their zealous and steadfast support of him throughout the whole proceedings of the convention. The cry is that the national Democrats are ready to sacrifice the party to the ambition of the man that Senator DOUGLAS has for many years been looking forward to the presidency as the ultimatum of his political career, and for that reason ought not to honored with the position. These pure politicians seem to have regarded those delegates in the convention who voted for Mr. DOUGLAS, as the puppets, the tools, the tricksters, the wire-workers, and altogether the pigeons of a great piece of machinery gotten up expressly to lift Mr. DOUGLAS into power. They are not prepared to see honesty, principle, or anything but corrupt sin in any of the adversaries to the success of the Illinois Senator. The whole argument is, that they urged him too strongly—tried to *force* him on the convention in spite of their wishes. Well it certainly is an outrage for a great big giant to crush a contemptive little weasel of a man; but when it comes to a Democratic convention, the case wears quite another aspect.

It has always been the recognized policy and universal practice of all parties in this Government to let majorities rule, and it usually turns out in Democratic conventions, that where there is a majority in favor of one man, that man gets the nomination. It has also been the practice of minorities (among the Democracy) when they find themselves unable to make a successful resistance, to come in and harmonize with the majority. It will be recollected that when Senator DOUGLAS, in 1856, found that Mr. BUCHANAN had a majority in the Cincinnati convention, he immediately telegraphed his friends to withdraw his name and vote as a unit for Mr. BUCHANAN. This magnanimity, it seems, was forgotten at Charleston. It is simply ridiculous, then, for the secessionists to try out that the friends of Mr. DOUGLAS tried to force him upon the convention, when it is apparent beyond question that the sole effort was to *force him out* of the convention. They were not particular as to the platform—they would take anything, no matter what, so Mr. DOUGLAS was not permitted to stand on it.

We scarcely know what will be the next move of these chivalrous gentlemen, but presume that the *ever fruitful* imagination of the brilliant leader, Mr. YANCEY, will soon trump up something upon which they can float out or the scrape with at least as much grace as they floated in.

Letter from the Hon. A. H. Wright.

HAMES OF OUITANALA (near Rome.) }
May 7, 1860. }

*Messrs. Robert Collins, John J. Gresham and others:*

GENTLEMEN: Your letter, asking my views "candidly and promptly, at the alarming state of things developed in the Democratic convention at Charleston," was delayed some days. I feel complimented in your request, coming as it does, from 81 representatives of one of the most intelligent and patriotic communities in the State.

I fully concur in the sentiments of your letter: "The discord and disorganizing spirit which prevailed in the Democratic convention at Charleston," and which delayed today, and I feel complimented in your request, coming as it does, from 81 representatives of one of the most intelligent and patriotic communities in the State.

I fully concur in the sentiments of your letter: "The discord and disorganizing spirit which prevailed in the Democratic convention at Charleston," and which delayed today, and will prevent in the future, the success of the party, and as such ought to be supported. The Democratic party contains not within its ranks a truer, a greater, or a braver man than he honors her banner to victory in a hundred battles.

With the great leading principles of the Cincinnati platform, "non-intervention by Congress with the subject of slavery in the States and Territories and District of Columbia," he will support in the fullest extent, before or of Black-Republicans and revolutionists. I most heartily concur, however, in the sentiment that the State ought to be represented at Baltimore.

Let us move harmoniously if we can. The mission of the Democracy is the safety of the South, and the salvation of the Union. May the God of our country, the God of truth and right, guide her councils and enable her to accomplish her work.

With highest consideration,
Your fellow-citizen,
AUGUSTUS R. WRIGHT.

THE BALL ROLLING IN MISSISSIPPI.

The Democracy are moving in Mississippi to appoint delegates to a new convention. The following call has been issued:

Whereas, the late convention of the national Democratic party, lately held in Charleston, having failed to nominate candidates for President and Vice-President, and with a view to the performance of duty Love adjourned to meet in Baltimore on the 18th of June, and

Whereas, the representatives of the State of Mississippi, delegated to represent her in said convention, believing it in her duty to abandon such trust, and, as the national Democracy, by withdrawing from said convention, and resolving themselves into an independent body with a view to organize another party, to be known as the "Constitutional Democratic party," and

Whereas, such of the citizens of the national Democratic party as reside in Mississippi will be without representation in the adjourned convention to be held at Baltimore, unless they take action to supply the vacancy created by the abandonment of them and their party by those here often called to represent them, therefore,

*Resolved*, That the national old line Democrats and all favorable to the continued organization of the Union Democracy, and all those who feel an interest in the perpetuity of our institutions, as they now exist, are called to attend a meeting at the court-house, on Saturday, 12 inst., to elect delegates to meet at Jackson, to be held pro-rata on 6th June.

Columbus, Miss., May 5, 1860.

STANDS BY THE CONSTITUTION.—"Much abused, much misrepresented and most cordially hated as the Hon. S. A. Douglas is by extremists of all sections and of all complexions, he has planted himself," says the Petersburg (Va.) *Press*, "upon and defended against all comers the constitutional rights of the people, north, south, North, South, East and West. With the comprehensive sweep of a real statesman and true patriot, his mighty intellect ranges over the entire confederacy. Taking his motto, 'Let the people of the Constitution, 'We the people of the United States,' he stands by the constitutional Government and the rights of the people, from one end of the confederacy to the other. And this, we say, is the doctrine of the national Democratic party, the firm maintenance of which is our judgment, alone can preserve and perpetuate the Union of these States."

THE LAST OF THE KNOW-NOTHINGS.—Ex-President Fillmore, the last great Know-Nothing leader and joiner of Southern institutions when he was a candidate for the Presidency, has gone over to the Black-Republicans. South in the minority, and the report is positive that he is true to the Buffalo *Express*, which says the Mr. Fillmore "found that he was taken the stumps in favor of S-ward, if the little anti-Mason gets the nomination.—*N. Y. Herald.*

LETTER FROM HIRAM WARNER.

MACON, GA., May 5, 1860.

SIR: We are alarmed by the state of things developed in the Democratic convention at Charleston. The discord and disorganizing spirit which prevailed there threatens the ruin of our country. The Democratic party is the conservative party of the Union. Without it, the Union is in danger. Our safety depends upon the success of the Democratic party being strengthened in the house of its friends—a catastrophe which will put in peril the union of the States and the safety of the South. Clinging to the fate and fortunes of both, we invoke your counsels in this crisis. We believe the Democracy of Georgia should be represented in the adjourned national convention at Baltimore. Will you please give us your views candidly and promptly for publication.

Your friends and fellow-citizens,
ROBERT COLLINS,
JOHN J. GRESHAM,
J. W. ARMSTRONG,
JAMES DEAN,
JOHN B. ROSS,
PERRYMAN S. HOEY,
A. R. COCHRAN,
W. K. DEGRAFFENREID,
SAMUEL B. HUNTER,
JOSEPH GRESHAM,
THOMAS L. ROSS,
JAMES A. NISBET,
WILLIAM LOWRY.

GREENVILLE, May 9, 1860.

GENTLEMEN: I am in receipt of yours of the 5th inst., in which you state that you "are alarmed by the state of things developed in the Democratic convention at Charleston, a catastrophe which will put in equal peril, the Union of these States and the safety of the South." This state of things, as you are well aware, was not precipitated upon the country by my action in that convention, which I believe to be in perfect accordance with the delegates from Georgia. My duty as a delegate in that convention, in my judgment, required me to act within the Democratic organization of the Union, and not outside of it. That there is just cause for alarm, and painful forebodings for the future on your part, must be admitted by all, even the most casual observer of passing events. While I have not been of those most sanguine of the perpetuity of the Federal Union, in view of the Black-Republican sectional organization of the North; yet the preservation on the basis of the Constitution, demands the honest effort of every patriot in the land. If dissolution and disruption of the ties that bind us together, must come, let it be for some just cause, that will unite our people in one solid phalanx; for such cause as will justify our action in the face of the civilized world, and command the sanction and approval of our whole people. It is not by any means improbable that such an event may happen, but it is the clear duty of every patriot citizen, to avert that state of things, by making every honest effort consistent with his honor and fidelity to the Constitution.

It has since been my fixed and settled convictions that the preservation of the national Democratic party affords the best and safest guaranty for the preservation of the Union as our fathers made it—that great constitutional compromise, framed by Jefferson, and maintained by so many of our illustrious statesmen, by whom the Federal Government has been for most of the time, administered.

What shall the Democratic party of Georgia do in the present crisis—this is the question to be answered, and answered now. Shall the Democratic party of Georgia follow the secession party under the lead of Mr. YANCEY, of Alabama, or will they adhere to the Democratic organization of the Union, which has conferred so many benefits, and produced so many glorious results to our common country in the past, and which constitutes our only hope for the future?

What is the project for this secession movement on the part of Mr YANCEY and his followers, this bold attempt to destroy the Democratic party of the Union? The admirable speech of Mr. Ashmore, of South Carolina, refused to adopt a resolution which they demanded, for the protection of slave property in the Territories as part of the platform. Let us examine the resolution so demanded and finally adopted by the seceders in their convention, and compare it with the platform which a majority of the Charleston convention, would have nominated, from the eloquent speech of Mr. Ashmore, as he was called, reported by Mr. Samuels, of Iowa.

The second resolution adopted by the seceders in their Convention, exhibits the extent and character of their demand for protection, and is in the following words: "Resolved, That it is the duty of the Federal Government, in all its departments, to protect, when necessary, the rights of persons and property in the Territories, and wherever else is constitutional, authority extends." This resolution, distinctly avers the doctrine of congressional *interference* with slave property, provide the word "*property*" was intended to include slave property. The Republicans of the Department of the Federal Government, and the resolution asserts, "that it is the duty of the Federal Government, in *all its departments*