

HENNESSY'S MURDER.

Nineteen Italians Arraigned for It in New Orleans. An Extraordinary Eligibility. Serious Damage by Fire in Different Cities.

PROTECTING BANK DEPOSITS.

CHIEF HENNESSY'S MURDER. Nineteen Italians Arraigned in Court in New Orleans.

PARIS, Dec. 22.—The L'Anverser today publishes a statement to the effect that one of the jurymen who tried Eryand and Gabrielle Bonpard was a bankrupt, which, says that newspaper, ipso facto annuls the verdict.

DESTROYED BY FIRE.

St. Bernard's Catholic Church in New York—A Skating Rink Burned in Chicago.

NEW YORK, Dec. 22.—St. Bernard's Catholic Church, on 14th street, near 9th avenue, was totally destroyed by fire this morning between 7 and 8 o'clock. The loss is estimated at \$75,000.

CHICAGO, Dec. 22.—The Casino Skating Rink, at the corner of 34th and State streets, was totally destroyed by fire this morning between 7 and 8 o'clock. The loss is estimated at \$100,000.

NEW YORK, Dec. 22.—Daniel Wagner's bakery on 14th street, near 9th avenue, was destroyed by fire this morning between 7 and 8 o'clock. The loss is estimated at \$100,000.

NEW YORK, Dec. 22.—A later estimate places the loss of yesterday's fire at \$1,000,000. A report is still current, though contradicted, that the fire was caused by a man in a red coat who had been following the fire.

LAMONT ESCAPES DEATH.

Sentenced to Prison for Life for Murder in the Second Degree.

CANANDAIGUA, N.Y., Dec. 22.—After deliberation of nine hours the jury on the Lamont case at Canandaigua, N.Y., this morning, returned a verdict of murder in the second degree. In answer to the questions of Clerk Mark Lamont stated that he was twenty-eight years old, a farmer, had received religious instruction and had never before been convicted of any crime.

OUT OF FUNDS.

The National League of Great Britain in Financial Straits.

LONDON, Dec. 22.—The following circular has been addressed to the "organizers of the national league of Great Britain" from the "central office": "The officials of the organization are informed that owing to the present financial position of the league it is probable that the services of most, if not all, of the officials will have to be dispensed with."

THE DAY IN VIENNA.

A Scene of Enchantment Presented in the Austrian Capital.

Dunlap's Cable Dispatch to the Evening Star.

NEW HAVEN, Conn., Dec. 22.—It is announced that Justice Brewer of Kansas will deliver the oration at the commencement exercises of the Yale Law School next June.

Narrow Escape From Disaster.

ALBANY, N.Y., Dec. 22.—A narrow escape from a serious wreck and attendant loss of life occurred on the Saratoga division of the Delaware and Hudson canal.

Shot by His Friend.

MORRIS, La., Dec. 22.—E. F. Frost of Mississippi was shot and killed yesterday by his friend, F. H. Frost, who pointed a pistol at him, thinking it was not loaded.

Claims a Part of Bloomington.

BLOOMINGTON, Ill., Dec. 22.—A man representing himself to be a son of Chas. Kellogg, formerly of this county, asserts his title to a large tract of land in the city of this county. He says that his father in 1856 went to Matanzas, Cuba, without conveying the title to the land, that it was afterwards sold to Chas. Kellogg, who will take steps to recover the property.

Released Transfer of Headquarters.

SAULT LAKE CITY, Utah, Dec. 22.—The editor of the Tribune, upon being questioned concerning the release of a man, who had been transferred to Mexico, said nothing was known of it here.

TO PROTECT THE PUBLIC.

A Motion to Revoke the Certificate Has Caused by the Failure of the Bank.

CHICAGO, Dec. 22.—S. A. Keen & Co.'s failure is likely to result in a measure that will benefit the community at large. Inasmuch as the failure of any bank, whether national, state or private, is apt to produce a feeling of general distrust, especially in times of stringency of credit.

DISTRICT DAY IN THE HOUSE.

Several Measures of Local Interest Brought Up and Passed.

OBJECTION MADE TO THE ACT PREVENTING POLICE-MAN POWLER'S WIDOW—DISCUSSION OF THE WASHINGTON AND ARLINGTON RAILROAD COMPANY'S CHARTER.

Today in the House was District Day. Considerable time was devoted to a motion to amend the bill for the approval of the Journal. A conference report then demanded attention and it was nearly 1 o'clock before Mr. Groat obtained the floor.

The first bill called up was the one authorizing the Commissioners to grant to the Veterans' Union Firemen's Association use of certain property in the District of Columbia. The lot is in square 141 at the corner of 19th and H streets northwest and the house was used formerly as an engine house. The House adopted the bill by a vote of 220 yeas to 100 nays.

FINANCIAL AND COMMERCIAL.

New York Stock Market.

Table with columns: Name, C, O, C, Name, C, O, C. Lists various stocks and their prices.

Washington Stock Exchange.

Table with columns: Name, C, O, C, Name, C, O, C. Lists various stocks and their prices.

Washington Stock Exchange.

Table with columns: Name, C, O, C, Name, C, O, C. Lists various stocks and their prices.

Washington Stock Exchange.

Table with columns: Name, C, O, C, Name, C, O, C. Lists various stocks and their prices.

Washington Stock Exchange.

Table with columns: Name, C, O, C, Name, C, O, C. Lists various stocks and their prices.

Washington Stock Exchange.

Table with columns: Name, C, O, C, Name, C, O, C. Lists various stocks and their prices.

Washington Stock Exchange.

Table with columns: Name, C, O, C, Name, C, O, C. Lists various stocks and their prices.

Washington Stock Exchange.

Table with columns: Name, C, O, C, Name, C, O, C. Lists various stocks and their prices.

Washington Stock Exchange.

Table with columns: Name, C, O, C, Name, C, O, C. Lists various stocks and their prices.

Washington Stock Exchange.

Table with columns: Name, C, O, C, Name, C, O, C. Lists various stocks and their prices.

Washington Stock Exchange.

Table with columns: Name, C, O, C, Name, C, O, C. Lists various stocks and their prices.

Washington Stock Exchange.

Table with columns: Name, C, O, C, Name, C, O, C. Lists various stocks and their prices.

THE AVENUE RAILROAD.

The Commissioners Report Against It. Some Changes Proposed by It.

HOW THEY PROPOSE TO MODIFY THE PENDING BILL TO AMEND THE CHARTER—REGISTRATION OF THE AVENUE RAILROAD COMPANY'S CHARTER—EAST WASHINGTON AND THE RAILROAD.

After considering the subject for several hours in the board room this morning the Commissioners reported to the House by Mr. Groat to House bill 12577, which authorizes a change in the charter of the Washington and Georgetown railroad.

The first change in the route asked for is commencing at New Jersey avenue and B street north, thence along the east front of the Capitol, thence along the east front of the Capitol, thence along the east front of the Capitol, thence along the east front of the Capitol.

The second change is as follows: Commencing at 14th and I streets northwest; thence west along I street to 15th street; thence south along 15th street to connect with its present tracks on said street.

The Commissioners are of the opinion that the change should not be allowed, but they believe that it is better to allow the change than to allow the company to leave their tracks on Pennsylvania avenue at the junction of 14th street and thence north along I street to 15th street.

Another route which would accommodate the street car line from the Capitol to the city would be to strike into Vermont avenue just below M street; thence along the avenue to 15th street and down 15th to the corner of Pennsylvania street and thence west along Pennsylvania street to connect with its present tracks on said street.

The change meets the approval of the Commissioners, but they have the honor to request that the officer in charge of the Washington and Georgetown railroad should make a report to the House on the subject.

This bill is especially demanded by the residents of Virginia. The route through the reservation, he explained, was subject to the approval of the Secretary of War.

The change meets the approval of the Commissioners, but they have the honor to request that the officer in charge of the Washington and Georgetown railroad should make a report to the House on the subject.

The change meets the approval of the Commissioners, but they have the honor to request that the officer in charge of the Washington and Georgetown railroad should make a report to the House on the subject.

The change meets the approval of the Commissioners, but they have the honor to request that the officer in charge of the Washington and Georgetown railroad should make a report to the House on the subject.

The change meets the approval of the Commissioners, but they have the honor to request that the officer in charge of the Washington and Georgetown railroad should make a report to the House on the subject.

The change meets the approval of the Commissioners, but they have the honor to request that the officer in charge of the Washington and Georgetown railroad should make a report to the House on the subject.

The change meets the approval of the Commissioners, but they have the honor to request that the officer in charge of the Washington and Georgetown railroad should make a report to the House on the subject.

The change meets the approval of the Commissioners, but they have the honor to request that the officer in charge of the Washington and Georgetown railroad should make a report to the House on the subject.

The change meets the approval of the Commissioners, but they have the honor to request that the officer in charge of the Washington and Georgetown railroad should make a report to the House on the subject.

The change meets the approval of the Commissioners, but they have the honor to request that the officer in charge of the Washington and Georgetown railroad should make a report to the House on the subject.

The change meets the approval of the Commissioners, but they have the honor to request that the officer in charge of the Washington and Georgetown railroad should make a report to the House on the subject.

The change meets the approval of the Commissioners, but they have the honor to request that the officer in charge of the Washington and Georgetown railroad should make a report to the House on the subject.

The change meets the approval of the Commissioners, but they have the honor to request that the officer in charge of the Washington and Georgetown railroad should make a report to the House on the subject.

The change meets the approval of the Commissioners, but they have the honor to request that the officer in charge of the Washington and Georgetown railroad should make a report to the House on the subject.

The change meets the approval of the Commissioners, but they have the honor to request that the officer in charge of the Washington and Georgetown railroad should make a report to the House on the subject.

The change meets the approval of the Commissioners, but they have the honor to request that the officer in charge of the Washington and Georgetown railroad should make a report to the House on the subject.

The change meets the approval of the Commissioners, but they have the honor to request that the officer in charge of the Washington and Georgetown railroad should make a report to the House on the subject.

The change meets the approval of the Commissioners, but they have the honor to request that the officer in charge of the Washington and Georgetown railroad should make a report to the House on the subject.

The change meets the approval of the Commissioners, but they have the honor to request that the officer in charge of the Washington and Georgetown railroad should make a report to the House on the subject.

The change meets the approval of the Commissioners, but they have the honor to request that the officer in charge of the Washington and Georgetown railroad should make a report to the House on the subject.

The change meets the approval of the Commissioners, but they have the honor to request that the officer in charge of the Washington and Georgetown railroad should make a report to the House on the subject.

The change meets the approval of the Commissioners, but they have the honor to request that the officer in charge of the Washington and Georgetown railroad should make a report to the House on the subject.

The change meets the approval of the Commissioners, but they have the honor to request that the officer in charge of the Washington and Georgetown railroad should make a report to the House on the subject.

WARD'S MENTAL CONDITION.

Dr. Kemper Says He Was Crazed by Drink. Highest of all in Leavening Power.—U. S. Gov't Report, Aug. 17, 1889.

A new week in the Ward trial was commenced today at an interesting stage of the proceedings. The court was thronged with spectators, notable among them being Mr. J. F. Thompson and his wife, who were there and also Surgeon General Hamilton of the marine hospital service, who has been summoned by the defense and whose testimony will be offered in support of the theory of the defense that the operation performed on Adler was not beneficial.

In considering the propositions contained in the bill before us, while our first thoughts have been for the interest of the people at large, we have not forgotten that the company will have to bear the expense of the construction of its road within the next year and that only a year ago they called 7th street at a large expense. The work was well done, no expense being spared in the construction of the road, and the system as perfect as possible, and we have every reason to believe that the coming work will be undertaken in the same liberal spirit.

The legislative situation seems to be subject of every shade and variety of complication. The anti-sliver men, without regard to their opinion of the election bill, are now playing a game of bluff. It is their purpose to delay the bill until the adjournment, the understanding being that if this is done the President will "pocket" the bill and thus defeat it without using the veto. The force at work to compel the abandonment of the election bill is the silver element of Congress.

It is proposed now to give new life to the discussion of the election bill and to arouse such a feeling of irritation as to make it difficult for any republican to revolt from his party on a vote to lay aside. The opening of the new proposition of party discipline in this matter is working the republicans up to a fighting humor.

It is proposed now to give new life to the discussion of the election bill and to arouse such a feeling of irritation as to make it difficult for any republican to revolt from his party on a vote to lay aside. The opening of the new proposition of party discipline in this matter is working the republicans up to a fighting humor.

It is proposed now to give new life to the discussion of the election bill and to arouse such a feeling of irritation as to make it difficult for any republican to revolt from his party on a vote to lay aside. The opening of the new proposition of party discipline in this matter is working the republicans up to a fighting humor.

It is proposed now to give new life to the discussion of the election bill and to arouse such a feeling of irritation as to make it difficult for any republican to revolt from his party on a vote to lay aside. The opening of the new proposition of party discipline in this matter is working the republicans up to a fighting humor.

It is proposed now to give new life to the discussion of the election bill and to arouse such a feeling of irritation as to make it difficult for any republican to revolt from his party on a vote to lay aside. The opening of the new proposition of party discipline in this matter is working the republicans up to a fighting humor.

It is proposed now to give new life to the discussion of the election bill and to arouse such a feeling of irritation as to make it difficult for any republican to revolt from his party on a vote to lay aside. The opening of the new proposition of party discipline in this matter is working the republicans up to a fighting humor.

It is proposed now to give new life to the discussion of the election bill and to arouse such a feeling of irritation as to make it difficult for any republican to revolt from his party on a vote to lay aside. The opening of the new proposition of party discipline in this matter is working the republicans up to a fighting humor.

It is proposed now to give new life to the discussion of the election bill and to arouse such a feeling of irritation as to make it difficult for any republican to revolt from his party on a vote to lay aside. The opening of the new proposition of party discipline in this matter is working the republicans up to a fighting humor.

It is proposed now to give new life to the discussion of the election bill and to arouse such a feeling of irritation as to make it difficult for any republican to revolt from his party on a vote to lay aside. The opening of the new proposition of party discipline in this matter is working the republicans up to a fighting humor.

It is proposed now to give new life to the discussion of the election bill and to arouse such a feeling of irritation as to make it difficult for any republican to revolt from his party on a vote to lay aside. The opening of the new proposition of party discipline in this matter is working the republicans up to a fighting humor.

It is proposed now to give new life to the discussion of the election bill and to arouse such a feeling of irritation as to make it difficult for any republican to revolt from his party on a vote to lay aside. The opening of the new proposition of party discipline in this matter is working the republicans up to a fighting humor.

It is proposed now to give new life to the discussion of the election bill and to arouse such a feeling of irritation as to make it difficult for any republican to revolt from his party on a vote to lay aside. The opening of the new proposition of party discipline in this matter is working the republicans up to a fighting humor.

It is proposed now to give new life to the discussion of the election bill and to arouse such a feeling of irritation as to make it difficult for any republican to revolt from his party on a vote to lay aside. The opening of the new proposition of party discipline in this matter is working the republicans up to a fighting humor.

It is proposed now to give new life to the discussion of the election bill and to arouse such a feeling of irritation as to make it difficult for any republican to revolt from his party on a vote to lay aside. The opening of the new proposition of party discipline in this matter is working the republicans up to a fighting humor.

It is proposed now to give new life to the discussion of the election bill and to arouse such a feeling of irritation as to make it difficult for any republican to revolt from his party on a vote to lay aside. The opening of the new proposition of party discipline in this matter is working the republicans up to a fighting humor.

It is proposed now to give new life to the discussion of the election bill and to arouse such a feeling of irritation as to make it difficult for any republican to revolt from his party on a vote to lay aside. The opening of the new proposition of party discipline in this matter is working the republicans up to a fighting humor.

It is proposed now to give new life to the discussion of the election bill and to arouse such a feeling of irritation as to make it difficult for any republican to revolt from his party on a vote to lay aside. The opening of the new proposition of party discipline in this matter is working the republicans up to a fighting humor.

It is proposed now to give new life to the discussion of the election bill and to arouse such a feeling of irritation as to make it difficult for any republican to revolt from his party on a vote to lay aside. The opening of the new proposition of party discipline in this matter is working the republicans up to a fighting humor.

It is proposed now to give new life to the discussion of the election bill and to arouse such a feeling of irritation as to make it difficult for any republican to revolt from his party on a vote to lay aside. The opening of the new proposition of party discipline in this matter is working the republicans up to a fighting humor.

It is proposed now to give new life to the discussion of the election bill and to arouse such a feeling of irritation as to make it difficult for any republican to revolt from his party on a vote to lay aside. The opening of the new proposition of party discipline in this matter is working the republicans up to a fighting humor.

It is proposed now to give new life to the discussion of the election bill and to arouse such a feeling of irritation as to make it difficult for any republican to revolt from his party on a vote to lay aside. The opening of the new proposition of party discipline in this matter is working the republicans up to a fighting humor.

It is proposed now to give new life to the discussion of the election bill and to arouse such a feeling of irritation as to make it difficult for any republican to revolt from his party on a vote to lay aside. The opening of the new proposition of party discipline in this matter is working the republicans up to a fighting humor.

It is proposed now to give new life to the discussion of the election bill and to arouse such a feeling of irritation as to make it difficult for any republican to revolt from his party on a vote to lay aside. The opening of the new proposition of party discipline in this matter is working the republicans up to a fighting humor.

It is proposed now to give new life to the discussion of the election bill and to arouse such a feeling of irritation as to make it difficult for any republican to revolt from his party on a vote to lay aside. The opening of the new proposition of party discipline in this matter is working the republicans up to a fighting humor.

It is proposed now to give new life to the discussion of the election bill and to arouse such a feeling of irritation as to make it difficult for any republican to revolt from his party on a vote to lay aside. The opening of the new proposition of party discipline in this matter is working the republicans up to a fighting humor.

It is proposed now to give new life to the discussion of the election bill and to arouse such a feeling of irritation as to make it difficult for any republican to revolt from his party on a vote to lay aside. The opening of the new proposition of party discipline in this matter is working the republicans up to a fighting humor.

It is proposed now to give new life to the discussion of the election bill and to arouse such a feeling of irritation as to make it difficult for any republican to revolt from his party on a vote to lay aside. The opening of the new proposition of party discipline in this matter is working the republicans up to a fighting humor.

THE WIFE CANNOT TESTIFY.

The Supreme Court Makes an Important Decision in a Polygamy Case. The Supreme Court of the United States today rendered an important decision in a Mormon polygamy case, holding that a wife is not a competent witness against her husband in a criminal case.

The former wife's testimony was the only direct evidence produced, and it was upon her testimony that Barrett's conviction was secured. Had Barrett's conviction been secured upon the testimony of his first wife, from whom he had been divorced after he took a second wife.

The former wife's testimony was the only direct evidence produced, and it was upon her testimony that Barrett's conviction was secured. Had Barrett's conviction been secured upon the testimony of his first wife, from whom he had been divorced after he took a second wife.

The former wife's testimony was the only direct evidence produced, and it was upon her testimony that Barrett's conviction was secured. Had Barrett's conviction been secured upon the testimony of his first wife, from whom he had been divorced after he took a second wife.

The former wife's testimony was the only direct evidence produced, and it was upon her testimony that Barrett's conviction was secured. Had Barrett's conviction been secured upon the testimony of his first wife, from whom he had been divorced after he took a second wife.

The former wife's testimony was the only direct evidence produced, and it was upon her testimony that Barrett's conviction was secured. Had Barrett's conviction been secured upon the testimony of his first wife, from whom he had been divorced after he took a second wife.

The former wife's testimony was the only direct evidence produced, and it was upon her testimony that Barrett's conviction was secured. Had Barrett's conviction been secured upon the testimony of his first wife, from whom he had been divorced after he took a second wife.

The former wife's testimony was the only direct evidence produced, and it was upon her testimony that Barrett's conviction was secured. Had Barrett's conviction been secured upon the testimony of his first wife, from whom he had been divorced after he took a second wife.

The former wife's testimony was the only direct evidence produced, and it was upon her testimony that Barrett's conviction was secured. Had Barrett's conviction been secured upon the testimony of his first wife, from whom he had been divorced after he took a second wife.

The former wife's testimony was the only direct evidence produced, and it was upon her testimony that Barrett's conviction was secured. Had Barrett's conviction been secured upon the testimony of his first wife, from whom he had been divorced after he took a second wife.

The former wife's testimony was the only direct evidence produced, and it was upon her testimony that Barrett's conviction was secured. Had Barrett's conviction been secured upon the testimony of his first wife, from whom he had been divorced after he took a second wife.

The former wife's testimony was the only direct evidence produced, and it was upon her testimony that Barrett's conviction was secured. Had Barrett's conviction been secured upon the testimony of his first wife, from whom he had been divorced after he took a second wife.

The former wife's testimony was the only direct evidence produced, and it was upon her testimony that Barrett's conviction was secured. Had Barrett's conviction been secured upon the testimony of his first wife, from whom he had been divorced after he took a second wife.

The former wife's testimony was the only direct evidence produced, and it was upon her testimony that Barrett's conviction was secured. Had Barrett's conviction been secured upon the testimony of his first wife, from whom he had been divorced after he took a second wife.

The former wife's testimony was the only direct evidence produced, and it was upon her testimony that Barrett's conviction was secured. Had Barrett's conviction been secured upon the testimony of his first wife, from whom he had been divorced after he took a second wife.

The former wife's testimony was the only direct evidence produced, and it was upon her testimony that Barrett's conviction was secured. Had Barrett's conviction been secured upon the testimony of his first wife, from whom he had been divorced after he took a second wife.

The former wife's testimony was the only direct evidence produced, and it was upon her testimony that Barrett's conviction was secured. Had Barrett's conviction been secured upon the testimony of his first wife, from whom he had been divorced after he took a second wife.

The former wife's testimony was the only direct evidence produced, and it was upon her testimony that Barrett's conviction was secured. Had Barrett's conviction been secured upon the testimony of his first wife, from whom he had been divorced after he took a second wife.

The former wife's testimony was the only direct evidence produced, and it was upon her testimony that Barrett's conviction was secured. Had Barrett's conviction been secured upon the testimony of his first wife, from whom he had been divorced after he took a second wife.

The former wife's testimony was the only direct evidence produced, and it was upon her testimony that Barrett's conviction was secured. Had Barrett's conviction been secured upon the testimony of his first wife, from whom he had been divorced after he took a second wife.

The former wife's testimony was the only direct evidence produced, and it was upon her testimony that Barrett's conviction was secured. Had Barrett's conviction been secured upon the testimony of his first wife, from whom he had been divorced after he took a second wife.

The former wife's testimony was the only direct evidence produced, and it was upon her testimony that Barrett's conviction was secured. Had Barrett's conviction been secured upon the testimony of his first wife, from whom he had been divorced after he took a second wife.

The former wife's testimony was the only direct evidence produced, and it was upon her testimony that Barrett's conviction was secured. Had Barrett's conviction been secured upon the testimony of his first wife, from whom he had been divorced after he took a second wife.

The former wife's testimony was the only direct evidence produced, and it was upon her testimony that Barrett's conviction was secured. Had Barrett's conviction been secured upon the testimony of his first wife, from whom he had been divorced after he took a second wife.

The former wife's testimony was the only direct evidence produced, and it was upon her testimony that Barrett's conviction was secured. Had Barrett's conviction been secured upon the testimony of his first wife, from whom he had been divorced after he took a second wife.

The former wife's testimony was the only direct evidence produced, and it was upon her testimony that Barrett's conviction was secured. Had Barrett's conviction been secured upon the testimony of his first wife, from whom he had been divorced after he took a second wife.

The former wife's testimony was the only direct evidence produced, and it was upon her testimony that Barrett's conviction was secured. Had Barrett's conviction been secured upon the testimony of his first wife, from whom he had been divorced after he took a second wife.

The former wife's testimony was the only direct evidence produced, and it was upon her testimony that Barrett's conviction was secured. Had Barrett's conviction been secured upon the testimony of his first wife, from whom he had been divorced after he took a second wife.

The former wife's testimony was the only direct evidence produced, and it was upon her testimony that Barrett's conviction was secured. Had Barrett's conviction been secured upon the testimony of his first wife, from whom he had been divorced after he took a second wife.

The former wife's testimony was the only direct evidence produced, and it was upon her testimony that Barrett's conviction was secured. Had Barrett's conviction been secured upon the testimony of his first wife, from whom he had been divorced after he took a second wife.

The former wife's testimony was the only direct evidence produced, and it was upon her testimony that Barrett's conviction was secured. Had Barrett's conviction been secured upon the testimony of his first wife, from whom he had been divorced after he took a second wife.

The former wife's testimony was the only direct evidence produced, and it was upon her testimony that Barrett's conviction was secured. Had Barrett's conviction been secured upon the testimony of his first wife, from whom he had been divorced after he took a second wife.