

point to those men and say: "There is unionism for you."

They condemn unions on account of the action of some of our leaders who have been tempted to go against us. Do they condemn Christianity or the teachings of Christ because he had a betrayer amongst his own disciples? Now, don't you think the worker who wants to be on the square must put up a hard fight for life? Let's honor him as much as we can when his fight is over. Don't cut out Sunday funerals.—H. E. Scheck, 4725 N. Central Pk. av.

#### LOOP WORKERS' LUNCH.

Wage slaves, and I am one, want to know how easy it will be to gain independence, today and not tomorrow, by a system of co-operative ownership.

Several thousand people working in the loop read The Day Book. We all want the same thing — more money. We can't get a raise and there is no one to organize us. We spend an average of 60 cents a day for meals; 1,000 of us spend \$600 a day, approximately \$180,000 a working year.

One thousand could organize a co-operative society and then buy a cafeteria, costing each of us not more than \$20 each. Those who could not save \$20 could borrow part and put up their share as security. Now we eat in our own place, we benefit by the profits, we are employers and the profits we can reinvest in other lines of co-operative business like this. We have the collective brains trained to make money for the bosses, to help put on an automatic system and give us all a square deal.

When you have demonstrated this system to the thousands of other wage slaves they will pay you well and can afford to pay to have you work as an organizer. I have no plans to sell or any paid office to seek, but I have a plan worked out in detail to suggest and a will and a

heart to work with you, for the loop is the wage slaves' gold mine. Any sensible wage slaves can change conditions without waiting to vote or waiting until all the workers wake up.—E. Hamilton Webster, Berwyn.

#### BREVITY, GOOD! BUT LETTER TOO LONG.—Brevity is the soul of The Day Book.

If one-tenth of the time spent in the company of the uneducated were spent with a dictionary there would be no illiteracy. And, personally, I am certain that I work physically as hard as anybody and yet have grounds for considering my vocabulary and general knowledge considerably above the average.

If it is in a man to want to get above the vision of the crowd he'll always find a chance in this age of understanding.

As I started to say, brevity is the soul of The Day Book, and if you want to crowd a lot of meaning into a small space then in choosing words consistently big or unusual are you insofar according with its policy? If in this advanced age a reader is too lazy to look up a word or too proud to ask its meaning, then he is working away from the real aim of The Day Book—enlightenment.

Any one who doesn't know how he stands economically, that is, that he belongs to one of the two divisions of mankind whose interests incessantly conflict—the employer and the employed—or better, the rulers and the ruled—then regardless of whatever else he knows he is of the most uneducated of persons. To him there can be no unity of thought if he tries to philosophize. His mind is a chaos of small inconsistencies. He is a rationalist in one department of his thinking, an empiricist in another and a philosophic compromiser or pragmatist in another. In other words, he's a lost soul until he knows his economic status, what his relations are to the rest of mankind. Although his vocabulary, or stock of