

Chicago in particular. Because I know that the sickness, suffering and misery they come in contact with appeals to their inner selves for practical aid and solution.

When parents do not follow their instructions and advice and care for their children accordingly, it is no wonder they cry out: "What will you do about it?"

Some parents are afraid to apply a soft brush to the throat and cleanse off the matter that gathers on the tonsils, or bathe an inflamed part with just water. Some have no faith in doctors, medicine or anything else and some are indifferent and lazy. While some children absolutely refuse any and all kinds of treatment, others submit good naturally and are helped.

And now you ask me, "What will you do about it?" Well, I will give a point blank answer and tell you there is nothing more for you to do but to draw your salary and go home and rest yourself after your hard day's work.

But if you want to follow me further, if you are not quite satisfied with my point blank conclusion, then come on. Help me construct, yes reconstruct my Utopia: Chicago a "City Beautiful," "Bellamy's Looking Backward," or anything any other name might convey, the idea of a community where sanitation, architecture, landscapes, discipline, manners and morals harmonized. Help me build this up, and I assure you, all of you, who are disgusted with the filth and disease in this city and others, that you will have a more agreeable occupation than the work you are now compelled to do and the misery you are compelled to witness daily.

Besides, the parents of these children you describe are not fit to rear children, according to physicians' testimony, because they work under unsanitary conditions, long hours, where the sun never shines, and live in the worst shacks that they call

homes, where the idea of care, health and sanitation never enters their brain because they have never seen or perhaps not heard of anything like that.

"What will you do about it?"—August Olson, 3216 Osgood st.

**WHY PROHIBITION?** — When a man receives pay for trying to eliminate what he thinks is evil and in so doing stirs criminal tendencies to action, I think it is wrong. Let me tell Mr. Sheck that I will not trust the sincerity of a man who tries to uplift drunkards when he is paid by the persons responsible for their condition.

Why pick drunkards for example when they are a very small per cent of the drinkers? Why not put everybody in jail because of the possibility of their becoming criminals. The sale of liquor does not make drunkards; it is the lack of self-control on behalf of the individual.

Here is some proof that drink is not the cause of crime: About 80 per cent of the adult male population of N. Y. state uses liquor. Of 3,000,000 adults in that state, 2,400,000 drink. In 1914, 19,293 males were sentenced, less than one per cent. Does drink keep 99 per cent virtuous? Men do not engage in burglary while drunk. It is impossible to conceive of men planning to commit forgery or grand larceny while intoxicated.

Extravagant wives and mothers have made more paupers than drink has made possible.

Kresge, owner of the 5 and 10-cent stores in Michigan, said if that state went dry it would increase the efficiency of his employees 25 per cent. Now that state is dry, has he increased the wages 25 per cent? Oh, no, because it would be from \$5 to \$6.25 a week for each employee.

This will show how the drys favor labor. Oregon voted dry by 136,842 votes, and the same people, at the same time, voted on universal 8-hour day, but only 49,360 in favor. The