

THE LAWYERS INVOLVED

B. A. Anderson Alleges Jury Bribers Came to Him.

WAKES SLEEPING SCANDAL.

Lightning Swears Attorney John W. Corson and Another Member of Bar Offered to "Fix" Jurors in Judge Benson's Court for \$150—Withholds Second Lawyer's Name—Sensational Developments Ahead

A sensational affidavit, which, it is alleged, will result in another well known member of the bar being drawn into Superior Judge Benson's jury bribery scandal for investigation by a court commission, has been made and will shortly be filed in the superior court.

B. Aaron Anderson, proprietor of the Bellevue hotel and a well known resident of North Seattle, is the signer of the document. In it he makes direct charges that in April last, shortly before the bribery scandal became public property, he was approached by a member of the bar, whose name he at present declines to divulge, and advised to retain Attorney John W. Corson to defend the case of John A. and Dorothea Wahlstrom vs. B. Aaron Anderson, then pending in Judge Benson's court, and was offered a verdict in his favor for \$150.

Anderson swears that he refused the offer and lost his case. He says he believes that the verdict against him was procured by his refusal to deal with the alleged "fixers," and on this ground makes the affidavit, which is in effect a petition to have the verdict and judgment based on it set aside as fraudulent and void.

Another lawyer implicated. The affidavit is chiefly of interest for the reason that if Anderson's statement is true another member of the bar besides Attorney Corson will be the subject of disbarment proceedings before the court commission. Street rumors for many weeks have linked the names of other men with that of Attorney Corson, but as neither of them are admitted lawyers Anderson's affidavit makes it appear that there is a fourth member of the alleged combination to control superior court jury verdicts.

Anderson in his affidavit sets out first the rendition of a judgment against him in the Wahlstrom case for \$57,500 and sets on June 4 after a trial had on April 11, in which the plaintiffs were represented by Attorneys Tucker and Hyland and he himself by Attorneys Gallinger, Russell & Battle, Herbert B. Huntley and S. S. Corliss. He then goes on to say:

"The petition or affidavit is signed that hereinafter stated that said judgment and the verdict upon which it is based is unjust, fraudulent and void and should be vacated and set aside for the following reasons: 'The day before this case was called for trial, at the time and on about the day of April, a certain attorney of this court, whom I do not wish to name, but whose name I repeat, came to my residence, the Bellevue hotel, and said he wished to introduce me to an attorney who wanted to talk to me about my case. After introducing the said attorney—John W. Corson—and after a few moments' conversation with the said attorney named Corson, he said to me privately about my case, that if I would give him \$150, he would see that I would win my case, and I am a friend of mine present here, and I am willing he should hear everything.'

"The first named attorney then said to me in a low tone of voice, in substance as follows: 'Now, Mr. Corson here can fix this matter for you for \$150—\$75 down and \$75 in thirty days, and you had better engage him.'

"I said to him: 'I am very sorry, but I do not wish to take the change attorneys have already engaged Huntley and Mr. Ronald. Mr. Corson had better lay his proposition before Mr. Huntley.'

"I made this remark to the said attorney in order to get a fuller statement from him and to make him believe I did not understand what he meant. He replied, in substance, that it was just the time to see the jury, but it would do no good to see the jury, as he would not consider my proposition like this. And he said: 'We will let Mr. Huntley try the case and Mr. Corson can fix it so you will win; but if you don't arrange with him the jury will clinch you.'

"About a week after the case was tried and the verdict rendered, I met one of the jurymen who sat upon the case, and he said to me in course of conversation that it was too bad, did not employ Corson in my case, as he wins all his cases in Judge Benson's court."

"I believe from these conversations, and from other information which I have since received, but do not wish to disclose in this petition, and from the action of this court in discharging the entire jury panel from which the jury was drawn that tried my case, for the reasons given by the court at the time of discharging said jury, that I am entitled to have the judgment and verdict in this case vacated and set aside."

"The defense of the defendant to this action is as set forth herein, and to which the defendant respectfully refers and, briefly stated, is: That the plaintiffs were lodged at the Bellevue hotel, conducted by the defendant, and that all the plaintiffs' goods retained by the defendant were retained by virtue of a landlord's lien, and were specially pledged by the plaintiffs for the payment of their hotel bill."

"Wherefore, your petitioner respectfully

OF LUCKY AND UNLUCKY.

His Bail Bond Ordered Forfeited by the Court.

WANTS TO MAKE AN APPEARANCE

Alleged Boston Jury Briber Does Not Keep His Promise to Appear in the Superior Court When Wanted—Massachusetts Officer Seversely Scores Judge Jacobs, Who, He Says, is Alone Responsible.

John E. Foye, the alleged Boston jury briber, yesterday morning failed to appear in Judge Jacobs' department of the superior court and was judicially pronounced a fugitive from justice, his bail declared forfeited and an order for his arrest issued.

"John E. Foye! John E. Foye! John E. Foye! Come into court." Three times Foye failed to appear at the time-honored formula in a voice that set the skylights of the court house to dancing. But only the echoes from the deserted corridors mocked him and the man from Boston appeared.

Inspector Morrissey, of the Boston police, who has been waiting weeks for the pleasure of Foye's company back to the old state of Massachusetts, yesterday pronounced in his seat, the judge looked impatient and Deputy Prosecuting Attorney Fulton wore a smile that seemed to say, "I told you so." Then Attorney John P. Dore rose to explain the absence of his client, Foye, whom he had confidently promised to have in court at 9:30 o'clock. Mr. Dore said he was sure that Foye had not run away, but was in the state and would appear in court at the earliest possible moment, possibly within a few hours.

The court, however, announced that the time for delay had expired, and on motion of Mr. Fulton declared Foye a fugitive and ordered his bonds forfeited on account of the failure of the sureties, B. J. Barnhardt and Ole Anderson, to have the bonds in court as agreed upon. The bonds are but \$500. No execution will issue against the bondsmen until this afternoon, in the hope that Foye may appear after all.

There is hardly a doubt now that Foye is a fugitive from Seattle. Even Inspector Morrissey, who has all along expressed his confidence in Foye's promise to appear, has rendered himself in case of losing the habeas corpus case in the supreme court, says he has no faith in a promise of Foye's to appear in court at 9:30 o'clock. He has himself up to denouncing the Seattle court for what he considers its ill-treatment of the commonwealth of Massachusetts. He blames the entire responsibility for Foye's flight upon Judge Jacobs, whom he criticizes first for allowing Foye to get out at all on bail, and second, for fixing the bond at that no bail be accepted, and that if accepted, it be fixed at a sum commensurate with Foye's alleged crime, which is a felony punishable by a long term of imprisonment.

Judge Jacobs refused in the Foye case, as he has in others as well, to listen to the contention of the prosecuting attorney's office that he was not allowable in habeas corpus cases, and if accepted at all, should be fixed high enough to preclude the possibility of his escaping.

The supreme court in the Foye case squarely reversed Judge Jacobs in the matter of bail bonds, ruling that the law was against him, and that he was in the prosecuting attorney's office that no bail be accepted, and that if accepted, it be fixed at a sum commensurate with Foye's alleged crime, which is a felony punishable by a long term of imprisonment.

Judge Jacobs refused in the Foye case, as he has in others as well, to listen to the contention of the prosecuting attorney's office that he was not allowable in habeas corpus cases, and if accepted at all, should be fixed high enough to preclude the possibility of his escaping.

The supreme court in the Foye case squarely reversed Judge Jacobs in the matter of bail bonds, ruling that the law was against him, and that he was in the prosecuting attorney's office that no bail be accepted, and that if accepted, it be fixed at a sum commensurate with Foye's alleged crime, which is a felony punishable by a long term of imprisonment.

Judge Jacobs refused in the Foye case, as he has in others as well, to listen to the contention of the prosecuting attorney's office that he was not allowable in habeas corpus cases, and if accepted at all, should be fixed high enough to preclude the possibility of his escaping.

The supreme court in the Foye case squarely reversed Judge Jacobs in the matter of bail bonds, ruling that the law was against him, and that he was in the prosecuting attorney's office that no bail be accepted, and that if accepted, it be fixed at a sum commensurate with Foye's alleged crime, which is a felony punishable by a long term of imprisonment.

Judge Jacobs refused in the Foye case, as he has in others as well, to listen to the contention of the prosecuting attorney's office that he was not allowable in habeas corpus cases, and if accepted at all, should be fixed high enough to preclude the possibility of his escaping.

The supreme court in the Foye case squarely reversed Judge Jacobs in the matter of bail bonds, ruling that the law was against him, and that he was in the prosecuting attorney's office that no bail be accepted, and that if accepted, it be fixed at a sum commensurate with Foye's alleged crime, which is a felony punishable by a long term of imprisonment.

Judge Jacobs refused in the Foye case, as he has in others as well, to listen to the contention of the prosecuting attorney's office that he was not allowable in habeas corpus cases, and if accepted at all, should be fixed high enough to preclude the possibility of his escaping.

The supreme court in the Foye case squarely reversed Judge Jacobs in the matter of bail bonds, ruling that the law was against him, and that he was in the prosecuting attorney's office that no bail be accepted, and that if accepted, it be fixed at a sum commensurate with Foye's alleged crime, which is a felony punishable by a long term of imprisonment.

FOYE DECLARED A FUGITIVE.

His Bail Bond Ordered Forfeited by the Court.

WANTS TO MAKE AN APPEARANCE

Alleged Boston Jury Briber Does Not Keep His Promise to Appear in the Superior Court When Wanted—Massachusetts Officer Seversely Scores Judge Jacobs, Who, He Says, is Alone Responsible.

John E. Foye, the alleged Boston jury briber, yesterday morning failed to appear in Judge Jacobs' department of the superior court and was judicially pronounced a fugitive from justice, his bail declared forfeited and an order for his arrest issued.

"John E. Foye! John E. Foye! John E. Foye! Come into court." Three times Foye failed to appear at the time-honored formula in a voice that set the skylights of the court house to dancing. But only the echoes from the deserted corridors mocked him and the man from Boston appeared.

Inspector Morrissey, of the Boston police, who has been waiting weeks for the pleasure of Foye's company back to the old state of Massachusetts, yesterday pronounced in his seat, the judge looked impatient and Deputy Prosecuting Attorney Fulton wore a smile that seemed to say, "I told you so." Then Attorney John P. Dore rose to explain the absence of his client, Foye, whom he had confidently promised to have in court at 9:30 o'clock. Mr. Dore said he was sure that Foye had not run away, but was in the state and would appear in court at the earliest possible moment, possibly within a few hours.

The court, however, announced that the time for delay had expired, and on motion of Mr. Fulton declared Foye a fugitive and ordered his bonds forfeited on account of the failure of the sureties, B. J. Barnhardt and Ole Anderson, to have the bonds in court as agreed upon. The bonds are but \$500. No execution will issue against the bondsmen until this afternoon, in the hope that Foye may appear after all.

There is hardly a doubt now that Foye is a fugitive from Seattle. Even Inspector Morrissey, who has all along expressed his confidence in Foye's promise to appear, has rendered himself in case of losing the habeas corpus case in the supreme court, says he has no faith in a promise of Foye's to appear in court at 9:30 o'clock. He has himself up to denouncing the Seattle court for what he considers its ill-treatment of the commonwealth of Massachusetts. He blames the entire responsibility for Foye's flight upon Judge Jacobs, whom he criticizes first for allowing Foye to get out at all on bail, and second, for fixing the bond at that no bail be accepted, and that if accepted, it be fixed at a sum commensurate with Foye's alleged crime, which is a felony punishable by a long term of imprisonment.

Judge Jacobs refused in the Foye case, as he has in others as well, to listen to the contention of the prosecuting attorney's office that he was not allowable in habeas corpus cases, and if accepted at all, should be fixed high enough to preclude the possibility of his escaping.

The supreme court in the Foye case squarely reversed Judge Jacobs in the matter of bail bonds, ruling that the law was against him, and that he was in the prosecuting attorney's office that no bail be accepted, and that if accepted, it be fixed at a sum commensurate with Foye's alleged crime, which is a felony punishable by a long term of imprisonment.

Judge Jacobs refused in the Foye case, as he has in others as well, to listen to the contention of the prosecuting attorney's office that he was not allowable in habeas corpus cases, and if accepted at all, should be fixed high enough to preclude the possibility of his escaping.

The supreme court in the Foye case squarely reversed Judge Jacobs in the matter of bail bonds, ruling that the law was against him, and that he was in the prosecuting attorney's office that no bail be accepted, and that if accepted, it be fixed at a sum commensurate with Foye's alleged crime, which is a felony punishable by a long term of imprisonment.

Judge Jacobs refused in the Foye case, as he has in others as well, to listen to the contention of the prosecuting attorney's office that he was not allowable in habeas corpus cases, and if accepted at all, should be fixed high enough to preclude the possibility of his escaping.

The supreme court in the Foye case squarely reversed Judge Jacobs in the matter of bail bonds, ruling that the law was against him, and that he was in the prosecuting attorney's office that no bail be accepted, and that if accepted, it be fixed at a sum commensurate with Foye's alleged crime, which is a felony punishable by a long term of imprisonment.

Judge Jacobs refused in the Foye case, as he has in others as well, to listen to the contention of the prosecuting attorney's office that he was not allowable in habeas corpus cases, and if accepted at all, should be fixed high enough to preclude the possibility of his escaping.

The supreme court in the Foye case squarely reversed Judge Jacobs in the matter of bail bonds, ruling that the law was against him, and that he was in the prosecuting attorney's office that no bail be accepted, and that if accepted, it be fixed at a sum commensurate with Foye's alleged crime, which is a felony punishable by a long term of imprisonment.

Judge Jacobs refused in the Foye case, as he has in others as well, to listen to the contention of the prosecuting attorney's office that he was not allowable in habeas corpus cases, and if accepted at all, should be fixed high enough to preclude the possibility of his escaping.

The supreme court in the Foye case squarely reversed Judge Jacobs in the matter of bail bonds, ruling that the law was against him, and that he was in the prosecuting attorney's office that no bail be accepted, and that if accepted, it be fixed at a sum commensurate with Foye's alleged crime, which is a felony punishable by a long term of imprisonment.

NEW LAWS WAS HE HELD?

On This, Depends Yama-sack's Chance of a Court Trial.

WANTS TO MAKE AN APPEARANCE

Alleged Boston Jury Briber Does Not Keep His Promise to Appear in the Superior Court When Wanted—Massachusetts Officer Seversely Scores Judge Jacobs, Who, He Says, is Alone Responsible.

John E. Foye, the alleged Boston jury briber, yesterday morning failed to appear in Judge Jacobs' department of the superior court and was judicially pronounced a fugitive from justice, his bail declared forfeited and an order for his arrest issued.

"John E. Foye! John E. Foye! John E. Foye! Come into court." Three times Foye failed to appear at the time-honored formula in a voice that set the skylights of the court house to dancing. But only the echoes from the deserted corridors mocked him and the man from Boston appeared.

Inspector Morrissey, of the Boston police, who has been waiting weeks for the pleasure of Foye's company back to the old state of Massachusetts, yesterday pronounced in his seat, the judge looked impatient and Deputy Prosecuting Attorney Fulton wore a smile that seemed to say, "I told you so." Then Attorney John P. Dore rose to explain the absence of his client, Foye, whom he had confidently promised to have in court at 9:30 o'clock. Mr. Dore said he was sure that Foye had not run away, but was in the state and would appear in court at the earliest possible moment, possibly within a few hours.

The court, however, announced that the time for delay had expired, and on motion of Mr. Fulton declared Foye a fugitive and ordered his bonds forfeited on account of the failure of the sureties, B. J. Barnhardt and Ole Anderson, to have the bonds in court as agreed upon. The bonds are but \$500. No execution will issue against the bondsmen until this afternoon, in the hope that Foye may appear after all.

There is hardly a doubt now that Foye is a fugitive from Seattle. Even Inspector Morrissey, who has all along expressed his confidence in Foye's promise to appear, has rendered himself in case of losing the habeas corpus case in the supreme court, says he has no faith in a promise of Foye's to appear in court at 9:30 o'clock. He has himself up to denouncing the Seattle court for what he considers its ill-treatment of the commonwealth of Massachusetts. He blames the entire responsibility for Foye's flight upon Judge Jacobs, whom he criticizes first for allowing Foye to get out at all on bail, and second, for fixing the bond at that no bail be accepted, and that if accepted, it be fixed at a sum commensurate with Foye's alleged crime, which is a felony punishable by a long term of imprisonment.

Judge Jacobs refused in the Foye case, as he has in others as well, to listen to the contention of the prosecuting attorney's office that he was not allowable in habeas corpus cases, and if accepted at all, should be fixed high enough to preclude the possibility of his escaping.

The supreme court in the Foye case squarely reversed Judge Jacobs in the matter of bail bonds, ruling that the law was against him, and that he was in the prosecuting attorney's office that no bail be accepted, and that if accepted, it be fixed at a sum commensurate with Foye's alleged crime, which is a felony punishable by a long term of imprisonment.

Judge Jacobs refused in the Foye case, as he has in others as well, to listen to the contention of the prosecuting attorney's office that he was not allowable in habeas corpus cases, and if accepted at all, should be fixed high enough to preclude the possibility of his escaping.

The supreme court in the Foye case squarely reversed Judge Jacobs in the matter of bail bonds, ruling that the law was against him, and that he was in the prosecuting attorney's office that no bail be accepted, and that if accepted, it be fixed at a sum commensurate with Foye's alleged crime, which is a felony punishable by a long term of imprisonment.

Judge Jacobs refused in the Foye case, as he has in others as well, to listen to the contention of the prosecuting attorney's office that he was not allowable in habeas corpus cases, and if accepted at all, should be fixed high enough to preclude the possibility of his escaping.

The supreme court in the Foye case squarely reversed Judge Jacobs in the matter of bail bonds, ruling that the law was against him, and that he was in the prosecuting attorney's office that no bail be accepted, and that if accepted, it be fixed at a sum commensurate with Foye's alleged crime, which is a felony punishable by a long term of imprisonment.

Judge Jacobs refused in the Foye case, as he has in others as well, to listen to the contention of the prosecuting attorney's office that he was not allowable in habeas corpus cases, and if accepted at all, should be fixed high enough to preclude the possibility of his escaping.

The supreme court in the Foye case squarely reversed Judge Jacobs in the matter of bail bonds, ruling that the law was against him, and that he was in the prosecuting attorney's office that no bail be accepted, and that if accepted, it be fixed at a sum commensurate with Foye's alleged crime, which is a felony punishable by a long term of imprisonment.

Judge Jacobs refused in the Foye case, as he has in others as well, to listen to the contention of the prosecuting attorney's office that he was not allowable in habeas corpus cases, and if accepted at all, should be fixed high enough to preclude the possibility of his escaping.

The supreme court in the Foye case squarely reversed Judge Jacobs in the matter of bail bonds, ruling that the law was against him, and that he was in the prosecuting attorney's office that no bail be accepted, and that if accepted, it be fixed at a sum commensurate with Foye's alleged crime, which is a felony punishable by a long term of imprisonment.

WORK ON CEDAR RIVER LINE.

Satisfactory Progress Being Made—What the Crews Are Doing.

WANTS TO MAKE AN APPEARANCE

Alleged Boston Jury Briber Does Not Keep His Promise to Appear in the Superior Court When Wanted—Massachusetts Officer Seversely Scores Judge Jacobs, Who, He Says, is Alone Responsible.

John E. Foye, the alleged Boston jury briber, yesterday morning failed to appear in Judge Jacobs' department of the superior court and was judicially pronounced a fugitive from justice, his bail declared forfeited and an order for his arrest issued.

"John E. Foye! John E. Foye! John E. Foye! Come into court." Three times Foye failed to appear at the time-honored formula in a voice that set the skylights of the court house to dancing. But only the echoes from the deserted corridors mocked him and the man from Boston appeared.

Inspector Morrissey, of the Boston police, who has been waiting weeks for the pleasure of Foye's company back to the old state of Massachusetts, yesterday pronounced in his seat, the judge looked impatient and Deputy Prosecuting Attorney Fulton wore a smile that seemed to say, "I told you so." Then Attorney John P. Dore rose to explain the absence of his client, Foye, whom he had confidently promised to have in court at 9:30 o'clock. Mr. Dore said he was sure that Foye had not run away, but was in the state and would appear in court at the earliest possible moment, possibly within a few hours.

The court, however, announced that the time for delay had expired, and on motion of Mr. Fulton declared Foye a fugitive and ordered his bonds forfeited on account of the failure of the sureties, B. J. Barnhardt and Ole Anderson, to have the bonds in court as agreed upon. The bonds are but \$500. No execution will issue against the bondsmen until this afternoon, in the hope that Foye may appear after all.

There is hardly a doubt now that Foye is a fugitive from Seattle. Even Inspector Morrissey, who has all along expressed his confidence in Foye's promise to appear, has rendered himself in case of losing the habeas corpus case in the supreme court, says he has no faith in a promise of Foye's to appear in court at 9:30 o'clock. He has himself up to denouncing the Seattle court for what he considers its ill-treatment of the commonwealth of Massachusetts. He blames the entire responsibility for Foye's flight upon Judge Jacobs, whom he criticizes first for allowing Foye to get out at all on bail, and second, for fixing the bond at that no bail be accepted, and that if accepted, it be fixed at a sum commensurate with Foye's alleged crime, which is a felony punishable by a long term of imprisonment.

Judge Jacobs refused in the Foye case, as he has in others as well, to listen to the contention of the prosecuting attorney's office that he was not allowable in habeas corpus cases, and if accepted at all, should be fixed high enough to preclude the possibility of his escaping.

The supreme court in the Foye case squarely reversed Judge Jacobs in the matter of bail bonds, ruling that the law was against him, and that he was in the prosecuting attorney's office that no bail be accepted, and that if accepted, it be fixed at a sum commensurate with Foye's alleged crime, which is a felony punishable by a long term of imprisonment.

Judge Jacobs refused in the Foye case, as he has in others as well, to listen to the contention of the prosecuting attorney's office that he was not allowable in habeas corpus cases, and if accepted at all, should be fixed high enough to preclude the possibility of his escaping.

The supreme court in the Foye case squarely reversed Judge Jacobs in the matter of bail bonds, ruling that the law was against him, and that he was in the prosecuting attorney's office that no bail be accepted, and that if accepted, it be fixed at a sum commensurate with Foye's alleged crime, which is a felony punishable by a long term of imprisonment.

Judge Jacobs refused in the Foye case, as he has in others as well, to listen to the contention of the prosecuting attorney's office that he was not allowable in habeas corpus cases, and if accepted at all, should be fixed high enough to preclude the possibility of his escaping.

The supreme court in the Foye case squarely reversed Judge Jacobs in the matter of bail bonds, ruling that the law was against him, and that he was in the prosecuting attorney's office that no bail be accepted, and that if accepted, it be fixed at a sum commensurate with Foye's alleged crime, which is a felony punishable by a long term of imprisonment.

Judge Jacobs refused in the Foye case, as he has in others as well, to listen to the contention of the prosecuting attorney's office that he was not allowable in habeas corpus cases, and if accepted at all, should be fixed high enough to preclude the possibility of his escaping.

The supreme court in the Foye case squarely reversed Judge Jacobs in the matter of bail bonds, ruling that the law was against him, and that he was in the prosecuting attorney's office that no bail be accepted, and that if accepted, it be fixed at a sum commensurate with Foye's alleged crime, which is a felony punishable by a long term of imprisonment.

Judge Jacobs refused in the Foye case, as he has in others as well, to listen to the contention of the prosecuting attorney's office that he was not allowable in habeas corpus cases, and if accepted at all, should be fixed high enough to preclude the possibility of his escaping.

The supreme court in the Foye case squarely reversed Judge Jacobs in the matter of bail bonds, ruling that the law was against him, and that he was in the prosecuting attorney's office that no bail be accepted, and that if accepted, it be fixed at a sum commensurate with Foye's alleged crime, which is a felony punishable by a long term of imprisonment.



TO PARENTS—COME IN TODAY

And see our line of BOYS' CLOTHING whether you care to buy or not. We give you the money that any other store. Anybody who has bought here will verify all that \$2.50, \$3, \$4, \$5, \$6. We cover the ground that boys from 7 to 16 require. Also same prices we have for boys, 3 to 10 years, Vestee and Sailor Suits in checked, fancy chevrons, etc.

Men's Suits.

We offer you a combination which cannot be beaten. The best for the least. We never misrepresent anything.

Men's Furnishings.

We guarantee a saving on every purchase in this line, and offer the best qualities that money can buy.

Negligee Shirts.

\$1.00 for men's imported and percale Negligee Shirts, the most up-to-date colorings.

75c for regular \$1.00 soft shirting.

\$2.00 for \$3.00 silk and linen shirts. In white and stripes. New "peaches" of fabric.

\$1.50 for full silk front shirts.

fine cambric bodies. In fancy corded stripes.

TODAY

We offer you choice of handsome cassimeres and worsteds in neat checks, plaids and fancy mixtures at

\$10

\$12

\$15

The increasing volume of trade this season shows that the people know where to come.



A MEDIUM TO BLAME.

Hubbard Says Thompson Stole His Wife's Affections.

A divorce suit which is attracting considerable attention at the courthouse, owing to the sensational testimony offered, was commenced yesterday by Ring-born before Special Judge W. V. Rinebo.

The plaintiff is Mrs. Emma Ross, who seeks a divorce from Albert Ross on the ground of cruelty. Mr. Ross, who has filed a cross-complaint, alleges that he is the injured party. He says that Louis Thompson, janitor at the Pioneer block, and also a medium, has alienated his wife's affections.

Mrs. Ross says that she is responsible for any trouble that may have occurred to jar the family relations.

Disturbed the Meeting. Louis Huey and J. C. Hencke were arrested last night on a charge of fighting. They engaged in a brawl in a Salvation Army meeting and were taken in charge by Special Policeman Haney.

A Summer Vacation. The great Shasta Route offers exceptional advantages to those desiring to visit San Francisco or other California points this summer. Low rates. Quick time. Grand scenery. Dining cars on all trains.

Excursion to Battleship. Steamer Mary F. Perley leaves Galbraith's dock today, 9:30 a. m., arriving back 2:30 p. m.; leaves again 4 p. m., arriving back 8:30 p. m., allowing ample time to visit the battleship. Fare, 50 cents. Leaves Sunday at 1 p. m.

An excellent remedy for insomnia will be found in the famous old Jesse Moore whisky taken at bedtime. Sold everywhere.

RELIABLE, quick and certain Nugget Express. Leaves tonight for Dawson, 112 Yeiser way.

Chinaware

If it's Up-to-Date Chinaware you want—Try Great American Importing Tea Co's

Have 100 Stores—That's Why—Quality so Good—Prices so Reasonable—300 Pike St.—SEATTLE—803 2nd Ave

GOLD DUST

The Best Washing Powder

GRAND handicap athletic carnival, J. M. C. A. park, 2:30 today. Admission, 5c.

RAILWAY NOTES. J. A. Nadson, general agent of the Northern Pacific, has gone to Vancouver. G. T. Heuser, passenger agent of the Yandeholt line, is in the city from Portland.

BANANAS 15 CENTS THE SEATTLE TRADING CO. 111 Occidental Ave.

SHAKE INTO YOUR SHOES. Allen's FOOT-EASE, a powder for the feet. It cures painful, swollen, smarting, nervous feet, and instantly takes the sting out of corns and bunions.

RECEIVED WHILE DYING A BOILER. Adeline K. McLeod files a suit in the superior court yesterday against Moran Bros. for \$1,500 damages on account of the death of her husband, Joseph J. McLeod.

WILL COME WEST IN A PULLMAN SERVICE OF TEA CARS. On account of the fourteenth annual convention of the National Editorial Association to be held in Portland July 6 to 10, the delegates will rendezvous in Chicago June 30 and leave there for the west that evening.

DEARBORN PRINTING COLINS BULL. Large assortment of Elgis, Howard, and Hampden pens in solid gold, silver and silver cases.

WINTER & HARPER, 303 Second Avenue, SEATTLE, WASH. HOTEL BUTLER Seattle's Largest and Only First-Class European Plan Hotel. Cafe and Grill Room in Connection.

DENNY-CORTELL SUCCESSIONS TO THE CALVERTY CO. Have just received a consignment of Hurd's Fine Stationery, the newest units and latest in every department.