

Poetical Selections.

THE DYING WIFE TO HER HUSBAND.

I am passing through the waters, but a blessed shore appears; Kneel beside me, dearest husband, let me kiss away thy tears...

AN AUTUMN MORNING.

'Tis morning over Norridgewood— On trees and wigwags, wave and rock, Rustled in the autumn sunshine, stirred...

Correspondence.

FRIEND EARLE.—Perhaps a word from "Old Dartmouth," in this time of political excitement, may not be without interest to some of your readers.

It is well known what has been the character of the political atmosphere, which has surrounded Hanover in years past. Men there have been here with a reputation for more than ordinary talents...

When I say President Lord has been converted to no new theory, entertains no new views in regard to politics, you will see at once upon which side his influence is cast in the coming campaign...

The students have established a political reputation such as they have never before attained. Heretofore they have been denied the right of suffrage, but by an act of the last Legislature they have the privilege of voting upon all town, state and national affairs...

The students are in the proportion of about six to one for Fremont. The "Dartmouth Fremont Club" is as devoted, intelligent, and enthusiastic as any in the Union. We have a large Reading Room, decorated with portraits and maps, well furnished with Dailies from all parts of the country...

night, which was more than ordinarily interesting. Many have been watching with deep anxiety to see what course Professor Sanborn, the personal friend of Daniel Webster, would take at this crisis. He has been claimed by the "Fillmoreites," and even by the "Buchananners." But the question is now decided; last night he entered the Hall amid unbounded applause, and gave his views in an eloquent speech of an hour in length...

Old Dartmouth is wide awake to the issues involved in this contest, and is doing more for the cause in New Hampshire than all else. She keeps many of her best speakers in the field all the time, and is assured their eloquence will speak at the ballot box next November. New Hampshire, at the lowest estimate, will give Fremont and Dayton 5,000 majority. Our cause is flourishing and gaining ground in every village; the millions of freemen are marshaling; we have but to work with our might, and a glorious victory is ours.

Letters of John M. Botts—Botts Declares Governor Wise a Madman.

To the Editor of the Richmond National American.—I address you this note to request that you will, at the earliest practicable moment, publish in your paper the speech of his Excellency, Henry A. Wise, Governor of the Commonwealth of Virginia, as published in the Herald of the 30th ult., and I earnestly hope there is no Whig or American paper in the State that will not also aid in spreading it broadcast before the people. Let the masses of the democracy read. Let them see what this madman of their own selection is preparing for them.

I should mourn over the lamentable fact, if I could believe the great body of our democratic fellow citizens were not as true and loyal to the Union as any other portion of our population. I feel assured that they are not to be found aiding and abetting in a conspiracy to bring on a war against the United States.

I have often listened to the insane ravings of a wild lunatic in the asylum, but never, never in the whole course of my life have I heard, read or witnessed the workings of a disordered brain more strongly stamped with madness than in this instance.

Can it be possible for any man to rise from a perusal of this speech without coming to the conclusion that Henry A. Wise, who thus descends from the dignity of his office, attends a town meeting of his party associates, throws himself into spasms to influence the public mind, to excite the passions of the multitude and familiarize their thoughts to the direful calamity of disunion, without saying to himself this man is either a natural born fool, a lunatic, or conspirator in fact, and a traitor in his heart? Never before has any man in this country acknowledged his responsibility for so reasonable a production.

Let no man suppose I intend this as a retort for what he has said of me in his speech. I write as if that were entirely obliterated from the paper. I have already answered that part of it sufficiently, twice before the people, both here and in Petersburg. I confine myself to the reasonable matter this speech contains.

First, then, is he a natural born fool? I do not think he is. Is he a lunatic? I believe before God he is partially deranged, and I have believed so for the last six years; but occupying his position as Governor of the Commonwealth, it does not make him the less dangerous to the country if he acts from lunacy than from natural weakness. Is he a conspirator? Is he engaged in a conspiracy to levy war? Read this speech, accompany it with general orders for offering the militia, and for holding themselves in readiness for service—couple with them his daily conversations as reported in the public streets, of his intention in the event of Fremont's election, to take possession of Old Point Comfort—(which I think there is no difficulty in establishing)—read the law on conspiracy, and then tell whether he is not a lunatic or a conspirator, and whether it has not become the duty of proper authorities to have him immediately arrested?

The New York Evening Post of Saturday says: "We noticed the other day a meeting of prominent banking politicians at the New York Hotel, to meet Senator Sill, who had immediate need of \$50,000. An adjourned meeting of the same company, we understand, was held night before last, when Mr. Fillmore had representatives in attendance by invitation. The purpose of this accession to their circle, we are told, was to consider the propriety of making a joint electoral ticket in this State, half Fillmore and half Buchanan; or, that in case both tickets are run, their electoral votes be united on the candidate whose ticket shall receive the largest number of votes at the polls, and as a consideration for this arrangement, Erastus Brooks is to be supported for Governor by the Buchananners. Judge Parker, we believe, has not been consulted in making this arrangement."

The New York Mirror of Saturday confirms the above statement. It says: "We learn from sources that we can hardly doubt that the leaders of the Buchanan and Fillmore parties have come to an understanding, and are now at work night and day, consummating the coalition to defeat Fremont. The South will throw Fillmore overboard (as we have all along expected and predicted) for Buchanan; while at the North the Fillmore men will vote for Buchanan, wherever the amalgamation is likely to effect the desired object. An arrangement like this looks rather formidable; it is well calculated to appal timid Republicans, and nerve to greater exertion the brave. If we could believe that the masses of the intelligent, free-minded people of the North could be sold like sheep in the shambles, we confess we should have little hope of Fremont's election. The combination against him looks fearfully strong; and the opposition leaders are moving heaven and earth to win the battle."

The Philadelphia Times says: "A joint committee of Buchananners and Fillmoreites from New York are now on a visit to this city. The Forney trick of dividing the two wings of the opposition in Pennsylvania upon a state ticket has proved a dead failure. It is necessary therefore, that some other means should be devised to secure the triumph of Mr. Buchanan. In order to effect this, Forney has hit upon some new trick. Augustus Schell, of New York city, the great wire-worker of the New York Democracy, and a Mr. Taylor, a professed Fillmore leader, and in truth one of the inside managers in that side arrangement, are here in close consultation with John W. Forney. What the precise result of this visit and meeting is to be has not transpired."

Mr. Breckenridge, the Democratic candidate for Vice President, agrees with Mr. Choate in his estimate of the Declaration of Independence. Par nobis Fratrum. In a speech delivered in Butler county, Ohio, a week or two since, he thus repudiated the glorious truth of the Declaration of Independence, to sustain which the Republicans of 1776 "pledged their lives, their fortunes and their sacred honor."

We are told that the Declaration of Independence is embodied in the Constitution of the United States! The Declaration is an abstraction. Put it in the Constitution, and what would follow? It would follow that the Constitution must protect every man in his right to life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness. You would find it interfering with the institutions of the State, and it would lead our country rapidly to destruction.

Who DOUGLAS VOTES FOR.—On the train cars which conveyed Senator Douglas to Galena, a vote, as usual now-a-days, was taken. The canvassers did not know Douglas, and when they came to him in passing through the cars, the following conversation took place: Canvasser.—Who do you vote for, sir, Buchanan or Fremont? Douglas.—(angrily looked up from the perusal of the Chicago Times,) vote for the devil!

The result of the canvass was as follows: Fremont, 117; Buchanan, 15; Fillmore, 17; The Devil, 1. THE WASHINGTON UNION ON COL. FREMONT.—When Col. Fremont took his seat in the United States Senate, the Washington Union spoke of him as follows: "His character is marked by so many traits of enterprise, sagacity and energy, that we are disposed to augur the best results from his participation in the civil concerns of his country. His own genius has raised him to the distinguished position which he occupies—a self-balanced character will maintain him in it."

A word once spoken, a coach with four horses cannot overtake it and bring it back. God hears the heart without words; but he never hears the words without the heart.

THE SUBSCRIBERS, having been appointed by the Hon. Probate Court for the District of Orleans, to receive, examine, and adjust all claims and demands of all persons against the estate of OSCAR F. MILLER, late of Coventry, said district, deceased, represented insolvent, and the term of six months from the 17th day of September, 1856, being allowed by said court, the creditors of said estate are notified to exhibit and prove their respective claims before us: Do give Notice, that we will attend to the duties of our appointment as follows, viz: the second Wednesday of November and February next, at one o'clock, in the afternoon, on each of said days.

STATE OF VERMONT. ORLEANS DISTRICT, ss. DANIEL MEAD, IN CHANCERY. J. D. GILKEY, FOURTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT. LUCIUS DENISON.

THE ORATOR, Daniel Mead, represents in his bill that Jeremiah Bishop, of Brighton, in the County of Orleans, on the 7th day of May, A. D. 1855, being indebted to the said orator in the sum of \$212.00 and interest on the same from the 31 day of said May, specified in one promissory note of said last mentioned date, and in another note of said last mentioned date, and in a mortgage deed of certain lands lying and being in said Brighton, described as follows, viz: the land of lot No. 42, in the 1st division of the original right of Wm. Blood, and four acres more or less, and being the half length of said lot adjoining the same more or less, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1854. Which said note is now due and owing, and has not been paid according to the effect of the same, and that afterwards, to wit, on the 14th day of May, A. D. 1855, said Bishop died, and the above described premises, together with the said mortgage deed, and being jointly owned by the said Bishop and the said orator, the said Bishop died on the 30th day of August, A. D. 1