

REGGERS SPONSOR.

Some Reasons Why Their Popularity is Decreasing Here.

SHORTSTOP ALLEN SIGNED.

Phillips Captures the Promising Young Man From Ohio.

VAN NESS PURCHASES BOB FISHER.

He Will Enter Him in General Stake Races.

GENERAL SPORTING NEWS OF THE DAY

Wherever two or three local sporting people are found together the subject of discussion invariably is about the decline of sports in this locality.

From a sporting point of view there is a state of affairs in this city which is not to be envied.

HE BOUGHT BOB FISHER.

Frank Van Ness makes a surprising purchase from Capt. Brewer.

FOAMOUS FOOT SCULLERS.

Foot runners, boxers, wrestlers and general sporting men, in all these branches, excepting football, are in a declining state.

SPORTING PEOPLE TO BLAME.

The same may be said of various other branches, and the fact stands clearly out that the members of these groups are doing little to improve themselves.

GOOD SPORT PROMISED.

Great Arrangements Made for the Squirrel Hill Tournament.

ALLEN IS HIS NAME.

Another Young Shortstop Secured by the Local Club.

PRIZE DODG AWARDS.

The Columbus Beach Show is Completely Successful.

Garbrie Wen.

Another Female Match.

Burdock's Venture.

NEW YORK, February 6.—John J. Burdock, the old second baseman of the Boston club, and of last season's Brooklyn team, will go to Hartford in a few days with the intention of organizing a team in that city and entering the new Atlantic League.

Bell Boy at Lexington.

LEXINGTON, Ky., February 6.—Bell Boy, 23½ years old here, which sold here last summer for \$50,000, being the highest price ever sold for a horse in America, has been purchased by the late Mr. W. T. Woodruff's combination.

CAMPAU VICTORIOUS.

He Down His Opponents in the Detroit Driving Park Association.

Wants to Resign.

It is understood that Mr. McCarthy is not inclined to remain President of the Allegheny County League another year.

A Race at Wheeling.

A three-day pedestrian contest has been arranged to take place at Wheeling, commencing on Monday next.

Signs Notes.

PITCHER VIAT has signed with Cincinnati. It is said that Jerry Denny has been put in Class B.

It is to be hoped that Allen will turn out a good one.

MYERS and McAllister fight on the 15th inst.

It is to be hoped that Allen will turn out a good one. Myers and McAllister fight on the 15th inst.

ANGLEY, a black gelding 4 years old, by Strathmore and Knight, bred by J. C. Tynan, has been sold for \$1,200 by Tom McDowell, to J. C. Tynan, both of Lexington, Va.

PRESIDENT SODEN, of the Washington club, says he takes no stock in the Washington Nationals.

FRANK HERDIE, a black gelding 4 years old, by Strathmore and Knight, bred by J. C. Tynan, has been sold for \$1,200 by Tom McDowell, to J. C. Tynan, both of Lexington, Va.

THE REASON WHY.

The Mayor disclaims any intention of deterring his action by the occupancy of the hotel at Lehigh.

His Office Must Pay.

Mr. Henry White, Secretary of the American Legion, at Lehigh, whose name is on the suspension is acting as the grand jury in the Mayor's own language.

A Dig at Bismarck and Salisbury.

The London Daily News, commenting on the Samoan situation, says: "Prince Bismarck has disregarded his obligations to Great Britain in the most flagrant way."

Another Franco-German Incident.

Le Paris publishes an article attacking Count von Munster, the German ambassador, for refusing to grant a permit to the Sino-Tibetan Commission.

DISLIKE THE CHANGE.

Opposition to the Appointment of Female Physicians in State Lunatic Asylums.

ALL KINDS OF BILLS.

Introduced by the State Legislators in Both Houses Yesterday.

TWO SIDES OF THE QUESTION.

An Argument Against the Corporation Stock Tax Quickly Refuted.

Soldiers' Home Appropriation Approved.

BOTH ARE BOUNCED.

The Famous Police Inspector and Captain Are Fired into the Times' Charges Are Disproved.

PURELY POLITICAL REASONS ONLY.

They Were Offered Full Pay to Resign Until After the Next Election.

THE REASON WHY.

The Mayor disclaims any intention of deterring his action by the occupancy of the hotel at Lehigh.

His Office Must Pay.

Mr. Henry White, Secretary of the American Legion, at Lehigh, whose name is on the suspension is acting as the grand jury in the Mayor's own language.

A Dig at Bismarck and Salisbury.

The London Daily News, commenting on the Samoan situation, says: "Prince Bismarck has disregarded his obligations to Great Britain in the most flagrant way."

Another Franco-German Incident.

Le Paris publishes an article attacking Count von Munster, the German ambassador, for refusing to grant a permit to the Sino-Tibetan Commission.

DISLIKE THE CHANGE.

Opposition to the Appointment of Female Physicians in State Lunatic Asylums.

ALL KINDS OF BILLS.

Introduced by the State Legislators in Both Houses Yesterday.

TWO SIDES OF THE QUESTION.

An Argument Against the Corporation Stock Tax Quickly Refuted.

Soldiers' Home Appropriation Approved.

EUROPE'S OFFERING.

TO THE READING PUBLIC IN HAPPY AND FREE AMERICA.

ONE DOLLAR A HEAD.

Continued from First Page.

A RIFT IN THE CLOUDS.

Allegheny City Thinks She is Safely Fined in the Third Class The Inter-municipal Bill Still Threatens Danger.

HARRISBURG, February 6.—The dark clouds that have gathered about the Allegheny City municipal legislation at the State Capital broke apart slightly today when W. B. Rodgers, who for 18 years was Solicitor of the city, arrived on the scene.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

BOTH ARE BOUNCED.

The Famous Police Inspector and Captain Are Fired into the Times' Charges Are Disproved.

PURELY POLITICAL REASONS ONLY.

They Were Offered Full Pay to Resign Until After the Next Election.

THE REASON WHY.

The Mayor disclaims any intention of deterring his action by the occupancy of the hotel at Lehigh.

His Office Must Pay.

Mr. Henry White, Secretary of the American Legion, at Lehigh, whose name is on the suspension is acting as the grand jury in the Mayor's own language.

A Dig at Bismarck and Salisbury.

The London Daily News, commenting on the Samoan situation, says: "Prince Bismarck has disregarded his obligations to Great Britain in the most flagrant way."

Another Franco-German Incident.

Le Paris publishes an article attacking Count von Munster, the German ambassador, for refusing to grant a permit to the Sino-Tibetan Commission.

DISLIKE THE CHANGE.

Opposition to the Appointment of Female Physicians in State Lunatic Asylums.

ALL KINDS OF BILLS.

Introduced by the State Legislators in Both Houses Yesterday.

TWO SIDES OF THE QUESTION.

An Argument Against the Corporation Stock Tax Quickly Refuted.

Soldiers' Home Appropriation Approved.

HARRISBURG, February 6.—The Appropriations Committee today approved the appropriation for the Soldiers' Home at Erie, and gave the Home for the Friendless at the same place \$5,000.

HARRISBURG, February 6.—Two Eastern manufacturers appeared before the Ways and Means Committee this afternoon to protest against the capital stock tax on manufacturing corporations.

HARRISBURG, February 6.—The Appropriations Committee today approved the appropriation for the Soldiers' Home at Erie, and gave the Home for the Friendless at the same place \$5,000.

HARRISBURG, February 6.—Two Eastern manufacturers appeared before the Ways and Means Committee this afternoon to protest against the capital stock tax on manufacturing corporations.

HARRISBURG, February 6.—The Appropriations Committee today approved the appropriation for the Soldiers' Home at Erie, and gave the Home for the Friendless at the same place \$5,000.

HARRISBURG, February 6.—Two Eastern manufacturers appeared before the Ways and Means Committee this afternoon to protest against the capital stock tax on manufacturing corporations.

HARRISBURG, February 6.—The Appropriations Committee today approved the appropriation for the Soldiers' Home at Erie, and gave the Home for the Friendless at the same place \$5,000.

HARRISBURG, February 6.—Two Eastern manufacturers appeared before the Ways and Means Committee this afternoon to protest against the capital stock tax on manufacturing corporations.

EUROPE'S OFFERING.

TO THE READING PUBLIC IN HAPPY AND FREE AMERICA.

ONE DOLLAR A HEAD.

Continued from First Page.

A RIFT IN THE CLOUDS.

Allegheny City Thinks She is Safely Fined in the Third Class The Inter-municipal Bill Still Threatens Danger.

HARRISBURG, February 6.—The dark clouds that have gathered about the Allegheny City municipal legislation at the State Capital broke apart slightly today when W. B. Rodgers, who for 18 years was Solicitor of the city, arrived on the scene.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

EUROPE'S OFFERING.

TO THE READING PUBLIC IN HAPPY AND FREE AMERICA.

ONE DOLLAR A HEAD.

Continued from First Page.

A RIFT IN THE CLOUDS.

Allegheny City Thinks She is Safely Fined in the Third Class The Inter-municipal Bill Still Threatens Danger.

HARRISBURG, February 6.—The dark clouds that have gathered about the Allegheny City municipal legislation at the State Capital broke apart slightly today when W. B. Rodgers, who for 18 years was Solicitor of the city, arrived on the scene.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

EUROPE'S OFFERING.

TO THE READING PUBLIC IN HAPPY AND FREE AMERICA.

ONE DOLLAR A HEAD.

Continued from First Page.

A RIFT IN THE CLOUDS.

Allegheny City Thinks She is Safely Fined in the Third Class The Inter-municipal Bill Still Threatens Danger.

HARRISBURG, February 6.—The dark clouds that have gathered about the Allegheny City municipal legislation at the State Capital broke apart slightly today when W. B. Rodgers, who for 18 years was Solicitor of the city, arrived on the scene.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

Mr. Rodgers' theory is that the decision of the Supreme Court on the case of Savage and the city of Reading simply upset the constitutionality of the clause making it optional with cities whether they accepted or rejected the act of 1874.

EUROPE'S OFFERING.

TO THE READING PUBLIC IN HAPPY AND FREE AMERICA.

ONE DOLLAR A HEAD.