

The Dispatch. ESTABLISHED FEBRUARY 8, 1846. Vol. 46, No. 28. Entered at Pittsburgh Postoffice, November 14, 1887, as second-class matter.

State that is made up of arid land can hardly be expected to boom, and the amount of money which can be raised by taxation on such land could not be expected to yield very much for improvements of that character, even if the coyotes and Digger Indians were willing to vote the appropriation.

FROM the way in which the Pennsylvania Railroad in picking up property above Smithfield street, between Sixth and Seventh avenues, there is ground for suspecting that something is going to turn up.

THE Mexican people on Saturday got mad because the bulls provided for the national amusement would not fight, so it proceeded to getting up a riot of its own.

MR. DAVID T. LITTLE announces that he has no use for President Harrison. As it has taken Mr. Little just nine months to produce this conclusion, the inference is possible that it has a certain degree of connection with the apparent fact that President Harrison has no use for Little.

THE Fifty-first Congress has shown itself equal to the most striking achievements of the present time in the doing of a large amount of nothing at all.

IT IS natural to suppose that the farmers out in Kansas who have been burning corn for fuel because it was worth less than coal, are now burning it because they are so poor that they do not wish to pay for it.

TERMS OF THE DISPATCH. POSTAGE FREE IN THE UNITED STATES. DAILY DISPATCH, One Year, \$10.00. DAILY DISPATCH, Six Months, \$6.00. DAILY DISPATCH, Three Months, \$3.50. DAILY DISPATCH, One Month, \$1.00. WEEKLY DISPATCH, One Year, \$1.25. THE DAILY DISPATCH is delivered by carriers at 10 cents per week, or including Sunday edition, \$1.25 per week.

PITTSBURGH, TUESDAY, DEC. 8, 1889. A CHANCE FOR REFORM. Congress opened its session yesterday in the usual stereotyped manner.

THE usual style of doing business in Congress at present. Yet the nation would have been glad to perceive some opening of vigor and promptness in the opening of the present body.

FARTHS AND PRAYER. The inability of politicians to see beyond the selfish rule of a party is a sad condition of things. Their friends are rather unpleasantly illustrated by the action of the House Republican caucus with regard to the selection of a chaplain.

FREE BRIDGES AND THE COUNTY. The proposition to call upon the county to furnish free bridges over the rivers within the city limits is reported to be meeting with a good deal of favor among the farmers who live to the north and south of Pittsburgh.

THE GROWTH OF EXPENDITURES. The increase of appropriations for the next fiscal year, as foreshadowed by Secretary Windom's estimates, indicates the steady tendency toward enlargement of Government expenditure that has been going on for some years.

CIVILIZATION AND SAVAGERY. A remarkably frank statement of what is after all a rather widely held view by the St. Louis Globe-Democrat, to the effect that the barbarian "lies in a process of gradual extermination. There is no room to hope for the civilization of such a people, and it is useless to expend time and money in efforts to bring about such a result."

EASTERN FARMS AND HENRY GEORGE. We observe in an esteemed contemporary the statement that within four miles of a Connecticut town, there are twenty-seven farms that can be bought for "half the value of the land with the buildings thrown in," which is alleged to be destructive of the Henry George theory.

A NATIONAL PROJECT. The advocacy of the project of irrigation to reclaim the arid lands of the West at the expense of the general Government, which has been undertaken by Mr. Joseph Nimmco, evokes in Philadelphia Times a rather ill-tempered characterization of Mr. Nimmco as "another surplus spender."

THE reduction of passenger rates for Washington by the Baltimore and Ohio furnishes another proof that the era of trunk line competition from doing its work.

THE reduction of passenger rates for Washington by the Baltimore and Ohio furnishes another proof that the era of trunk line competition from doing its work.

THE reduction of passenger rates for Washington by the Baltimore and Ohio furnishes another proof that the era of trunk line competition from doing its work.

THE reduction of passenger rates for Washington by the Baltimore and Ohio furnishes another proof that the era of trunk line competition from doing its work.

THE reduction of passenger rates for Washington by the Baltimore and Ohio furnishes another proof that the era of trunk line competition from doing its work.

THE reduction of passenger rates for Washington by the Baltimore and Ohio furnishes another proof that the era of trunk line competition from doing its work.

THE reduction of passenger rates for Washington by the Baltimore and Ohio furnishes another proof that the era of trunk line competition from doing its work.

THE reduction of passenger rates for Washington by the Baltimore and Ohio furnishes another proof that the era of trunk line competition from doing its work.

THE reduction of passenger rates for Washington by the Baltimore and Ohio furnishes another proof that the era of trunk line competition from doing its work.

THE reduction of passenger rates for Washington by the Baltimore and Ohio furnishes another proof that the era of trunk line competition from doing its work.

THE reduction of passenger rates for Washington by the Baltimore and Ohio furnishes another proof that the era of trunk line competition from doing its work.

THE reduction of passenger rates for Washington by the Baltimore and Ohio furnishes another proof that the era of trunk line competition from doing its work.

THE reduction of passenger rates for Washington by the Baltimore and Ohio furnishes another proof that the era of trunk line competition from doing its work.

THE reduction of passenger rates for Washington by the Baltimore and Ohio furnishes another proof that the era of trunk line competition from doing its work.

THE reduction of passenger rates for Washington by the Baltimore and Ohio furnishes another proof that the era of trunk line competition from doing its work.

THE reduction of passenger rates for Washington by the Baltimore and Ohio furnishes another proof that the era of trunk line competition from doing its work.

THE reduction of passenger rates for Washington by the Baltimore and Ohio furnishes another proof that the era of trunk line competition from doing its work.

THE reduction of passenger rates for Washington by the Baltimore and Ohio furnishes another proof that the era of trunk line competition from doing its work.

THE reduction of passenger rates for Washington by the Baltimore and Ohio furnishes another proof that the era of trunk line competition from doing its work.

THE reduction of passenger rates for Washington by the Baltimore and Ohio furnishes another proof that the era of trunk line competition from doing its work.

THE reduction of passenger rates for Washington by the Baltimore and Ohio furnishes another proof that the era of trunk line competition from doing its work.

THE reduction of passenger rates for Washington by the Baltimore and Ohio furnishes another proof that the era of trunk line competition from doing its work.

THE reduction of passenger rates for Washington by the Baltimore and Ohio furnishes another proof that the era of trunk line competition from doing its work.

THE reduction of passenger rates for Washington by the Baltimore and Ohio furnishes another proof that the era of trunk line competition from doing its work.

THE reduction of passenger rates for Washington by the Baltimore and Ohio furnishes another proof that the era of trunk line competition from doing its work.

THE reduction of passenger rates for Washington by the Baltimore and Ohio furnishes another proof that the era of trunk line competition from doing its work.

THE reduction of passenger rates for Washington by the Baltimore and Ohio furnishes another proof that the era of trunk line competition from doing its work.

THE reduction of passenger rates for Washington by the Baltimore and Ohio furnishes another proof that the era of trunk line competition from doing its work.

THE reduction of passenger rates for Washington by the Baltimore and Ohio furnishes another proof that the era of trunk line competition from doing its work.

THE reduction of passenger rates for Washington by the Baltimore and Ohio furnishes another proof that the era of trunk line competition from doing its work.

THE reduction of passenger rates for Washington by the Baltimore and Ohio furnishes another proof that the era of trunk line competition from doing its work.

THE reduction of passenger rates for Washington by the Baltimore and Ohio furnishes another proof that the era of trunk line competition from doing its work.

THE reduction of passenger rates for Washington by the Baltimore and Ohio furnishes another proof that the era of trunk line competition from doing its work.

THE reduction of passenger rates for Washington by the Baltimore and Ohio furnishes another proof that the era of trunk line competition from doing its work.

THE reduction of passenger rates for Washington by the Baltimore and Ohio furnishes another proof that the era of trunk line competition from doing its work.

THE reduction of passenger rates for Washington by the Baltimore and Ohio furnishes another proof that the era of trunk line competition from doing its work.

THE reduction of passenger rates for Washington by the Baltimore and Ohio furnishes another proof that the era of trunk line competition from doing its work.

THE reduction of passenger rates for Washington by the Baltimore and Ohio furnishes another proof that the era of trunk line competition from doing its work.

THE reduction of passenger rates for Washington by the Baltimore and Ohio furnishes another proof that the era of trunk line competition from doing its work.

THE reduction of passenger rates for Washington by the Baltimore and Ohio furnishes another proof that the era of trunk line competition from doing its work.

THE reduction of passenger rates for Washington by the Baltimore and Ohio furnishes another proof that the era of trunk line competition from doing its work.

THE reduction of passenger rates for Washington by the Baltimore and Ohio furnishes another proof that the era of trunk line competition from doing its work.

THE reduction of passenger rates for Washington by the Baltimore and Ohio furnishes another proof that the era of trunk line competition from doing its work.

THE reduction of passenger rates for Washington by the Baltimore and Ohio furnishes another proof that the era of trunk line competition from doing its work.

THE reduction of passenger rates for Washington by the Baltimore and Ohio furnishes another proof that the era of trunk line competition from doing its work.

THE reduction of passenger rates for Washington by the Baltimore and Ohio furnishes another proof that the era of trunk line competition from doing its work.

THE reduction of passenger rates for Washington by the Baltimore and Ohio furnishes another proof that the era of trunk line competition from doing its work.

THE reduction of passenger rates for Washington by the Baltimore and Ohio furnishes another proof that the era of trunk line competition from doing its work.

THE reduction of passenger rates for Washington by the Baltimore and Ohio furnishes another proof that the era of trunk line competition from doing its work.