

THE WEST. Northern Democrats Issue an Appeal to Their Constituents Against the Federal Election Scheme.

A LIVELY DEBATE IN THE HOUSE.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

York, William Stahlnecker, John Turner, J. A. Weissenhauer, E. P. Floyer, William D. Byrum, Elijah V. Brookline.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

that the ballot box had been tampered with for the purpose of defeating the majority in exercise of their constitutional right.

THE M'KINLEY IDEA.

ALL PRETENSE THAT GROVER CLEVELAND WAS ELECTED PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES BY A MAJORITY WAS SUBJECT TO THE FURTHER STATEMENT THAT THAT ELECTED IN A LARGE NUMBER OF QUALIFIED VOTERS, WHO WOULD HAVE CAST THEIR VOTES AGAINST HIM, WERE NOT PERMITTED TO DO SO.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE DEBATE IN DETAIL.

HE IS UNSPARRING IN HIS DENUNCIATION OF THE PROPOSED FEDERAL ELECTION LAW.

MAJORITY OF THE AGED VAUX IN THE HOUSE.

THE LAST SYNDICATE.

Has Purchased the Roach Ship Yards and Iron Works and Will BUILD VESSELS FOR AMERICA.

None but Englishmen Are Allowed to Hold Stock, but Some BONDS WILL BE SOLD ON THIS SIDE.

FIGURES SHOWING THAT GOOD DIVIDENDS CAN BE VERY EASILY REALIZED.

AN ENGLISH SYNDICATE HAS SECURED CONTROL OF THE ROACH SHIP YARD AT CHESTER AND THE MORGAN IRON WORKS IN NEW YORK.

AMERICAN INVESTORS WILL ONLY BE ALLOWED TO INVEST IN THE BONDS OF THE NEW CONCERN. GOOD PROFITS ARE ANTICIPATED.

SPECIAL TELEGRAM TO THE DISPATCH.

NEW YORK, June 27.—Arrangements have been completed for the sale to an English syndicate of the John Roach ship yards in this city and at Chester, the price agreed upon being \$4,000,000.

THE TRANSFER WILL BE MADE IN A FEW WEEKS, AND THEN ENGLAND WILL GO INTO THE BUSINESS OF BUILDING OUR SHIPS IN THIS COUNTRY, AT PERHAPS A GREATER PROFIT THAN SHE NOW GETS FOR BUILDING THEM IN HER OWN SHIP YARDS.

THE PURCHASE WILL BE MADE IN THE MANNER WHICH HAS PROVED SO POPULAR WITH ENGLISH INVESTORS. A STOCK COMPANY HAS BEEN FORMED IN LONDON UNDER THE NAME OF ROACH'S SHIP BUILDING AND ENGINEERING COMPANY, LIMITED.

ITS COMMON STOCK IS \$1,500,000, AND IT IS ISSUED IN SHARES OF 100 SHARES EACH, AT \$15 PER SHARE.

ALL TAKEN IN ENGLAND.

ACCORDING TO THE TERMS OF SAID PARTMENT, THE DIRECTORS OF THE COMPANY WILL BE ALL ENGLISHMEN.

IN CONNECTION WITH THE SALE, SOME HIGHLY INTERESTING INFORMATION IS MADE PUBLIC REGARDING THE PROFITS EARNED BY JOHN ROACH AND HIS ASSOCIATES.

THE PROFITS EARNED BY JOHN ROACH AND HIS ASSOCIATES IN THE YEAR ENDING IN FEBRUARY, 1885, WERE \$4,210,000.

IN THE YEAR ENDING IN FEBRUARY, 1886, WERE \$4,210,000.

IN THE YEAR ENDING IN FEBRUARY, 1887, WERE \$4,210,000.

IN THE YEAR ENDING IN FEBRUARY, 1888, WERE \$4,210,000.

IN THE YEAR ENDING IN FEBRUARY, 1889, WERE \$4,210,000.

IN THE YEAR ENDING IN FEBRUARY, 1890, WERE \$4,210,000.

IN THE YEAR ENDING IN FEBRUARY, 1891, WERE \$4,210,000.

IN THE YEAR ENDING IN FEBRUARY, 1892, WERE \$4,210,000.

IN THE YEAR ENDING IN FEBRUARY, 1893, WERE \$4,210,000.

IN THE YEAR ENDING IN FEBRUARY, 1894, WERE \$4,210,000.

IN THE YEAR ENDING IN FEBRUARY, 1895, WERE \$4,210,000.

IN THE YEAR ENDING IN FEBRUARY, 1896, WERE \$4,210,000.

IN THE YEAR ENDING IN FEBRUARY, 1897, WERE \$4,210,000.

IN THE YEAR ENDING IN FEBRUARY, 1898, WERE \$4,210,000.

IN THE YEAR ENDING IN FEBRUARY, 1899, WERE \$4,210,000.

IN THE YEAR ENDING IN FEBRUARY, 1900, WERE \$4,210,000.

IN THE YEAR ENDING IN FEBRUARY, 1901, WERE \$4,210,000.

IN THE YEAR ENDING IN FEBRUARY, 1902, WERE \$4,210,000.

IN THE YEAR ENDING IN FEBRUARY, 1903, WERE \$4,210,000.

IN THE YEAR ENDING IN FEBRUARY, 1904, WERE \$4,210,000.

IN THE YEAR ENDING IN FEBRUARY, 1905, WERE \$4,210,000.

IN THE YEAR ENDING IN FEBRUARY, 1906, WERE \$4,210,000.

IN THE YEAR ENDING IN FEBRUARY, 1907, WERE \$4,210,000.

IN THE YEAR ENDING IN FEBRUARY, 1908, WERE \$4,210,000.

IN THE YEAR ENDING IN FEBRUARY, 1909, WERE \$4,210,000.