

THE DISPATCH.
BY COWARDIN & ELLISON.
CASH-INVARIABLE IN ADVANCE.
THE DAILY DISPATCH is delivered to subscribers at SIXTEEN CENTS per week, payable to the carrier weekly. Mailed at \$6 per annum; \$3 for six months; 75c per month. THE WEEKLY DISPATCH at \$3 per annum. THE WEEKLY DISPATCH at \$2 per annum.

AMUSEMENTS.
P. T. BARNUM'S
GREAT TRAVELLING WORLD'S FAIR
AT RICHMOND
MONDAY AND TUESDAY.
SEPTEMBER 18th and 19th.

NEW AND GREATEST SHOW ON EARTH.
IN ALL ITS OVERSHADOWING VASTNESS.
Address my patrons at each exhibition.
P. T. BARNUM.

COMING ON THREE MONSTER SPECTRAL
TRAINS OF SOLID STEEL CARS.
\$1,500,000 cash capital invested.

1,400 persons and 600 horses and ponies employed
in P. A. Century's festival of features and
colossal academy of object teaching.

A SOA-LIKE MENAGERIE,
THREE TIMES THE LARGEST EVER MOVED,
and including
BARNUM'S \$25,000 BEHEMOTH,
THE ONLY LIVING HIPPOPOTAMUS IN
AMERICA.

All others advertised being bare frauds.

THE MOST MEMORABLE OF MORTAL MAR-
VELS. CAPTAIN COSTENTENUS,
a Greek Abolition, tattooed from head to foot in
China Tartary, as punishment for engaging
in rebellion against the King.

A VAST CENTENNIAL MUSEUM. LIFE-SIZED
AUTOMATIC MARVELS,
and mechanical scenic illusions, operated by a Solid
Silver Steam Engine.

A CENTENNIAL PORTRAIT GALLERY.
\$2,000 per day in salaries to
FIVE GREAT CIRQUE TROUPE,
embracing the world's super-eminent riders and
athletes, including
MARTINO LOWANDE,
the Hurricane Horseman of Brazil, in his terrific,
universal Challenge Two, four-, and seven-
horse Barlock Acts, and
CHARLES W. FISH,
the single-horse champion equestrian of the universe.

OVER ONE HUNDRED
PEERLESS PRINCIPAL PERFORMERS.
A GLORIOUS, GRATUITOUS, CENTENNIAL
DAILY FOURTH OF JULY CELEBRATION,
inaugurated each morning about 9 o'clock by the
three-throated national salute of thirteen guns,
and the
TRIUMPHAL PROCESSION
of liberty and the nation will move in majestic splen-
dor through the principal streets. During each per-
formance a Jubilee of Song and Spelling in the
Grand central pavilion. Each evening, as a brilliant
finale,
MAGNIFICENT DISPLAY OF FIRE-WORKS
Admission, 50c; children under nine years
25c. With free admission to all purchasers of the
"LIFE OF P. T. BARNUM," 900 pages, illustrated
with 33 full page engravings, elegantly bound in
leather. Price reduced from \$3.50 to \$1.50.
A cheaper edition of Mr. Barnum's life, containing
precisely the same reading matter as the
other, only fifty cents complete.

Opens at 1 and 7 P. M. Grand Animal and
Acrobatic Entree one hour later.

For the accommodation of those desiring to avoid
the crowd usually congregated at the ticket-wagons,
tickets and reserved seats may be obtained for the
nightly advance. WYATT'S music-store, 928
Main-street.

THE GREATEST SHOW ON EARTH will exhibit
at PETERSBURG, WEDNESDAY, September
20th; FREDERICKSBURG, THURSDAY, Septem-
ber 21st. se 6-7-12-14-17

THE BIG SHOW.
RICHMOND, TWO DAYS.
LOCATION: SECOND AND LEIGH.
OLD JOHN ROBINSON'S WORLD EXPOSITION
will give four grand performances
FRIDAY AND SATURDAY,
SEPTEMBER 5TH AND 6TH.
All the old favorites, and many new candidates for
public patronage.
Opens at 1 and 7 P. M.
Tickets will be on sale from September 1st at C. F.
Johnston's music-store, No. 918 Main street. A
few reserved enclosed-seats, with back- and foot-
rests, can be secured at an advance of twenty-five
cents. se 7-31

RICHMOND MOZART ASSOCIATION.
The regular MUSICAL SOCIETY of the Association
will take place THIS EVENING (Thursday),
September 17th, at 8 o'clock, at 814 Col-
umbia-street, by membership or invitation cards.
Members desiring of obtaining invitation cards will
be supplied by HENRY C. WYATT,
music-store, No. 920 Main street. se 7-31

EXCURSIONS.
GRAND EXCURSION TO CHESTER.
The ladies of Meade Memorial church will make
an excursion to Chester WEDNESDAY, September
17, 1876. Leaving Richmond at 8 o'clock A. M.,
and stopping in Manchester for passengers, and
returning to the city about 6:30 P. M.
The full use of the hotel and the beautiful
grounds attached has been secured.
A band of music will be in attendance, and all will
be invited to enjoy themselves in any rational
manner.
Tickets, 50 cents for adults, 25 cents for children
under twelve years of age; to be had at all the
city druggists, at Wade's clear-store, and at the
city on the morning of the excursion. se 7

DOWN THE RIVER.
THE MANCHESTER METHODIST EPISCOPAL
SUNDAY SCHOOL
having chartered the steamer PAMLIKO,
will give an
EXCURSION TO LOWER BRANDON
on FRIDAY, September 8, 1876.
The steamer will leave the wharf on the Manches-
ter wharf at 8 o'clock A. M., and touch at the Rich-
mond wharf for passengers.
Tickets: Gentlemen, \$1; ladies and children, 50c.
The ladies had a dinner on the wharf of Mr.
E. C. Heatham; J. C. Wells boat and shoe-store,
Manchester, and of D. J. Weisger, E. W. Bangs,
and M. A. Lehigh, Richmond. se 5-31

**OFFICE OF CITY SUPERINTENDENT OF
SCHOOLS, 805 MARSHALL STREET,
RICHMOND, VA., SEPTEMBER 7, 1876.**

**HIGH-SCHOOL.—THE EXAMINATION
OF APPLICANTS FOR ADMISSION INTO
THE HIGHER DEPARTMENT OF THE
TUESDAY, September 12th, commencing at 9 A.
M., in the High-School building, 603 Marshall
street.**

Pupils promoted from the First Grammar grade
at the final examination in June will not be required
to attend the examination. se 7-9, 11-12

Richmond Dispatch.
THE CIRCULATION OF THE DISPATCH
IS LARGER THAN THE COMBINED CIRCULATION
OF ALL THE OTHER DAILY NEWS-
PAPERS OF THE CITY.

THURSDAY.....SEPTEMBER 7, 1876.

WEATHER REPORT.
PROBABILITIES TO-DAY.—(Washington,
September 6.—For the South Atlantic States,
easterly winds, falling barometer, followed
by rising, warmer, and partly cloudy weather,
with light local rains, will prevail.
In the Middle States, northeast to
southeast winds, increasing cloudiness, and
possibly light rains, with stationary tempera-
ture and stationary or falling barome-
ter.

THE WEATHER YESTERDAY was cloudy and
cool during the day. At night the long-
continued rain commenced falling steadily.
THERMOMETER YESTERDAY: 6 A. M., 62;
9 A. M., 69; noon, 76; 3 P. M., 77; 6 P.
M., 75; midnight, 70.

LOCAL MATTERS.
**REPUBLICAN CONGRESSIONAL
CONVENTION.**

THE GATHERING YESTERDAY ON BROAD
STREET—TEMPORARY AND PERMANENT
ORGANIZATION—NOMINATION OF DR.
CHARLES S. MILLS FOR CONGRESS FROM
THIS DISTRICT, &c.

The Republican Congressional Nominating
Convention for the Third W. J. Johnston
District, yesterday, after a bar-room on
Broad street between Sixth and Seventh.
The body was called to order by Samuel
F. Maddox, chairman of the Congressional
Committee. J. W. Poindexter, of Louisa,
was chosen temporary chairman, and Mr.
Samuel F. Maddox, of Chesterfield, secre-
tary.

The Convention was composed of four
delegates from each of the counties of Ches-
terfield, Caroline, Henrico, Hanover, and
Louisa; twelve from the city of Richmond
and one from Manchester.

Edward Thompson, of Richmond, moved
that as this was the first day of the Con-
vention, the appointment of a committee
on credentials be dispensed with, and
that the call of the roll of delegates be
proceeded with.

Lewis Lindsey, of Richmond, moved that
a committee of five on permanent organiza-
tion be appointed.

Mr. Giddings rose to a point of order,
stating that the resolution offered by
Thompson had not been put to the house.

Lewis Lindsey withdrew his motion for
"harmonious sack," so that the roll might be
called.

The Chairman then ordered the clerk to
proceed with the call of the roll.

W. J. S. Bove, of Richmond, moved that
the Chair appoint a committee of one from
each ward and one from each county on
permanent organization.

Lewis Lindsey said he was opposed to the
admittance of the Irish Republican Club
delegates.

Mr. W. I. George, of Richmond, after
consulting with Mr. Duffy, said to secure
harmony he would withdraw the name of
Mr. Duffy, the Irish delegate.

This brought Mr. Maddox, of Chester-
field, to his feet. He said that all the con-
ventions he had ever taken part in
the rights of the Irish citizens had been recog-
nized, and at this time they could not afford
to throw away any strength. Mr. Duffy's
name, however, was dropped; and the
Chairman proceeded to appoint the follow-
ing Committee on Permanent Organization:
W. J. S. Bove, (colored) chairman; Messrs.
Archer, Hollanow, W. I. George, M. C.
Leary, Shaffer, B. T. Chisholm, Ned Thomp-
son, Z. A. Chisholm, A. A. Spitzer, Allen
Hill, and Joseph Brown Johnson.

Edward Jackson, of Richmond, moved
the appointment of sergeant-at-arms; which
was rejected.

In the absence of thirty minutes the
committee returned and reported the fol-
lowing names as the permanent officers of
the Convention: W. F. Giddings, of Ches-
terfield, president; Messrs. Carter, Jackson,
Johnson, Maddox, Brady, Hallstock, Poindex-
ter, Lindsey, Giddings, J. W. Johnson,
and W. J. S. Bove, (colored) chairman; Messrs.
Archer, Hollanow, W. I. George, M. C.
Leary, Shaffer, B. T. Chisholm, Ned Thomp-
son, Z. A. Chisholm, A. A. Spitzer, Allen
Hill, and Joseph Brown Johnson.

Edward Jackson, of Richmond, moved
the appointment of sergeant-at-arms; which
was rejected.

In the absence of thirty minutes the
committee returned and reported the fol-
lowing names as the permanent officers of
the Convention: W. F. Giddings, of Ches-
terfield, president; Messrs. Carter, Jackson,
Johnson, Maddox, Brady, Hallstock, Poindex-
ter, Lindsey, Giddings, J. W. Johnson,
and W. J. S. Bove, (colored) chairman; Messrs.
Archer, Hollanow, W. I. George, M. C.
Leary, Shaffer, B. T. Chisholm, Ned Thomp-
son, Z. A. Chisholm, A. A. Spitzer, Allen
Hill, and Joseph Brown Johnson.

THE CAPITOL SQUARE.
**HOW IT BECAME THE PROPERTY
OF THE STATE.**

THE TRUE HISTORY OF ITS ACQUIREMENT—
A QUESTION SETTLED—RESULT OF COLONEL
FRENCH'S INVESTIGATION—INTER-
ESTING FACTS—WHEN THE CORNER-
STONE OF THE CAPITOL WAS LAID, &c.

During the session of the Legislature in
1875 a joint resolution was passed author-
izing and requesting the Governor to in-
quire and report as to the title not only
to the Public Square, but also the other
lots of land owned by the Commonwealth
in the city of Richmond. The Governor
appointed Colonel S. Bassett French to make
the investigation and write the report. The
duty has been performed, and we publish
today the report in full, as it is of great
interest in relation to the Capitol Square. The
question of title has often been discussed,
and Colonel French's report settles it beyond
doubt. Many persons were under the im-
pression that the Square had been donated
to Virginia by Colonel Byrd, of Westover,
and that in the event of his selling or
leasing the same he would revert to Colonel
Byrd's heirs. This appears to be an error.
The following are the main features of
Colonel French's report:

In 1779 the Assembly ordered the removal
of the seat of government from Williams-
burg to Richmond, and appointed a com-
mittee known as the "Directors of the Public
Buildings" to lay off on "Shocco Hill," in
the city of Richmond, six whole squares sur-
rounded each by streets, and containing all
the ground therein for the use and purpose
of the buildings, and the site of the
County Court House, on the application of
the County Court of Henrico, upon the
application of the "Directors of Public
Buildings," to issue writs of *ad quod damnum*
to condemn the same for the purpose
mentioned, declaring that when the valuation
should be made by the jury, and the
return by the sheriff to the clerk of the
office of Henrico of the rights and property
of the owners and tenants in the lots of land
should be immediately devested and trans-
ferred to the Commonwealth in full and
absolute dominion, any want of consent or dis-
ability to consent in the said owners and
tenants notwithstanding. The State of
Virginia then purchased the land from the
owners, who were the directors named,
and subsequently in the same year they were
vested with authority to lay off the public
grounds in such forms and dimensions as
should become most convenient and requi-
site, and also to secure for the use of the
Commonwealth the same by the purchase of
the authorized addition to Richmond (page
318); and they were required to file with
the report of the jury a description and plat
of the said six squares and the additional
two hundred lots in the clerk's office of the
County Court of Henrico.

It is to be noted that the examination of
the requisition and plan have not been found,
nor, indeed, any minute of issuing the writs
of *ad quod damnum* or of the pro-
ceedings thereon. During the Revolution-
ary war the clerk's office of Henrico
county was destroyed, with its com-
pensation, by the British, and the records
pertaining to that office commenced at the
beginning of the year 1781, two years after
the "Directors of Public Buildings" received
the instructions to lay off the Public Square.
The evidence shows that the Directors of
Public Buildings did execute their task as to
a certain number of lots, but the records
of the County Court of Henrico, which
embraced all of Richmond, was drawn in
1786; nor from Gunn, for we have, says
Colonel French, his petition praying pay-
ment for his lots "condemned for the
Public Square," and the records of the
Commonwealth Assembly directing the
payment of \$1,288 to him, being
the jury's valuation for lots 391, 392, 404,
405—on parts of the two former of which
the lot August 18, 1785—1010 years ago.
The only testimony which is left of the
matter is an article of the Public Square
was a gift to the State was the at-
tempted donation of a lot by Dr. Thomas
Turpin, Jr., "on condition that the public
buildings should be located thereon"; but
it was admitted, both by him and the At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent
to give that to which he had no title. At-
torney-General, that the lot had been
condemned, and his rights and properties
devested and transferred to the Common-
wealth in full and absolute dominion. The
court said the doctor was not competent