

# IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE State of Washington for King County. Notice and Summons.

K. Winslow, plaintiff, vs. Lascar P. DuBoise, and all persons unknown, if any, having or claiming an interest in and to the hereinafter described real property, defendants.—No. 89050. State of Washington, to the above defendants and each of them:

You and each of you, as owners, claimants or holders of an interest or estate in and to the hereinafter described real property, are hereby notified that the above named plaintiff is the holder of eleven certain delinquent tax certificates issued by the treasurer of King County, State of Washington, dated the 8th day of April, 1912, and numbered as follows, for the delinquent taxes of the following year 1908, in the following amount, and upon the real property situated in said King County, described as follows, to-wit:

Grove Addition to Des Moines, lot 3, block 38, certificate No. B76931, year 1908, amount \$0.66.

Grove Addition to Des Moines, lot 4, block 38, certificate No. B76932, year 1908, amount \$0.66.

Grove Addition to Des Moines, lot 5, block 38, certificate No. B76933, year 1908, amount \$0.66.

Grove Addition to Des Moines, lot 6, block 38, certificate No. B76934, year 1908, amount \$0.66.

Grove Addition to Des Moines, lot 7, block 38, certificate No. B76935, year 1908, amount \$0.66.

Grove Addition to Des Moines, lot 8, block 38, certificate No. B76936, year 1908, amount \$0.66.

Grove Addition to Des Moines, lot 9, block 38, certificate No. B76937, year 1908, amount \$0.66.

Grove Addition to Des Moines, lot 10, block 38, certificate No. B76938, year 1908, amount \$0.66.

Grove Addition to Des Moines, lot 11, block 38, certificate No. B76939, year 1908, amount \$0.66.

Grove Addition to Des Moines, lot 12, block 38, certificate No. B76940, year 1908, amount \$0.66.

Grove Addition to Des Moines, lot 13, block 38, certificate No. B76941, year 1908, amount \$0.66.

That the taxes for the following prior and subsequent years have been paid by the plaintiff upon said above described real property, to-wit:

Lot 3, block 38, Grove Addition to Des Moines, amount 31 cents, for year 1909.

Lot 3, block 38, Grove Addition to Des Moines, amount 28 cents, for year 1910.

Lot 3, block 38, Grove Addition to Des Moines, amount 23 cents, for year 1911.

Lot 4, block 38, Grove Addition to Des Moines, amount 31 cents, for year 1909.

Lot 4, block 38, Grove Addition to Des Moines, amount 28 cents, for year 1910.

Lot 4, block 38, Grove Addition to Des Moines, amount 23 cents, for year 1911.

Lot 5, block 38, Grove Addition to Des Moines, amount 31 cents, for year 1909.

Lot 5, block 38, Grove Addition to Des Moines, amount 28 cents, for year 1910.

Lot 6, block 38, Grove Addition to Des Moines, amount 31 cents, for year 1909.

Lot 6, block 38, Grove Addition to Des Moines, amount 28 cents, for year 1910.

Lot 6, block 38, Grove Addition to Des Moines, amount 23 cents, for year 1911.

Lot 7, block 38, Grove Addition to Des Moines, amount 31 cents, for year 1909.

Lot 7, block 38, Grove Addition to Des Moines, amount 28 cents, for year 1910.

Lot 7, block 38, Grove Addition to Des Moines, amount 23 cents, for year 1911.

Lot 8, block 38, Grove Addition to Des Moines, amount 31 cents, for year 1909.

Lot 8, block 38, Grove Addition to Des Moines, amount 28 cents, for year 1910.

Lot 8, block 38, Grove Addition to Des Moines, amount 23 cents, for year 1911.

Lot 9, block 38, Grove Addition to Des Moines, amount 31 cents, for year 1909.

Lot 9, block 38, Grove Addition to Des Moines, amount 28 cents, for year 1910.

Lot 9, block 38, Grove Addition to Des Moines, amount 23 cents, for year 1911.

Lot 10, block 38, Grove Addition to Des Moines, amount 31 cents, for year 1909.

Lot 10, block 38, Grove Addition to Des Moines, amount 28 cents, for year 1910.

Lot 10, block 38, Grove Addition to Des Moines, amount 23 cents, for year 1911.

Lot 11, block 38, Grove Addition to Des Moines, amount 31 cents, for year 1909.

Lot 11, block 38, Grove Addition to Des Moines, amount 28 cents, for year 1910.

Lot 11, block 38, Grove Addition to Des Moines, amount 23 cents, for year 1911.

Lot 12, block 38, Grove Addition to Des Moines, amount 31 cents, for year 1909.

Lot 12, block 38, Grove Addition to Des Moines, amount 28 cents, for year 1910.

Lot 12, block 38, Grove Addition to Des Moines, amount 23 cents, for year 1911.

Lot 13, block 38, Grove Addition to Des Moines, amount 31 cents, for year 1909.

Lot 13, block 38, Grove Addition to Des Moines, amount 28 cents, for year 1910.

Lot 13, block 38, Grove Addition to Des Moines, amount 23 cents, for year 1911.

Which several sums bear interest at the rate of 15 per cent per annum from date of payment, and are all the unpaid and unredeemed taxes upon and against said real property.

You and each of you (including said persons unknown, if any), are hereby further notified and summoned to be and appear within sixty days after the date of first publication of this notice, exclusive of the day of said first publication, to-wit, within sixty days after the 2nd day of August, 1911, in the above entitled court and action; and defend this action and answer the complaint of said plaintiff and serve a copy of your answer on the undersigned attorneys for plaintiff at this office below stated, or pay the amount due, together with interest and costs. In case you fail so to do, judgment will be rendered herein, foreclosing the lien of said taxes and costs against each parcel of said real property for the sums and amounts due upon and charged against each, for said taxes, interest and costs, ordering a sale of each parcel of said property for the satisfaction of the sums charged and found against it respectively as provided by law, and as prayed in plaintiff's complaint, now on file in this cause and court.

K. WINSLOW, Plaintiff.

McLEAN & BALLIET, Empire Bldg., Seattle. Attorneys for Plaintiff. Office address 663-4-5 Empire Building, Seattle, Washington. August 2—September 13, 1912.

# IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE State of Washington, in the County of King. In Probate.

In the Matter of the Estate of Etta C. Chapman, Deceased. No. —. Order Fixing Time to Hear Final Account and to Show Cause Why Distribution Should Not Be Made.

D. H. Chapman, administrator of the estate of Etta C. Chapman, deceased, having filed in this court his final account and petition setting forth that said estate is now in a condition to be closed and is ready for distribution of the residue thereof among the persons entitled by law thereto, and it appearing to the court that said petition sets forth facts sufficient to authorize a distribution of the residue of said estate:

It is therefore ordered by the court that all persons interested in the estate of the said estate of Etta C. Chapman, deceased, be and appear before the said Superior Court of King County, State of Washington, at the court room of the Probate Department of said court in Seattle, King County, State of Washington, on the 12th day of October, 1912, at the hour of 9:30 o'clock a. m. of said day, then and there to show cause, if any they have, why said final account should not be allowed and an order of distribution be made of the residue of said estate among the heirs and persons in said petition mentioned, according to law.

It is further ordered, that a copy of this order be posted in three of the most public places in King County, for a period of four weeks prior to said hearing and published once a week for four consecutive weeks before the said 12th day of October, 1912, in "Seattle Republican," a newspaper printed and published in said King County and of general circulation therein.

Done in open court this 10th day of September, 1912.

A. W. FRATER, Judge. State of Washington, County of King, ss.

# IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE State of Washington, for King County. Rose Besaw, Plaintiff, vs. Charles Besaw, Defendant. No. —. Summons by Publication.

The State of Washington to the said Charles Besaw, Defendant:

You are hereby summoned to appear within sixty days after the date of the first publication of this summons, to-wit: within sixty days after the 20th day of September, 1912, and defend the above entitled action in the above entitled court, and answer the complaint of the plaintiff, and serve a copy of your answer upon the undersigned attorney for plaintiff at his office below stated; and in case of your failure so to do, judgment will be rendered against you according to the demand of the complaint, which has been filed with the clerk of said court. The object of the above entitled action is to obtain a decree of divorce on the grounds of non-support and desertion.

HOMER E. TURNER, Attorney for Plaintiff.

P. O. Address 508-10 Lyon Bldg., Seattle, King County, Washington. Sept. 20—Nov. 1, 1912.

# IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE State of Washington in and for the County of King. Summons by Publication.

Maud M. Peugh, plaintiff, vs. Frank J. Peugh, defendant.—No. —.

The State of Washington to the said Frank J. Peugh, defendant: You are hereby summoned to appear within sixty (60) days after the date of the first publication of this summons, to-wit, within sixty days after the 2nd day of August, 1912, and defend the above entitled action in the above court and answer the complaint of the plaintiff and serve a copy of your answer upon the undersigned attorney for plaintiff, at his office below stated; and in case of your failure so to do judgment will be rendered against you according to the demand of the complaint which has been filed with the clerk of said court.

The object of this action is to obtain a decree of divorce from the defendant for the following reasons:

First.—Because, without plaintiff's

fault, the defendant abandoned the plaintiff at Bakersfield, California, in April, 1909, said abandonment being continuous for one year and more.

Second.—Because, without the plaintiff's fault the defendant since April, 1909, has neglected and refused to make suitable provisions for the plaintiff and his family and still neglects and refuses to make suitable provisions for the plaintiff and his family.

Plaintiff also seeks the restoration of her maiden name, Maud M. Burgua.

A. J. SPECKERT, Attorney for Plaintiff. Postoffice Address, Steven's Dancing Academy, Second Floor, Fourth Avenue between Pike and Pine Streets, Seattle, Washington.

August 2—September 13, 1912.

# IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE State of Washington, for King County.

Nellie Schork, Plaintiff, vs. Clarence J. Schork, Defendant. No. —. Summons for Publication.

The State of Washington, to the above defendant, Clarence J. Schork:

You are hereby summoned to appear within sixty days after the date of the first publication of this summons, to-wit: Within sixty days after the 20th day of September, 1912, and defend the above entitled action in the above entitled court, and answer the complaint of the plaintiff, and serve a copy of your answer upon the undersigned attorney for plaintiff at his office below stated; and in case of your failure so to do, judgment will be rendered against you according to the demand of the complaint, which has been filed with the clerk of said court.

The object of the above entitled action is to obtain a judgment of divorce from the defendant, upon the grounds of incompatibility of temperament, and upon the further grounds of defendant's failure to support and provide for the plaintiff.

E. F. KIENSTRA, Attorney for Plaintiff.

P. O. Address, 200 Epler Block, Seattle.

# IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE State of Washington for King County. Summons by Publication.

German Savings, Building & Loan Association, a corporation, plaintiff, vs. F. F. Travis, Maud E. Travis, his wife; William Jensen, Hulda Jensen, his wife; P. H. Ammidown and Jane Doe Ammidown, his wife; Margaret K. Ammidown, now Margaret K. Dyer; the General Hauling Company, a corporation, defendants.—No. 87754.

The State of Washington to P. H. Ammidown, Jane Doe Ammidown, his wife; Margaret K. Ammidown, now Margaret K. Dyer:

You and each of you are hereby summoned and required to appear within sixty days after the date of the first publication of this summons, to-wit, within sixty days after the 19th day of July, 1912, and defend the above entitled action in the above entitled court and answer the complaint of the plaintiff and serve a copy of your answer upon the undersigned attorney for plaintiff, at the address below stated, and in case of your failure so to do judgment will be rendered against you according to the demand of the complaint, which has been filed with the clerk of said court. The object of the above entitled action is to obtain judgment from said court in favor of the plaintiff, against the said defendants, F. F. Travis, and Maud E. Travis, his wife, for the sum of Ten Hundred Sixty-one Dollars and twelve cents (\$1,061.12), together with interest thereon at the rate of 12 per cent per annum, and interest, attorney's fees, costs and disbursements, and to foreclose that certain mortgage made by the said defendants on the 28th day of February, 1906, for the sum of \$1,650.00 (Sixteen Hundred and Fifty Dollars) upon Lot 5, Block 5, Westlake Boulevard Addition to the City of Seattle, King County, Washington, which mortgage is of record in Vol. 235 of Mortgages, page 360, record of mortgages in the office of the auditor of King County, Washington, and for the sale of said lands to satisfy the amount that may be adjudged by the court to the plaintiff and to bar and foreclose all right, title and interest of each and all of said defendants in and to said lands and premises and every part thereof and for general relief.

EDWARD VON TOBEL, Attorney for Plaintiff.

Office and Postoffice address, 604-5 Mutual Life Bldg., Seattle, King County, Wash.

# IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE State of Washington, for King County. Max L. Kendall, Plaintiff, vs. Louise Kendall, Defendant. No. 89685. Summons by Publication.

The State of Washington to the said Louise Kendall, Defendant:

You are hereby summoned to appear within sixty days after the date of the first publication of this summons, to-wit: within sixty days after the 13th day of September, 1912, and defend the above entitled action in the above entitled court, and answer the complaint of the plaintiff, and serve a copy of your answer upon the undersigned attorney for plaintiff at his office below stated; and in case of your failure so to do, judgment will be rendered against you according to the demand of the complaint, which has been filed with the clerk of said court.

The object of the above entitled action is an absolute divorce on the grounds of cruelty and abandonment.

JOHN R. WILSON, Plaintiff's Attorney.

P. O. Address 539 New York Block, Seattle, King County, Washington. September 13—November 1, 1912.

# IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE State of Washington, for King County. Summons for Publication.

Axel Nelson and Emma Nelson, his wife,

plaintiffs, vs. G. J. Dahl, known also as Gust J. Dahl, defendant.—No. —. The State of Washington to the said G. J. Dahl, known also as Gust J. Dahl, defendant:

You are hereby summoned to appear within sixty days after the date of the first publication of this summons, to-wit: within sixty days after the 23rd day of August, 1912, and defend the above entitled action in the above entitled court, and answer the complaint of the plaintiff, and serve a copy of your answer upon the undersigned attorney for plaintiff at his office below stated; and in case of your failure so to do, judgment will be rendered against you according to the demand of the complaint, which has been filed with the clerk of said court. The object of the above entitled action is to obtain judgment against this defendant on one certain promissory note for one thousand dollars (\$1,000), and interest thereon at 6 per cent from April 25th, 1910, and to foreclose one certain mortgage, of date April 28th, 1910, on the following real estate, to-wit, lots five (5), six (6), seventeen (17), and eighteen (18), Steel Works Addition to West Seattle, King County, Washington, which said mortgage was given to secure said note.

A. J. ALLEN, Attorney for Plaintiff.

P. O. Address, 405-406 Eiler Bldg., Seattle, King County, Washington.

# IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE State of Washington for King County. Summons for Publication.

Axel Nelson and Emma Nelson, his wife, plaintiffs, vs. G. J. Dahl, known also as Gust J. Dahl, defendant.—No. —. The State of Washington to the said G. J. Dahl, known also as Gust J. Dahl, defendant:

You are hereby summoned to appear within sixty days after the date of the first publication of this summons, to-wit: within sixty days after the 23rd day of August, 1912, and defend the above entitled action in the above entitled court, and answer the complaint of the plaintiff, and serve a copy of your answer upon the undersigned attorney for plaintiff at his office below stated; and in case of your failure so to do, judgment will be rendered against you according to the demand of the complaint, which has been filed with the clerk of said court. The object of the above entitled action is to obtain judgment against this defendant on one certain promissory note dated January 18, 1910, for the sum of seven hundred dollars (\$700), with interest at 6 per cent, and to foreclose mortgage, given to secure said note, on lot twelve (12), block two (2), of Star Addition to West Seattle, King County, Washington, which said mortgage was given to secure said note.

A. J. ALLEN, Attorney for Plaintiff.

P. O. Address, 405-406 Eiler Bldg., Seattle, King County, Washington.

# IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE State of Washington for King County. Summons for Publication.

Schwabacher Hardware Company, a Corporation, plaintiff, vs. B. F. Zimmerman, and Carroll Hayward Zimmerman, his wife, and R. L. Hankinson, and Jane Doe Hankinson, his wife, defendants.—No. 89294.

The State of Washington to the said B. F. Zimmerman, and Carroll Hayward Zimmerman, his wife, and R. L. Hankinson and Jane Doe Hankinson, his wife, defendants:

You are hereby summoned to appear within sixty days after the date of the first publication of this summons, to-wit: Within sixty days after the 23rd day of August, 1912, and defend the above entitled action in the above entitled court, and answer the complaint of the plaintiff, and serve a copy of your answer upon the undersigned attorneys for plaintiff at their office below stated; and in case of your failure so to do, judgment will be rendered against you according to the demand of the complaint, which has been filed with the clerk of said court. The object of the above entitled action is to foreclose that certain mortgage given by the defendants, B. F. Zimmerman and Carroll Hayward Zimmerman, his wife, to Schwabacher Hardware Company, a corporation, dated August 10, 1911, to secure the payment of the sum of \$2,500.00 one year after said date, with interest at 8 per cent, and an attorney's fee of \$250.00, and for a deficiency judgment.

LEOPOLD M. STERN & J. W. RUSSELL, Plaintiff's Attorney.

P. O. Address, 714 Lowman Building, Seattle, King County, Washington. August 23—October 4, 1912.

# IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE State of Washington, in and for the County of King. In Probate.

In the Matter of the Estate of Edward C. Huess, Deceased. No. 14080. Notice of Change of Administrator.

Notice is hereby given that Fritz H. Huess, the Administrator of the above entitled estate, has been removed, and that Tillie Huess has been appointed Administratrix of said estate in his stead.

Creditors are hereby notified to present their claims against said estate to said Administratrix at her place for the transaction of business, to-wit: 1307 Hoge Building Seattle, Washington, within one year from the date of the notice to creditors previously published to-wit:

TILLIE HUESS, Administratrix De bonis non of the Estate of Edward C. Huess, Deceased.

Sept. 20—Oct. 5, 1912.