

REPUBLICAN STATE TICKET.

Governor, WILLIAM W. FOSTER. Lieutenant Governor, J. P. JOHN. Secretary of Internal Affairs, JAMES W. LATTI. Judge of Superior Court, WILLIAM W. PORTER. William D. PORTER. Congressmen-at-Large, GALUSHA A. GIBB, SAM'L DAVENPORT. County, J. J. KOOPER, of Somerset. (Subject to election of District Conference.) State Senate, JOHN S. WELLES, of Bedford County. Assembly, WM. H. KOONTZ, Somerset. S. A. KENDALL, Meyersdale. Associate Judge, A. F. DICKEY, Somerset Township. District Attorney, RUFUS E. MEYERS, Somerset. Post Office, ADAM S. MILLER, Somerset Township.

IF you want to vote in November don't forget to pay your taxes on or before October 1st.

PROBABLY the next complaint of the Democrats will be that the carpet-baggers are getting possession of Porto Rico and the Philippines.

SENATOR HOAR, of Massachusetts, has declined the appointment of Ambassador to England, recently tendered him by President McKinley.

ORSON, Vermont, said Maine have elected Republican Congressmen in every district. The congressional campaign of 1898 thus begins with a clean sweep.

THE girls are so fond of the soldiers that they are using the campaign hats and brass buttons of the boys. May we look for the yellow canvas leggings next?

OUR three new battle-ships will be as fast as the swiftest in the world. Our navy will be glad to be pitted against nothing that can laugh at us as it runs away.

THE Republican majority in Maine will stand at about 24,000. Blaine's in 1884 was 20,000, and he was certainly popular in his own State. But the party has kept on growing.

SOME of the Democratic papers say it was sheer luck that won in the recent war. Even that is better than to be mixed up with a party that is neither capable nor lucky.

WHEN a foreign official wishes to cause dismay to his opponents he threatens to resign his office. But how this threat can frighten is a mystery from the American official's point of view.

THE Republican administration is a year and a half old and the gold reserve in the United States Treasury is over \$240,000,000. Sound money, good government, prosperity and Republicanism have strong affinities.

THE difference between theory and practice has been well illustrated by those who stayed at home and talked about how things should have been done at the front, and those who went to the front and worked without giving advice to their superiors.

IF SPAIN had only stopped to think that there are in this country 24,698 public schoolhouses and 14,467,379 children in the public schools, she would have chosen some other antagonist. The difference in the men behind the guns began in the schoolrooms.

IN THE interest of party harmony District Attorney Graham, of Philadelphia, has recommended his determination to run for a seventh term, and announces his withdrawal as a candidate. A little of this kind of spirit of harmony injected into the Twentieth District Congressional Conference would put an end to the present unseemly deadlock.

THE September State elections have been held, with the usual results. In Vermont the Republican plurality was about 24,200; larger than at any previous election in any other than a presidential year, except in 1864, when it was 28,421. In Arkansas the Democrats carried the State by a reduced majority. This, however, indicates nothing except that the Democratic machine thought it unnecessary to return a larger majority. In Maine nothing was done except to nominate the candidates and hold the election; no canvass by either party having been made. At the election the vote was light, with a Republican majority of about the usual percentage.

WHY does the pure, holy Pennsylvania Democracy debate itself by manifesting a lingering willingness to consort with many of the dreadfully wicked Republicans as it can persuade to permit it to do so? What does it expect to gain by telling the Republican voters of Pennsylvania that they are a most rottenly corrupt aggregation from top to bottom, but that by voting for free silver, free trade and free office (for the Democracy), they may become pure as the driven snow? Do they really fancy that they can win votes by practically telling every Pennsylvania Republican that in comparison with him the ordinary horse-trick is a gentleman?—Pittsburgh Commercial Gazette.

THE Republican Congressional Conference for this district is again in session at Johnstown, having re-convened in that city yesterday. Fortunately, if we are to have a regularly-nominated candidate at all, the nomination can not be longer delayed than next Tuesday, as the 27th is the last day on which certificates of nomination can be filed. The several candidates seem to be as far apart as when the Conference first met, over a month ago, and the little fighting that has been indulged in by a change of votes on several ballots does not, in the least indicate that any of the four candidates can seriously command more than three votes at any time. The Herald still entertains the hope that a nomination will be made before the time-limit expires.

Items of Interest.

Blackbirds have become an intolerable nuisance in Hollidaysburg and Gayport, where they roost by the thousands in the large shade trees. Some of the citizens are shooting into the sky, trying to frighten them, but the little fellows are hard to chase away. A few days ago the people of Philadelphia witnessed the sale of one of their historic buildings, Assembly Hall. It was built just one hundred years ago, and was used for the entertainment of illustrious people, Washington, Franklin and Presidents and statesmen down until a few years ago. According to the agreement this building was used for social affairs for one hundred years and then sold. The sale took place one hundred years from the date of the agreement. The following method has been recommended for keeping butter sweet and firm: Mix the butter into rolls and wrap in pieces of muslin. Make your butter in the following manner: Put enough salt in six quarts of water to cause an egg to float in it; add two tablespoonfuls of granulated sugar and a teaspoonful of saltpeter. Let the brine come to a boil, and when cold strain it over the butter. The brine should more than cover the butter, which should be weighted sufficiently to keep the rolls beneath the surface and exclude the air. In a recent decision of Judge Clayton he said that the owner of real estate also owns the sidewalks in front of his property, subject to right of pedestrians to use them in traveling, but he said that the owner them as much as any other part of his property and they cannot be used for any other purpose without his consent. Should the owner allow them to become dilapidated so that they may cause injury to a fall and suffer an injury, he can be prosecuted for such negligence and will have to pay damages. In the common pleas of Lackawanna county, last week, Judge Edwards decided the case of the reading of the holy scriptures, in either version, as a part of the opening exercises in our public schools, does not violate any constitutional provision. It is not in contravention of Article I, section 8, and of Article X, section 2. The case was one in which an injunction was sought against the reading of the Bible as part of the opening exercises of the public school. The case was argued by the plaintiff's counsel, and the defendant's counsel, and the court decided in favor of the plaintiff. The court held that the reading of the Bible in the schools is not a violation of the constitution, and that the school board is not liable for damages. The court also held that the school board is not liable for damages for the reading of the Bible in the schools. The court also held that the school board is not liable for damages for the reading of the Bible in the schools.

Shaky in His History. Conspicuous newspapers in the United States continue to discuss with animosity Senator Quay or his friends with an attempt to cut down the Republican vote in the House, and it fails to find any evidence in saying, "It adds, 'that the fears so far as this section of the country is concerned are groundless.' The Warren papers add testimony to the same effect, and so do others published in the district. This collapses another canard. Mr. Stone's only danger lies in the magnitude of the Sibley barrel, over which the State organization has no control, and we haven't the slightest notion that he stands in any great danger even from that.

Shaky in His History. Conspicuous newspapers in the United States continue to discuss with animosity Senator Quay or his friends with an attempt to cut down the Republican vote in the House, and it fails to find any evidence in saying, "It adds, 'that the fears so far as this section of the country is concerned are groundless.' The Warren papers add testimony to the same effect, and so do others published in the district. This collapses another canard. Mr. Stone's only danger lies in the magnitude of the Sibley barrel, over which the State organization has no control, and we haven't the slightest notion that he stands in any great danger even from that.

Shaky in His History. Conspicuous newspapers in the United States continue to discuss with animosity Senator Quay or his friends with an attempt to cut down the Republican vote in the House, and it fails to find any evidence in saying, "It adds, 'that the fears so far as this section of the country is concerned are groundless.' The Warren papers add testimony to the same effect, and so do others published in the district. This collapses another canard. Mr. Stone's only danger lies in the magnitude of the Sibley barrel, over which the State organization has no control, and we haven't the slightest notion that he stands in any great danger even from that.

Shaky in His History. Conspicuous newspapers in the United States continue to discuss with animosity Senator Quay or his friends with an attempt to cut down the Republican vote in the House, and it fails to find any evidence in saying, "It adds, 'that the fears so far as this section of the country is concerned are groundless.' The Warren papers add testimony to the same effect, and so do others published in the district. This collapses another canard. Mr. Stone's only danger lies in the magnitude of the Sibley barrel, over which the State organization has no control, and we haven't the slightest notion that he stands in any great danger even from that.

Shaky in His History. Conspicuous newspapers in the United States continue to discuss with animosity Senator Quay or his friends with an attempt to cut down the Republican vote in the House, and it fails to find any evidence in saying, "It adds, 'that the fears so far as this section of the country is concerned are groundless.' The Warren papers add testimony to the same effect, and so do others published in the district. This collapses another canard. Mr. Stone's only danger lies in the magnitude of the Sibley barrel, over which the State organization has no control, and we haven't the slightest notion that he stands in any great danger even from that.

Shaky in His History. Conspicuous newspapers in the United States continue to discuss with animosity Senator Quay or his friends with an attempt to cut down the Republican vote in the House, and it fails to find any evidence in saying, "It adds, 'that the fears so far as this section of the country is concerned are groundless.' The Warren papers add testimony to the same effect, and so do others published in the district. This collapses another canard. Mr. Stone's only danger lies in the magnitude of the Sibley barrel, over which the State organization has no control, and we haven't the slightest notion that he stands in any great danger even from that.

Shaky in His History. Conspicuous newspapers in the United States continue to discuss with animosity Senator Quay or his friends with an attempt to cut down the Republican vote in the House, and it fails to find any evidence in saying, "It adds, 'that the fears so far as this section of the country is concerned are groundless.' The Warren papers add testimony to the same effect, and so do others published in the district. This collapses another canard. Mr. Stone's only danger lies in the magnitude of the Sibley barrel, over which the State organization has no control, and we haven't the slightest notion that he stands in any great danger even from that.

Shaky in His History. Conspicuous newspapers in the United States continue to discuss with animosity Senator Quay or his friends with an attempt to cut down the Republican vote in the House, and it fails to find any evidence in saying, "It adds, 'that the fears so far as this section of the country is concerned are groundless.' The Warren papers add testimony to the same effect, and so do others published in the district. This collapses another canard. Mr. Stone's only danger lies in the magnitude of the Sibley barrel, over which the State organization has no control, and we haven't the slightest notion that he stands in any great danger even from that.

Shaky in His History. Conspicuous newspapers in the United States continue to discuss with animosity Senator Quay or his friends with an attempt to cut down the Republican vote in the House, and it fails to find any evidence in saying, "It adds, 'that the fears so far as this section of the country is concerned are groundless.' The Warren papers add testimony to the same effect, and so do others published in the district. This collapses another canard. Mr. Stone's only danger lies in the magnitude of the Sibley barrel, over which the State organization has no control, and we haven't the slightest notion that he stands in any great danger even from that.

Shaky in His History. Conspicuous newspapers in the United States continue to discuss with animosity Senator Quay or his friends with an attempt to cut down the Republican vote in the House, and it fails to find any evidence in saying, "It adds, 'that the fears so far as this section of the country is concerned are groundless.' The Warren papers add testimony to the same effect, and so do others published in the district. This collapses another canard. Mr. Stone's only danger lies in the magnitude of the Sibley barrel, over which the State organization has no control, and we haven't the slightest notion that he stands in any great danger even from that.

Shaky in His History. Conspicuous newspapers in the United States continue to discuss with animosity Senator Quay or his friends with an attempt to cut down the Republican vote in the House, and it fails to find any evidence in saying, "It adds, 'that the fears so far as this section of the country is concerned are groundless.' The Warren papers add testimony to the same effect, and so do others published in the district. This collapses another canard. Mr. Stone's only danger lies in the magnitude of the Sibley barrel, over which the State organization has no control, and we haven't the slightest notion that he stands in any great danger even from that.

Shaky in His History. Conspicuous newspapers in the United States continue to discuss with animosity Senator Quay or his friends with an attempt to cut down the Republican vote in the House, and it fails to find any evidence in saying, "It adds, 'that the fears so far as this section of the country is concerned are groundless.' The Warren papers add testimony to the same effect, and so do others published in the district. This collapses another canard. Mr. Stone's only danger lies in the magnitude of the Sibley barrel, over which the State organization has no control, and we haven't the slightest notion that he stands in any great danger even from that.

Shaky in His History. Conspicuous newspapers in the United States continue to discuss with animosity Senator Quay or his friends with an attempt to cut down the Republican vote in the House, and it fails to find any evidence in saying, "It adds, 'that the fears so far as this section of the country is concerned are groundless.' The Warren papers add testimony to the same effect, and so do others published in the district. This collapses another canard. Mr. Stone's only danger lies in the magnitude of the Sibley barrel, over which the State organization has no control, and we haven't the slightest notion that he stands in any great danger even from that.

Shaky in His History. Conspicuous newspapers in the United States continue to discuss with animosity Senator Quay or his friends with an attempt to cut down the Republican vote in the House, and it fails to find any evidence in saying, "It adds, 'that the fears so far as this section of the country is concerned are groundless.' The Warren papers add testimony to the same effect, and so do others published in the district. This collapses another canard. Mr. Stone's only danger lies in the magnitude of the Sibley barrel, over which the State organization has no control, and we haven't the slightest notion that he stands in any great danger even from that.

Shaky in His History. Conspicuous newspapers in the United States continue to discuss with animosity Senator Quay or his friends with an attempt to cut down the Republican vote in the House, and it fails to find any evidence in saying, "It adds, 'that the fears so far as this section of the country is concerned are groundless.' The Warren papers add testimony to the same effect, and so do others published in the district. This collapses another canard. Mr. Stone's only danger lies in the magnitude of the Sibley barrel, over which the State organization has no control, and we haven't the slightest notion that he stands in any great danger even from that.

Shaky in His History. Conspicuous newspapers in the United States continue to discuss with animosity Senator Quay or his friends with an attempt to cut down the Republican vote in the House, and it fails to find any evidence in saying, "It adds, 'that the fears so far as this section of the country is concerned are groundless.' The Warren papers add testimony to the same effect, and so do others published in the district. This collapses another canard. Mr. Stone's only danger lies in the magnitude of the Sibley barrel, over which the State organization has no control, and we haven't the slightest notion that he stands in any great danger even from that.

Shaky in His History. Conspicuous newspapers in the United States continue to discuss with animosity Senator Quay or his friends with an attempt to cut down the Republican vote in the House, and it fails to find any evidence in saying, "It adds, 'that the fears so far as this section of the country is concerned are groundless.' The Warren papers add testimony to the same effect, and so do others published in the district. This collapses another canard. Mr. Stone's only danger lies in the magnitude of the Sibley barrel, over which the State organization has no control, and we haven't the slightest notion that he stands in any great danger even from that.

Shaky in His History. Conspicuous newspapers in the United States continue to discuss with animosity Senator Quay or his friends with an attempt to cut down the Republican vote in the House, and it fails to find any evidence in saying, "It adds, 'that the fears so far as this section of the country is concerned are groundless.' The Warren papers add testimony to the same effect, and so do others published in the district. This collapses another canard. Mr. Stone's only danger lies in the magnitude of the Sibley barrel, over which the State organization has no control, and we haven't the slightest notion that he stands in any great danger even from that.

Shaky in His History. Conspicuous newspapers in the United States continue to discuss with animosity Senator Quay or his friends with an attempt to cut down the Republican vote in the House, and it fails to find any evidence in saying, "It adds, 'that the fears so far as this section of the country is concerned are groundless.' The Warren papers add testimony to the same effect, and so do others published in the district. This collapses another canard. Mr. Stone's only danger lies in the magnitude of the Sibley barrel, over which the State organization has no control, and we haven't the slightest notion that he stands in any great danger even from that.

Shaky in His History. Conspicuous newspapers in the United States continue to discuss with animosity Senator Quay or his friends with an attempt to cut down the Republican vote in the House, and it fails to find any evidence in saying, "It adds, 'that the fears so far as this section of the country is concerned are groundless.' The Warren papers add testimony to the same effect, and so do others published in the district. This collapses another canard. Mr. Stone's only danger lies in the magnitude of the Sibley barrel, over which the State organization has no control, and we haven't the slightest notion that he stands in any great danger even from that.

Shaky in His History. Conspicuous newspapers in the United States continue to discuss with animosity Senator Quay or his friends with an attempt to cut down the Republican vote in the House, and it fails to find any evidence in saying, "It adds, 'that the fears so far as this section of the country is concerned are groundless.' The Warren papers add testimony to the same effect, and so do others published in the district. This collapses another canard. Mr. Stone's only danger lies in the magnitude of the Sibley barrel, over which the State organization has no control, and we haven't the slightest notion that he stands in any great danger even from that.

Political Notes.

The Congressional conference at Johnstown adjourned last week again without making a nomination. We have the same men repeating the folly of last year, without reason or justification. If the principals can not agree to the nomination of some one, they should release their conferees from absolute personal allegiance and allow them the liberty to make the best nomination they can. It is injurious to the candidates and to the party to have the nomination delayed as it has been. If the candidates will not allow their conferees to settle the question according to their best judgment, then the conferees would do well to refuse to be any longer parties to prolonging an injurious and demoralizing deadlock. Their refusal would be a patriotic service under the circumstances. We hope when they meet again on the 30th they will do promptly what they ought to have done long ago.

There was no need for State Chairman Eglin to deny the yarn published by the Philadelphia Press to the effect that the State committee wanted Congressman Charles W. Stone defeated for Congress in the Twenty-seventh District. Chairman Eglin said: "The State committee is working hard for the election of every regularly nominated Republican candidate from Governor to County Auditor." It would be well for the Press if it could say as much for its own case.

A Great Zoological Garden. The Zoological Society of New York propose to construct what will probably be the finest zoological garden in the world in Bronx Park, New York, and under the direction of the executive committee the plans are being fully elaborated. The society expects to open the garden to the public in a satisfactory way on May 1, 1899. While the work is progressing with all due rapidity and zeal, the old and well approved plan of relieving biliousness and constipation through the beneficent agency of Hostetter's Stomach Bitters finds general recognition. It invigorates the system through the medium of improved digestion and appetite, fortifies it against disease, and counteracts the effects of indigestion and nervousness. A wingless fly and counter-acting engenders health-yielding and strengthening sleep. Let it have the persistent and systematic trial that it deserves.

The Maine Victory. A study of the Republican pluralities in Maine during the past thirty years is interesting at this time when the Democrats are attempting to create the impression that the recent victory was not sufficiently large to be regarded as an endorsement of the Administration. But the facts and figures plainly show the emptiness of this assertion.

Year. Finality. 1870—9,000 1874—11,827 1878—13,115 1882—8,872 1886—12,619 1890—18,823 1894—17,028 1898—25,000

This will be observed the plurality of 25,000 has been exceeded but once since 1870, and if the Democrats can derive any satisfaction from this fact they may have it and welcome.

BALTIMORE & OHIO RAILROAD. Knights Templar Triennial Conclave. Pittsburg, Pa., October 20 14, 1898. For the Knights Templar Triennial Conclave, to be held at Pittsburg, Pa., from October 18th to 14th, 1898, the Baltimore & Ohio Railroad will sell tickets from all points east of the Ohio River at One Lowest First Class Fare for the Round Trip, good going on October 28th to 13th, inclusive, and good returning leaving Pittsburg and including October 17th, 1898, except by depositing ticket with Joint Agent at Pittsburg not earlier than October 12th nor later than October 17th, and on payment of fifty (50) cents. Return limit of ticket may be extended to leave Pittsburg and including October 31st, 1898.

Solid Royal Blue Vestibled Trains run daily from New York Philadelphia, Wilmington, Baltimore, Washington, intermediate points, elegantly equipped with Pullman Sleeping Cars, Observation Parlor Cars and unexcelled Dining Car Service. For tickets and full information, apply to nearest Ticket Agent, Baltimore & Ohio Railroad.

The Last of the Associate Judges. In the year 1900 the regular decennial census will be taken by the United States. According to the census of 1880 Bedford county had 36,624 of a population. The Constitution of Pennsylvania guarantees to every county having a population of 40,000 persons a President Judge. The new census will without doubt give Bedford county a population of 40,000 and preclude the county to a President Judge. Somerset does not make objection over a dead body. It does not enshroud death with such gloom. People who can afford it have set such a pace of extravagance in the burial of their dead that it breaks an ordinary man up when he has a loved one dead to conform to funeral customs. It is no good to the dead, but an immense injury to the living. The ordinary funeral service is not Christian. Christians do not make ostentation over a dead body. It does not enshroud death with such gloom. People who can afford it have set such a pace of extravagance in the burial of their dead that it breaks an ordinary man up when he has a loved one dead to conform to funeral customs. It is no good to the dead, but an immense injury to the living. The ordinary funeral service is not Christian. Christians do not make ostentation over a dead body. It does not enshroud death with such gloom. People who can afford it have set such a pace of extravagance in the burial of their dead that it breaks an ordinary man up when he has a loved one dead to conform to funeral customs. It is no good to the dead, but an immense injury to the living. The ordinary funeral service is not Christian. Christians do not make ostentation over a dead body. It does not enshroud death with such gloom. People who can afford it have set such a pace of extravagance in the burial of their dead that it breaks an ordinary man up when he has a loved one dead to conform to funeral customs. It is no good to the dead, but an immense injury to the living. The ordinary funeral service is not Christian. Christians do not make ostentation over a dead body. It does not enshroud death with such gloom. People who can afford it have set such a pace of extravagance in the burial of their dead that it breaks an ordinary man up when he has a loved one dead to conform to funeral customs. It is no good to the dead, but an immense injury to the living. The ordinary funeral service is not Christian. Christians do not make ostentation over a dead body. It does not enshroud death with such gloom. People who can afford it have set such a pace of extravagance in the burial of their dead that it breaks an ordinary man up when he has a loved one dead to conform to funeral customs. It is no good to the dead, but an immense injury to the living. The ordinary funeral service is not Christian. Christians do not make ostentation over a dead body. It does not enshroud death with such gloom. People who can afford it have set such a pace of extravagance in the burial of their dead that it breaks an ordinary man up when he has a loved one dead to conform to funeral customs. It is no good to the dead, but an immense injury to the living. The ordinary funeral service is not Christian. Christians do not make ostentation over a dead body. It does not enshroud death with such gloom. People who can afford it have set such a pace of extravagance in the burial of their dead that it breaks an ordinary man up when he has a loved one dead to conform to funeral customs. It is no good to the dead, but an immense injury to the living. The ordinary funeral service is not Christian. Christians do not make ostentation over a dead body. It does not enshroud death with such gloom. People who can afford it have set such a pace of extravagance in the burial of their dead that it breaks an ordinary man up when he has a loved one dead to conform to funeral customs. It is no good to the dead, but an immense injury to the living. The ordinary funeral service is not Christian. Christians do not make ostentation over a dead body. It does not enshroud death with such gloom. People who can afford it have set such a pace of extravagance in the burial of their dead that it breaks an ordinary man up when he has a loved one dead to conform to funeral customs. It is no good to the dead, but an immense injury to the living. The ordinary funeral service is not Christian. Christians do not make ostentation over a dead body. It does not enshroud death with such gloom. People who can afford it have set such a pace of extravagance in the burial of their dead that it breaks an ordinary man up when he has a loved one dead to conform to funeral customs. It is no good to the dead, but an immense injury to the living. The ordinary funeral service is not Christian. Christians do not make ostentation over a dead body. It does not enshroud death with such gloom. People who can afford it have set such a pace of extravagance in the burial of their dead that it breaks an ordinary man up when he has a loved one dead to conform to funeral customs. It is no good to the dead, but an immense injury to the living. The ordinary funeral service is not Christian. Christians do not make ostentation over a dead body. It does not enshroud death with such gloom. People who can afford it have set such a pace of extravagance in the burial of their dead that it breaks an ordinary man up when he has a loved one dead to conform to funeral customs. It is no good to the dead, but an immense injury to the living. The ordinary funeral service is not Christian. Christians do not make ostentation over a dead body. It does not enshroud death with such gloom. People who can afford it have set such a pace of extravagance in the burial of their dead that it breaks an ordinary man up when he has a loved one dead to conform to funeral customs. It is no good to the dead, but an immense injury to the living. The ordinary funeral service is not Christian. Christians do not make ostentation over a dead body. It does not enshroud death with such gloom. People who can afford it have set such a pace of extravagance in the burial of their dead that it breaks an ordinary man up when he has a loved one dead to conform to funeral customs. It is no good to the dead, but an immense injury to the living. The ordinary funeral service is not Christian. Christians do not make ostentation over a dead body. It does not enshroud death with such gloom. People who can afford it have set such a pace of extravagance in the burial of their dead that it breaks an ordinary man up when he has a loved one dead to conform to funeral customs. It is no good to the dead, but an immense injury to the living. The ordinary funeral service is not Christian. Christians do not make ostentation over a dead body. It does not enshroud death with such gloom. People who can afford it have set such a pace of extravagance in the burial of their dead that it breaks an ordinary man up when he has a loved one dead to conform to funeral customs. It is no good to the dead, but an immense injury to the living. The ordinary funeral service is not Christian. Christians do not make ostentation over a dead body. It does not enshroud death with such gloom. People who can afford it have set such a pace of extravagance in the burial of their dead that it breaks an ordinary man up when he has a loved one dead to conform to funeral customs. It is no good to the dead, but an immense injury to the living. The ordinary funeral service is not Christian. Christians do not make ostentation over a dead body. It does not enshroud death with such gloom. People who can afford it have set such a pace of extravagance in the burial of their dead that it breaks an ordinary man up when he has a loved one dead to conform to funeral customs. It is no good to the dead, but an immense injury to the living. The ordinary funeral service is not Christian. Christians do not make ostentation over a dead body. It does not enshroud death with such gloom. People who can afford it have set such a pace of extravagance in the burial of their dead that it breaks an ordinary man up when he has a loved one dead to conform to funeral customs. It is no good to the dead, but an immense injury to the living. The ordinary funeral service is not Christian. Christians do not make ostentation over a dead body. It does not enshroud death with such gloom. People who can afford it have set such a pace of extravagance in the burial of their dead that it breaks an ordinary man up when he has a loved one dead to conform to funeral customs. It is no good to the dead, but an immense injury to the living. The ordinary funeral service is not Christian. Christians do not make ostentation over a dead body. It does not enshroud death with such gloom. People who can afford it have set such a pace of extravagance in the burial of their dead that it breaks an ordinary man up when he has a loved one dead to conform to funeral customs. It is no good to the dead, but an immense injury to the living. The ordinary funeral service is not Christian. Christians do not make ostentation over a dead body. It does not enshroud death with such gloom. People who can afford it have set such a pace of extravagance in the burial of their dead that it breaks an ordinary man up when he has a loved one dead to conform to funeral customs. It is no good to the dead, but an immense injury to the living. The ordinary funeral service is not Christian. Christians do not make ostentation over a dead body. It does not enshroud death with such gloom. People who can afford it have set such a pace of extravagance in the burial of their dead that it breaks an ordinary man up when he has a loved one dead to conform to funeral customs. It is no good to the dead, but an immense injury to the living. The ordinary funeral service is not Christian. Christians do not make ostentation over a dead body. It does not enshroud death with such gloom. People who can afford it have set such a pace of extravagance in the burial of their dead that it breaks an ordinary man up when he has a loved one dead to conform to funeral customs. It is no good to the dead, but an immense injury to the living. The ordinary funeral service is not Christian. Christians do not make ostentation over a dead body. It does not enshroud death with such gloom. People who can afford it have set such a pace of extravagance in the burial of their dead that it breaks an ordinary man up when he has a loved one dead to conform to funeral customs. It is no good to the dead, but an immense injury to the living. The ordinary funeral service is not Christian. Christians do not make ostentation over a dead body. It does not enshroud death with such gloom. People who can afford it have set such a pace of extravagance in the burial of their dead that it breaks an ordinary man up when he has a loved one dead to conform to funeral customs. It is no good to the dead, but an immense injury to the living. The ordinary funeral service is not Christian. Christians do not make ostentation over a dead body. It does not enshroud death with such gloom. People who can afford it have set such a pace of extravagance in the burial of their dead that it breaks an ordinary man up when he has a loved one dead to conform to funeral customs. It is no good to the dead, but an immense injury to the living. The ordinary funeral service is not Christian. Christians do not make ostentation over a dead body. It does not enshroud death with such gloom. People who can afford it have set such a pace of extravagance in the burial of their dead that it breaks an ordinary man up when he has a loved one dead to conform to funeral customs. It is no good to the dead, but an immense injury to the living. The ordinary funeral service is not Christian. Christians do not make ostentation over a dead body. It does not enshroud death with such gloom. People who can afford it have set such a pace of extravagance in the burial of their dead that it breaks an ordinary man up when he has a loved one dead to conform to funeral customs. It is no good to the dead, but an immense injury to the living. The ordinary funeral service is not Christian. Christians do not make ostentation over a dead body. It does not enshroud death with such gloom. People who can afford it have set such a pace of extravagance in the burial of their dead that it breaks an ordinary man up when he has a loved one dead to conform to funeral customs. It is no good to the dead, but an immense injury to the living. The ordinary funeral service is not Christian. Christians do not make ostentation over a dead body. It does not enshroud death with such gloom. People who can afford it have set such a pace of extravagance in the burial of their dead that it breaks an ordinary man up when he has a loved one dead to conform to funeral customs. It is no good to the dead, but an immense injury to the living. The ordinary funeral service is not Christian. Christians do not make ostentation over a dead body. It does not enshroud death with such gloom. People who can afford it have set such a pace of extravagance in the burial of their dead that it breaks an ordinary man up when he has a loved one dead to conform to funeral customs. It is no good to the dead, but an immense injury to the living. The ordinary funeral service is not Christian. Christians do not make ostentation over a dead body. It does not enshroud death with such gloom. People who can afford it have set such a pace of extravagance in the burial of their dead that it breaks an ordinary man up when he has a loved one dead to conform to funeral customs. It is no good to the dead, but an immense injury to the living. The ordinary funeral service is not Christian. Christians do not make ostentation over a dead body. It does not enshroud death with such gloom. People who can afford it have set such a pace of extravagance in the burial of their dead that it breaks an ordinary man up when he has a loved one dead to conform to funeral customs. It is no good to the dead, but an immense injury to the living. The ordinary funeral service is not Christian. Christians do not make ostentation over a dead body. It does not enshroud death with such gloom. People who can afford it have set such a pace of extravagance in the burial of their dead that it breaks an ordinary man up when he has a loved one dead to conform to funeral customs. It is no good to the dead, but an immense injury to the living. The ordinary funeral service is not Christian. Christians do not make ostentation over a dead body. It does not enshroud death with such gloom. People who can afford it have set such a pace of extravagance in the burial of their dead that it breaks an ordinary man up when he has a loved one dead to conform to funeral customs. It is no good to the dead, but an immense injury to the living. The ordinary funeral service is not Christian. Christians do not make ostentation over a dead body. It does not enshroud death with such gloom. People who can afford it have set such a pace of extravagance in the burial of their dead that it breaks an ordinary man up when he has a loved one dead to conform to funeral customs. It is no good to the dead, but an immense injury to the living. The ordinary funeral service is not Christian. Christians do not make ostentation over a dead body. It does not enshroud death with such gloom. People who can afford it have set such a pace of extravagance in the burial of their dead that it breaks an ordinary man up when he has a loved one dead to conform to funeral customs. It is no good to the dead, but an immense injury to the living. The ordinary funeral service is not Christian. Christians do not make ostentation over a dead body. It does not enshroud death with such gloom. People who can afford it have set such a pace of extravagance in the burial of their dead that it breaks an ordinary man up when he has a loved one dead to conform to funeral customs. It is no good to the dead, but an immense injury to the living. The ordinary funeral service is not Christian. Christians do not make ostentation over a dead body. It does not enshroud death with such gloom. People who can afford it have set such a pace of extravagance in the burial of their dead that it breaks an ordinary man up when he has a loved one dead to conform to funeral customs. It is no good to the dead, but an immense injury to the living. The ordinary funeral service is not Christian. Christians do not make ostentation over a dead body. It does not enshroud death with such gloom. People who can afford it have set such a pace of extravagance in the burial of their dead that it breaks an ordinary man up when he has a loved one dead to conform to funeral customs. It is no good to the dead, but an immense injury to the living. The ordinary funeral service is not Christian. Christians do not make ostentation over a dead body. It does not enshroud death with such gloom. People who can afford it have set such a pace of extravagance in the burial of their dead that it breaks an ordinary man up when he has a loved one dead to conform to funeral customs. It is no good to the dead, but an immense injury to the living. The ordinary funeral service is not Christian. Christians do not make ostentation over a dead body. It does not enshroud death with such gloom. People who can afford it have set such a pace of extravagance in the burial of their dead that it breaks an ordinary man up when he has a loved one dead to conform to funeral customs. It is no good to the dead, but an immense injury to the living. The ordinary funeral service is not Christian. Christians do not make ostentation over a dead body. It does not enshroud death with such gloom. People who can afford it have set such a pace of extravagance in the burial of their dead that it breaks an ordinary man up when he has a loved one dead to conform to funeral customs. It is no good to the dead, but an immense injury to the living. The ordinary funeral service is not Christian. Christians do not make ostentation over a dead body. It does not enshroud death with such gloom. People who can afford it have set such a pace of extravagance in the burial of their dead that it breaks an ordinary man up when he has a loved one dead to conform to funeral customs. It is no good to the dead, but an immense injury to the living. The ordinary funeral service is not Christian. Christians do not make ostentation over a dead body. It does not enshroud death with such gloom. People who can afford it have set such a pace of extravagance in the burial of their dead that it breaks an ordinary man up when he has a loved one dead to conform to funeral customs. It is no good to the dead, but an immense injury to the living. The ordinary funeral service is not Christian. Christians do not make ostentation over a dead body. It does not enshroud death with such gloom. People who can afford it have set such a pace of extravagance in the burial of their dead that it breaks an ordinary man up when he has a loved one dead to conform to funeral customs. It is no good to the dead, but an immense injury to the living. The ordinary funeral service is not Christian. Christians do not make ostentation over a dead body. It does not enshroud death with such gloom. People who can afford it have set such a pace of extravagance in the burial of their dead that it breaks an ordinary man up when he has a loved one dead to conform to funeral customs. It is no good to the dead, but an immense injury to the living. The ordinary funeral service is not Christian. Christians do not make ostentation over a dead body. It does not enshroud death with such gloom. People who can afford it have set such a pace of extravagance in the burial of their dead that it breaks an ordinary man up when he has a loved one dead to conform to funeral customs. It is no good to the dead, but an immense injury to the living. The ordinary funeral service is not Christian. Christians do not make ostentation over a dead body. It does not enshroud death with such gloom. People who can afford it have set such a pace of extravagance in the burial of their dead that it breaks an ordinary man up when he has a loved one dead to conform to funeral customs. It is no good to the dead, but an immense injury to the living. The ordinary funeral service is not Christian. Christians do not make ostentation over a dead body. It does not enshroud death with such gloom. People who can afford it have set such a pace of extravagance in the burial of their dead that it breaks an ordinary man up when he has a loved one dead to conform to funeral customs. It is no good to the dead, but an immense injury to the living. The ordinary funeral service is not Christian. Christians do not make ostentation over a dead body. It does not enshroud death with such gloom. People who can afford it have set such a pace of extravagance in the burial of their dead that it breaks an ordinary man up when he has a loved one dead to conform to funeral customs. It is no good to the dead, but an immense injury to the living. The ordinary funeral service is not Christian. Christians do not make ostentation over a dead body. It does not enshroud death with such gloom. People who can afford it have set such a pace of extravagance in the burial of their dead that it breaks an ordinary man up when he has a loved one dead to conform to funeral customs. It is no good to the dead, but an immense injury to the living. The ordinary funeral service is not Christian. Christians do not make ostentation over a dead body. It does not enshroud death with such gloom. People who can afford it have set such a pace of extravagance in the burial of their dead that it breaks an ordinary man up when he has a loved one dead to conform to funeral customs. It is no good to the dead, but an immense injury to the living. The ordinary funeral service is not Christian. Christians do not make ostentation over a dead body. It does not enshroud death with such gloom. People who can afford it have set such a pace of extravagance in the burial of their dead that it breaks an ordinary man up when he has a loved one dead to conform to funeral customs. It is no good to the dead, but an immense injury to the living. The ordinary funeral service is not Christian. Christians do not make ostentation over a dead body. It does not enshroud death with such gloom. People who can afford it have set such a pace of extravagance in the burial of their dead that it breaks an ordinary man up when he has a loved one dead to conform to funeral customs. It is no good to the dead, but an immense injury to the living. The ordinary funeral service is not Christian. Christians do not make ostentation over a dead body. It does not enshroud death with such gloom