IF YOU DON'T BELIEVE
Advertising Pays
TRY IT AWHILE IN THE HERALD.

THE HARTFORD HERALD.

"I Come, the Herald of a Noisy World, the News of All Nations Lumbering at My Back."

Perhaps Your Subscription has
Long Been Due
Notice the Date Opposite Your Name.

VOL. XXII. HARTFORD, KY., WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 19, 1896. NO. 34.

SIMMONS LIVER REGULATOR

ASK the recovered dyspeptics, bilious sufferers, victims of fever and ague, the mercurial diseased patient, how they recovered health, cheerful spirits and good appetite; they will tell you by taking SIMMONS LIVER REGULATOR.

The Cheapest, Purest and Best Family Medicine in the World!

For DYSPEPSIA, CONSTIPATION, Jaundice, Bilious attacks, SICK HEADACHE, Colic, Depression of Spirits, SOUR STOMACH, Heartburn, etc. This unrivalled remedy is warranted not to contain a single particle of MERCURY, or any mineral substance, but is

PURELY VEGETABLE,

containing those Southern Roots and Herbs which an all-wise Providence has placed in countries where Liver Diseases most prevail. **It will cure all Diseases caused by Derangement of the Liver and Bowels.**

The SYMPTOMS of Liver Complaint are a bitter or bad taste in the mouth; Pain in the Back, Sides or Joints, often mistaken for Rheumatism; **Sour Stomach;** Loss of Appetite; Bowels alternately costive and lax; Headache; Loss of Memory, with a painful sensation of having failed to do something which ought to have been done; **Debility; Low Spirits,** a thick yellow appearance of the Skin and Eyes, a dry Cough often mistaken for Consumption.

Sometimes many of these symptoms attend the disease, at others very few; but the LIVER is generally the seat of the disease, and if not Regulated in time, great suffering, wretchedness and DEATH will ensue.

The following highly esteemed persons attest to the virtues of Simmons Liver Regulator: Gen. W. S. Holt, Pres. Ga. S. W. R. R. Co.; Rev. J. R. Felder, Perry, Ga.; Col. E. K. Sparks, Albany, Ga.; C. Masterson, Esq., Sheriff Bibb Co., Ga.; Hon. Alexander H. Stephens.

"We have tested its virtues personally, and know that for Dyspepsia, Biliousness and Throbbing Headache it is the best medicine the world ever saw. We tried forty other remedies before Simmons Liver Regulator, but none gave us more than temporary relief; but the Regulator not only relieved, but cured us." ATLANTA TELEGRAPH AND MESSENGER, Macon, Ga.

MANUFACTURED ONLY BY
J. H. ZEILIN & CO., Philadelphia, Pa.

PROFESSIONAL CARDS.

Jno. B. Wilson,
ATTORNEY - AT - LAW
And Surveyor,
HARTFORD, KY.

SPECIAL attention given to collections and all kinds surveying, making abstracts, &c. Also Notary Public for Ohio county. Office north side of public square.

R. E. L. SIMMERMAN,
Attorney-at-Law
HARTFORD, KY.

WILL practice his profession in all the courts of Ohio and adjoining counties. Collections carefully and promptly attended to. Office with T. J. Smith & Co., Market Street.

R. R. WEDDING,
ATTORNEY AT LAW,
HARTFORD, KY.

WILL practice his profession in Ohio and adjoining counties. Special attention given to collections. Also notary public. Office in Commercial Hotel.

J. B. VICKERS,
Attorney at Law
HARTFORD, KY.

WILL practice his profession in Ohio and adjoining counties. Special attention given to all business entrusted to his care. Office next door to the Republican office.

C. M. Barnett,
ATTORNEY AT LAW,
(NOTARY PUBLIC)
HARTFORD, KY.

WILL PRACTICE his profession in all the courts of Ohio and adjoining counties. Careful attention will be given to all business entrusted to his care. Collections a specialty. Office over Ohio County Bank.

E. P. NEAL,
(County Attorney)
ATTORNEY AT LAW,
HARTFORD, KY.

WILL PRACTICE in the courts of Ohio and adjoining counties. Special attention given to collections. Office in courthouse.

W. H. BARNES,
ATTORNEY AT LAW,
HARTFORD, KY.

WILL practice his profession in all the courts of Ohio and adjoining counties and Court of Appeals. Special attention given to collections. Office next door to Red Front.

ARMISTEAD JONES,
ATTORNEY AT LAW,
HARTFORD, KY.

WILL PRACTICE in all the courts of Ohio and adjoining counties. Special attention given to collections. Office with County Attorney.

JAMES S. GLENN. J. S. R. WEDDING.
GLENN & WEDDING,
LAWYERS
HARTFORD, KY.

WILL PRACTICE their profession in all the courts of Ohio and adjoining counties and in Court of Appeals. Special attention given to criminal practice and collections. Also Notary Public for Ohio county.

Jas. A. Smith,
ATTORNEY AT LAW,
HARTFORD, KY.

WILL PRACTICE his profession in Ohio and adjoining counties. Special attention given to collections. Office north side public square.

R. D. GUFFY. B. D. RINGO.
GUFFY & RINGO,
ATTORNEYS AT LAW,
HARTFORD, KY.

WILL PRACTICE in all the Courts of Ohio county, Court of Appeals and Superior Court. Office 329 West Market street.

J. Edwin Rowe,
COUNSELOR AND ATTORNEY AT LAW
OWENSBORO, KY.

WILL practice his profession in Daviess and adjoining counties. Special attention given to settlement of decedents' estates and collections. Prompt attention given to all business entrusted to his care.

J. R. HAYES,
ATTORNEY AT LAW,
OWENSBORO, KENTUCKY.

WILL practice his profession in Daviess, adjoining counties and the Court of Appeals. Special attention given to collections. Office 0854 Court Rowe.

F. L. FELIX,
ATTORNEY AT LAW,
HARTFORD, KY.

WILL PRACTICE in the courts of Ohio and adjoining counties. Prompt attention given to all business entrusted to his care. Office in HERALD building.

Subscribe for THE HERALD—$1.00 y'r.

BRYAN.

[J. E. Anderson in Times-Democrat.]

Have you heard the way that he carried the day
And out of the wilderness showed them the way,
As they breathlessly listened to what he did say
On the ninth of July at Chicago?

And how the young giant sent through them a thrill,
And cheers shook the building from rafters to sill,
At the brilliant reply made to David B. Hill
On the ninth of July at Chicago?

And when he had finished and gone to his chair,
They brought all their standards and stood round him there,
And all allegiance to him forever did swear,
On the ninth of July at Chicago.

Have you heard how in vain his opponents did toil
To stop the stampede and his glory to spoil,
How the will of the people they wanted to foil,
On the ninth of July at Chicago?

And on the next day, though they worked with a vim
To stem the great tide as it swept on to him,
They found his star shining and all others dim,
On the tenth of July at Chicago.

The news is flashed out, north, south, east and west,
That Bryan, the brilliant, alone stood the test;
His brain pressed the button, their votes did the rest,
On the tenth of July at Chicago.

BRYAN'S SPEECH

At Madison Square Garden, New York.

Official Reply of the Democratic Candidate to the Notification Committee.

A STRONG, EXHAUSTIVE DOCUMENT.

Mr. Chairman. Gentlemen of the Committee and Fellow-citizens: I shall, at a future day in a formal letter, accept the nomination which is now tendered by the notification committee, and I shall at that time touch upon the issues presented by the platform. It is fitting, however, that at this time, in the presence of those assembled, I speak at some length in regard to the campaign upon which we are now entering. We do not underestimate the forces arrayed against us, nor are we unmindful of the importance of the struggle in which we are engaged; but relying for success upon the righteousness of our cause, we shall defend with all possible vigor the positions taken by our party. We are not surprised that some of our opponents, in the absence of better argument, resort to abusive epithets, but they may rest assured that no language, however violent, no invectives, however vehement, will lead us to depart a single hairsbreadth from the course marked out by the national convention. The citizen, either public or private, who assails the character and questions the patriotism of the delegates assembled in the Chicago convention, assails the character and questions the patriotism of the millions who have arrayed themselves under the banner there raised.

It has been charged by men standing high in business and political circles that our platform is a menace to private security and public safety; and it has been asserted that those whom I have the honor, for the time being, to represent not only meditate an attack upon the rights of property but are the foes of social order and national honor.

Those who stand upon the Chicago platform are prepared to make known and defend every motion which influences them, every purpose which animates them and every hope which inspires them. They understand the genius of our institutions, they are staunch supporters of the form of government under which we live, and they build their faith upon foundations laid by the fathers. Andrew Jackson has stated with admirable clearness and with an emphasis which can not be surpassed both the duty and the sphere of government. He said: "Distinctions in society will always exist under every just government. Equality of education, of talents or of wealth can not be produced by human institutions. In the full enjoyment of the gifts of heaven and the fruits of superior industry, economy and virtue every man is equally entitled to protection by law."

We yield to none in our devotion to the doctrine just enunciated. Our campaign has not for its object the reconstruction of society. We can not secure to the vicious the fruits of a virtuous life. We would not invade the home of the provident in order to supply the wants of the spendthrift; we do not propose to transfer the rewards of industry to the lap of indolence. Property is and will remain the stimulus to endeavor, and the compensation for toil. We believe, as asserted in the Declaration of Independence, that all men are created equal; but that does not mean that all men are or can have equal possessions or merit; it means that all shall stand equal before the law, and that government officials shall not, in making, construing or enforcing the law, discriminate between citizens.

I assert that property rights, as well as the rights of persons, are safe in the hands of the common people. Abraham Lincoln, in his message sent to congress in December, 1861, said:

"No men living are more worthy to be trusted than those who toil up from property; none less inclined to take or touch aught which they have not honestly earned."

I repeat his language with unqualified approval and join with him in the warning he added, namely:

Let them beware of surrendering a political power which they already possess and which power, if surrendered, will surely be used to close the doors of advancement against such as they and to fix new disabilities and burdens upon them till all of liberty shall be lost.

Those who daily follow the injunction "in the sweat of thy face shall thy eat bread," are now, as they ever have been, the bulwark of law and order—the series of our nation's greatness in time of peace and its surest defenders in time of war. But I have only read a part of Jackson's utterance—let me give you his conclusion: "But when the laws undertake to add to those natural and just advantages artifical distinctions, to make the rich richer and the potent more powerful—the humble members of society—the farmers, mechanics and the day laborers, who have neither the time nor the means of securing like favors for themselves have a right to complain of the injustice of their government." Those who support the Chicago platform endorse all of the quotation from Jackson—the latter part as well as the former part.

We are not surprised to find arrayed against us those who are the beneficiaries of government favoritism—they have read our platform. Nor are we surprised to learn that we must in this campaign face the hostility of those who find a pecuniary advantage in advocating the doctrine of noninterference when great aggregations of wealth are trespassing upon the rights of individuals. We welcome such opposition. It is the highest indorsement which could be bestowed upon us. We are content to have the co-operation of those who desire to have the government administered without fear or favor. It is not the wish of the general public that trusts should spring into existence and override the weaker members of society; it is not the fault of the general public that these trusts should destroy competition and then collect such tax as they will from those who are at their mercy; nor is it the wish of the general public that the instrumentalities of government have been so often prostituted to purposes of private gain.

Those who stand upon the Chicago platform believe that the goverment should not only avoid wrongdoing but that it should also pervent wrongdoing; and they believe that the law should be enforced alike against all enemies of the public weal.

They do not excuse petit larceny, but they declare that grand larceny is equally a crime; they do not defend the occupation of the highwayman who robs the unsuspecting traveler, but they include among the transgressors those who, through the more polite and less hazardous means of legislation, appropriate to their own use the proceeds of the toil of others. The commandment, "Thou shalt not steal" thundered from Sinai, and reiterated in the legislation of all nations, is no respecter of persons. It must be applied to the great as well as the small; to the strong as well as the weak; to the corparate person created by law as well as to the person of flesh and blood created by the Almighty. No government is worthy of the name which is not able to protect from every arm uplifted for his injury the humblest citizen who lives beneath the flag. It follows as a necessary conclusion that vicious legislation must be remedied by the people who suffer from the effects of such legislation, and remedy those who enjoy its benefits.

The Chicago platform has been condemned because it dissents from an opinion rendered by the supreme court declaring the income tax unconstitutional. Our critics even go so far as to apply the term anarchist to those who stand upon that plank of the platform. It must be remembered that we expressly recognize the binding force of that decision so long as it stands as the law of the land. There is in the platform no suggestion of an attempt to dispute the authority of the supreme court. The party is simply pledged to use "All the constitutional power which remains after that decision, or which may come from its reversal by the court as it may hereafter be constituted."

Is there any disloyalty in that pledge? For a hundred years the supreme court of the United States has sustained the principle which underlies the income tax. Some 20 years ago this same court sustained without a dissenting voice an income tax law almost identical with the one recently overthrown; has not a future court as much right to return to the judicial precedents of a century as the present court has to depart from them? When courts allow rehearings they admit that error is possible; the late decision against the income tax was rended by a majority of one after a rehearing.

While the money question overshadows all other questions in importance I desire it distinctly understood that I shall offer no apology for the income tax plank of the Chicago platform. The last income tax law sought to apportion the burdens of government more equitably among those who enjoy the protection of the government. At present the expenses of the federal government, collected through internal revenue taxes and import duties, are especially burdensome upon the poorer classes of society. A law which collects from some citizens more than their share of the taxes and collects from other citizens less than their share is simply an indirect means of the transferring one man's property to another man's pocket, and, while the process may be quite satisfactory to the men who escape just taxation, it can never be satisfactory to those who are overburdened. The last income tax law, with its exemption provisions, when considered in connection with other methods of taxation in force, was not unjust to the possessors of large incomes, because they were not compelled to pay a total federal tax greater than their share. The income tax is not new, nor is it based upon hostility to the rich. The system is employed in several of the most important nations of Europe, and every income tax law now upon the statute books is, my last, so far as I have been able to ascertain, contains an exemption clause. While the collection of an income tax in other countries does not make it necessary for this nation to adopt the system, yet it ought to moderate the language of those who denounce the income tax as an assault upon the well-to-do.

Not only shall I refuse to apologize for the advocacy of an income tax law by the national convention, but I shall also refuse to apologize for the exercise by it of the right to dissent from a decision of the supreme court. In a government like ours every public official is a public servant whether he holds office by election or by appointment, whether he serves for a term of years or during good behavior and the people have a right to criticize his official acts. "Confidence is everywhere the parent of despotism; free government exists in jealousy and not in confidence"—these are the words of Thomas Jefferson, and I submit that they present a truer conception of popular government than entertained by those who would prohibit an unfavorable comment upon a court decision. Truth will vindicate itself; only error fears free speech. No public official who conscientiously discharges his duty as he sees it will desire to deny to those whom he serves the right to discuss his official conduct.

Now let me ask you to consider the paramount question of this campaign—the money question. It is scarcely necessary to defend the principle of bimetalism. No national party during the entire history of the United States has ever declared against it, and no party in this campaign has had the temerity to oppose it. Three parties, the Democratic, Populist and Silver parties, have not only declared for bimetalism, but have outlined the specific legislation necessary to restore silver to its ancient position by the side of gold. The Republican platform expressly declares that bimetalism is desirable when it pledges the Republican party to aid in securing it as soon as the assistance of certain foreign nations can be obtained. Those who represented the minority sentiment in the Chicago convention opposed the free coinage of silver by the United States by independent action on the ground that in their judgment it would retard or entirely prevent the establishment of international bimetalism, to which the efforts of the government should be steadily directed.

When they asserted that the efforts of the government should be steadily directed toward the establishment of international bimetalism, they condemned monometalism. The gold standard has been weighed in the balance and found wanting. Take from it the powerful support of the money owning and the money changing classes and it can not stand for one day in any nation in the world. It was fastened upon the United States without discussion before the people and its friends have never yet been willing to risk a verdict before the voters upon that issue.

There can be no sympathy or co-operation between the advocates of a universal gold standard and the advocates of bimetallism. Between bimetallism—whether independent or international—and the gold standard there is an impassable gulf. Is this quadrennial agitation in favor of international bimetallism conducted in good faith, or do our opponents really desire to maintain the gold standard permanently. Are they willing to confess the superiority of a double standard when joined in by the leading nations of the world, or do they still insist that gold is the only metal suitable for standard money among civilized nations? If they were in fact that desirous of securing bimetallism, we may expect them to point out the evils of a gold standard and defend bimetallism as a system. If, on the other hand, they are bending their energies toward the permanent establishment of a gold standard under cover of a declaration in favor of international bimetallism, I am justified in suggesting that honest money cannot be expected at the hands of those who deal dishonestly with the American people.

What is the test of honesty in money? It must certainly be found in the purchasing power of the dollar. An absolutely honest dollar would not vary in its general purchasing power; it would be absolutely stable when measured by average prices. A dollar which increases in purchasing power is just as dishonest as a dollar which decreases in purchasing power. Professor Laughlin, now of the University of Chicago and one of the highest gold standard authorities, in his work on bimetallism not only admits that gold does not remain absolutely stable in value, but unreservedly adds: "There there is no such thing as a standard of value for future payments, either in gold or silver, which remains absolutely invariable." He even suggests that a multiple standard, wherein the use "based upon the selling price of a number of articles of general consumption" would be a more just standard than either gold or silver or both, because "a long time contract would thereby be paid at its maturity by the same purchasing power as was given in the beginning."

It can not be successfully claimed that monometallism or bimetallism or any other system gives an absolute just standard of value. Under both monometallism and bimetallism the government fixes the weight and fineness of the dollar, invests it with legal tender qualities and then opens the mints to its unrestricted coinage, leaving the purchasing power of the dollar to be determined by the number of dollars. Bimetallism is better than monometallism, not because it gives us a perfect dollar—that is a dollar absolutely unvarying in its general purchasing power—but because it makes a nearer approach to stability, to honesty, to justice, than a gold standard possibly can. Prior to 1873 when there were enough open mints to permit all the gold and silver available for coinage to find entrance into the world's volume of standard money, the United States might have maintained a gold standard with less injury to the people of this country; but now, when each step toward a universal gold standard enhances the purchasing power of gold, depresses prices and transfers to the pockets of the creditor class an unearned increment, the influence of this great nation must be thrown upon the side of gold unless we are prepared to accept the natural and legitimate consequence of such an act. Any legislation which lessens the world's stock of standard money increases the exchangeable value of the dollar; therefore, the crusade against silver must inevitably raise the purchasing power of money and lower the money value of all other forms of property.

Our opponents seem to me to admit that it was a mistake to demonetize silver, but insist that we should submit to present conditions rather than return to the bimetallic system. They err in supposing that we have reached the end. The injury is a continuing one, and no one can say how long the world is to suffer from the attempt to make gold the only standard money. The same influences which are now operating to destroy silver in the United States will, if successful here, be turned against silver-using countries, and each new convert to the gold standard will add to the general distress. So long as the scramble for gold continues prices must fall, and a general fall in prices is but another definition of hard times.

Our opponents, while claiming entire disinterestedness for themselves, have appealed to the selfishness of nearly every class of people. Recognizing the right of the individual voter to consider the effect of any proposed legislation upon himself, we present to the American people the financial policy outlined in the Chicago platform, believing that it will result in the greatest good to the greatest number.

The farmers are opposed to the gold standard because they have felt its effects. Since they sell at wholesale and buy at retail, they have lost more than they have gained by falling prices; and, besides this, they have found that certain fixed charges have not fallen at all. Taxes have not been perceptibly decreased, although it requires more of farm products now than formerly to secure the money with which to pay taxes. Debts have not fallen. The farmer who owed $1,000 is still compelled to pay $1,000, although it may be twice as difficult as formerly to obtain the dollar with which to pay the debt. Railroad rates have not been reduced to keep pace with falling prices, and, besides these items, there are many more. The farmer has thus found complaint against the gold standard.

The wage earners have been injured by a gold standard and have expressed themselves upon the subject with great emphasis.

In February, 1895, a petition asking for the immediate restoration of the free and unlimited coinage of gold and silver at 16 to 1 was signed by the representatives of all or nearly all the leading labor organizations and presented to congress. Wage earners know that while a gold standard raises the purchasing power of the dollar, it also makes it more difficult to obtain possession of the dollar; they know that employment is less permanent, loss of work more probable and re-employment less certain. A gold standard encourages the hoarding of money because money is rising; it also discourages enterprise and paralyzes industry. On the other hand the restoration of bimetallism will discourage hoarding because when prices are steady or rising, money can not afford to lie idle in the bank vaults. The farmers and wage earners together constitute a considerable majority of the people of the country. Why should their interests be ignored in considering financial legislation? A monetary system which is pecuniarly advantageous to a few gold syndicates has far less to commend it than a system which would give hope and encouragement to those who create the nation's wealth.

Our opponents have made a special appeal to those who hold fire and life insurance policies, but these policy holders know that since the total premiums received exceed the total losses paid a rising standard must be of more benefit to the companies than to the policy holders.

Much solicitude has been expressed by our opponents for the depositors in saving banks. They constantly parade before these depositors the advantages of a gold standard, but these appeals will be in vain, because savings bank depositors know that under a gold standard there is increasing danger that they will lose their deposits because of the inability of the banks to collect their assets; and they still further know that if the gold standard is to continue indefinitely they may be compelled to withdraw their deposits in order to pay living expenses.

It is only necessary to note the increasing number of failures in order to know that a gold standard is ruinous to merchants and manufacturers. These business men do not make their profits from the people from whom they borrow money, but from the people to whom they sell their goods. If the people cannot buy, retailers cannot sell, and if retailers cannot sell, wholesale merchants and manufacturers must go into bankruptcy.

Those who hold, as a permanent investment, the stock of railroads and of other enterprises—I do not include those who speculate in stocks, or use stock holdings as a means of obtaining an undue advantage in construction contracts—are injured by a gold standard. The rising dollar destroys the earning power of these enterprises without reducing their liabilities, and as dividends cannot be paid until salaries and fixed charges have been satisfied, the stockholders must bear the burden of hard times.

Salaries in business occupations depend upon business conditions, and the gold standard both lessens the amount and threatens the permanency of such salaries.

Official salaries, except the salaries of those who hold office for life, must in the long run be adjusted to the conditions of those who pay the taxes, and if the present financial policy continues we must expect the taxpayer and the taxeater to increase in bitterness.

The professional classes—in the main—derive their support from the producing classes, and can only enjoy prosperity when there is prosperity among those who create wealth.

I have not attempted to describe the effect of the gold standard upon all classes—in fact, I have only had time to mention a few—but each person will be able to apply the principles stated to his own occupation.

It must also be remembered that it is the desire of people generally to convert their earnings into real or personal property. This being true, in consideration of any temporary advantage which may come from a system under which the dollar rises in its purchasing power, it must not be forgotten that the dollar can not buy more than formerly, unless property sells for less than formerly. Hence it will be seen that a large portion of those who may find some pecuniary advantage in a gold standard will discover that their losses exceed their gains.

It is some times asserted by our opponents that a bank belongs to the debtor class, but this is not true of any solvent bank. Every statement published by a solvent bank shows that the assets exceed the liabilities. That is to say, while the bank owes a large amount of money to its depositors it not only has enough on hand in money and notes to pay its depositors, but, in addition thereunto, has enough to cover its capital and surplus. When the dollar is rising in value slowly, a bank may, by making short time loans and taking good security, avoid loss; but, when prices are falling rapidly, the bank is apt to lose more because of bad debts than it can gain by the increase in the purchasing power of its capital and surplus.

It must be admitted, however, that some bankers combine the business of a bond broker with the ordinary banking business, and these may make enough in the negotiation of loans to offset the losses arising in legitimate banking business. As long as human nature remains as it is, there will always be danger that, unless restrained by the public opinion, or legal enactment, those who see a pecuniary profit for themselves in a certain condition may yield to the temptation to bring about that condition. Jefferson has stated that one of the main duties of government is to prevent men from injuring one another, and never was that duty more important than it is to-day. It is not strange that those who have made a profit by furnishing gold to the government in its hour of extremity favor a financial policy which will keep the government dependent upon them. I believe, however, that I speak the sentiments of the vast majority of the people of the United States when I say that a wise financial policy administered in behalf of all the people would make our government independent of any combination of financiers, foreign or domestic.

Let me say a word now in regard to certain persons who are pecuniarly benefited by a gold standard, and who favor it, not from a desire to trespass upon the rights of others, but because the circumstances which surround them bind them to the effect of the gold standard upon others. I shall ask you to consider the language of two gentlemen whose long public service and high standing in the party to which they belong will protect them from adverse criticism by our opponents. In 1869 Senator Sherman said:

"The contraction of the currency is a far more distressing operation than senators suppose. Our own and other nations have gone through that operation before. It is not possible to take that voyage without the sorest distress. To every person, except a capitalist, out of debt, or a salaried officer, or annuitant, it is a period of loss, danger, hazitude of trade, fall of wages, suspension of enterprise, bankruptcy and disaster. It means ruin to all dealers whose debts are twice their business capital though one-third less than their actual property. It means the fall of all agricultural production without any great reduction of taxes. What prudent man would dare to build a house, a railroad, a factory or a barn with this certain fact before him?"

As I have said before, the salaried officer referred to must be the man whose salary is fixed for life and not the man whose salary depends upon business conditions. When Mr. Sherman describes contraction of the currency as disastrous to all the people, except the capitalist out of debt and those who stand in a position similar to his, he is stating a truth which must be apparent to every person who will give the matter careful consideration. Mr. Sherman was at that time speaking of the contraction of the volume of paper currency, but the principle which he set forth applies, if there is a contraction of the volume of the standard money of the world.

Mr. Blaine discusses the same principle in connection with the demonetization of silver. Speaking in the House of Representatives on the 7th of February, 1878, he says: "I believe the struggle now going on in this country and other countries for a single gold standard would, if successful, produce widespread disaster in and throughout the world. The destruction of silver as money and the establishing of gold as the sole unit of value must have a ruinous effect on all forms of property, except those invested which yield a fixed return in money. These would be enormously enhanced in value, and would gain a disproportionate and unfair advantage over every other species of property."

Is it strange that the "holders of investments which yield a fixed return in money can regard the destruction of silver with complacency?"

We may not expect the holders of other forms of property to protest against giving money disproportionate and unfair advantage over every other species of property.

If the relatively few whose wealth consists largely in fixed investments have a right to use the ballot to enhance the value of their investments, have not the rest of the people the right to use the ballot to protect themselves from the disastrous consequences of a rising standard? The people who must purchase money with the products of toil stand in a position entirely different from the position of those who own money or receive a fixed income. The well-being of the nation—aye, of civilization itself—depends upon the prosperity of the masses. What shall it profit us to have a dollar which grows more valuable every day if such a dollar lowers the standard of civilization and brings distress to the people?

What shall it profit us if in trying to raise our credit by increasing the purchasing power of our money we destroy the ability to pay the debts already contracted by lowering the purchasing power of the products with which these debts must be paid.

If it is asserted that the gold standard will enable us to borrow more money for abroad, I reply that the restoration of bimetallism will restore the parity between money and property and thus permit an era of prosperity which will enable the American people to become loaners of money instead of perpetual borrowers. Even if we desire to borrow how long can we continue borrowing under a system which by lowering the value of property weakens the foundation upon which credit rests?

Even the holders of fixed investments, though they gain an advantage from the appreciation on the dollar, certainly see the injustice of the legislation, which gives them this advantage over those whose incomes depend upon the value of property and products. If the holders of fixed investments will not listen to arguments based upon justice and equity, I appeal to them to consider the interest of posterity. We do not live for ourselves alone; our labor, our self-denial, and our anxious care—all these are for those who are to come after us as much as for ourselves, but we can not protect our children beyond the period of our lives. Let those who are now reaping advantage from a vicious financial system remember that in the years to come their own children and their children's children may through the operation of this same system be made to pay tribute to the descendants of those who are wronged today.

As against the maintenance of a gold standard, either permanently or until other nations can be united for its overthrow, the Chicago platform presents a clear and emphatic demand for the immediate restoration of the free and unlimited coinage of silver and gold at the present legal ratio of 16 to 1, without waiting for the aid or consent of any other nation. We are not asking that a new experiment be tried; we are insisting upon a return to a financial policy approved by the experience of history and supported by all the prominent statesmen of our nation from the days of the first president down to 1873. When we ask that our mints be opened to the free and unlimited coinage of silver into full legal-tender money we are simply asking that the same mint privileges be accorded to silver that are now accorded to gold. When we ask that this coinage be at the ratio of 16 to 1 we simply ask that our gold coins and the standard silver dollar—which, be it remembered, contains the same amount of pure silver as the first silver dollar coined at our mints—retain their present weight and fineness.

The theoretical advantage of the bimetallic system is best stated by a European writer on political economy, who suggests the following illustration:

"A river fed from two sources is more uniform in volume than a river fed from one source, the reason being that when one of the feeders is swollen the other may be low; whereas a river which has but one feeder must rise or fall with that feeder. So in the case of bimetallism; the volume of metallic money receives contributions from both the gold and silver mines, and therefore varies less; and the dollar, resting upon two metals, is less changeable in its purchasing power than the dollar which rests upon one metal only.

If there are two kinds of money the option must rest either with the debtor or with the creditor. Assuming that their rights are equal, we must look at the interests of society in general in order to determine which side the option should be given. Under the bimetallic system gold and silver are linked together by law at a fixed ratio, and any person or persons owning any quantity of either metal can have the same converted into full legal tender money. If the creditor has the right to choose the metal in which payment shall be made, it is reasonable to suppose that he will require the debtor to pay in the dearer metal if there is any perceptible difference between the bullion values of the metals. This new demand created for the dearer metal will make that metal dearer still, while the decreased demand for the cheaper metal will make that metal cheaper.

If, on the other hand, the debtor exercises the option, it is reasonable to suppose that he will pay in the cheaper metal if one metal is perceptibly cheaper than the other; but the demand thus created for the cheaper metal will raise its price, while the lessened demand for the dearer metal will lower its price.

In other words, when the creditor has the option the metals are drawn apart, whereas when the debtor has the option the metals are held together approximately at a ratio fixed by law; provided the demand created is sufficient to absorb all of both metals presented at the mint. Society is, therefore, interested in having the option exercised by the debtor.

Indeed, there can be no such thing as real bimetallism unless the option is exercised by the debtor. The exercise of the option by the debtor compels the creditor classes, whether domestic or foreign, to exert themselves to maintain the parity between gold and silver at the legal ratio, whereas they might find a profit in driving one of the metals to a premium if they could then demand the dearer metal. The right of the debtor to choose the coin in which payment shall be made extends to obligations due from the government as well as to the contracts between individuals.

A government obligation is simply a debt due from all the people to one of the people, and it is impossible to justify a policy which makes the interests of the one person who holds the obligation superior to the rights of the many who must be taxed to pay for it. When, prior to 1873, silver was at a premium it was never contended that national honor required the payment of government obligations in silver, and the Matthews resolution adopted by Congress in 1878 expressly asserted the right of the United States to redeem coin, coined from standard silver dollars as well as in gold coin.

Upon this subject the Chicago platform reads: "We are opposed to the policy and practice of surrendering to the holders of the obligations of the United States the option reserved by law to the government of redeeming such obligations in either silver coin or gold coin."

It is constantly assumed by some that the United States notes, commonly called greenbacks, and the treasury notes issued under the act of 1890 are responsible for the recent drain upon the gold reserve, but this assumption is entirely without foundation. Secretary Carlisle appeared before the House committee on appropriations on January 21, 1895, and I quote from the printed report of his testimony before the committee:

Mr. Sibley—I would like to ask you, perhaps not entirely connected with the matter under discussion, what objection there could be to having the option of redeeming either in silver or gold lie with the treasury instead of the note-holder?

Secretary Carlisle—If that policy had been adopted at the beginning of resumption—and I am not saying this for the purpose of criticising the action of any of my predecessors or anybody else—but if the policy of reserving to the government at the beginning of resumption the option of redeeming in gold or silver all the paper presented, I believe it would have worked beneficially and there would have been no trouble growing out of it, but the secretaries of the treasury from the beginning of resumption have pursued a policy of redeeming in gold or silver at the option of the holder of the paper, and never attempted to change that policy and force silver upon a man who wanted gold or gold upon a man who wanted silver, and especially if he had made that attempt at such a critical period as we have had in the last two years, my judgment is it would have been very disastrous.

I don't agree with the secretary that it was so wrong as a bad precedent, but from his answer it will be seen that the fault does not lie with the greenbacks and treasury notes, but rather with the executive officers who have seen fit to surrender a right which should have been exercised for the protection of interests of the people. This executive action has already been made the excuse for the issue of more than $250,000,000 in bonds, and it is impossible to estimate the amount of bonds which may hereafter be issued if this policy is continued. We are told that any attempt upon the part of the government at this time to redeem its obligations in silver would put a premium upon gold, but why should it?

The bank officers exercise the right to redeem all bank paper in either gold or silver, and yet France maintains the parity between gold and silver at the ratio of 15½ to 1, and retains in circulation per capita more than we do in the United States.

(Concluded on second page.)

Mr. Natanael Mortonson, a well-known citizen of Ishpeming, Mich., and editor Superior Posten, who, for a long time, suffered from the most excruciating pains of rhemumatism, was cured, eight years ago, by taking Ayer's Sarsaparilla, having never felt a twinge of it since.

The State Sunday School Convention, Owensboro, August 25-27.

The Thirty-first Annual Sunday-school Convention of the Kentucky Sunday-school Union will convene in First Baptist Church, Owensboro, on Tuesday afternoon, August 25. The prospects are for a large and most profitable meeting.

WHO MAY GO?

As this convention is for the consideration of Sunday-school interests, it is expected that none but active, interested Sunday school workers will attend. They should go in a spirit of prayer and earnestness for the transaction of important business, and not for the purpose of a pleasure trip and a good time generally. Every delegate should regard it as his duty and privilege to attend every session promptly.

Every county is entitled to five delegates from the county at large. They must be appointed by the county convention or by the county president.

Every school is entitled to one delegate for each hundred or fraction thereof of its membership.

ENTERTAINMENT.

The citizens of Owensboro extend hospitality to all delgates who present proper credentials, signed by the State secretary. Names must be sent to Mr. S. W. Bedford, Smith Building, Owensboro, not later than August 24 to secure entertainment. Don't overlook this.

CREDENTIALS.

It is absolutely necessary for delegates to have credentials in order to secure the one fare rate on the railroads and, also, secure the entertainment at Owensboro. Credentials may be obtained from county officers, or by addressing miss Mamie F. Huber, State Sec'y, Louisville.

SPECIAL PRAYER.

We request that the Sunday-schools throughout our State will observe Sunday, August 23, as a special prayer for God's choicest blessing upon our convention, and that in all deliberations we may have His guidance.

Fixing the Date.

[New York World.]

A timid looking woman, who had evidently never before been on the witness stand, was being badgered and harassed into a state of perplexity by a smart young lawyer, who finally said:

"Now, madam; how do you know that this quarrel between the plaintiff and defendant took place on the 17th day of March?"

"Because I know it happened then," she replied, meekly.

"Ah! but how do you know it?"

"Well, I—I—I know it happened that day."

"Ah! my good woman that won't do. We must have proof that it occurred that very day. The date must be fixed. Can't you fix the date?"

"Well, I don't know that I can."

"Did anything else happen that day that don't happen any other day at your house, or that may not happen tomorrow?"

After a moment's hesitation she said brightly:

"Yes, sir."

"Ah, indeed! What, please?"

"I had a pair of twin boys born that day, sir."

One Million Dollars.

INDIANAPOLIS, Aug. 14.—One million dollars is the sum which, it has been decided, shall be used by the Republicans to carry this State for McKinley this year.

Mark Hanna has just left New York for Chicago. His visit to that city is for the purpose of arranging for the distribution of money for immediate use in the campaign. His recent trip to New York was met with such success which surprised even this millionaire himself. Instead of the $2,000,000 he asked for he has been promised $10,000,000 by New York and Philadelphia has promised $2,000,000 more. It is now certain that the Republican national committee will have at its disposal from $15,000,000 to $25,000,000, a sum far larger than was ever used in any country for the purpose of obstructing the will of the people at an election.

Mr. Hana left New York, it is said, with $2,000,000 in the Republican treasury, and with a certainty as to funds enables him to make definate arrangements with the organizations in the Western States, where the real fight is expected to be made.

Why suffer with Coughs, Colds and La Grippe when LAXATIVE BROMO QUININE will cure you in one day. Does not produce the ringing in the head like Sulphate of Quinine. Put up in tablets convenient for taking. Guaranteed to cure, or money refunded. Price, 25 cents.

For sale by Williams & Bell, Hartford; K. V. Williams, Beaver Dam; A. S. Aull, Sulphur Springs; Brown & Chapman, Centertown; R. H. Ier & Co., Rockport; J. X. Taylor, Cromwell, Ky.

A Scalawag Correspondent.

[Owensboro Messenger.]

The Messenger is in receipt of a letter from a Democrat of Hartford, who says doubt has been cast upon the authenticity of the interviews recently printed in the Messenger with Messrs. Robert Moseley and Isaac Calhoon, two prominent McLean county Republicans, who, on account of the gold platform of the Republicans, were quoted as repudiating McKinley and Hobart and coming out squarely for Bryan and Sewall, because of a communication in the Louisville Commercial from a Calhoon correspondent signing the initials, "E. B. M.," who denied that these gentlemen had taken this action.

There is no kind of doubt that Messrs. Moseley and Calhoon have taken the identical stand as stated in the Messenger some weeks ago. They were interviewed by the most trustworthy reporter of the Messenger. Mr. Moseley's interview was written out and read to him by the reporter and he sanctioned it fully. Maj. Calhoon made statements much stronger than those he gave to the Messenger day after day to everybody with whom he came in contact.

When it is known that this "E. B. M.," who has written this lie, is the scalawag E. B. McEwen, who was a few weeks ago dismissed in disgrace from the office of the superintendent of public instruction at Frankfort for most dishonorable conduct, he confessing a fine of $50 to save himself from going to jail, no attention whatever will be paid at Hartford or elsewhere to anything he may succeed in getting into print. If anybody in Hartford is in doubt about the attitude of Maj. Calhoon or Mr. Moseley, let him write to these