

Morton speaks at Rochester Monday next, September 4th.

Listen to the reports from Vermont on next Tuesday. The "Green Mountain boys" will set the ball rolling at a lively rate.

Gen. Ben. Harrison, accompanied by the Hon. Will Outback, will speak in Kendallville on the afternoon of Aug. 31st.

The Atlantic Mills at Lawrence, Mass., will start up Sept. 14th, giving employment to 1,200 operators. This is a good "straw."

Senator Booth of California, whom the Independents placed on their ticket as Vice President, has declared for Hayes and Wheeler in unmistakable terms.

The Hon. Carl Schurz will begin the canvass of this State by a speech at Indianapolis on September 11th. He will deliver eleven speeches within the State.

Secretary of War Cameron, in company with General Sherman, will make a tour of inspection of the various military posts in the West early in September.

The New York Tribune admits that the charge against Gov. Tilden "is the first thing which has really touched Governor Tilden's character for integrity."

Senator Sherman, of Ohio, and D. W. Voorhes are both billed to speak at Columbia City on Tuesday, Sept. 5th, and arrangements are being made for a joint discussion.

The Republican Senatorial Central Committee, of this district, have nominated Terrence J. McClary, of Rochester, Fulton county, as the Republican candidate for Senator.

The Hamburg rioters have been let off by going ball in the sum of \$1,000 apiece. That is the value placed on the life of a colored man by the peculiar aristocracy of South Carolina.

Yesterday morning the Republican Convention for the Twelfth Congressional District met at Fort Wayne and unanimously nominated W. A. Bonham, of Blackford county, for Congress.

Colonel Ingersoll puts it rather strongly: "Whenever you find a traitor with a musket in his hands then you find a Democrat, and whenever you find a Democrat without a musket then you find a rebel."

The Hon. G. W. Julian, who has just been trying to answer Senator Morton's speech, a few years ago spoke of his Democratic friends as "Goldfish, Christless whelps." "Can the leopard change his spots?"

And how they have found a man who used to play marbles with Gov. Hayes. That is as good as a post-office appointment. Can't some one remember placing crooked pins on the seat at Sabbath school where Rutherford used to sit?

Ex-Gov. Horatio Seymour was nominated yesterday for Governor by the Democrats of New York. He is the exact opposite in political acts and sympathy of Ex-Gov. Morgan, the Republican candidate, and will be badly beaten by him in November next.

Postmasterhips throughout the country, i. e., those termed third class, whose salaries range from \$1,000 to \$1,900 inclusive, will receive pecuniary benefit after October 1st next, as by a recent act of Congress most of these officers are to receive a slight increase in compensation.

The following States hold elections before November: Vermont, first Tuesday in September; California, first Wednesday in September; Maine, second Monday in September; Georgia, first Wednesday in October; Ohio, Indiana, Iowa, Nebraska and West Virginia, second Tuesday in October.

Remember that the late House of Representatives witnessed the introduction of bills in the payment of damaged property destroyed or injured by the Union Army, amounting to something like \$412,000,000! That is the kind of "reform" we may expect if the Democracy get control of the government.

The Hon. Wm. D. Kelley of Pennsylvania will open his campaign in this State for Hayes and Wheeler, in a speech at Indianapolis on the 4th of September. Williams has recently published letters saying that reform in the currency question is only to be obtained in and by the Republican party. "Fig uring" had it about right when he uttered that belief.

Wilbur F. Story, of the Chicago Times, is reflecting at the residence of Hon. A. Anderson, a relative, in South Bend. It is rumored that he is lodging a requisition from the Governor of Wisconsin, in which State he is wanted as a charge of slander. Wilbur has answered to a bad boy since he abandoned the pure field of journalism he once entered upon in Mishawaka. *Mishawaka Enterprise.*

"Now you see it, and now you don't." The Chicago Tribune says: "William Allen has announced that Tilden's letter of acceptance is a statesmanlike paper, in which he fully agrees with the Democracy of Ohio in opposition to resumption of specie payments, opposition to a contraction of the currency, and in favor of the repeal of the Resumption law, and in favor of maintaining an outstanding issue of legal-tender paper as will be equal to the wants of the business of the country."

J. J. Talbot, late Grand Chief Worthy of the order of Good Templars, was lately arrested in South Bend on charges of drunkenness and the use of indecent language in the presence of a lady. This is a sad case indeed, and shows not only the terrible grip of intemperance on its victims, but also its demonic power to draw them down to the lowest depths of infamy. While occupying one of the highest official positions that terrible appetite has thrown him "among the huns and swine." Is not this all the temperance lecture we need?

The Turkey-Serbian war has actual-ly come from an end and all that now remains is the agreement of terms of peace between Prince Milan and the Sublime Porte. This war has filled a great deal of space in the European news for months past and has been of a very unintelligible character.

The status of the Servians will probably remain the same, as they were not able to obtain the reforms they demanded.

Speaking of the public school department at the centennial, the correspondent of the New York Tribune pays our State a fine compliment in the following paragraph: "A longer and longer in the Western States, probably because they had shown most energy and system in presenting their exhibits. Education is an every-day matter in New England; but they have had a little too much of it. But the teachers of Indiana and Illinois may be allowed a pardonable enthusiasm when they show their proofs of brilliant success. The exhibits from those States are indeed made with admirable accuracy and completeness."

Jefferson Davis has written from England a letter of "ratification" to "Boss" Kelley, which explains itself without comment. In it he says: "I am heart and soul with you in the good cause of Democratic restoration and reform. The St. Louis nominees can count on an almost untold South in their support. I know Tilden and Hendricks' Convention. The Southern people were bravely contending in the field for four long years of cruel war, your nominees, both in the forum, and as private citizens, were giving their political aid and comfort to our righteous cause. I think that we will gain all we lost by the war between the States in the election of those glorious champions of State sovereignty and the Constitution as it was."

The Hon. Edwin D. Morgan, the Republican candidate for Governor of New York was Vice President of the first Republican convention, which met in Pittsburgh in 1856, and was then chairman of the Republican National Committee, a place he filled continuously up to the meeting of the Cincinnati Convention. Was elected Governor of New York in 1868, and was re-elected in 1869. He was a major general in the army but served without pay, refusing to receive any compensation for the service he rendered his country. In 1868 he was elected United States Senator, filling the position with much credit. In 1864 President Lincoln offered him the Treasury portfolio, which he declined, and at the end of his term, returned to private life, where, with the exception of his services as chairman of the National Committee, he has remained until now.

The Hon. J. D. Williams, Democratic "reform" candidate for Governor, says of the government employees at Washington: "We knew that every man employed there was getting twice as much as a laboring man could get in the State of Indiana when none of them pretended to work over five or six hours per day." Why, Uncle Jimmy, "where hev you been?" "Don't you know that the teachers of this State are paid more than twice the amount that a laborer gets for his wages? And isn't this true of all the salaried men throughout the country? What would you do about it? Isn't it fair and right? If not, when you can spare the time just return to the United States treasury all of your salary obtained in the House of Representatives above \$1,000 a day for actual services. If compensation for official services are to be gauged by the wages of a daily laborer then begin the reform at home."

Of the terrible cruelties perpetrated by the Turkish soldiery in the present *Servia de France* says: "In the villages of Servia and Timar 300 Christians were drowned after being tortured. At Pavles twelve women were cut in pieces and thrown to the dogs. At Kialkovo thirty children were stoned by the Turks, led by one Fechin Effendi; to avenge a relation of Maj. Stoevics Bey, killed at Belina. At Sokolovo 180 young girls, taken from the neighboring villages, were penned in a field, and for the priests had been picked out for the harems of Fechin and Stoevics, the others were abandoned to the soldiers and were violated and murdered. At Mahlan the Christian population assembled at market were massacred by a fanatical mob led by Hadji Omar Effendi and another fanatic named Ibrahim Kursovics Aga. The victims in this case numbered 3,000."

The Cleveland Leader of Aug. 29th contains the following article, in which it holds itself responsible. The facts briefly stated are these: At a late meeting of the Democratic State Central Committee of Ohio at Put-in-Bay, the principal subject for discussion was "the question whether the Democrats of Ohio should accept and use a corruption fund of \$250,000 from the Democratic clubs and local committees of New York and Philadelphia, to be used in the city districts of Ohio in purchasing votes to carry the October election." The proposition was both opposed and advocated by the members, but, after hearing both sides thoroughly discussed a formal vote of the Committee showed that they were in favor of receiving the money and using it as proposed. Strong and well-chosen committees of reliable men will be appointed in Cleveland, Toledo, Dayton and Cincinnati, to receive and disburse the funds, which are to be used for the purchase of votes in open market on election day. Such are the means, fellow citizens of Indiana, by which the "reform" Democratic party propose to carry Ohio for "Tilden, Hendricks and Reform!"

Parson Brownlow, in his letter to the Republicans of Tennessee says: "In a word, the Democratic party is a sham, a cheat and a blatant fraud. It is not honest in a single profession, and would reverse the plain meaning of all its declarations were it once to get into power."

Tilden as a Perjuror.

Samuel J. Tilden, Democratic candidate for the Presidency of the United States, is charged with perjury. These are the facts: The United States income tax was imposed from 1861 to 1872 and the law required every citizen to make a correct return, under oath, of his income each year. It seems that Mr. Tilden made returns for only two years, omitting the others for good reasons.

The return which he made in 1862, after making the detailed account required in the formula, concluded as follows:

"I hereby certify that the following is a true and faithful statement of the gains, profits, or income of Samuel J. Tilden, of the City of New York, and county of New York, State of New York, who derived from any kind of property, rents, interest, dividends, salary, or from any profession, trade, employment, or service, or from any other source, from the 1st day of January to the 31st day of December, 1862, both days inclusive, and also an income of interest on the bonds of the United States, income from all sources, \$114.

This return was duly sworn to by Mr. Tilden as correct, thus saying to the world that his net income during the year 1862 was \$7,118. So far, so good. But now comes Samuel J. Tilden, in the year 1876, and in his answer to the law suit brought by the St. Louis, Alton & Terre Haute Railroad Company, he makes again the following statement:

"That for such services the defendant Tilden made a return against said second mortgage bondholders, and the said charge was paid, by or on behalf of said second mortgage bondholders, on the 10th day of October, 1862. \* \* \* That the defendant Tilden, for a part of his services aforesaid, also made a charge of the like sum of \$10,000 on account of professional services rendered to the first mortgage bondholders and the receivers, which was paid to him by the said Amariah C. Flagg. \* \* \* and which payment appears under the date of Nov. 2, 1862, in a statement annexed to the first report aforesaid, as having been received for by the said Tilden, on account of professional services."

That is, in plain English, if any plain English is needed, that Samuel J. Tilden swore positively that in 1862 his only income above all expenses was \$7,118, and that he received at two different times in the year 1862 \$11,000 for fees, making this income alone \$20,000, or nearly three times as much as he had previously sworn to. These are the facts and figures which to date have not been contradicted and probably will not be. And how is this seeming perjury answered? "Heat you and judge, all men."

Gov. Tilden's private secretary explains this matter as follows:

"A lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer might do all this but we never heard of such a case of conscientiousness before. It had been, in fact, earned each year during the progress of the case. That was all there was about the matter."

Yes, a lawyer