

Alexandria Gazette

TUESDAY EVENING, SEPTEMBER 12

Lawyers' Visit to the Centennial Exhibition.

From the Warrenton Index. To the Hon. James Keith: We, the undersigned members of the Fauquier bar propose to visit "the Centennial" on the 24th inst., and desiring to make the occasion as enjoyable in all respects as possible, respectfully request that you will accompany them and extend an invitation to the bar of our circuit (for yourself and the undersigned) to join us in Alexandria on the evening of the 24th.

We are very respectfully, William H. Payne, A. D. Payne, H. R. Gardner, Rice W. Payne, Edna Hunter, R. Taylor Scott, Thomas Smith, Robert R. Campbell.

GENTLEMEN: It gives me pleasure to accept the invitation you have so kindly tendered me to accompany you on a visit to the "Centennial" on the 24th of the month; and I shall endeavor as you suggest, to induce other members of the bar of this circuit to unite with us. Very truly, your friend.

JAMES KEITH, To Gen. Wm. H. Payne, Capt. A. D. Payne, Capt. H. R. Gardner and others, members of the Warrenton, Fauquier, bar.

GROCERIES AND LIQUORS

JUST RECEIVED PER SCHOONER J. V. WELLINGTON and in store, 175 bbls prime 1, 2 and 3 MACKEREL, 100 half bbls, quarters and kits 1 & 2 Mackerel, 100 bbls prime SHORE SPLIT HERRINGS, which we offer at the lowest market rates. THE MACKEREL we GUARANTEE to be the best in the market. sep 8-1m N. LINDSAY & CO.

R. W. AVERY, Dealer in CHOICE FAMILY AND FANCY GROCERIES, WINE LIQUORS, &c., &c., 226 King st., cor. Alfred, ALEXANDRIA, VA. sep 8

NEW STORE! NEW GOODS! NEW PRICES! The subscriber respectfully announces that he has taken the store NO. 147 KING STREET, and is prepared to offer a full and carefully selected stock of FINE FAMILY GROCERIES at prices which cannot fail to please. Goods delivered promptly to all parts of the city, and satisfaction guaranteed in every particular. An inspection of stock solicited. my 6-1f F. J. DAVIDSON.

W. A. JOHNSON, [Successor to Johnson & Sherwood.] GROCERY, LIQUOR, FEED AND COMMISSION MERCHANT, Northeast Corner Cameron and Royal streets ALEXANDRIA, VA. All orders from the country will receive prompt and careful attention. jun 28-1f

GEORGE MCBURNEY & SON, Dealers in CHOICE FAMILY AND FANCY GROCERIES, WINES AND LIQUORS, ENGLISH AND SCOTCH ALE AND BROWN STOUT. Also, EASTERN and POTOMAC HERRING and MACKEREL. NOS. 166 AND 170 KING STREET, (Washington Hall Building), Alexandria, Va. Orders promptly filled. mh 31-1f

G. W. BANSAY, WHOLESALE AND FAMILY GROCER, -AND- TEA DEALER. S. E. COR. KING AND ST. ASAPH STS., nov 22-1f Alexandria, Va.

COMMISSION MERCHANTS. PHILIP B. HOPE, NO. 2 PRINCE STREET, GENERAL COMMISSION AND SHIPPING MERCHANT, Dealer in all kinds of FERTILIZERS, SALT AND PLASTER LIVERPOOL & LONDON & GLOBE INSURANCE COMPANY. feb 5-1f

J. C. & E. MILBURN, Wholesale and Retail GROCERS and COMMISSION MERCHANTS, No. 19 N. Royal st., cor. Market Space, Alexandria, Virginia. Orders and consignments solicited. They will receive careful and prompt attention. jy 2

R. B. LAWSON & CO., [Successors to R. M. Lawson.] GROCERS AND LIQUOR MERCHANTS, No. 67 Cameron street, ALEXANDRIA, VA. aug 3

THOMAS PERRY, GENERAL COMMISSION MERCHANT AND AGENT FOR THE SALE OF FERTILIZERS, No. 17 King street, ALEXANDRIA, VA. aug 16-1f

Geo. Washington, B. J. Washington, WASHINGTON & BROTHER, COMMISSION MERCHANTS, No. 20 UNION STREET, ALEXANDRIA, VA. Consignments of Grain, Merchandise and all kinds of Country Produce solicited. Special attention given to all business entrusted to them. Prompt returns made. ap 25

W. A. SMOOT, DEALER IN COAL, SALT AND PLASTER SMOOT'S WHARF, (Foot of Princess st.), feb 21-1f Alexandria, Virginia.

J. BROTHERS & CO., No. 11 King street, Alexandria, Va. GENERAL COMMISSION MERCHANTS, Wholesale Dealers in GROCERIES, LIQUORS, PROVISIONS, SALT FISH, and FRODUCE. Also keep on hand a general assortment of Cordage, Tar, Pitch, Nails, Lime, Packing, Oils, Lamps and Ship, and Naval Stores. All orders and consignments promptly attended to, and goods forwarded without delay to consignees on arrival. Agents for Dupont's Gunpowder. XXX Ails and Porter always on hand. Agents for the Baltimore and Potomac Transportation line. mh 10-1f

NEW PAPER HANGINGS AND INTERIOR DECORATIONS FOR 1876. New and Beautiful Gold, Silver, Mica, Embossed, Plain, Fresco, Marble and Wood Imitations. New styles of Paper Hangings and Fresco Imitations for ceilings and side walls of private houses, public halls and churches, selected with great care from the best manufacturers. My experience for many years in this business enables me to give satisfaction and sell low. JOHN P. CLARK, ap 19 No. 126 King street.

PRIME LEMONS and GREEN GINGER just received by J. C. & E. MILBURN. sep 9

LEGAL NOTICES.

In the Circuit Court of Fairfax county, June term, 1876. ALFRED MOORE vs. W. H. SEWELL. In chancery. The Court doth adjudge, order and decree that this cause be referred to James M. Love, one of the Commissioners of this Court, with instructions as follows: To take a further account of the transactions of Thomas Moore as administrator of John B. Hunter and Sybil Hunter, and of the advance- ment, or payments, or purchases at the respective sales by the several distributees.

3d. That no state a distribution account of the amount found due from said administrator; and the said commissioner shall give notice of the time and place of taking the foregoing account by weekly publication in the Alexandria Gazette for four consecutive weeks, which publication shall be equivalent to personal service on all parties concerned. -Extract. Teste: F. D. RICHARDSON, Clerk.

Parties interested are hereby notified that I have fixed upon the 24th day of September, 1876 at my office, at Fairfax Court House, as the time and place I shall execute the above decree. Given under my hand this 28th day of August, 1876. JAMES M. LOVE, Comm'r. in Ch'y. Circuit Ct. aug 28-w4w

In the Circuit Court of Fairfax county, June term, 1876. GEO. M. C. MILLS vs. HARRIET MILLS. In chancery. The Court doth adjudge, order and decree that this cause be referred to Master Commissioner James M. Love, with instructions to report to the Court the real estate of which the late David M. C. Mills was seized and possessed, the value of the same, together with its fee simple annual value; that he also report the liens and debts subsisting against the same, with the priorities, with any matter specially stated, dependent thereon, which may be required by the parties. And in order to convene the creditors of the said estate of D. M. C. Mills, said commissioner is directed to give notice of the time and place for taking the aforesaid account; to be published in some newspaper published in the city of Alexandria, which notice shall be equivalent to personal service on said parties. -Extract. Teste: F. D. RICHARDSON, Clerk.

Parties interested are hereby notified that I have fixed upon the 27th day of September, 1876, at my office, at Fairfax Court House, as the time and place I shall execute the above decree. Given under my hand this 28th day of August, 1876. JAMES M. LOVE, Comm'r. in Ch'y. Circuit Ct. aug 28-w4w

In the Circuit Court of Fairfax county, June term, 1876. LEE vs. R. K. LEE, Administrator. In chancery. The Court doth adjudge, order and decree that this cause be referred to Master Commissioner James M. Love, with instructions to take and settle an account of the transactions of James Sangster as administrator of the estate of R. K. Lee, deceased, and that he ascertain and report the real estate of which the said R. K. Lee died seized and possessed, the value of the same, and that he report the liens and other debts chargeable against said estate, with the priorities, with any matter specially stated or which may be required by any of the parties to be stated. That in order to convene the creditors of said estate, said commissioner is directed to publish a notice of the time and place of taking said account in some newspaper published in the city of Alexandria, which notice shall be equivalent to personal service of such notice on the parties. -Extract. Teste: F. D. RICHARDSON, Clerk.

Parties interested are hereby notified that I have fixed upon the 28th day of September, 1876, at my office, at Fairfax Court House, as the time and place I shall execute the above decree. Given under my hand this 28th day of August, 1876. JAMES M. LOVE, Comm'r. in Ch'y. Circuit Ct. aug 28-w4w

In the Circuit Court of Fairfax county, June term, 1876. DANIEL WHITE vs. GEO. W. WILLIAMS. In chancery. The Court doth adjudge, order and decree that this cause be referred to Master Commissioner James M. Love, with instructions to ascertain and report the real estate belonging to the defendant, George W. Williams, the situation of the same, with its fee simple and annual value. That he also take an account of the liens existing against the same, with any other matter deemed pertinent by himself, or which may be required by any of the parties to be so stated. A notice of the time and place of taking the aforesaid account to be published once a week for four successive weeks in some newspaper published in the city of Fairfax, or city of Alexandria, which shall be equivalent to personal service of such notice on the parties. -Extract. Teste: F. D. RICHARDSON, Clerk.

Parties interested are hereby notified that I have fixed upon the 28th day of September, 1876, at my office, at Fairfax Court House, as the time and place I shall execute the above decree. Given under my hand this 28th day of August, 1876. JAMES M. LOVE, Comm'r. in Ch'y. Circuit Ct. aug 28-w4w

VIRGINIA, to wit—In the Circuit Court of Fairfax county, August Rules, 1876. Walter S. Starr, Andrew L. Anderson, James A. Anderson, Charles Bennett, and Robert J. Murray vs. S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard and Harrison G. Otis, late merchants and partners, trading under the firm name of Anderson, Starr & Co., against S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard and Harrison G. Otis, late merchants and partners, trading under the firm name of Stockbridge, Stoddard & Co., doffs. In debt. The object of this suit is to recover of the defendants the sum of \$164.32, with interest thereon from the 21st day of May, 1875, and to attach the real estate of the said defendants, or either of them, in the county of Fairfax, State of Virginia, especially the tract of land conveyed by deed bearing date the 21st of May, 1875, and recorded in the land records of Fairfax county, being the undivided fourth part of all the estate, right and title of Mary A. Otis and her trustees, Henry S. Turbell and Sidney Dillon, and any personal property of the said defendants, to pay said amount. It appearing by affidavit filed that the defendants, S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard, and Harrison G. Otis, are non residents of the State of Virginia, it is ordered that they appear within one month after due publication hereof, and do what is necessary to protect their interests. A copy, teste: F. D. RICHARDSON, Clerk. aug 14-w4w

VIRGINIA, to wit—In the Circuit Court of Fairfax county, August Rules, 1876. Henry W. Duryee vs. S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard and Harrison G. Otis, late merchants and partners, trading under the firm name of Stockbridge, Stoddard & Co., doffs. In debt. The object of this suit is to recover of the defendants the sum of \$164.32, with interest thereon from the 21st day of May, 1875, and to attach the real estate of the said defendants, or either of them, in the county of Fairfax, State of Virginia, especially the tract of land conveyed by deed bearing date the 21st of May, 1875, and recorded in the land records of Fairfax county, being the undivided fourth part of all the estate, right and title of Mary A. Otis and her trustees, Henry S. Turbell and Sidney Dillon, and any personal property of the said defendants, to pay said amount. It appearing by affidavit filed that the defendants, S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard, and Harrison G. Otis, are non residents of the State of Virginia, it is ordered that they appear within one month after due publication hereof, and do what is necessary to protect their interests. A copy, teste: F. D. RICHARDSON, Clerk. aug 14-w4w

VIRGINIA, to wit—In the Circuit Court of Fairfax county, August Rules, 1876. Walter S. Starr, Andrew L. Anderson, James A. Anderson, Charles Bennett, and Robert J. Murray vs. S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard and Harrison G. Otis, late merchants and partners, trading under the firm name of Anderson, Starr & Co., against S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard and Harrison G. Otis, late merchants and partners, trading under the firm name of Stockbridge, Stoddard & Co., doffs. In debt. The object of this suit is to recover of the defendants the sum of \$164.32, with interest thereon from the 21st day of May, 1875, and to attach the real estate of the said defendants, or either of them, in the county of Fairfax, State of Virginia, especially the tract of land conveyed by deed bearing date the 21st of May, 1875, and recorded in the land records of Fairfax county, being the undivided fourth part of all the estate, right and title of Mary A. Otis and her trustees, Henry S. Turbell and Sidney Dillon, and any personal property of the said defendants, to pay said amount. It appearing by affidavit filed that the defendants, S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard, and Harrison G. Otis, are non residents of the State of Virginia, it is ordered that they appear within one month after due publication hereof, and do what is necessary to protect their interests. A copy, teste: F. D. RICHARDSON, Clerk. aug 14-w4w

VIRGINIA, to wit—In the Circuit Court of Fairfax county, August Rules, 1876. Walter S. Starr, Andrew L. Anderson, James A. Anderson, Charles Bennett, and Robert J. Murray vs. S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard and Harrison G. Otis, late merchants and partners, trading under the firm name of Anderson, Starr & Co., against S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard and Harrison G. Otis, late merchants and partners, trading under the firm name of Stockbridge, Stoddard & Co., doffs. In debt. The object of this suit is to recover of the defendants the sum of \$164.32, with interest thereon from the 21st day of May, 1875, and to attach the real estate of the said defendants, or either of them, in the county of Fairfax, State of Virginia, especially the tract of land conveyed by deed bearing date the 21st of May, 1875, and recorded in the land records of Fairfax county, being the undivided fourth part of all the estate, right and title of Mary A. Otis and her trustees, Henry S. Turbell and Sidney Dillon, and any personal property of the said defendants, to pay said amount. It appearing by affidavit filed that the defendants, S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard, and Harrison G. Otis, are non residents of the State of Virginia, it is ordered that they appear within one month after due publication hereof, and do what is necessary to protect their interests. A copy, teste: F. D. RICHARDSON, Clerk. aug 14-w4w

VIRGINIA, to wit—In the Circuit Court of Fairfax county, August Rules, 1876. Walter S. Starr, Andrew L. Anderson, James A. Anderson, Charles Bennett, and Robert J. Murray vs. S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard and Harrison G. Otis, late merchants and partners, trading under the firm name of Anderson, Starr & Co., against S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard and Harrison G. Otis, late merchants and partners, trading under the firm name of Stockbridge, Stoddard & Co., doffs. In debt. The object of this suit is to recover of the defendants the sum of \$164.32, with interest thereon from the 21st day of May, 1875, and to attach the real estate of the said defendants, or either of them, in the county of Fairfax, State of Virginia, especially the tract of land conveyed by deed bearing date the 21st of May, 1875, and recorded in the land records of Fairfax county, being the undivided fourth part of all the estate, right and title of Mary A. Otis and her trustees, Henry S. Turbell and Sidney Dillon, and any personal property of the said defendants, to pay said amount. It appearing by affidavit filed that the defendants, S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard, and Harrison G. Otis, are non residents of the State of Virginia, it is ordered that they appear within one month after due publication hereof, and do what is necessary to protect their interests. A copy, teste: F. D. RICHARDSON, Clerk. aug 14-w4w

VIRGINIA, to wit—In the Circuit Court of Fairfax county, August Rules, 1876. Walter S. Starr, Andrew L. Anderson, James A. Anderson, Charles Bennett, and Robert J. Murray vs. S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard and Harrison G. Otis, late merchants and partners, trading under the firm name of Anderson, Starr & Co., against S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard and Harrison G. Otis, late merchants and partners, trading under the firm name of Stockbridge, Stoddard & Co., doffs. In debt. The object of this suit is to recover of the defendants the sum of \$164.32, with interest thereon from the 21st day of May, 1875, and to attach the real estate of the said defendants, or either of them, in the county of Fairfax, State of Virginia, especially the tract of land conveyed by deed bearing date the 21st of May, 1875, and recorded in the land records of Fairfax county, being the undivided fourth part of all the estate, right and title of Mary A. Otis and her trustees, Henry S. Turbell and Sidney Dillon, and any personal property of the said defendants, to pay said amount. It appearing by affidavit filed that the defendants, S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard, and Harrison G. Otis, are non residents of the State of Virginia, it is ordered that they appear within one month after due publication hereof, and do what is necessary to protect their interests. A copy, teste: F. D. RICHARDSON, Clerk. aug 14-w4w

VIRGINIA, to wit—In the Circuit Court of Fairfax county, August Rules, 1876. Walter S. Starr, Andrew L. Anderson, James A. Anderson, Charles Bennett, and Robert J. Murray vs. S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard and Harrison G. Otis, late merchants and partners, trading under the firm name of Anderson, Starr & Co., against S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard and Harrison G. Otis, late merchants and partners, trading under the firm name of Stockbridge, Stoddard & Co., doffs. In debt. The object of this suit is to recover of the defendants the sum of \$164.32, with interest thereon from the 21st day of May, 1875, and to attach the real estate of the said defendants, or either of them, in the county of Fairfax, State of Virginia, especially the tract of land conveyed by deed bearing date the 21st of May, 1875, and recorded in the land records of Fairfax county, being the undivided fourth part of all the estate, right and title of Mary A. Otis and her trustees, Henry S. Turbell and Sidney Dillon, and any personal property of the said defendants, to pay said amount. It appearing by affidavit filed that the defendants, S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard, and Harrison G. Otis, are non residents of the State of Virginia, it is ordered that they appear within one month after due publication hereof, and do what is necessary to protect their interests. A copy, teste: F. D. RICHARDSON, Clerk. aug 14-w4w

VIRGINIA, to wit—In the Circuit Court of Fairfax county, August Rules, 1876. Walter S. Starr, Andrew L. Anderson, James A. Anderson, Charles Bennett, and Robert J. Murray vs. S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard and Harrison G. Otis, late merchants and partners, trading under the firm name of Anderson, Starr & Co., against S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard and Harrison G. Otis, late merchants and partners, trading under the firm name of Stockbridge, Stoddard & Co., doffs. In debt. The object of this suit is to recover of the defendants the sum of \$164.32, with interest thereon from the 21st day of May, 1875, and to attach the real estate of the said defendants, or either of them, in the county of Fairfax, State of Virginia, especially the tract of land conveyed by deed bearing date the 21st of May, 1875, and recorded in the land records of Fairfax county, being the undivided fourth part of all the estate, right and title of Mary A. Otis and her trustees, Henry S. Turbell and Sidney Dillon, and any personal property of the said defendants, to pay said amount. It appearing by affidavit filed that the defendants, S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard, and Harrison G. Otis, are non residents of the State of Virginia, it is ordered that they appear within one month after due publication hereof, and do what is necessary to protect their interests. A copy, teste: F. D. RICHARDSON, Clerk. aug 14-w4w

VIRGINIA, to wit—In the Circuit Court of Fairfax county, August Rules, 1876. Walter S. Starr, Andrew L. Anderson, James A. Anderson, Charles Bennett, and Robert J. Murray vs. S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard and Harrison G. Otis, late merchants and partners, trading under the firm name of Anderson, Starr & Co., against S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard and Harrison G. Otis, late merchants and partners, trading under the firm name of Stockbridge, Stoddard & Co., doffs. In debt. The object of this suit is to recover of the defendants the sum of \$164.32, with interest thereon from the 21st day of May, 1875, and to attach the real estate of the said defendants, or either of them, in the county of Fairfax, State of Virginia, especially the tract of land conveyed by deed bearing date the 21st of May, 1875, and recorded in the land records of Fairfax county, being the undivided fourth part of all the estate, right and title of Mary A. Otis and her trustees, Henry S. Turbell and Sidney Dillon, and any personal property of the said defendants, to pay said amount. It appearing by affidavit filed that the defendants, S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard, and Harrison G. Otis, are non residents of the State of Virginia, it is ordered that they appear within one month after due publication hereof, and do what is necessary to protect their interests. A copy, teste: F. D. RICHARDSON, Clerk. aug 14-w4w

VIRGINIA, to wit—In the Circuit Court of Fairfax county, August Rules, 1876. Walter S. Starr, Andrew L. Anderson, James A. Anderson, Charles Bennett, and Robert J. Murray vs. S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard and Harrison G. Otis, late merchants and partners, trading under the firm name of Anderson, Starr & Co., against S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard and Harrison G. Otis, late merchants and partners, trading under the firm name of Stockbridge, Stoddard & Co., doffs. In debt. The object of this suit is to recover of the defendants the sum of \$164.32, with interest thereon from the 21st day of May, 1875, and to attach the real estate of the said defendants, or either of them, in the county of Fairfax, State of Virginia, especially the tract of land conveyed by deed bearing date the 21st of May, 1875, and recorded in the land records of Fairfax county, being the undivided fourth part of all the estate, right and title of Mary A. Otis and her trustees, Henry S. Turbell and Sidney Dillon, and any personal property of the said defendants, to pay said amount. It appearing by affidavit filed that the defendants, S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard, and Harrison G. Otis, are non residents of the State of Virginia, it is ordered that they appear within one month after due publication hereof, and do what is necessary to protect their interests. A copy, teste: F. D. RICHARDSON, Clerk. aug 14-w4w

VIRGINIA, to wit—In the Circuit Court of Fairfax county, August Rules, 1876. Walter S. Starr, Andrew L. Anderson, James A. Anderson, Charles Bennett, and Robert J. Murray vs. S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard and Harrison G. Otis, late merchants and partners, trading under the firm name of Anderson, Starr & Co., against S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard and Harrison G. Otis, late merchants and partners, trading under the firm name of Stockbridge, Stoddard & Co., doffs. In debt. The object of this suit is to recover of the defendants the sum of \$164.32, with interest thereon from the 21st day of May, 1875, and to attach the real estate of the said defendants, or either of them, in the county of Fairfax, State of Virginia, especially the tract of land conveyed by deed bearing date the 21st of May, 1875, and recorded in the land records of Fairfax county, being the undivided fourth part of all the estate, right and title of Mary A. Otis and her trustees, Henry S. Turbell and Sidney Dillon, and any personal property of the said defendants, to pay said amount. It appearing by affidavit filed that the defendants, S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard, and Harrison G. Otis, are non residents of the State of Virginia, it is ordered that they appear within one month after due publication hereof, and do what is necessary to protect their interests. A copy, teste: F. D. RICHARDSON, Clerk. aug 14-w4w

VIRGINIA, to wit—In the Circuit Court of Fairfax county, August Rules, 1876. Walter S. Starr, Andrew L. Anderson, James A. Anderson, Charles Bennett, and Robert J. Murray vs. S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard and Harrison G. Otis, late merchants and partners, trading under the firm name of Anderson, Starr & Co., against S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard and Harrison G. Otis, late merchants and partners, trading under the firm name of Stockbridge, Stoddard & Co., doffs. In debt. The object of this suit is to recover of the defendants the sum of \$164.32, with interest thereon from the 21st day of May, 1875, and to attach the real estate of the said defendants, or either of them, in the county of Fairfax, State of Virginia, especially the tract of land conveyed by deed bearing date the 21st of May, 1875, and recorded in the land records of Fairfax county, being the undivided fourth part of all the estate, right and title of Mary A. Otis and her trustees, Henry S. Turbell and Sidney Dillon, and any personal property of the said defendants, to pay said amount. It appearing by affidavit filed that the defendants, S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard, and Harrison G. Otis, are non residents of the State of Virginia, it is ordered that they appear within one month after due publication hereof, and do what is necessary to protect their interests. A copy, teste: F. D. RICHARDSON, Clerk. aug 14-w4w

VIRGINIA, to wit—In the Circuit Court of Fairfax county, August Rules, 1876. Walter S. Starr, Andrew L. Anderson, James A. Anderson, Charles Bennett, and Robert J. Murray vs. S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard and Harrison G. Otis, late merchants and partners, trading under the firm name of Anderson, Starr & Co., against S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard and Harrison G. Otis, late merchants and partners, trading under the firm name of Stockbridge, Stoddard & Co., doffs. In debt. The object of this suit is to recover of the defendants the sum of \$164.32, with interest thereon from the 21st day of May, 1875, and to attach the real estate of the said defendants, or either of them, in the county of Fairfax, State of Virginia, especially the tract of land conveyed by deed bearing date the 21st of May, 1875, and recorded in the land records of Fairfax county, being the undivided fourth part of all the estate, right and title of Mary A. Otis and her trustees, Henry S. Turbell and Sidney Dillon, and any personal property of the said defendants, to pay said amount. It appearing by affidavit filed that the defendants, S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard, and Harrison G. Otis, are non residents of the State of Virginia, it is ordered that they appear within one month after due publication hereof, and do what is necessary to protect their interests. A copy, teste: F. D. RICHARDSON, Clerk. aug 14-w4w

VIRGINIA, to wit—In the Circuit Court of Fairfax county, August Rules, 1876. Walter S. Starr, Andrew L. Anderson, James A. Anderson, Charles Bennett, and Robert J. Murray vs. S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard and Harrison G. Otis, late merchants and partners, trading under the firm name of Anderson, Starr & Co., against S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard and Harrison G. Otis, late merchants and partners, trading under the firm name of Stockbridge, Stoddard & Co., doffs. In debt. The object of this suit is to recover of the defendants the sum of \$164.32, with interest thereon from the 21st day of May, 1875, and to attach the real estate of the said defendants, or either of them, in the county of Fairfax, State of Virginia, especially the tract of land conveyed by deed bearing date the 21st of May, 1875, and recorded in the land records of Fairfax county, being the undivided fourth part of all the estate, right and title of Mary A. Otis and her trustees, Henry S. Turbell and Sidney Dillon, and any personal property of the said defendants, to pay said amount. It appearing by affidavit filed that the defendants, S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard, and Harrison G. Otis, are non residents of the State of Virginia, it is ordered that they appear within one month after due publication hereof, and do what is necessary to protect their interests. A copy, teste: F. D. RICHARDSON, Clerk. aug 14-w4w

VIRGINIA, to wit—In the Circuit Court of Fairfax county, August Rules, 1876. Walter S. Starr, Andrew L. Anderson, James A. Anderson, Charles Bennett, and Robert J. Murray vs. S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard and Harrison G. Otis, late merchants and partners, trading under the firm name of Anderson, Starr & Co., against S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard and Harrison G. Otis, late merchants and partners, trading under the firm name of Stockbridge, Stoddard & Co., doffs. In debt. The object of this suit is to recover of the defendants the sum of \$164.32, with interest thereon from the 21st day of May, 1875, and to attach the real estate of the said defendants, or either of them, in the county of Fairfax, State of Virginia, especially the tract of land conveyed by deed bearing date the 21st of May, 1875, and recorded in the land records of Fairfax county, being the undivided fourth part of all the estate, right and title of Mary A. Otis and her trustees, Henry S. Turbell and Sidney Dillon, and any personal property of the said defendants, to pay said amount. It appearing by affidavit filed that the defendants, S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard, and Harrison G. Otis, are non residents of the State of Virginia, it is ordered that they appear within one month after due publication hereof, and do what is necessary to protect their interests. A copy, teste: F. D. RICHARDSON, Clerk. aug 14-w4w

VIRGINIA, to wit—In the Circuit Court of Fairfax county, August Rules, 1876. Walter S. Starr, Andrew L. Anderson, James A. Anderson, Charles Bennett, and Robert J. Murray vs. S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard and Harrison G. Otis, late merchants and partners, trading under the firm name of Anderson, Starr & Co., against S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard and Harrison G. Otis, late merchants and partners, trading under the firm name of Stockbridge, Stoddard & Co., doffs. In debt. The object of this suit is to recover of the defendants the sum of \$164.32, with interest thereon from the 21st day of May, 1875, and to attach the real estate of the said defendants, or either of them, in the county of Fairfax, State of Virginia, especially the tract of land conveyed by deed bearing date the 21st of May, 1875, and recorded in the land records of Fairfax county, being the undivided fourth part of all the estate, right and title of Mary A. Otis and her trustees, Henry S. Turbell and Sidney Dillon, and any personal property of the said defendants, to pay said amount. It appearing by affidavit filed that the defendants, S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard, and Harrison G. Otis, are non residents of the State of Virginia, it is ordered that they appear within one month after due publication hereof, and do what is necessary to protect their interests. A copy, teste: F. D. RICHARDSON, Clerk. aug 14-w4w

VIRGINIA, to wit—In the Circuit Court of Fairfax county, August Rules, 1876. Walter S. Starr, Andrew L. Anderson, James A. Anderson, Charles Bennett, and Robert J. Murray vs. S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard and Harrison G. Otis, late merchants and partners, trading under the firm name of Anderson, Starr & Co., against S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard and Harrison G. Otis, late merchants and partners, trading under the firm name of Stockbridge, Stoddard & Co., doffs. In debt. The object of this suit is to recover of the defendants the sum of \$164.32, with interest thereon from the 21st day of May, 1875, and to attach the real estate of the said defendants, or either of them, in the county of Fairfax, State of Virginia, especially the tract of land conveyed by deed bearing date the 21st of May, 1875, and recorded in the land records of Fairfax county, being the undivided fourth part of all the estate, right and title of Mary A. Otis and her trustees, Henry S. Turbell and Sidney Dillon, and any personal property of the said defendants, to pay said amount. It appearing by affidavit filed that the defendants, S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard, and Harrison G. Otis, are non residents of the State of Virginia, it is ordered that they appear within one month after due publication hereof, and do what is necessary to protect their interests. A copy, teste: F. D. RICHARDSON, Clerk. aug 14-w4w

VIRGINIA, to wit—In the Circuit Court of Fairfax county, August Rules, 1876. Walter S. Starr, Andrew L. Anderson, James A. Anderson, Charles Bennett, and Robert J. Murray vs. S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard and Harrison G. Otis, late merchants and partners, trading under the firm name of Anderson, Starr & Co., against S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard and Harrison G. Otis, late merchants and partners, trading under the firm name of Stockbridge, Stoddard & Co., doffs. In debt. The object of this suit is to recover of the defendants the sum of \$164.32, with interest thereon from the 21st day of May, 1875, and to attach the real estate of the said defendants, or either of them, in the county of Fairfax, State of Virginia, especially the tract of land conveyed by deed bearing date the 21st of May, 1875, and recorded in the land records of Fairfax county, being the undivided fourth part of all the estate, right and title of Mary A. Otis and her trustees, Henry S. Turbell and Sidney Dillon, and any personal property of the said defendants, to pay said amount. It appearing by affidavit filed that the defendants, S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard, and Harrison G. Otis, are non residents of the State of Virginia, it is ordered that they appear within one month after due publication hereof, and do what is necessary to protect their interests. A copy, teste: F. D. RICHARDSON, Clerk. aug 14-w4w

VIRGINIA, to wit—In the Circuit Court of Fairfax county, August Rules, 1876. Walter S. Starr, Andrew L. Anderson, James A. Anderson, Charles Bennett, and Robert J. Murray vs. S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard and Harrison G. Otis, late merchants and partners, trading under the firm name of Anderson, Starr & Co., against S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard and Harrison G. Otis, late merchants and partners, trading under the firm name of Stockbridge, Stoddard & Co., doffs. In debt. The object of this suit is to recover of the defendants the sum of \$164.32, with interest thereon from the 21st day of May, 1875, and to attach the real estate of the said defendants, or either of them, in the county of Fairfax, State of Virginia, especially the tract of land conveyed by deed bearing date the 21st of May, 1875, and recorded in the land records of Fairfax county, being the undivided fourth part of all the estate, right and title of Mary A. Otis and her trustees, Henry S. Turbell and Sidney Dillon, and any personal property of the said defendants, to pay said amount. It appearing by affidavit filed that the defendants, S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard, and Harrison G. Otis, are non residents of the State of Virginia, it is ordered that they appear within one month after due publication hereof, and do what is necessary to protect their interests. A copy, teste: F. D. RICHARDSON, Clerk. aug 14-w4w

VIRGINIA, to wit—In the Circuit Court of Fairfax county, August Rules, 1876. Walter S. Starr, Andrew L. Anderson, James A. Anderson, Charles Bennett, and Robert J. Murray vs. S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard and Harrison G. Otis, late merchants and partners, trading under the firm name of Anderson, Starr & Co., against S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard and Harrison G. Otis, late merchants and partners, trading under the firm name of Stockbridge, Stoddard & Co., doffs. In debt. The object of this suit is to recover of the defendants the sum of \$164.32, with interest thereon from the 21st day of May, 1875, and to attach the real estate of the said defendants, or either of them, in the county of Fairfax, State of Virginia, especially the tract of land conveyed by deed bearing date the 21st of May, 1875, and recorded in the land records of Fairfax county, being the undivided fourth part of all the estate, right and title of Mary A. Otis and her trustees, Henry S. Turbell and Sidney Dillon, and any personal property of the said defendants, to pay said amount. It appearing by affidavit filed that the defendants, S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard, and Harrison G. Otis, are non residents of the State of Virginia, it is ordered that they appear within one month after due publication hereof, and do what is necessary to protect their interests. A copy, teste: F. D. RICHARDSON, Clerk. aug 14-w4w

VIRGINIA, to wit—In the Circuit Court of Fairfax county, August Rules, 1876. Walter S. Starr, Andrew L. Anderson, James A. Anderson, Charles Bennett, and Robert J. Murray vs. S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard and Harrison G. Otis, late merchants and partners, trading under the firm name of Anderson, Starr & Co., against S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard and Harrison G. Otis, late merchants and partners, trading under the firm name of Stockbridge, Stoddard & Co., doffs. In debt. The object of this suit is to recover of the defendants the sum of \$164.32, with interest thereon from the 21st day of May, 1875, and to attach the real estate of the said defendants, or either of them, in the county of Fairfax, State of Virginia, especially the tract of land conveyed by deed bearing date the 21st of May, 1875, and recorded in the land records of Fairfax county, being the undivided fourth part of all the estate, right and title of Mary A. Otis and her trustees, Henry S. Turbell and Sidney Dillon, and any personal property of the said defendants, to pay said amount. It appearing by affidavit filed that the defendants, S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard, and Harrison G. Otis, are non residents of the State of Virginia, it is ordered that they appear within one month after due publication hereof, and do what is necessary to protect their interests. A copy, teste: F. D. RICHARDSON, Clerk. aug 14-w4w

VIRGINIA, to wit—In the Circuit Court of Fairfax county, August Rules, 1876. Walter S. Starr, Andrew L. Anderson, James A. Anderson, Charles Bennett, and Robert J. Murray vs. S. L. Stockbridge, Frank S. Stoddard and Harrison G. Otis, late merchants and partners, trading under the firm name of Anderson, Starr & Co