

The Physiology of the Liver. The liver is the largest organ in the human body...

NOT A BIG FORTUNE.

INSTEAD OF \$100,000 DULANEY GETS \$252.72 DAMAGES.

Some of the Details of the Trial—Instructions Given and Those Obeyed, &c.

At ten minutes past 10 o'clock yesterday morning Judge Bond and Hughes, of the United States Court, ascended the bench to continue the trial...

THE WITNESSES. Mr. Kooztz, who was on the witness stand at the hour of adjournment...

THE VERDICT. The jury retired at 2 o'clock, and after being out an hour and thirty-five minutes, returned with the following verdict:

Mr. Royall entered a motion for a new trial, but it was refused by the court.

WHAT MR. ROYALL WANTED. Mr. Royall offered the following instructions to the jury:

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

And if the jury find from the evidence in the case that the other defendants to this action, or either of them, advised and counseled the said Kooztz to commit the trespass above described by advising him not to receive the said coupons...

And the jury are instructed that if the said levy is made with a knowledge at the time that it is illegal, and if the taxpayer remonstrates that it is illegal, and claims the protection of the law of the land, then the jury may find that the said levy was malicious, and not confined to giving actual damages, but may give punitive or exemplary damages, as they may find the facts to be.

THE ARGUMENT. The argument, which consumed nearly two and a half hours, was opened by Mr. Sands, for the plaintiff, followed by Messrs. Allen and Jackson, in defence, and closed by Mr. Royall.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

MORE COMMITTEES.

ALL OF THEM NOW ORGANIZED AND READY FOR BUSINESS.

James River Improvement, Streets, Public Interests, Retrenchment and Reform, Electric Light, &c. &c.

The Board of Public Interests met yesterday at 6:30 o'clock in the Council chamber. Present: Messrs. Corbin, Nolting, Pleasant, Purcell, and Pizzini.

Mr. Bethel (ex-officio chairman of the committee) being absent on account of illness, Mr. Pizzini was made temporary chairman.

The object of the meeting being to select three names for nomination to the City Council to fill the vacancy in the Board of Police Commissioners caused by the expiration of Mr. E. L. Brown's term, the following gentlemen were named: Messrs. R. L. Brown, J. H. Hartley, J. B. Welsh, and G. D. Pearson.

The vote resulted in the selection of Messrs. Brown, Welsh, and Hartley.

On motion of Mr. Cutchins, Mr. Blaud was made chairman.

Mr. Blaud thanked the Committee for the honor conferred.

The committee agreed to meet on the first Tuesday in each month at 6:30 P. M. in the streets.

A called meeting of the Committee on Streets was held in the City Engineer's office at 7 P. M. Present: Messrs. Blaud, Starke, Parater, Hill, Griffin, and Robinson.

A number of bills were approved, and after the disposal of some routine business the meeting adjourned.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

deemed an inquest unnecessary, being of the opinion that death was the result of a natural though unknown cause.

The case of Lewis P. Kidd, charged with shooting Thomas Calligan, with intent to kill, will be called in the Mayor's Court this morning for preliminary examination.

The examination of teachers applying for positions in the white public schools took eight hours—from 10 A. M. to 6 P. M. All of those who taught last session, with the exception of Professor E. Morrisette, who has a professional certificate, and one of the young ladies, who does not wish to teach longer, together with a number of new applicants, took the examination.

The examination of teachers applying for positions in the white public schools took eight hours—from 10 A. M. to 6 P. M. All of those who taught last session, with the exception of Professor E. Morrisette, who has a professional certificate, and one of the young ladies, who does not wish to teach longer, together with a number of new applicants, took the examination.

The examination of teachers applying for positions in the white public schools took eight hours—from 10 A. M. to 6 P. M. All of those who taught last session, with the exception of Professor E. Morrisette, who has a professional certificate, and one of the young ladies, who does not wish to teach longer, together with a number of new applicants, took the examination.

The examination of teachers applying for positions in the white public schools took eight hours—from 10 A. M. to 6 P. M. All of those who taught last session, with the exception of Professor E. Morrisette, who has a professional certificate, and one of the young ladies, who does not wish to teach longer, together with a number of new applicants, took the examination.

The examination of teachers applying for positions in the white public schools took eight hours—from 10 A. M. to 6 P. M. All of those who taught last session, with the exception of Professor E. Morrisette, who has a professional certificate, and one of the young ladies, who does not wish to teach longer, together with a number of new applicants, took the examination.

The examination of teachers applying for positions in the white public schools took eight hours—from 10 A. M. to 6 P. M. All of those who taught last session, with the exception of Professor E. Morrisette, who has a professional certificate, and one of the young ladies, who does not wish to teach longer, together with a number of new applicants, took the examination.

The examination of teachers applying for positions in the white public schools took eight hours—from 10 A. M. to 6 P. M. All of those who taught last session, with the exception of Professor E. Morrisette, who has a professional certificate, and one of the young ladies, who does not wish to teach longer, together with a number of new applicants, took the examination.

The examination of teachers applying for positions in the white public schools took eight hours—from 10 A. M. to 6 P. M. All of those who taught last session, with the exception of Professor E. Morrisette, who has a professional certificate, and one of the young ladies, who does not wish to teach longer, together with a number of new applicants, took the examination.

The examination of teachers applying for positions in the white public schools took eight hours—from 10 A. M. to 6 P. M. All of those who taught last session, with the exception of Professor E. Morrisette, who has a professional certificate, and one of the young ladies, who does not wish to teach longer, together with a number of new applicants, took the examination.

The examination of teachers applying for positions in the white public schools took eight hours—from 10 A. M. to 6 P. M. All of those who taught last session, with the exception of Professor E. Morrisette, who has a professional certificate, and one of the young ladies, who does not wish to teach longer, together with a number of new applicants, took the examination.

The examination of teachers applying for positions in the white public schools took eight hours—from 10 A. M. to 6 P. M. All of those who taught last session, with the exception of Professor E. Morrisette, who has a professional certificate, and one of the young ladies, who does not wish to teach longer, together with a number of new applicants, took the examination.

The examination of teachers applying for positions in the white public schools took eight hours—from 10 A. M. to 6 P. M. All of those who taught last session, with the exception of Professor E. Morrisette, who has a professional certificate, and one of the young ladies, who does not wish to teach longer, together with a number of new applicants, took the examination.

The examination of teachers applying for positions in the white public schools took eight hours—from 10 A. M. to 6 P. M. All of those who taught last session, with the exception of Professor E. Morrisette, who has a professional certificate, and one of the young ladies, who does not wish to teach longer, together with a number of new applicants, took the examination.

The examination of teachers applying for positions in the white public schools took eight hours—from 10 A. M. to 6 P. M. All of those who taught last session, with the exception of Professor E. Morrisette, who has a professional certificate, and one of the young ladies, who does not wish to teach longer, together with a number of new applicants, took the examination.

The examination of teachers applying for positions in the white public schools took eight hours—from 10 A. M. to 6 P. M. All of those who taught last session, with the exception of Professor E. Morrisette, who has a professional certificate, and one of the young ladies, who does not wish to teach longer, together with a number of new applicants, took the examination.

equipped with new methods, and will have zeal quickened for their work. One prominent feature of the daily exercises is the "morning cheer": "What is worth learning at all is worth learning well."

THE AUGUSTA (GA.) STRIKE. Another Demand for Advance—Further Strikes Anticipated.

On the 1st of February we were notified by the Richmond Typographical Union that the Knights of Labor, and by them a strike of the Richmond city organs.

The following advertisement will doubtless be accepted as sufficient reason for the temporary discontinuance of our paper.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

from our employees we asked for an explanation of their reasons for such action, and we received the following answer: "That we as a body had no objection to your and the Boycott Committee continuing your determination to 'right' the Richmond Typographical Union, and to secure regarding the manner in which the Union should be reorganized."

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.

THE VERDICT. The jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding damages of \$252.72.