

IT MAY BE EARLY, LADIES,

BUT WE WANT YOU TO SEE, IF NOT TO BUY,

THE LOVELIEST LINE EVER BROUGHT TO UTAH OF

Infant's White Hemstitched and Embroidered Robes, Slips, and Skirts;


OF MISSES' WHITE EMBROIDERED DRESSES FOR 6, 8 AND 10 YEARS;

And of Child's Hemstitched, Zephyr Dresses, Assorted Self Colors, Two to Six Years.

We also want you to see our newly arrived **SCOTCH ZEPHYR GINGHAMS**, in Bright, Safe Colors and all the Latest Patterns. No Obligations to Buy yet, we want to show them.

Our New Season's Goods are arriving almost Daily. Our friends cannot drop in Without Seeing Something Novel, Stylish, Desirable and of Interest.

T. G. WEBBER, Supt.



THAT FUNDING BILL

Why the Legislature Should at Once Pass It.

IT IS ABSOLUTELY NECESSARY

Prospects of Evans' Bonding Bill Being Reported Upon Favorably To-day by the Council Committee.

There was no session of either branch of the Legislature, both houses adjourning until to-day at 2 o'clock in respect to the death of W. H. King, father of the President of the Council, which occurred early yesterday morning.

THE FUNDING BILL.

The bill authorizing the territory to borrow \$200,000 for the purpose of liquidating the outstanding indebtedness and for the use of public institutions, is creating considerable interest among some of the members of the Legislature, and it is understood that some of them are already on record as being radically opposed to the measure. Just why such a state of affairs should for one moment exist is hard to understand.

The territory needs the money and must have it. It is not paying a ruinous rate of interest to the local banks, when the money could be borrowed on bonds for half that amount.

Speaking on the subject yesterday, Mr. Lund, of the Council, said: "The Herald is correct on the proposition as set forth in the editorial columns this morning. It is a good statement to need any further explanation from me and I hope that all the members of the Legislature will see it in the same light as I do and as The Herald states it. The territorial treasury is empty; there can be no question about that, and we must have money."

"I understand that Representative Sargent objects to the bill as it stands, but favors a double taxation this year."

"Well, that is all right, as far as it goes. But what are we going to do in the meantime? This money will be needed before the treasury until late next fall, and in the meantime all the appropriations made will have to lay over unless the bonding bill passes. It is a matter of life and death, I think, and should be so looked upon by every member of the Council and the House."

"What is the prevailing opinion among the members with whom you have conversed on the subject?"

"I believe the majority of the members—enough to pass the bill—think it is a favorable light. I believe the bill will pass all right."

IT MAY BE FAVORABLE.

Bounty bills are meeting with a rather good reception in the legislature this session. Several have been killed already, and there are now at least two before the Council—one by Haynes, providing for a bounty of 1/2 cent per pound on all sugar manufactured in Utah, and another by Evans. It is understood that the committee on manufacturers will report to-day on both of these measures, and will recommend that Haynes' bill be killed, and Evans' put upon the calendar.

Evans' bill is entitled "A bill for an act to encourage the establishment of manufacturing within the territory of Utah," and provides as follows:

Section 1.—That any person hereafter established in this territory for the manufacture of pig iron, with puddling furnace, 2 rolling mill or mill, or any other machinery, less than \$100,000 actually expended in the construction and operation of the same, shall be exempt from taxation for a period of five years from the date of completion and active operation.

Section 2.—That any establishment for the manufacture of sugar, with a mill, or any other machinery, less than \$100,000 actually expended in the construction and operation of the same, shall be exempt from taxation for a period of five years from the date of completion and active operation.

Section 3.—That any mill or factory, for the manufacture of flour, or any other machinery, less than \$100,000 actually expended in the construction and operation of the same, shall be exempt from taxation for a period of five years from the date of completion and active operation.

Section 4.—That any mill or factory, for the manufacture of woolen goods, or any other machinery, less than \$100,000 actually expended in the construction and operation of the same, shall be exempt from taxation for a period of five years from the date of completion and active operation.

THE PUBLIC PULSE

(Communications under this head are published or proper subjects at the responsibility of the writer.)

The Same Sophistry.

To the Editor of THE HERALD: I have read your editorial of last Sunday on "Why Bounties Are Undemocratic," and am still groping in the dark. I am unable to see why bounties are undemocratic or otherwise, or what any political party has to do with the domestic economy of a new country, whose very pioneers are still living and battling for something to eat and wear. I studied carefully the whole text of the editorial, for I thought in that

would find the key to the Herald's opposition to the sugar bounty and its unaccountable advocacy of some other things. But the more I studied it, the more was I filled with surprise and pain—surprise that one of your intelligence should presume on the ignorance of your readers by such untenable construction of language, and pain that so powerful a journal as THE HERALD should lend its influence in such a cause. What has Republicanism or Democracy to do with such questions? Why not

STAND ON BROADER GROUND

than the choked and narrow platforms of party prejudice. Why not rise up out of the quagmire of party business? Your quotation from an encyclopaedia, the name of which you forget to give, is unfortunate, for it impresses the reader with being a detached part of some ancient Democratic document and absolutely worthless as applied to the primitive conditions of this territory.

Bailed by the obsolete barnacle-covered standard of Democracy, would this last half of the nineteenth century be what it is to-day, the wonder of gods and the admiration of mankind! The push and progressive spirit of the world would still be hid in primeval fogs. The old-fashioned farms, the pride of our grandfathers, would still be weaving their homespun gowns, the farmer would still be going to mill with a grist in one end of his bag and a stone in the other to balance it, and the land would still be plowed with a halberd and crooked stick. Let THE HERALD out of the old rut that was made by men long since in the other to balance it, and the land would still be plowed with a halberd and crooked stick. Let THE HERALD out of the old rut that was made by men long since in the other to balance it, and the land would still be plowed with a halberd and crooked stick.

It is a matter of life and death, I think, and should be so looked upon by every member of the Council and the House."

"What is the prevailing opinion among the members with whom you have conversed on the subject?"

"I believe the majority of the members—enough to pass the bill—think it is a favorable light. I believe the bill will pass all right."

IN HIS GRAVE A HUNDRED YEARS

and never set foot on this continent. He knew no more of the telegraph, the telephone or the power press, that mighty engine of the inventive and wide awake age, than did Alexander the Great, the birth. Take Adam Smith from the abode of the dead and clothe him again with life and stand beside an Edison, or a Howe, or the like, and you would find a pigmy, Adam Smith was a Scotchman and advocated free trade for Great Britain; but what has the free trade of Great Britain to do with the sugar bounty of Utah? Give us something from the newer thoughts of men and let the dead rest in peace.

It is a matter of life and death, I think, and should be so looked upon by every member of the Council and the House."

"What is the prevailing opinion among the members with whom you have conversed on the subject?"

"I believe the majority of the members—enough to pass the bill—think it is a favorable light. I believe the bill will pass all right."

"I believe the majority of the members—enough to pass the bill—think it is a favorable light. I believe the bill will pass all right."

IT IS NOT MINERAL

The Eureka Townsite Worth Nothing for Mining Purposes.

That is the Opinion of a Witness Who Testified Yesterday—its Value for Townsite Purposes.

When the hearing in the townsite case was resumed in the land office yesterday, Mr. Green was recalled and he testified that in order to develop these claims in the townsite area, it would be necessary to have outside capital.

Judge Sutherland—If there was but one locator, would he not have to go off and obtain work, in order to secure money to develop his claim?

The witness—I have known prospectors, mining camps in different parts of the west here, to be developed to a greater depth than 300 feet by the efforts alone of the locators, being poor, even having to work for six months on their prospects and then go to work for wages for a living, or a grub stake, as they call it among miners, and kept at work in that way until they reached pay ore.

Q.—What mines within the townsite of Eureka, and immediately around the same, have been developed to a paying concern by a prospector or a miner, without capital?

A.—The Eureka Hill has pay ore right on the top.

Q.—Who developed that mine without capital? Name the miner.

A.—I can name a good many that were working on it, on the surface, on leases. Mr. Robert Henderson, for one. I think he had his partner took out some \$4,000 or \$5,000 from a very small space on the surface. The principal portion of the ore was taken from rock, in places. There has been no ore shipped from any mines on the townsite except what may have been shipped from the Keystone, or if any portion of the Beck mine is to be included, I will mention that and the Eureka.

Judge Sutherland—What, in your judgment, is the land within the townsite worth for mining purposes?

The question was objected to by Major Bird on the ground that the witness was not competent to answer.

Witness (replying to Judge Sutherland's last question)—According to my judgment the land is not worth anything at all. Of course it is worth something for building purposes than for mining purposes, because there are no mines on it.

Robert Henderson was the next witness called by the townsite applicant. He is a miner, residing at Eureka, and has lived there since 1872. He had prospected, he said, a great deal and knew the territory; and he was called upon to testify as to the value of the townsite, and the value of the land in this office. There was a great deal of wash on the south side of the town; on the north side of the main street; where the bed rock cropped to the surface, the indication of the rock was granite. On the underground workings it was granite, and some property laid between the granite and the townsite.

Q.—Speaking of the townsite ground, and with reference to its character, every part of it, state whether it is mineral or non-mineral.

A.—It is non-mineral.

IT IS NOT MINERAL

The Eureka Townsite Worth Nothing for Mining Purposes.

That is the Opinion of a Witness Who Testified Yesterday—its Value for Townsite Purposes.

When the hearing in the townsite case was resumed in the land office yesterday, Mr. Green was recalled and he testified that in order to develop these claims in the townsite area, it would be necessary to have outside capital.

Judge Sutherland—If there was but one locator, would he not have to go off and obtain work, in order to secure money to develop his claim?

The witness—I have known prospectors, mining camps in different parts of the west here, to be developed to a greater depth than 300 feet by the efforts alone of the locators, being poor, even having to work for six months on their prospects and then go to work for wages for a living, or a grub stake, as they call it among miners, and kept at work in that way until they reached pay ore.

Q.—What mines within the townsite of Eureka, and immediately around the same, have been developed to a paying concern by a prospector or a miner, without capital?

A.—The Eureka Hill has pay ore right on the top.

Q.—Who developed that mine without capital? Name the miner.

A.—I can name a good many that were working on it, on the surface, on leases. Mr. Robert Henderson, for one. I think he had his partner took out some \$4,000 or \$5,000 from a very small space on the surface. The principal portion of the ore was taken from rock, in places. There has been no ore shipped from any mines on the townsite except what may have been shipped from the Keystone, or if any portion of the Beck mine is to be included, I will mention that and the Eureka.

Judge Sutherland—What, in your judgment, is the land within the townsite worth for mining purposes?

The question was objected to by Major Bird on the ground that the witness was not competent to answer.

Witness (replying to Judge Sutherland's last question)—According to my judgment the land is not worth anything at all. Of course it is worth something for building purposes than for mining purposes, because there are no mines on it.

Robert Henderson was the next witness called by the townsite applicant. He is a miner, residing at Eureka, and has lived there since 1872. He had prospected, he said, a great deal and knew the territory; and he was called upon to testify as to the value of the townsite, and the value of the land in this office. There was a great deal of wash on the south side of the town; on the north side of the main street; where the bed rock cropped to the surface, the indication of the rock was granite. On the underground workings it was granite, and some property laid between the granite and the townsite.

Q.—Speaking of the townsite ground, and with reference to its character, every part of it, state whether it is mineral or non-mineral.

A.—It is non-mineral.

IT IS NOT MINERAL

The Eureka Townsite Worth Nothing for Mining Purposes.

That is the Opinion of a Witness Who Testified Yesterday—its Value for Townsite Purposes.

When the hearing in the townsite case was resumed in the land office yesterday, Mr. Green was recalled and he testified that in order to develop these claims in the townsite area, it would be necessary to have outside capital.

Judge Sutherland—If there was but one locator, would he not have to go off and obtain work, in order to secure money to develop his claim?

The witness—I have known prospectors, mining camps in different parts of the west here, to be developed to a greater depth than 300 feet by the efforts alone of the locators, being poor, even having to work for six months on their prospects and then go to work for wages for a living, or a grub stake, as they call it among miners, and kept at work in that way until they reached pay ore.

Q.—What mines within the townsite of Eureka, and immediately around the same, have been developed to a paying concern by a prospector or a miner, without capital?

A.—The Eureka Hill has pay ore right on the top.

Q.—Who developed that mine without capital? Name the miner.

A.—I can name a good many that were working on it, on the surface, on leases. Mr. Robert Henderson, for one. I think he had his partner took out some \$4,000 or \$5,000 from a very small space on the surface. The principal portion of the ore was taken from rock, in places. There has been no ore shipped from any mines on the townsite except what may have been shipped from the Keystone, or if any portion of the Beck mine is to be included, I will mention that and the Eureka.

Judge Sutherland—What, in your judgment, is the land within the townsite worth for mining purposes?

The question was objected to by Major Bird on the ground that the witness was not competent to answer.

Witness (replying to Judge Sutherland's last question)—According to my judgment the land is not worth anything at all. Of course it is worth something for building purposes than for mining purposes, because there are no mines on it.

Robert Henderson was the next witness called by the townsite applicant. He is a miner, residing at Eureka, and has lived there since 1872. He had prospected, he said, a great deal and knew the territory; and he was called upon to testify as to the value of the townsite, and the value of the land in this office. There was a great deal of wash on the south side of the town; on the north side of the main street; where the bed rock cropped to the surface, the indication of the rock was granite. On the underground workings it was granite, and some property laid between the granite and the townsite.

Q.—Speaking of the townsite ground, and with reference to its character, every part of it, state whether it is mineral or non-mineral.

A.—It is non-mineral.

THIS SPACE RESERVED

FOR

HARDY, YOUNG & CO.

Watch It!

WILLIAMS, HOYT & CO.'S

Boys', Youth's, Misses, Children's and Infants'

SHOES

Are Superior to All Others.

Ask Z. C. M. I. for Them.

GEOMETRICAL WOOD CARVING

FINE FINISHING

For Banks, Hotels, Public Buildings and Residences.

We own the only C. S. DEERING WOOD CARVING MACHINE on the Pacific Coast. All Material Satisfactorily Finished. No Sand Papering required in putting it up. Samples of work will be found in all Architects' offices.

All kinds of Mill Work, Doors, Sash, Blinds and Carved Mouldings

Telephone 570. PACIFIC LUMBER & BUILDING CO.

DAVID JAMES & CO.,

Tinners, Plumbers,

GAS AND STEAM FITTERS,

DEALERS IN

Flumbing Material, Pumps, Pipes and Fittings,

Steam Heating Supplies, Tin and Iron Roofing,

Galvanized Iron Cornice, Guttering, Garden Hose and Lawn Sprinklers, Water Fitters, Etc., Etc.

NO. 67 MAIN STREET.

ELIAS MORRIS

HAS A HANDSOME LINE OF

Wood, Iron and Slate Mantles,

FLOOR AND ART TILES.

Call and see the finest display in this line in the West.

No. 21 W. South Temple Street

SALT LAKE BUILDING & MANUFACTURING CO.

Lumber Dealers, Contractors and Builders.

SASH, SHINGLES, DOORS, WINDOWS, BLINDS, MOULDINGS, FRAMES, BOXES, SASH WEIGHTS AND BUILDERS' HARDWARE.

MILL WORK IN ALL ITS BRANCHES.

TELEPHONE 848.

Office and Mill, 32 to 40 N. Second West St., One Block East of U. P. Depot.

WE ALSO CARRY A FULL LINE OF

Ready Mixed Paints and Sheet Plate Glass.

THAT FUNDING BILL

Why the Legislature Should at Once Pass It.

IT IS ABSOLUTELY NECESSARY

Prospects of Evans' Bonding Bill Being Reported Upon Favorably To-day by the Council Committee.

There was no session of either branch of the Legislature, both houses adjourning until to-day at 2 o'clock in respect to the death of W. H. King, father of the President of the Council, which occurred early yesterday morning.

THE FUNDING BILL.

The bill authorizing the territory to borrow \$200,000 for the purpose of liquidating the outstanding indebtedness and for the use of public institutions, is creating considerable interest among some of the members of the Legislature, and it is understood that some of them are already on record as being radically opposed to the measure. Just why such a state of affairs should for one moment exist is hard to understand.

The territory needs the money and must have it. It is not paying a ruinous rate of interest to the local banks, when the money could be borrowed on bonds for half that amount.

Speaking on the subject yesterday, Mr. Lund, of the Council, said: "The Herald is correct on the proposition as set forth in the editorial columns this morning. It is a good statement to need any further explanation from me and I hope that all the members of the Legislature will see it in the same light as I do and as The Herald states it. The territorial treasury is empty; there can be no question about that, and we must have money."

"I understand that Representative Sargent objects to the bill as it stands, but favors a double taxation this year."

"Well, that is all right, as far as it goes. But what are we going to do in the meantime? This money will be needed before the treasury until late next fall, and in the meantime all the appropriations made will have to lay over unless the bonding bill passes. It is a matter of life and death, I think, and should be so looked upon by every member of the Council and the House."

"What is the prevailing opinion among the members with whom you have conversed on the subject?"

"I believe the majority of the members—enough to pass the bill—think it is a favorable light. I believe the bill will pass all right."

IT MAY BE FAVORABLE.

Bounty bills are meeting with a rather good reception in the legislature this session. Several have been killed already, and there are now at least two before the Council—one by Haynes, providing for a bounty of 1/2 cent per pound on all sugar manufactured in Utah, and another by Evans. It is understood that the committee on manufacturers will report to-day on both of these measures, and will recommend that Haynes' bill be killed, and Evans' put upon the calendar.

Evans' bill is entitled "A bill for an act to encourage the establishment of manufacturing within the territory of Utah," and provides as follows:

Section 1.—That any person hereafter established in this territory for the manufacture of pig iron, with puddling furnace, 2 rolling mill or mill, or any other machinery, less than \$100,000 actually expended in the construction and operation of the same, shall be exempt from taxation for a period of five years from the date of completion and active operation.

Section 2.—That any establishment for the manufacture of sugar, with a mill, or any other machinery, less than \$100,000 actually expended in the construction and operation of the same, shall be exempt from taxation for a period of five years from the date of completion and active operation.

Section 3.—That any mill or factory, for the manufacture of flour, or any other machinery, less than \$100,000 actually expended in the construction and operation of the same, shall be exempt from taxation for a period of five years from the date of completion and active operation.

Section 4.—That any mill or factory, for the manufacture of woolen goods, or any other machinery, less than \$100,000 actually expended in the construction and operation of the same, shall be exempt from taxation for a period of five years from the date of completion and active operation.

Section 5.—That any mill or factory, for the manufacture of iron or steel, or any other machinery, less than \$100,000 actually expended in the construction and operation of the same, shall be exempt from taxation for a period of five years from the date of completion and active operation.

Section 6.—That any mill or factory, for the manufacture of cotton goods, or any other machinery, less than \$100,000 actually expended in the construction and operation of the same, shall be exempt from taxation for a period of five years from the date of completion and active operation.

Section 7.—That any mill or factory, for the manufacture of paper, or any other machinery, less than \$100,000 actually expended in the construction and operation of the same, shall be exempt from taxation for a period of five years from the date of completion and active operation.

Section 8.—That any mill or factory, for the manufacture of glass, or any other machinery, less than \$100,000 actually expended in the construction and operation of the same, shall be exempt from taxation for a period of five years from the date of completion and active operation.

Section 9.—That any mill or factory, for the manufacture of brick, or any other machinery, less than \$100,000 actually expended in the construction and operation of the same, shall be exempt from taxation for a period of five years from the date of completion and active operation.

Section 10.—That any mill or factory, for the manufacture of lime, or any other machinery, less than \$100,000 actually expended in the construction and operation of the same, shall be exempt from taxation for a period of five years from the date of completion and active operation.

Section 11.—That any mill or factory, for the manufacture of cement, or any other machinery, less than \$100,000 actually expended in the construction and operation of the same, shall be exempt from taxation for a period of five years from the date of completion and active operation.

Section 12.—That any mill or factory, for the manufacture of iron or steel, or any other machinery, less than \$100,000 actually expended in the construction and operation of the same, shall be exempt from taxation for a period of five years from the date of completion and active operation.

Section 13.—That any mill or factory, for the manufacture of cotton goods, or any other machinery, less than \$100,000 actually expended in the construction and operation of the same, shall be exempt from taxation for a period of five years from the date of completion and active operation.

Section 14.—That any mill or factory, for the manufacture of paper, or any other machinery, less than \$100,000 actually expended in the construction and operation of the same, shall be exempt from taxation for a period of five years from the date of completion and active operation.

Section 15.—That any mill or factory, for the manufacture of glass, or any other machinery, less than \$100,000 actually expended in the construction and operation of the same, shall be exempt from taxation for a period of five years from the date of completion and active operation.

Section 16.—That any mill or factory, for the manufacture of brick, or any other machinery, less than \$100,000 actually expended in the construction and operation of the same, shall be exempt from taxation for a period of five years from the date of completion and active operation.

Section 17.—That any mill or factory, for the manufacture of lime, or any other machinery, less than \$100,000 actually expended in the construction and operation of the same, shall be exempt from taxation for a period of five years from the date of completion and active operation.

Section 18.—That any mill or factory, for the manufacture of cement, or any other machinery, less than \$100,000 actually expended in the construction and operation of the same, shall be exempt from taxation for a period of five years from the date of completion and active operation.

Section 19.—That any mill or factory, for the manufacture of iron or steel, or any other machinery, less than \$100,000 actually expended in the construction and operation of the same, shall be exempt from taxation for a period of five years from the date of completion and active operation.

Section 20.—That any mill or factory, for the manufacture of cotton goods, or any other machinery, less than \$100,000 actually expended in the construction and operation of the same, shall be exempt from taxation for a period of five years from the date of completion and active operation.

Section 21.—That any mill or factory, for the manufacture of paper, or any other machinery, less than \$100,000 actually expended in the construction and operation of the same, shall be exempt from taxation for a period of five years from the date of completion and active operation.

Section 22.—That any mill or factory, for the manufacture of glass, or any other machinery, less than \$100,000 actually expended in the construction and operation of the same, shall be exempt from taxation for a period of five years from the date of completion and active operation.

Section 23.—That any mill or factory, for the manufacture of brick, or any other machinery, less than \$100,000 actually expended in the construction and operation of the same, shall be exempt from taxation for a period of five years from the date of completion and active operation.

Section 24.—That any mill or factory, for the manufacture of lime, or any other machinery, less than