



THURSDAY, DECEMBER 3, 1896

AMUSEMENTS. BALDWIN THEATER - "Dr. Belgrave." COLUMBIA THEATER - "The New South." MOROSCO'S OPERA HOUSE - "Midnight Alarm." THE LITTLE THEATRE - "The Little Duke."

CITY NEWS IN BRIEF. City Chapter, O. E. S., installed its officers last night. The men at the Presidio have organized a strong baseball team.

Mr. H. H. Watt took money out of the People's Bank. New Developments in the Trial of the Williams-Hebbard Libel Case Before Judge Daingerfield Yesterday.

Manipulation by Which the Jennings Stock Was Voted for the Watt Directors. DENIAL THAT COIN WAS PAID. Judge Hebbard Swore That His Promise Made to the Depositors Was Qual.

Mr. Footo objected to searching questions put by Attorney Baker for the defense. General Sheehan Reading the Items From the Cash Book Showing That James Alva Watt Took Money From the Bank Without Authority.

Mr. Henley replied that he was following the witness, Mr. Footo, and that gentleman retorted by saying that Mr. Henley was making a statement devoid of truth.

Mr. Henley said that he could prove it by other witnesses, and Mr. Dixon was accordingly withdrawn. Attorney Bartlett was recalled for the purpose of relating what happened at a meeting of the board of directors on January 14, 1895.

Mr. Footo objected and Mr. Henley proposed to prove that Mr. Watt had appeared at a meeting of stockholders and had reported to the board certain expenditures, while he withheld from them information as to other expenditures.

Mr. Henley said that he would modify the injunction so that the old directors might resign and a new board might be appointed, if all the parties would agree to that.

THE SAN FRANCISCO CALL

MANIPULATION BY WHICH THE JENNINGS STOCK WAS VOTED FOR THE WATT DIRECTORS. DENIAL THAT COIN WAS PAID. Judge Hebbard Swore That His Promise Made to the Depositors Was Qual.

Mr. Footo objected to searching questions put by Attorney Baker for the defense. General Sheehan Reading the Items From the Cash Book Showing That James Alva Watt Took Money From the Bank Without Authority.

Mr. Henley replied that he was following the witness, Mr. Footo, and that gentleman retorted by saying that Mr. Henley was making a statement devoid of truth.

Mr. Henley said that he could prove it by other witnesses, and Mr. Dixon was accordingly withdrawn. Attorney Bartlett was recalled for the purpose of relating what happened at a meeting of the board of directors on January 14, 1895.

Mr. Footo objected and Mr. Henley proposed to prove that Mr. Watt had appeared at a meeting of stockholders and had reported to the board certain expenditures, while he withheld from them information as to other expenditures.

Mr. Henley said that he would modify the injunction so that the old directors might resign and a new board might be appointed, if all the parties would agree to that.

Mr. Footo objected and Mr. Henley proposed to prove that Mr. Watt had appeared at a meeting of stockholders and had reported to the board certain expenditures, while he withheld from them information as to other expenditures.

Mr. Henley said that he would modify the injunction so that the old directors might resign and a new board might be appointed, if all the parties would agree to that.

Mr. Footo objected and Mr. Henley proposed to prove that Mr. Watt had appeared at a meeting of stockholders and had reported to the board certain expenditures, while he withheld from them information as to other expenditures.

THE SAN FRANCISCO CALL

MANIPULATION BY WHICH THE JENNINGS STOCK WAS VOTED FOR THE WATT DIRECTORS. DENIAL THAT COIN WAS PAID. Judge Hebbard Swore That His Promise Made to the Depositors Was Qual.

Mr. Footo objected to searching questions put by Attorney Baker for the defense. General Sheehan Reading the Items From the Cash Book Showing That James Alva Watt Took Money From the Bank Without Authority.

Mr. Henley replied that he was following the witness, Mr. Footo, and that gentleman retorted by saying that Mr. Henley was making a statement devoid of truth.

Mr. Henley said that he could prove it by other witnesses, and Mr. Dixon was accordingly withdrawn. Attorney Bartlett was recalled for the purpose of relating what happened at a meeting of the board of directors on January 14, 1895.

Mr. Footo objected and Mr. Henley proposed to prove that Mr. Watt had appeared at a meeting of stockholders and had reported to the board certain expenditures, while he withheld from them information as to other expenditures.

Mr. Henley said that he would modify the injunction so that the old directors might resign and a new board might be appointed, if all the parties would agree to that.

Mr. Footo objected and Mr. Henley proposed to prove that Mr. Watt had appeared at a meeting of stockholders and had reported to the board certain expenditures, while he withheld from them information as to other expenditures.

Mr. Henley said that he would modify the injunction so that the old directors might resign and a new board might be appointed, if all the parties would agree to that.

Mr. Footo objected and Mr. Henley proposed to prove that Mr. Watt had appeared at a meeting of stockholders and had reported to the board certain expenditures, while he withheld from them information as to other expenditures.

THE SAN FRANCISCO CALL

MANIPULATION BY WHICH THE JENNINGS STOCK WAS VOTED FOR THE WATT DIRECTORS. DENIAL THAT COIN WAS PAID. Judge Hebbard Swore That His Promise Made to the Depositors Was Qual.

Mr. Footo objected to searching questions put by Attorney Baker for the defense. General Sheehan Reading the Items From the Cash Book Showing That James Alva Watt Took Money From the Bank Without Authority.

Mr. Henley replied that he was following the witness, Mr. Footo, and that gentleman retorted by saying that Mr. Henley was making a statement devoid of truth.

Mr. Henley said that he could prove it by other witnesses, and Mr. Dixon was accordingly withdrawn. Attorney Bartlett was recalled for the purpose of relating what happened at a meeting of the board of directors on January 14, 1895.

Mr. Footo objected and Mr. Henley proposed to prove that Mr. Watt had appeared at a meeting of stockholders and had reported to the board certain expenditures, while he withheld from them information as to other expenditures.

Mr. Henley said that he would modify the injunction so that the old directors might resign and a new board might be appointed, if all the parties would agree to that.

Mr. Footo objected and Mr. Henley proposed to prove that Mr. Watt had appeared at a meeting of stockholders and had reported to the board certain expenditures, while he withheld from them information as to other expenditures.

Mr. Henley said that he would modify the injunction so that the old directors might resign and a new board might be appointed, if all the parties would agree to that.

Mr. Footo objected and Mr. Henley proposed to prove that Mr. Watt had appeared at a meeting of stockholders and had reported to the board certain expenditures, while he withheld from them information as to other expenditures.

THE SAN FRANCISCO CALL

MANIPULATION BY WHICH THE JENNINGS STOCK WAS VOTED FOR THE WATT DIRECTORS. DENIAL THAT COIN WAS PAID. Judge Hebbard Swore That His Promise Made to the Depositors Was Qual.

Mr. Footo objected to searching questions put by Attorney Baker for the defense. General Sheehan Reading the Items From the Cash Book Showing That James Alva Watt Took Money From the Bank Without Authority.

Mr. Henley replied that he was following the witness, Mr. Footo, and that gentleman retorted by saying that Mr. Henley was making a statement devoid of truth.

Mr. Henley said that he could prove it by other witnesses, and Mr. Dixon was accordingly withdrawn. Attorney Bartlett was recalled for the purpose of relating what happened at a meeting of the board of directors on January 14, 1895.

Mr. Footo objected and Mr. Henley proposed to prove that Mr. Watt had appeared at a meeting of stockholders and had reported to the board certain expenditures, while he withheld from them information as to other expenditures.

Mr. Henley said that he would modify the injunction so that the old directors might resign and a new board might be appointed, if all the parties would agree to that.

Mr. Footo objected and Mr. Henley proposed to prove that Mr. Watt had appeared at a meeting of stockholders and had reported to the board certain expenditures, while he withheld from them information as to other expenditures.

Mr. Henley said that he would modify the injunction so that the old directors might resign and a new board might be appointed, if all the parties would agree to that.

Mr. Footo objected and Mr. Henley proposed to prove that Mr. Watt had appeared at a meeting of stockholders and had reported to the board certain expenditures, while he withheld from them information as to other expenditures.

THE SAN FRANCISCO CALL

MANIPULATION BY WHICH THE JENNINGS STOCK WAS VOTED FOR THE WATT DIRECTORS. DENIAL THAT COIN WAS PAID. Judge Hebbard Swore That His Promise Made to the Depositors Was Qual.

Mr. Footo objected to searching questions put by Attorney Baker for the defense. General Sheehan Reading the Items From the Cash Book Showing That James Alva Watt Took Money From the Bank Without Authority.

Mr. Henley replied that he was following the witness, Mr. Footo, and that gentleman retorted by saying that Mr. Henley was making a statement devoid of truth.

Mr. Henley said that he could prove it by other witnesses, and Mr. Dixon was accordingly withdrawn. Attorney Bartlett was recalled for the purpose of relating what happened at a meeting of the board of directors on January 14, 1895.

Mr. Footo objected and Mr. Henley proposed to prove that Mr. Watt had appeared at a meeting of stockholders and had reported to the board certain expenditures, while he withheld from them information as to other expenditures.

Mr. Henley said that he would modify the injunction so that the old directors might resign and a new board might be appointed, if all the parties would agree to that.

Mr. Footo objected and Mr. Henley proposed to prove that Mr. Watt had appeared at a meeting of stockholders and had reported to the board certain expenditures, while he withheld from them information as to other expenditures.

Mr. Henley said that he would modify the injunction so that the old directors might resign and a new board might be appointed, if all the parties would agree to that.

Mr. Footo objected and Mr. Henley proposed to prove that Mr. Watt had appeared at a meeting of stockholders and had reported to the board certain expenditures, while he withheld from them information as to other expenditures.

UNITED FOR THE LEGISLATURE'S AD Association of Improvement Clubs Hard at Work. Senators-Elect Dwyer and Brauhart Made Some Suggestions. Dr. Taylor Advocated a New Charter as the Thing Essential to the Public Business.

"Pretty Pill" says "Pretty Poll" She's just "poll parrotting." There's no prettiness in pills, except in the theory of "pretty" is that pretty does. In that case she's right. Ayer's Pills do cure biliousness, constipation, and all liver troubles.

California Furniture Company (N. P. Cole & Co.) 117 Geary Street. Carpets, Rugs, Mattings.

California Furniture Company (N. P. Cole & Co.) 117 Geary Street. Carpets, Rugs, Mattings.

California Furniture Company (N. P. Cole & Co.) 117 Geary Street. Carpets, Rugs, Mattings.

California Furniture Company (N. P. Cole & Co.) 117 Geary Street. Carpets, Rugs, Mattings.

California Furniture Company (N. P. Cole & Co.) 117 Geary Street. Carpets, Rugs, Mattings.

California Furniture Company (N. P. Cole & Co.) 117 Geary Street. Carpets, Rugs, Mattings.

California Furniture Company (N. P. Cole & Co.) 117 Geary Street. Carpets, Rugs, Mattings.

