

WEDDING BELLS AT GRACE CHURCH

Nuptials of Miss Bessie Younger and Burns Macdonald.

Rev. Dr. Foute Speaks the Words That Make the Young Couple One.

Happy Termination of a Romantic Courtship Aboard an Ocean Steamship.

Yesterday as the City clock rang out the noon hour Miss Bessie Younger and Burns Macdonald stood before the altar at Grace Church, and pronounced the solemn words that made them husband and wife.

The engagement of Miss Younger and Mr. Macdonald was made public early last spring. At the time the announcement was made, their pretty little romance, a tale of courtship aboard an ocean liner, was discussed with much interest among their many friends.

Young Macdonald followed the lady of his heart half over Europe, and when he returned to his native beach he had earned her promise to take him "for better or for worse."

Grace Church, which has been the scene of so many fashionable weddings, never

wore an elegant white satin gown—the gown in which she was married. She wore a large white hat, with white ostrich plumes.

After the ceremony at the church, which was witnessed by a large and fashionable audience, the bridal party rode to the Palace Hotel, where a bridal breakfast was served in the maple room.

Miss Younger presented her bridesmaids with the customary presents—green tulle wreath studded with pearls. The groom's souvenir to his ushers was a gold link cuff button. His gift to his bride was an emerald chateleine watch, studded with pearls.

Mr. and Mrs. Macdonald left last evening for a three months' southern tour. Miss Ella Bates Miles, cousin of General Nelson A. Miles, and H. Clair Cantelero, a trusted employee of the Oregon Railway and Navigation Company, were married last evening at the residence of the bride's mother, Memorial M. E. Church, corner of Broadway and Buchanan streets, the Rev. John Stephens officiating.

The bride wore a dainty gown of white tulle, with a long train, and a large white organza veil. The bridesmaids wore white organza veils. The groom wore a white tulle suit. The ushers wore white tulle suits. The bridesmaids were Miss Alice Miles (her sister), who officiated as maid of honor, and the Misses Maude and Myrtle Hudson, who acted as bridesmaids.

The groom was Major Bert Johnson, vice-president of the Fidelity Savings Association, and prominent in business circles in Denver. He is the son of David Johnson, a wealthy lawyer. Miss Wagner spent several years of her early childhood in this city, where she has many friends.

MARRIED IN DENVER. Madge Morris' Daughter Weds Major Bert Johnson.

The wedding of a California girl in which many Californians will be interested took place at high noon on Christmas day, in Denver, Colo. The bride was Miss Pearl Wagner of San Diego, the beautiful and talented daughter of Madge Morris (Mrs. Harr Wagner), the poetess, and stepdaughter of Harr Wagner, editor of the Western Journal of Education and one of the foremost educational lecturers in the State.

The groom was Major Bert Johnson, vice-president of the Fidelity Savings Association, and prominent in business circles in Denver. He is the son of David Johnson, a wealthy lawyer. Miss Wagner spent several years of her early childhood in this city, where she has many friends.

The ceremony was performed by Rev. Mr. Heiser of the English Lutheran Church, of which the bride is a member. The wedding was strictly private. There were no bridesmaids nor ushers, only a few intimate friends.

The young couple and their friends were at a sumptuous dinner at the elegant residence of E. M. Johnson, brother of the groom, and immediately started East on their wedding tour.

JEWELERS IN TROUBLE. The Creditors of Barrett & Sherwood Place Attachments on the Well-Known Firm.

Barrett & Sherwood, whose jewelry-houses at 7 Kearny street have been well known for years past, was attached yesterday on claims aggregating \$1100.

Attorney C. T. Humphreys, who holds claims against the firm, has taken hold of the matter and will conduct the insolvency proceedings that are expected.

The liabilities of the firm amount to about \$10,000, the principal claims being held by the Tosele Manufacturing Company, Wetmore & Co. and Hirsch and Gorman, all Eastern creditors. No blame is attached to the firm for its failure to meet its obligations, the trouble being attributed to business depression and an inability to collect outstanding bills.

WELCH'S EX-WIFE. She Testifies in His Contest Against Sidney Hall for a Senate Seat.

Some interesting testimony was developed in the contest of Welch against Hall in Justice Cook's court yesterday. Justices Cook and Barry were appointed as referees in the matter, and sat for several hours to hear the witnesses.

The most important evidence was that of the ex-wife of the contestant. She was called by the plaintiffs to show that she had worked against Welch because of a promise that a relative of hers would receive political preferment in case Hall were

MEN WHO WANT OFFICIAL PLACES

Legislative Positions and Some Candidates for Them.

Frank J. Brandon Likely to Succeed Himself as Senate Clerk.

Senator Eli Denison and L. F. Blackburn Are at Odds—Gossip of the Season.

Frank J. Brandon of San Jose, who has been three times clerk of the State Senate,

OTTINGER'S RACING DEAL. The Supreme Court Considers Facts in an Agreement With Hankins Et Al.

The Supreme Court yesterday decided a case in which legal light was thrown upon the subject of betting on horse races. Hankins and others were partners with Ottinger and others in a deal by which all premiums and stake money to be received from the Pacific Coast blood-horse association and the California Jockey Club, which should be won by their horses, should be evenly divided, half and half.

Edson H. Ottinger, a partner in the deal, and Ottinger refused to divide. Hankins and the others set judgment and Ottinger appealed the case to the Supreme Court.

The Supreme Court considered what legality there is in betting on horse races in California and defined the distinction between money due on bets and money received for premiums. "At common law," said the court, "a wager made in respect to matters not affecting the feelings, interest or character of a third person, or the public peace, or good morals,

CHARLES KOHLER'S CREDITORS LUCKY

They Will Be Paid in Full for All Their Claims.

Judge Coffey Decides That the Testator's Mother Must Wait.

She Was Her Son's Partner, and His Individual Bills Must Be Settled First.

Creditors of the estate of the late Charles Kohler and others similarly situated will be interested in a decision handed down by Judge Coffey yesterday in which Mrs. Elise Kohler, a surviving member of the firm of Kohler & Frothing, an individual creditor of the decedent, sought to have her claim against the Charles Kohler estate prorated with the claims of other creditors.

George H. Blucher is an individual creditor of the decedent testator, Charles Kohler, who was a member of the firm of Kohler & Frothing, a partnership very largely indebted to many persons. The affairs of the partnership are not yet settled.

In case the assets of the firm shall prove insufficient to discharge the partnership debts, Judge Coffey says, then the estate of the testator will be liable.

The account of the executor, says the court, shows that he has sufficient cash on hand to satisfy all the separate creditors, with a surplus. Blucher asks, therefore, that the executor be directed to pay his claim in full as a separate creditor.

Other claims have been presented, among them that of Mrs. Elise Kohler for her share of the partnership debts. These have been allowed and approved by the probate court as contingent claims against the estate in question, and it is contended that in the circumstances of the case and under the articles of copartnership of Kohler & Frothing, of which she was a member, Mrs. Kohler is entitled to rank as a creditor of the estate.

The claim of Mrs. Kohler arises from her paying the one-third of the losses of the firm of Kohler & Frothing that would have been paid by Charles Kohler if he had lived and had been financially open to respond to those demands. Mrs. Kohler is entitled to her share of the partnership debts, and she objects to paying the claims of others unless she should be allowed her proportion of the funds in the hands of the executor.

Blucher objected to this on the ground that Mrs. Kohler must rely on the assets of the estate of the deceased after his individual debts are liquidated. In support of this view Judge Coffey said that the question was resolved finally into this form: "Whether the claims of Mrs. Elise Kohler, as the surviving partner of Kohler & Frothing, were entitled to preference against the estate of Charles Kohler, deceased, over the liabilities created by him in his lifetime, as was the claim of Blucher. I think not."

The order that Blucher be paid was made, and all of Kohler's individual creditors will now receive payment in full in

THE PLAY GOES ON. Judge McKenna Has Refused to Issue an Injunction in the Aerial Ballet Case.

United States Circuit Judge McKenna has denied the application of Carl E. Nilsson for an injunction to prohibit the Palmer Cox brownies company and Al Hayman Company from producing the aerial ballet at their performance at the Baldwin Theater. Nilsson has a patent for the machinery and appliances by which dancers are made to appear to perform in midair, and he claimed that the apparatus used by the Brownies company is an infringement upon his patent. He not only asked for damages but an injunction restraining the Baldwin Theater people from further use of the machinery in question.

Judge McKenna, in denying the injunction, stated that the patent being of very recent date is virtually a "green patent" and the public has not yet had time to recognize either the patent or the rights confirmed by the letters patent. Moreover, the patent has never been in litigation to determine its validity. In his remarks Judge McKenna said that the affidavits of the defendants were not at all satisfactory, as they failed to meet or answer the allegations set out by the complainant. In fact the affidavits presented by the latter as well were vague.

He added that Nilsson had many opportunities to contest this case in the East, but he neglected to do so, and it is not really fair to the defendants to enjoin them from using the usual ballet apparatus as they would be and have been deprived of the privilege of presenting certain evidence which they could secure in the East.

A SEALER CONDEMNED. The Schooner Jane Gray Forfeited for Illegally Killing Fur Seals.

United States District Judge Morrow has rendered an opinion in the sealing case of the United States against the American schooner Jane Gray, her cargo and C. F. Nonnemann, the owner of the schooner. The action was to condemn the vessel, with all her tackle, boats, furniture, provisions and cargo, consisting of 237 fur seal skins, for violating the act of Congress of April 24, 1894, which prohibits the pursuing and killing of seals in the waters surrounding the Pribilof islands, within a zone of sixty miles of the islands.

In the belief there were two counts, one that the sealers killed twenty-one seals within the prohibited area, and the other for pursuing seals. The schooner was seized by the United States cutter Corwin, then stationed in Bering Sea for the purpose of protecting the seals.

Judge Morrow is of the opinion that the day mentioned in the libel the Jane Gray was not pursuing seals, as the weather was too rough.

Upon the second count the Judge says that the testimony of the officers of the Corwin is positive that the Jane Gray was within the prohibited area on the evening of August 22, 1896. The cutter picked up two of the schooner's boats, and in one the body of a dead seal was found. When the officer of the Corwin boarded the Jane Gray he saw several dead seals on deck. After summing up all of the evidence and testimony which shows that the schooner and her boats were within the prohibited area engaged in the unlawful business of killing fur seals, the Judge says: "Let a judgment and decree of condemnation and forfeiture be entered in favor of the United States."

The schooner was valued at \$765 and the cargo at \$2170. While the judgment is for the condemnation the decree will go against the bondsmen, who will be obliged to make good to the Government the aggregate of the amounts stated.

To Watch Bad Tenants. Articles of Incorporation of the Landlord's Co-operative Association were filed in the office of the County Clerk yesterday.

The purposes for which the society is organized are to protect property-holders, landlords and lessors from non-paying and troublesome tenants, purchasers and lessees by collecting, collating and recording information relative to such tenants, purchasers and lessees for the use of the members, stockholders and patrons of said corporation, which shall include the names of delinquent tenants and to do all acts of similar character. The incorporators are Louis W. Peskutech, Walter B. Williams, R. H. Kenney, Coleman Hicks and Hiram B. Wright. The capital stock is \$5000.

Five and a half million pounds of meat are consumed on the P. and O. steamships every year.

BELIEVED THAT HE WAS DIVORCED

Curious Defense of Charles D. Dinckley, a Bigamist.

He Has a Worthless Certificate, Which He Got Over Three Years Ago.

It Was Signed "Judge J. H. Prout" and Was Given Him by an Attorney He Had Employed.

Charles D. Dinckley, who was arrested Tuesday night on a charge of bigamy, will have a curious defense to offer when the case comes on for hearing before Judge Joachim.

Yesterday his attorney, Walter Gallagher, had a talk with Chief Crowley. He told the Chief that Dinckley had a certificate of divorce from his first wife in his trunk, and he asked that an officer should be detailed to accompany Dinckley to his home, so that the certificate could be obtained.

This was done, but it was discovered that the certificate was a worthless document. It purported to be a certificate of divorce granted by Judge "J. H. Prout" on August 19, 1893, in the case of Charles D. Dinckley against Alice M. Dinckley, and was countersigned by "John Kovins, Deputy County Clerk." To make it appear more regular a notarial certificate signed by Notary Public Wheat was attached, which had been originally attached to some other document.

Dinckley said he paid a man who represented himself as an attorney \$50 to procure the divorce for him, and he believed the certificate was genuine. He had forgotten the name of the attorney. His attorney will now contend that Dinckley cannot be convicted of bigamy, as he honestly believed he had been divorced from his first wife, and the certificate will be offered as evidence of that fact.

Dinckley was married to his first wife in New York twenty-one years ago. He was at one time a merchant, but has for some years been employed in the construction department of the Market-street Railway Company. He is now over 60 years of age, but is hale and hearty.

On November 26 he was married to Augusta Gabrielsen by the Rev. Dr. Dille, and they were living together up to the time Dinckley was arrested.

The friends of the first Mrs. Dinckley allege that Dinckley's sole reason for getting rid of her was because she had been for some time a sick woman and he desired to be relieved of the obligation of supporting her. The complaining witness in the case is Mrs. Carrie Hall, 750 Mission street, a friend of the first Mrs. Dinckley.

A BROWNIE SURPRISED. Actor Wallie Clark Encounters a Carnivorous Horse.

Wallie Clark, late of Boston, but now of the Brownies, says San Francisco presents more wonders than any ten cities he ever saw.

"I went through Merchant street yesterday," he said last night, "and beheld a horse eating raw beef. Now, I have seen human vegetarians and man-eating fish in Boston, but it remained for San Francisco to mystify me by producing a genuine flesh-eating horse. The animal seemed gentle, but proved absolutely carnivorous, as much so as a dog. I shall tell them all about this on my return to Boston."

Dunbar Gets Three Months. Alexander Dunbar Jr., who was convicted by Judge Low Wednesday of obtaining money by false representations, is held an agent of the County Jail, and sentenced to three months in the County Jail yesterday. Dunbar's attorney gave notice of appeal.



Maud Younger.

looked prettier than it did yesterday. Pink flowers bloomed amid holiday greenery upon the altar, which was illumined with countless tapers.

To the strains of the Lohengrin wedding march the bridal party entered the church. First came the ushers—Samuel Buckbee, Dr. Philip King Brown, Edward M. Greenway, Herbert L. Younger, George Cameron and Frank Owen. Following the ushers came the bridesmaids—Miss Frances Curry, Miss Lucille Younger, Miss Katherine Clark, Miss Helen Wagner and Miss Mae Tucker, who preceded the maid of honor, Miss Maud Younger, who, in turn, preceded the bride, who entered leaning on the arm of her father, Dr. Younger.

The groom and his best man, Duke Baxter, met the bridal party at the altar, where the Rev. Dr. Foute read the impressive marriage services.

As a rule all brides look pretty in their handsome bridal robes, but Miss Younger looked unusually handsome in her magnificent white satin gown. The dress was made perfectly plain, the jupe falling in stately folds in an immense train. The bridal veil, which was fastened to the collar with a wreath of orange blossoms, fell to the hem of the skirt, completely enveloping the bride, as it were, in a delectable cloud.

Miss Maud Younger wore an elegant

JEWELERS IN TROUBLE. The Creditors of Barrett & Sherwood Place Attachments on the Well-Known Firm.

Barrett & Sherwood, whose jewelry-houses at 7 Kearny street have been well known for years past, was attached yesterday on claims aggregating \$1100.

Attorney C. T. Humphreys, who holds claims against the firm, has taken hold of the matter and will conduct the insolvency proceedings that are expected.

The liabilities of the firm amount to about \$10,000, the principal claims being held by the Tosele Manufacturing Company, Wetmore & Co. and Hirsch and Gorman, all Eastern creditors. No blame is attached to the firm for its failure to meet its obligations, the trouble being attributed to business depression and an inability to collect outstanding bills.

WELCH'S EX-WIFE. She Testifies in His Contest Against Sidney Hall for a Senate Seat.

Some interesting testimony was developed in the contest of Welch against Hall in Justice Cook's court yesterday. Justices Cook and Barry were appointed as referees in the matter, and sat for several hours to hear the witnesses.

The most important evidence was that of the ex-wife of the contestant. She was called by the plaintiffs to show that she had worked against Welch because of a promise that a relative of hers would receive political preferment in case Hall were



FRANK J. BRANDON of San Jose, Who Is an Aspirant for Re-election as Clerk of the Senate.

and who is an aspirant for the place during this session of the Legislature, is at the Grand, in company with many other men prominent in politics over California.

Mr. Brandon says he has no doubt of his election, as there is no opposition, and none is anticipated.

"Everything looks favorable for me to get the place for the fourth time," said Mr. Brandon. Then he continued, in regard to the other places:

"There is quite a fight on between L. F. Blackburn, the last sergeant-at-arms, and Senator Eli Denison of Alameda, as to the position of sergeant-at-arms. Blackburn is up for re-election, and Denison is championing Mike Smith of San Francisco, against Blackburn. William Cruise and Low Martin of Alameda are also candidates. Denison is understood to be first for Smith, and afterward for anybody rather than Blackburn.

"For assistant clerk there are A. D. Bowen of Los Angeles, who was assistant secretary the session before last; E. C. Ensign of Los Angeles, who was clerk time ago; George Levy, Andrew Branch, and Chauncey Clark of San Francisco; G. B. McIntyre of Salinas and D. McKinley of Sonoma.

"For engrossing clerk there are two candidates—E. O. Tuttle of San Francisco and R. F. Mahew of Red Bluff.

"There are a lot of people for enrolling clerk. Among them are: C. A. Marston of Alameda, J. M. Green Jr. of Redding, E. Casterline of San Jose, J. M. Quade of San Francisco and J. H. Dungan of Woodland, who is the incumbent.

"For the position of journal clerk I know of only one name—that of T. A. Simpson of Pasadena.

"G. O. Smith of Alameda and John Bury of San Jose are aspirants for the position of assistant sergeant-at-arms.

"The position of postmistress is desired by a lady of Los Angeles, whose name I have forgotten.

"C. S. McMullen of Oakland and W. R. Porter of San Bernardino are candidates for minute clerk.

"A. E. Lindley of Los Angeles is talked of for history clerk.

"For clerk of the Assembly S. J. Duckworth of Monterey and Thomas A. F. Kinson of San Francisco, an accountant, are mentioned, and are in fact in the field as pronounced aspirants.

"The position of sergeant-at-arms of the Assembly is sought by W. D. Wilkinson, the former sergeant-at-arms, and W. O. Banks, an ex-State Senator and architect. Both are of San Francisco.

"There are a lot of smaller offices connected with the Assembly, for which there are many applicants, but I have not followed them closely enough to refer to them definitely."

The Letter-Box Thief. Simon Bendit, the letter-box thief who stole

OTTINGER'S RACING DEAL. The Supreme Court Considers Facts in an Agreement With Hankins Et Al.

The Supreme Court yesterday decided a case in which legal light was thrown upon the subject of betting on horse races. Hankins and others were partners with Ottinger and others in a deal by which all premiums and stake money to be received from the Pacific Coast blood-horse association and the California Jockey Club, which should be won by their horses, should be evenly divided, half and half.

Edson H. Ottinger, a partner in the deal, and Ottinger refused to divide. Hankins and the others set judgment and Ottinger appealed the case to the Supreme Court.

The Supreme Court considered what legality there is in betting on horse races in California and defined the distinction between money due on bets and money received for premiums. "At common law," said the court, "a wager made in respect to matters not affecting the feelings, interest or character of a third person, or the public peace, or good morals,

CHARLES KOHLER'S CREDITORS LUCKY

They Will Be Paid in Full for All Their Claims.

Judge Coffey Decides That the Testator's Mother Must Wait.

She Was Her Son's Partner, and His Individual Bills Must Be Settled First.

Creditors of the estate of the late Charles Kohler and others similarly situated will be interested in a decision handed down by Judge Coffey yesterday in which Mrs. Elise Kohler, a surviving member of the firm of Kohler & Frothing, an individual creditor of the decedent, sought to have her claim against the Charles Kohler estate prorated with the claims of other creditors.

George H. Blucher is an individual creditor of the decedent testator, Charles Kohler, who was a member of the firm of Kohler & Frothing, a partnership very largely indebted to many persons. The affairs of the partnership are not yet settled.

In case the assets of the firm shall prove insufficient to discharge the partnership debts, Judge Coffey says, then the estate of the testator will be liable.

The account of the executor, says the court, shows that he has sufficient cash on hand to satisfy all the separate creditors, with a surplus. Blucher asks, therefore, that the executor be directed to pay his claim in full as a separate creditor.

Other claims have been presented, among them that of Mrs. Elise Kohler for her share of the partnership debts. These have been allowed and approved by the probate court as contingent claims against the estate in question, and it is contended that in the circumstances of the case and under the articles of copartnership of Kohler & Frothing, of which she was a member, Mrs. Kohler is entitled to rank as a creditor of the estate.

The claim of Mrs. Kohler arises from her paying the one-third of the losses of the firm of Kohler & Frothing that would have been paid by Charles Kohler if he had lived and had been financially open to respond to those demands. Mrs. Kohler is entitled to her share of the partnership debts, and she objects to paying the claims of others unless she should be allowed her proportion of the funds in the hands of the executor.

Blucher objected to this on the ground that Mrs. Kohler must rely on the assets of the estate of the deceased after his individual debts are liquidated. In support of this view Judge Coffey said that the question was resolved finally into this form: "Whether the claims of Mrs. Elise Kohler, as the surviving partner of Kohler & Frothing, were entitled to preference against the estate of Charles Kohler, deceased, over the liabilities created by him in his lifetime, as was the claim of Blucher. I think not."

The order that Blucher be paid was made, and all of Kohler's individual creditors will now receive payment in full in

THE PLAY GOES ON. Judge McKenna Has Refused to Issue an Injunction in the Aerial Ballet Case.

United States Circuit Judge McKenna has denied the application of Carl E. Nilsson for an injunction to prohibit the Palmer Cox brownies company and Al Hayman Company from producing the aerial ballet at their performance at the Baldwin Theater. Nilsson has a patent for the machinery and appliances by which dancers are made to appear to perform in midair, and he claimed that the apparatus used by the Brownies company is an infringement upon his patent. He not only asked for damages but an injunction restraining the Baldwin Theater people from further use of the machinery in question.

Judge McKenna, in denying the injunction, stated that the patent being of very recent date is virtually a "green patent" and the public has not yet had time to recognize either the patent or the rights confirmed by the letters patent. Moreover, the patent has never been in litigation to determine its validity. In his remarks Judge McKenna said that the affidavits of the defendants were not at all satisfactory, as they failed to meet or answer the allegations set out by the complainant. In fact the affidavits presented by the latter as well were vague.

He added that Nilsson had many opportunities to contest this case in the East, but he neglected to do so, and it is not really fair to the defendants to enjoin them from using the usual ballet apparatus as they would be and have been deprived of the privilege of presenting certain evidence which they could secure in the East.

A SEALER CONDEMNED. The Schooner Jane Gray Forfeited for Illegally Killing Fur Seals.

United States District Judge Morrow has rendered an opinion in the sealing case of the United States against the American schooner Jane Gray, her cargo and C. F. Nonnemann, the owner of the schooner. The action was to condemn the vessel, with all her tackle, boats, furniture, provisions and cargo, consisting of 237 fur seal skins, for violating the act of Congress of April 24, 1894, which prohibits the pursuing and killing of seals in the waters surrounding the Pribilof islands, within a zone of sixty miles of the islands.

In the belief there were two counts, one that the sealers killed twenty-one seals within the prohibited area, and the other for pursuing seals. The schooner was seized by the United States cutter Corwin, then stationed in Bering Sea for the purpose of protecting the seals.

Judge Morrow is of the opinion that the day mentioned in the libel the Jane Gray was not pursuing seals, as the weather was too rough.

Upon the second count the Judge says that the testimony of the officers of the Corwin is positive that the Jane Gray was within the prohibited area on the evening of August 22, 1896. The cutter picked up two of the schooner's boats, and in one the body of a dead seal was found. When the officer of the Corwin boarded the Jane Gray he saw several dead seals on deck. After summing up all of the evidence and testimony which shows that the schooner and her boats were within the prohibited area engaged in the unlawful business of killing fur seals, the Judge says: "Let a judgment and decree of condemnation and forfeiture be entered in favor of the United States."

The schooner was valued at \$765 and the cargo at \$2170. While the judgment is for the condemnation the decree will go against the bondsmen, who will be obliged to make good to the Government the aggregate of the amounts stated.

To Watch Bad Tenants. Articles of Incorporation of the Landlord's Co-operative Association were filed in the office of the County Clerk yesterday.

The purposes for which the society is organized are to protect property-holders, landlords and lessors from non-paying and troublesome tenants, purchasers and lessees by collecting, collating and recording information relative to such tenants, purchasers and lessees for the use of the members, stockholders and patrons of said corporation, which shall include the names of delinquent tenants and to do all acts of similar character. The incorporators are Louis W. Peskutech, Walter B. Williams, R. H. Kenney, Coleman Hicks and Hiram B. Wright. The capital stock is \$5000.

Five and a half million pounds of meat are consumed on the P. and O. steamships every year.

BELIEVED THAT HE WAS DIVORCED

Curious Defense of Charles D. Dinckley, a Bigamist.

He Has a Worthless Certificate, Which He Got Over Three Years Ago.

It Was Signed "Judge J. H. Prout" and Was Given Him by an Attorney He Had Employed.

Charles D. Dinckley, who was arrested Tuesday night on a charge of bigamy, will have a curious defense to offer when the case comes on for hearing before Judge Joachim.

Yesterday his attorney, Walter Gallagher, had a talk with Chief Crowley. He told the Chief that Dinckley had a certificate of divorce from his first wife in his trunk, and he asked that an officer should be detailed to accompany Dinckley to his home, so that the certificate could be obtained.

This was done, but it was discovered that the certificate was a worthless document. It purported to be a certificate of divorce granted by Judge "J. H. Prout" on August 19, 1893, in the case of Charles D. Dinckley against Alice M. Dinckley, and was countersigned by "John Kovins, Deputy County Clerk." To make it appear more regular a notarial certificate signed by Notary Public Wheat was attached, which had been originally attached to some other document.

Dinckley said he paid a man who represented himself as an attorney \$50 to procure the divorce for him, and he believed the certificate was genuine. He had forgotten the name of the attorney. His attorney will now contend that Dinckley cannot be convicted of bigamy, as he honestly believed he had been divorced from his first wife, and the certificate will be offered as evidence of that fact.

Dinckley was married to his first wife in New York twenty-one years ago. He was at one time a merchant, but has for some years been employed in the construction department of the Market-street Railway Company. He is now over 60 years of age, but is hale and hearty.

On November 26 he was married to Augusta Gabrielsen by the Rev. Dr. Dille, and they were living together up to the time Dinckley was arrested.

The friends of the first Mrs. Dinckley allege that Dinckley's sole reason for getting rid of her was because she had been for some time a sick woman and he desired to be relieved of the obligation of supporting her. The complaining witness in the case is Mrs. Carrie Hall, 750 Mission street, a friend of the first Mrs. Dinckley.

A BROWNIE SURPRISED. Actor Wallie Clark Encounters a Carnivorous Horse.

Wallie Clark, late of Boston, but now of the Brownies, says San Francisco presents more wonders than any ten cities he ever saw.

"I went through Merchant street yesterday," he said last night, "and beheld a horse eating raw beef. Now, I have seen human vegetarians and man-eating fish in Boston, but it remained for San Francisco to mystify me by producing a genuine flesh-eating horse. The animal seemed gentle, but proved absolutely carnivorous, as much so as a dog. I shall tell them all about this on my return to Boston."

Dunbar Gets Three Months. Alexander Dunbar Jr., who was convicted by Judge Low Wednesday of obtaining money by false representations, is held an agent of the County Jail, and sentenced to three months in the County Jail yesterday. Dunbar's attorney gave notice of appeal.

Our Great Cloak and Fur Sale BEG