

EXTRA SESSION SWEETS THE STATE

friend of Grant at the last session, and it is not likely he would support Burns.

Dr. Hildreth of Delano—I am opposed to an extra session and Dan Burns. It would be a calamity to the State to elect a chairman. I know him personally.

W. J. Doherty, lumber dealer—Down any scheme to elect Dan Burns. It is a sorry day for California if we can't elect a fit man for Senator.

Alex. Heyman, ex-Secretary Republican County Central Committee—There is no harm in an extra session, if it is not a scheme to elect Dan Burns. If for that, it is a mistake. Burns is not wanted as bad as we want two Senators. The people are a unit against him.

R. J. White, merchant—If it is to elect Burns it is bad business. If to elect a man like Senator Smith, hurrah for it.

F. S. Benson, ex-Recorder—I don't want Burns. If that is what the session is for, it is business. I am in favor of electing a Senator, but prefer a Democrat to Burns.

A. Well, merchant—I don't think there is any use in it; we can get along with Perkins. It's all right to repeal the wide tire law. The expense is useless. Burns would be a calamity to the State. Why we are not getting along well enough with one Senator.

One meeting met were willing to venture a reference for Senator. Most expressing themselves seemed to be to depreciate the election of Burns. The quiet old farmer never said a word against the senatorial aspirations; and they have never let up as it mistakes Burns is not wanted as bad as we want two Senators.

CHICO.

CHICO, Jan. 26.—Republicans here will not be quoted. None are for Burns or the extra session. They say it is a political scheme.

MARYSVILLE.

MARYSVILLE, Jan. 26.—H. B. P. Gardner, in convening the Legislature Governor Gage did nothing more than bow to the will of the people, and his action should meet with the approval of every loyal Republican. I am of the opinion that much good will be done by an extra session. It certainly is the only thing to be done from a Republican standpoint.

W. H. Parks—We elected our legislators in the belief that they were competent to do the best for their constituents. The majority favored the convening of the Legislature, and for that reason I do not believe we should find fault with the action of Governor Gage. If the Legislature will do the proper thing by this session as regards the office of Commissioner of Public Works the people of Marysville will not have a fault to find with it.

Richard Belcher—If the extra session brings about no other result than the election of a United States Senator it will fully compensate for the outlay it entails. I know none of the candidates for United States Senator and do not care to express a choice.

Ex-Senator F. H. Greely—I think a Republican extra session will accomplish that end it will have done a good thing.

G. W. Hall—As an extra session will be held, as far as I am concerned, I am glad Governor Gage called the extra session. I have no choice for Senator. Elect some good man.

Martin Sullivan—I believe the whole affair is cut and dried, and an suggestion I might make won't cut any figure.

Wallace Dinsmore—The wisdom of calling an extra session will be apparent when the work of the meeting is concluded. I think the Republicans of California will then realize that it was a good thing to convene it.

T. J. Sherwood—Failure to elect a Senator last winter was sufficient of itself to warrant convening the Legislature in an extraordinary session. Because the members of the Legislature failed to perform their duty in electing a Senator for the Governor to fail to do his duty in an effort to secure for California full representation in the United States Senate should be chosen at once and adjournment had as quickly as possible. Burns is not objectionable to the people of this county, and I think he has a good claim as any man mentioned.

PASADENA.

PASADENA, Jan. 26.—Mayor George D. Patton—I think either Burns or Scott will be chosen. That seems to me the way things have shaped themselves during this and the last Legislature. I am not prepared to say whether I think an extra session at this time will be good or bad.

Dr. F. F. Rowland, health officer—I am under the impression that this time. Perhaps I am prejudiced against Dan Burns. I would favor the session, though, if some good man were chosen quickly.

Professor A. L. Hamilton, Throop Polytechnic institute—Dan Burns is elected Senator the Republicans will lose California at the next election. Whether Burns be only bluffing or really has carried enough votes to elect him, I do not know. If a good man can be elected, all right.

C. G. Myers, merchant—It's all an S. P. Dan Burns and his men have been going around and getting names, and you will find that the people are not in favor of him. I have been disappointed in Gage.

G. W. Witherill, merchant—As near as I can tell, it is not a good thing to elect Burns, and it seems too bad, because it puts this State in an enormous expense.

Dr. A. H. Engstrom—It is surprising when I heard that an extra session was to be held. I did not think one would ever be called. It appears to me that the people elect Burns. Of course, California should be represented in the Senate, and I think it is a good thing to have an extra session.

OAKLAND.

OAKLAND, Jan. 26.—Arthur W. Feidler, Alameda County Treasurer and one of the staunchest Republicans in the State Valley—I do not think that this was the proper time for Governor Gage to have called an extra session of the State Legislature. If it can be proved that the scheme in it all to elect Dan Burns, then Governor Gage has not been giving the people of this State a fair deal. It would be one of the most gigantic conspiracies ever consummated in the history of California. In the matter of a United States Senator, however, Irving M. Scott is my favorite.

M. C. Chapman, ex-Mayor—There is no harm in an extra session of the Legislature, but I have no desire to discuss political moves, but I dare say this extra session of the Legislature called by the Governor is a most fool proposition. Certainly, I believe that a Senator should have been elected and ought to be chosen to represent this great State, and I should say select Burns, provided we can't possibly get a better man.

Henry P. Dalton, Alameda County Assessor—In the first place, I can say frankly that I have no favorite for United States Senator. I am not playing favorites, you know. But I am most heartily opposed to this extra session of the Legislature called by the Governor. It is not a most fool proposition. Certainly, I believe that a Senator should have been elected and ought to be chosen to represent this great State, and I should say select Burns, provided we can't possibly get a better man.

HANFORD.

HANFORD, Jan. 26.—I am not a Burns man and deprecate any attempt to elect him. I would prefer to see some one who was not elected last winter.

Dr. N. J. Duncan—Governor Gage prominently before the last Legislature. I believe M. H. De Young would make a good Senator and one who would work early and late for the interests of California, and that is what this State needs.

B. L. Barney, ex-Supervisor.

I am in favor of an extra session of the Legislature if we can elect some good Republican United States Senator. I am not in favor of the scheme of Burns, but the last Legislature are re-elected, as it would be a disgrace to the State of California.—J. H. Hopkins.

I am in favor of an extra session of the Legislature and am not in favor of Dan M. Burns for United States Senator. I think we can get along with one Senator until a regular session of the Legislature. The calling of an extra session is very unwise.—Dr. N. J. Duncan.

I think the scheme of calling an extra session is for the purpose of electing Burns United States Senator. It is not wise, but those in the scheme probably know what they were doing when the extra session was called.—A. Ayers, Deputy Sheriff.

UKIAH.

UKIAH, Jan. 26.—Your correspondence received a large number of prominent Republicans to-day regarding their Senatorial preferences. While the almost universal sentiment seems against D. M. Burns for the high position, the gentlemen interviewed declined to express any public opinion over their own signatures. This reluctance may be accounted for on the ground that the people who are located near this city, and the patronage therefrom is more or less extensive. In the outlying districts, however, the people are practically if not altogether unanimous.

BERKELEY.

BERKELEY, Jan. 26.—George Schmidt—Personally speaking, I have nothing to say either for or against Mr. Burns. I would even go further and say that I have no doubt that he would be able to represent the State in the Senate, if elected. Politically speaking, I believe that Gage is doing only what he ought to do. Burns brought him out of the brush and made him and the people of the State, and he is bound to carry out his pledge in return. But, politically speaking again, if the Republican party elects Burns all hell can't be beat. The Democrats, too, years hence the people of the State, might or wrongly will not tolerate it. His election, in other words, would be a disastrous political move.

P. R. Boone—Of course, every one knows how Berkeley regards the candidacy of Burns. I am opposed to his election. This seems to be the attitude of the better class of people throughout the State. Personally I am in favor of Irving M. Scott. He is a man used to succeed. He is familiar with affairs in Washington and is a man of reputation. He is a man who satisfied that his election would please Berkeley.

R. Little—As the extra session is evidently but the means used by Gage to fill his obligations to Burns I do not see why the State should stand the immense expense of an extra session. The election of Burns would cause a scandal throughout the State.

SACRAMENTO.

SACRAMENTO, Jan. 26.—John N. Larkin, the veteran Republican editor of the Sunday Leader—I think this extra session is a farce and a fraud. It is for the purpose of putting Dan Burns, and for nothing else.

D. Lindley, of the wholesale grocery firm of Lindley & Co.—I am opposed to extra sessions generally and to this one

HEALDSBURG.

HEALDSBURG, Jan. 26.—I think it is detrimental to the best interests of the Republican party to call a special session of the Legislature for the purpose of electing Burns Senator.—John Young, ex-Mayor of Healdsburg.

I am opposed to the election of Burns as Senator and am in favor of Congressman Barham for that position.—H. M. Keyes.

I think it is an outrage on the people of California, this calling of the Legislature together for the purpose of electing Dan Burns, or any other man. Senator—Ed S. Gray, ex-Chief of Police Board of Supervisors.

I think the election of D. M. Burns as Senator would be a disgrace to not only the Republican party but the State of California and a sure way of losing the State at the next election.—A. W. Garrett.

SANTA CRUZ.

SANTA CRUZ, Jan. 26.—Nearly every prominent Republican who was interviewed was non-committal, but the prevailing sentiment of leaders of the party is against the holding of the extra session and think it unnecessary.

The refusal to commit themselves indicates they are against the whole affair.

From what was gleaned from leaders it is an assured fact that neither Assemblyman Radcliffe nor Senator Trout will ever cast their vote in favor of Dan Burns for United States Senator.

W. H. Lamb, Mayor of the city of Santa Cruz—I think the calling of the extra session is all right and is a very wise move. We should select a United States Senator—one who is above reproach—and send him on his way rejoicing.

R. C. Kirby, ex-Chief of Police Santa Cruz County Central Committee—I think the calling of the

LOS ANGELES.

LOS ANGELES, Jan. 26.—Interviews with prominent Republicans in this city show that there is an undercurrent antagonistic to Daniel M. Burns as United States Senator.

Mayor Fred Eaton—Well, I don't know what to say. Regarding the call, I favor it, but as far as Colonel Burns is concerned he may or may not have a cinch. At this time I can't say regarding the wisdom shown by the Governor in calling the extra session. If a good man is elected I think it will be all right. But to call a man is sent to the Senate who is not the people's choice it will be a decidedly bad thing for the party and the State. I have my own troubles as Mayor and am not dabbling in State politics.

Ex-Congressman James McLachlan—I am building up my law practice and am not in politics. The James McLachlan passes, however, will be sorry that we were not fully represented in Congress.

Judge Robert A. Ling, Police Commissioner—If an extra session of the Legislature is made, I think it is better that some one of the prominent Republicans of the State to the United States Senate should happen to be a Republican party, but I think it will disrupt it in the State and allow our opponents to wipe us from off the earth at the next election. You can't put it too strong for me.

Judge E. J. Ensign—I approve of an extra session and think Burns is going to be elected. Furthermore, I think he ought to be.

Cornelius Pendleton, ex-Assemblyman—Oh, don't ask me! Of course I favor an extra session; but whether Burns is the right fellow or not remains to be seen. I elected for the Senator show me Burns I do not say. As a Californian, I say that Burns is better than no Sena-

STOCKTON.

STOCKTON, Jan. 26.—Republicans expressed themselves as follows on the Senatorial situation to-day:

Dr. George S. Harkness, chairman of the County Central Committee—If Daniel Burns can secure the caucus nomination I am in favor of his election by the Republican members, who stand charged with the duty of filling the vacancy now existing in the United States Senate. Why this opposition to a caucus? Party nominees are always elected in caucus.

E. C. Dickinson, Councilman—I favor Scott and would under existing conditions oppose a caucus.

George W. Langridge, chairman county executive committee—I favor a caucus. I favor the unit rule when a nomination is made. If it be Burns, all right.

W. C. White—I favor Scott and am alterably opposed to Burns.

Captain W. B. Hardacre—Anybody but Burns, and the friends of the other candidate should not go into caucus.

Theodore Brown—Burns first, last and all the time.

Judge C. P. Rendon—Burns has been good enough to make other people's fights his own. If it be Burns, all right.

Julius Cohn—I favor a caucus and I favor Burns.

The above represent all elements in the party and is a fair consensus.

SAN DIEGO.

SAN DIEGO, Jan. 26.—D. C. Collier—I think the call is a disastrous thing for the party, and the election of Burns would be a disgrace to the State. I do not believe that Burns is personally do not believe that Burns is a black as he is sometimes painted, his very many political enemies in the Republican party will disrupt that harmony which is necessary to success.

D. Goehenauser—I am a believer in the idea that there should be at Washington an all the representation that this State is entitled to, and that, therefore, the call is a wise move that gives us the representation that the Senator shows me Burns I do not say. As a Californian, I say that Burns is better than no Sena-

SANTA CRUZ.

SANTA CRUZ, Jan. 26.—Nearly every prominent Republican who was interviewed was non-committal, but the prevailing sentiment of leaders of the party is against the holding of the extra session and think it unnecessary.

The refusal to commit themselves indicates they are against the whole affair.

From what was gleaned from leaders it is an assured fact that neither Assemblyman Radcliffe nor Senator Trout will ever cast their vote in favor of Dan Burns for United States Senator.

W. H. Lamb, Mayor of the city of Santa Cruz—I think the calling of the extra session is all right and is a very wise move. We should select a United States Senator—one who is above reproach—and send him on his way rejoicing.

R. C. Kirby, ex-Chief of Police Santa Cruz County Central Committee—I think the calling of the

LOS ANGELES.

LOS ANGELES, Jan. 26.—Interviews with prominent Republicans in this city show that there is an undercurrent antagonistic to Daniel M. Burns as United States Senator.

Mayor Fred Eaton—Well, I don't know what to say. Regarding the call, I favor it, but as far as Colonel Burns is concerned he may or may not have a cinch. At this time I can't say regarding the wisdom shown by the Governor in calling the extra session. If a good man is elected I think it will be all right. But to call a man is sent to the Senate who is not the people's choice it will be a decidedly bad thing for the party and the State. I have my own troubles as Mayor and am not dabbling in State politics.

Ex-Congressman James McLachlan—I am building up my law practice and am not in politics. The James McLachlan passes, however, will be sorry that we were not fully represented in Congress.

Judge Robert A. Ling, Police Commissioner—If an extra session of the Legislature is made, I think it is better that some one of the prominent Republicans of the State to the United States Senate should happen to be a Republican party, but I think it will disrupt it in the State and allow our opponents to wipe us from off the earth at the next election. You can't put it too strong for me.

Judge E. J. Ensign—I approve of an extra session and think Burns is going to be elected. Furthermore, I think he ought to be.

Cornelius Pendleton, ex-Assemblyman—Oh, don't ask me! Of course I favor an extra session; but whether Burns is the right fellow or not remains to be seen. I elected for the Senator show me Burns I do not say. As a Californian, I say that Burns is better than no Sena-

SANTA CRUZ.

SANTA CRUZ, Jan. 26.—Nearly every prominent Republican who was interviewed was non-committal, but the prevailing sentiment of leaders of the party is against the holding of the extra session and think it unnecessary.

The refusal to commit themselves indicates they are against the whole affair.

From what was gleaned from leaders it is an assured fact that neither Assemblyman Radcliffe nor Senator Trout will ever cast their vote in favor of Dan Burns for United States Senator.

W. H. Lamb, Mayor of the city of Santa Cruz—I think the calling of the extra session is all right and is a very wise move. We should select a United States Senator—one who is above reproach—and send him on his way rejoicing.

R. C. Kirby, ex-Chief of Police Santa Cruz County Central Committee—I think the calling of the

LOS ANGELES.

LOS ANGELES, Jan. 26.—Interviews with prominent Republicans in this city show that there is an undercurrent antagonistic to Daniel M. Burns as United States Senator.

Mayor Fred Eaton—Well, I don't know what to say. Regarding the call, I favor it, but as far as Colonel Burns is concerned he may or may not have a cinch. At this time I can't say regarding the wisdom shown by the Governor in calling the extra session. If a good man is elected I think it will be all right. But to call a man is sent to the Senate who is not the people's choice it will be a decidedly bad thing for the party and the State. I have my own troubles as Mayor and am not dabbling in State politics.

Ex-Congressman James McLachlan—I am building up my law practice and am not in politics. The James McLachlan passes, however, will be sorry that we were not fully represented in Congress.

Judge Robert A. Ling, Police Commissioner—If an extra session of the Legislature is made, I think it is better that some one of the prominent Republicans of the State to the United States Senate should happen to be a Republican party, but I think it will disrupt it in the State and allow our opponents to wipe us from off the earth at the next election. You can't put it too strong for me.

Judge E. J. Ensign—I approve of an extra session and think Burns is going to be elected. Furthermore, I think he ought to be.

Cornelius Pendleton, ex-Assemblyman—Oh, don't ask me! Of course I favor an extra session; but whether Burns is the right fellow or not remains to be seen. I elected for the Senator show me Burns I do not say. As a Californian, I say that Burns is better than no Sena-

SANTA CRUZ.

SANTA CRUZ, Jan. 26.—Nearly every prominent Republican who was interviewed was non-committal, but the prevailing sentiment of leaders of the party is against the holding of the extra session and think it unnecessary.

The refusal to commit themselves indicates they are against the whole affair.

From what was gleaned from leaders it is an assured fact that neither Assemblyman Radcliffe nor Senator Trout will ever cast their vote in favor of Dan Burns for United States Senator.

W. H. Lamb, Mayor of the city of Santa Cruz—I think the calling of the extra session is all right and is a very wise move. We should select a United States Senator—one who is above reproach—and send him on his way rejoicing.

R. C. Kirby, ex-Chief of Police Santa Cruz County Central Committee—I think the calling of the

LOS ANGELES.

LOS ANGELES, Jan. 26.—Interviews with prominent Republicans in this city show that there is an undercurrent antagonistic to Daniel M. Burns as United States Senator.

Mayor Fred Eaton—Well, I don't know what to say. Regarding the call, I favor it, but as far as Colonel Burns is concerned he may or may not have a cinch. At this time I can't say regarding the wisdom shown by the Governor in calling the extra session. If a good man is elected I think it will be all right. But to call a man is sent to the Senate who is not the people's choice it will be a decidedly bad thing for the party and the State. I have my own troubles as Mayor and am not dabbling in State politics.

Ex-Congressman James McLachlan—I am building up my law practice and am not in politics. The James McLachlan passes, however, will be sorry that we were not fully represented in Congress.

Judge Robert A. Ling, Police Commissioner—If an extra session of the Legislature is made, I think it is better that some one of the prominent Republicans of the State to the United States Senate should happen to be a Republican party, but I think it will disrupt it in the State and allow our opponents to wipe us from off the earth at the next election. You can't put it too strong for me.

Judge E. J. Ensign—I approve of an extra session and think Burns is going to be elected. Furthermore, I think he ought to be.

Cornelius Pendleton, ex-Assemblyman—Oh, don't ask me! Of course I favor an extra session; but whether Burns is the right fellow or not remains to be seen. I elected for the Senator show me Burns I do not say. As a Californian, I say that Burns is better than no Sena-

SANTA CRUZ.

SANTA CRUZ, Jan. 26.—Nearly every prominent Republican who was interviewed was non-committal, but the prevailing sentiment of leaders of the party is against the holding of the extra session and think it unnecessary.

The refusal to commit themselves indicates they are against the whole affair.

From what was gleaned from leaders it is an assured fact that neither Assemblyman Radcliffe nor Senator Trout will ever cast their vote in favor of Dan Burns for United States Senator.

W. H. Lamb, Mayor of the city of Santa Cruz—I think the calling of the extra session is all right and is a very wise move. We should select a United States Senator—one who is above reproach—and send him on his way rejoicing.

R. C. Kirby, ex-Chief of Police Santa Cruz County Central Committee—I think the calling of the

LOS ANGELES.

LOS ANGELES, Jan. 26.—Interviews with prominent Republicans in this city show that there is an undercurrent antagonistic to Daniel M. Burns as United States Senator.

Mayor Fred Eaton—Well, I don't know what to say. Regarding the call, I favor it, but as far as Colonel Burns is concerned he may or may not have a cinch. At this time I can't say regarding the wisdom shown by the Governor in calling the extra session. If a good man is elected I think it will be all right. But to call a man is sent to the Senate who is not the people's choice it will be a decidedly bad thing for the party and the State. I have my own troubles as Mayor and am not dabbling in State politics.

Ex-Congressman James McLachlan—I am building up my law practice and am not in politics. The James McLachlan passes, however, will be sorry that we were not fully represented in Congress.

Judge Robert A. Ling, Police Commissioner—If an extra session of the Legislature is made, I think it is better that some one of the prominent Republicans of the State to the United States Senate should happen to be a Republican party, but I think it will disrupt it in the State and allow our opponents to wipe us from off the earth at the next election. You can't put it too strong for me.

Judge E. J. Ensign—I approve of an extra session and think Burns is going to be elected. Furthermore, I think he ought to be.

Cornelius Pendleton, ex-Assemblyman—Oh, don't ask me! Of course I favor an extra session; but whether Burns is the right fellow or not remains to be seen. I elected for the Senator show me Burns I do not say. As a Californian, I say that Burns is better than no Sena-

SANTA CRUZ.

SANTA CRUZ, Jan. 26.—Nearly every prominent Republican who was interviewed was non-committal, but the prevailing sentiment of leaders of the party is against the holding of the extra session and think it unnecessary.

The refusal to commit themselves indicates they are against the whole affair.

From what was gleaned from leaders it is an assured fact that neither Assemblyman Radcliffe nor Senator Trout will ever cast their vote in favor of Dan Burns for United States Senator.

W. H. Lamb, Mayor of the city of Santa Cruz—I think the calling of the extra session is all right and is a very wise move. We should select a United States Senator—one who is above reproach—and send him on his way rejoicing.

R. C. Kirby, ex-Chief of Police Santa Cruz County Central Committee—I think the calling of the

SCATHING DENUNCIATION OF BURNS COMES FROM ALL PARTS OF THE STATE

JUDGE J. R. LEWIS, San Jose—At the coming election the Republican party of this county will make no mistake, and will not re-elect any of those who voted for Burns.

D. H. BRYANT, San Jose—The methods of Burns are not in keeping with the principles of the Republican party. His defalcation as Secretary of State and his record in Mexico will disrupt the party and bring disgrace to the State.

GEORGE LYON, Lakeport—The conduct of Burns while Secretary of State should forever debar him from favorable endorsement by the people of California.

A. H. DEPUTY, Lakeport—Burns' name is bad. Always to beat his fellow-man is his record, and he would follow his bent toward the people of California if elected.

WILLIAM APPLETON, Lakeport—To elect such a man United States Senator would be an outrage on the decent-minded people of California.

REV. W. L. GASTON, pastor of the First Baptist Church, Santa Rosa—I am free to admit that the only reason I can see for this special session is a background in which Burns looms up an uncanny aspirant for the Senatorship. The people do not want him on moral grounds. Brigham Roberts is to be preferred.

F. W. GEORGESON, cashier Humboldt County Bank, Eureka—The selection of Burns would be suicidal. McKinley is as popular as any living man, but the State would go against him if Dan Burns is sent to the Senate.

E. M. PYLE, chairman County Committee, Santa Barbara—The election of Burns to the United States Senate would be a disgrace to civilization and the defeat of the Republican party in this State for years.

J. J. SQUIRE, Deputy District Attorney, Santa Barbara—Dan Burns is not a proper person for the position of United States Senator.

GEORGE A. BLACK, Santa Barbara—Dan Burns is not the man we want to send to Washington as a specimen of Californians. No one could be a worse man.

D. GOCHENAUSER, San Diego—It would be better for the party to leave the seat vacant for a year than to fill it with Burns. The party cannot carry Burns.

L. A. WRIGHT, San Diego—Unless Burns and Gage have overestimated their influence at the extra session, the next Republican campaign in California, so far as State issues are concerned, will be one of penitent apology for a faithless Governor and an unworthy Senator.

FRANK EGAN, County Committeeman, Angels Camp—I am unalterably opposed to the election of Burns and do not believe that Gage should be allowed to pay his political obligations in this manner.

JOHN DAVEY, proprietor White House, Angels Camp—If Gage and Burns were in hides the people would be better off. The extra session is a scheme and a disgrace.

ALPHONSO BARRY, attorney, Angels Camp—If Gage's scheme carries and Dan Burns is elected to the Senate of the United States it will be a standing and burning disgrace to the State. This State needs a Senator badly, but it would be better off without one than to send a man like Burns to represent it.

W. J. DOHERTY, Bakersfield—Down any scheme to elect Burns. It is a sorry day for California if we can't elect a fit man for Senator.

ARTHUR W. FEIDLER, Alameda County Treasurer, Oakland—If it can be proved that the scheme is to secure the election of Dan Burns it would be one of the most gigantic conspiracies in the history of California.

D. S. BLACKBURN, capitalist, Ventura—The election of Burns would be a great outrage on the people of this State. Gage has made a monstrous ass of himself.

F. S. COOK, ex-Town Marshal, Ventura—Burns should not force himself upon the people when he surely knows he is not their choice.

A. J. PILLSBURY, Tulare—If the head of the San Francisco push is chosen Senator the result will constitute a disaster to the Republican party and a humiliation to California.

R. T. McMILLAN, Tulare—I think it is an outrage to put Burns in the Senate.

A. W. GARRETT, Healdsburg—Burns' election would be a disgrace to not only the Republican party but the State.

J. H. BROWN, Petaluma—A scandalous job from beginning to end to further the ends of a very objectionable candidate.

O. R. CROSS, Visalia—Burns isn't fit to represent respectable people anywhere.

JUDGE H. C. LITTLE, Visalia—Burns is a rascal. He should not be elected.

GEORGE SCHMIDT, Berkeley—Burns' election would be a disastrous political blunder.

in particular, for I do not consider that the situation demands any such convocation.

Van Voorhis, head of the wholesale saddlery and harness house of Van Voorhis & Co.—What do I think of the extra session? That it is a very unwise and expensive move and not required by the taxpayers.

L. L. Lewis, senior partner in the large stock and crockery house of L. L. Lewis & Co.—I am emphatically opposed to the extra session. I consider the calling of it a shame.

Ed J. McKee, former City Auditor—I believe we should have a Senator to fill the vacant chair at Washington, and therefore I favor the extra session. Personally, I may add, I am for Burns.

John C. Ing Jr., president of the Board of City Trustees, holds the out in the Republican party has a duty to perform in sending a Senator to Washington, and that score is justified in the extra session. As for myself, I can mention no favorite for the place.

E. M. Leitch—If a good man is elected I think it will be all right. But to call a man is sent to the Senate who is not the people's choice it will be a decidedly bad thing for the party and the State. I have my own troubles as Mayor and am not dabbling in State politics.

Ex-Congressman James McLachlan—I am building up my law practice and am not in politics. The James McLachlan passes, however, will be sorry that we were not fully represented in Congress.

Judge Robert A. Ling, Police Commissioner—If an extra session of the Legislature is made, I think it is better that some one of the prominent Republicans of the State to the United States Senate should happen to be a Republican party, but I think it will disrupt it in the State and allow our opponents to wipe us from off the earth at the next election. You can't put it too strong for me.

Judge E. J. Ensign—I approve of an extra session and think Burns is going to be elected. Furthermore, I think he ought to be.

Cornelius Pendleton, ex-Assemblyman—Oh, don't ask me! Of course I favor an extra session; but whether Burns is the right fellow or not remains to be seen. I elected for the Senator show me Burns I do not say. As a Californian, I say that Burns is better than no Sena-

my friend and I approve of the extra session. As far as Burns is concerned, the less said the better.

Stark Dominguez, Judge J. W. McKinley, Bradner W. Lee and Edwin A. Meserve refused to commit themselves one way or the other.

extra session unnecessary. Don't see the necessity of it. Don't think Dan Burns the man for Senator.

NAPA.

NAPA, Jan. 26.—The extra session has created a good deal of talk here during the past two days among the Republican leaders. A canvass among them has brought out the fact that the Republican party in this county is opposed to the election of Burns as Senator. They think that he is an unfit man for the place. The sentiment is that if Burns is chosen in the next election the party in this State next November, Barham or Scott would be acceptable to the Republican voters here.

PETALUMA.

PETALUMA, Jan. 26.—Dozens of prominent Republicans interviewed to-night echo the sentiments of the following ten: H. P. Brainerd, Mayor—I think the special session very unwise at this time for any purpose. There was no need of it.

F. H. Atwater—I don't think that the exigencies of the case required a special session. Burns will probably now be elected Senator, or if he is not the man whom he names will be, at the last election. There is no occasion for the special session, which will cause the State unnecessary great expense. If it would result in the election of Barham instead of Burns the evil would be mitigated.

M. D. Hopkins, Assessor—The special session will be useless and expensive and in the end detrimental to the welfare of the State.

George M. Brush—I do not think that it will be productive of any benefit to this State.

A. Kahn—I think the whole thing unwise. Some of the reasons assigned are ridiculous.

Thomas McGuire—I think there is a big nigger in the fence, who will suddenly pop up to the detriment of the State and party. The whole thing is a scheme from the beginning to end to elect Dan Burns. M. Newburger—I do not think the occasion demanded the big expense incident to the special session. For the truly apparent necessary reasons mentioned in the call action might well have been postponed until the next regular session.

J. H. Brown—I think the whole thing a scandalous job from beginning to end, put Burns in the Senate. It is infamous that the cost of this extravagant farce should be saddled on the public in this manner, and it will be a severe blow to the Republican party and of no benefit to the State.

WATSONVILLE.

WATSONVILLE, Jan. 26.—H. C. Young, whose liquor men chant and a staunch Republican—I think that Governor Gage has no reason to call an extra session. It is a big scheme to elect Burns Senator and squander public funds.

J. F. Cox, one of our leading merchants and a resident of this place for over twenty-five years—it is uncalled for and it will cost the State a great deal of money.

L. D. Holbrook, a leading Republican and a prominent attorney—I think it is an unnecessary expense and a scheme to elect Burns, but they will get decidedly left.

G. G. Radcliff, Assemblyman for this district, would not state his opinions and will reserve them until he arrives at Sacramento.

FRESNO.

FRESNO, Jan. 26.—Fulton G. Berry—Senator and squander public funds. Well, it's the same old story. I never heard of it. I don't see any excuse for saddling the expense of an extra session upon the people of the State at this time. We have expense enough to bear without spending thousands of dollars for the luxury of electing a Senator, especially when the Senator may not be such a luxury after all. I think the action of Governor Gage was very unwise.

S. C. St. John—I think Governor Gage made a serious mistake in calling the extra session of the Legislature. So far as he is concerned, it will injure him more than any other of his indiscreet acts, and what is more, it will cripple the party in this State. An extra session never benefited the Governor who called it nor his party, and this one will not benefit Gage. So far as the Legislature is concerned, I will say that if I were a member of the Legislature Burns would never get my vote. The Legislature that elects Burns will disgrace itself and the State. I don't think Burns will be elected.

JACKSON.

JACKSON, Jan. 26.—Republicans here generally feel that the calling of the extra session was unwise from a purely standpoint, that all of the matters mentioned by the Governor might and should have been dealt with at the regular session, and no one interviewed out of eighteen is willing to be put on record as favoring Dan Burns.

Will A. Newcum, late publisher of the Ledger, says the party will have to shoulder the responsibility for the expense, but he is willing to withdraw in favor of some one less objectionable.

W. C. Caldwell, lawyer, says that it looks as if the sole purpose of the call was to elect Burns, and if that is so it would be a good idea if something would happen to prevent the meeting.

N. L. Keagle says that it was not wise to issue the call.

Postmaster George Folger did not wish to express an opinion as to the call, but said he was first, last and all the time for Scott.

Richard Webb, capitalist, says he was decidedly opposed to the call; that the Republican members when in regular session should have gone into caucus and bided the result like men.

Senator John W. Davis, who supported Scott in the regular session, has all along been opposed to the extra session. He contends that if there is any extraordinary emergency requiring the calling of the Legislature in extra session such emergency was created by Governor Gage; that the Legislature in regular session passed all needed legislation, but many good bills, for the passage of which there was no time, were by him tossed into the waste basket.

BENICIA.

BENICIA, Jan. 26.—The majority of Republicans in the city are strongly in favor of another Senator, even if it takes a special session to elect one. There are differences of opinion regarding Burns' candidacy, as the following will show:

Godfrey R. Burt, County Committee member—I am entirely opposed to the election of Mr. Burns as Senator, but desire very much that one be elected.

Simon Johnston, merchant—I am in favor of the Legislature will suit me.

Sidney Frame—I am totally opposed to the extra session and to Mr. Burns.

VALLEJO.

VALLEJO, Jan. 26.—Many of the leaders in local Republican circles are averse to being quoted on the Senatorial question, but from the general expressions the prevailing idea seems to be anything to beat Dan Burns. Opinion as to the calling of an extra session is divided.

Senator Luchsinger—I think the extra session is all right. It is highly important that the State should have a full representation in the upper house, and I believe the choice should be made by the present Legislature, which would insure a Republican Senator and would remove this issue from the next election. I believe if the matter were left in status quo we would be victims of intemperance. Mrs. Hawkins for the next election. I believe if the matter were left in status quo we would be victims of intemperance.

UNCLE SAM HAS HIS EYE ON THE IMMIGRANT AND SMUGGLER—SEE NEXT SUNDAY'S CALL.

ADVERTISEMENTS.

DRINK HABIT CURE.

Mrs. May Hawkins Will Help Every Woman Save a Drunkard.

A Trial Package of Her Marvelous Home Treatment Free for the Asking.

Mrs. May Hawkins has brought joy to many homes once cursed by drunkenness, and her name is held sacred by hundreds of grateful women throughout