

"Prosecution Hangs by Rotten Thread of Orchard's Testimony," Pleads Darrow, but Motion to Acquit Is Denied

Continued from Page 1, Column 1
showing of the state it would not make out a case against the prisoner worthy of submission to the jury.

Haywood's Attorneys Plead Eloquently for Dismissal Argue That State Failed to Connect the Defendant With the Crime

BOISE, Idaho, June 21.—When the trial of Haywood was resumed at 9:30 o'clock this morning not more than 100 people occupied the outside the bar, and of these at least 40 were witnesses. Haywood's mother sat on his immediate right, and she, with the prisoner's sister, were the only members of his family present.

Judge Wood first delivered a lengthy opinion overruling the demurrer in one of the cases before the regular term of court.

On being directed to proceed, Senator Borah announced that the state had received telegraphic information from the first national bank in Denver...

On the next day, June 22, the trial of Haywood was resumed at 9:30 o'clock this morning not more than 100 people occupied the outside the bar...

On the next day, June 22, the trial of Haywood was resumed at 9:30 o'clock this morning not more than 100 people occupied the outside the bar...

On the next day, June 22, the trial of Haywood was resumed at 9:30 o'clock this morning not more than 100 people occupied the outside the bar...

On the next day, June 22, the trial of Haywood was resumed at 9:30 o'clock this morning not more than 100 people occupied the outside the bar...

On the next day, June 22, the trial of Haywood was resumed at 9:30 o'clock this morning not more than 100 people occupied the outside the bar...

On the next day, June 22, the trial of Haywood was resumed at 9:30 o'clock this morning not more than 100 people occupied the outside the bar...

On the next day, June 22, the trial of Haywood was resumed at 9:30 o'clock this morning not more than 100 people occupied the outside the bar...

On the next day, June 22, the trial of Haywood was resumed at 9:30 o'clock this morning not more than 100 people occupied the outside the bar...


On the next day, June 22, the trial of Haywood was resumed at 9:30 o'clock this morning not more than 100 people occupied the outside the bar...

On the next day, June 22, the trial of Haywood was resumed at 9:30 o'clock this morning not more than 100 people occupied the outside the bar...

On the next day, June 22, the trial of Haywood was resumed at 9:30 o'clock this morning not more than 100 people occupied the outside the bar...

On the next day, June 22, the trial of Haywood was resumed at 9:30 o'clock this morning not more than 100 people occupied the outside the bar...

Scene in Samuel M. Shortridge's office yesterday at the taking of depositions for the Haywood trial. Fred W. Bradley, for whom Orchard says he laid a bomb in this city, is seated at the head of the table.



Scene in Samuel M. Shortridge's office yesterday at the taking of depositions for the Haywood trial. Fred W. Bradley, for whom Orchard says he laid a bomb in this city, is seated at the head of the table.

Beginning on his left and reading to right are Tracy Cummings, another witness; Fred Miller, the attorney representing the Haywood defense; Albert W. Linforth, who holds a \$10,000 judgment against the gas company, for damages that the explosion caused in his house; O. M. Van Dux, a prosecuting attorney from Idaho, and Attorney Shorridge, who is representing Linforth in the efforts to discredit Orchard's confession.

On this point Richardson's argument remained centered for a long time. He traced the draft and concluded the evidence was useless as showing Haywood's connection. Here the attorney, changing his manner, raised his voice.

"This, too, was an innocent transaction, and only Orchard proves any connection. You are obliged to have Orchard's confession."

On this point Richardson's argument remained centered for a long time. He traced the draft and concluded the evidence was useless as showing Haywood's connection.

On this point Richardson's argument remained centered for a long time. He traced the draft and concluded the evidence was useless as showing Haywood's connection.

On this point Richardson's argument remained centered for a long time. He traced the draft and concluded the evidence was useless as showing Haywood's connection.

On this point Richardson's argument remained centered for a long time. He traced the draft and concluded the evidence was useless as showing Haywood's connection.

On this point Richardson's argument remained centered for a long time. He traced the draft and concluded the evidence was useless as showing Haywood's connection.

On this point Richardson's argument remained centered for a long time. He traced the draft and concluded the evidence was useless as showing Haywood's connection.

On this point Richardson's argument remained centered for a long time. He traced the draft and concluded the evidence was useless as showing Haywood's connection.

On this point Richardson's argument remained centered for a long time. He traced the draft and concluded the evidence was useless as showing Haywood's connection.

On this point Richardson's argument remained centered for a long time. He traced the draft and concluded the evidence was useless as showing Haywood's connection.

On this point Richardson's argument remained centered for a long time. He traced the draft and concluded the evidence was useless as showing Haywood's connection.

On this point Richardson's argument remained centered for a long time. He traced the draft and concluded the evidence was useless as showing Haywood's connection.

Scene in Samuel M. Shortridge's office yesterday at the taking of depositions for the Haywood trial. Fred W. Bradley, for whom Orchard says he laid a bomb in this city, is seated at the head of the table.



Scene in Samuel M. Shortridge's office yesterday at the taking of depositions for the Haywood trial. Fred W. Bradley, for whom Orchard says he laid a bomb in this city, is seated at the head of the table.

Beginning on his left and reading to right are Tracy Cummings, another witness; Fred Miller, the attorney representing the Haywood defense; Albert W. Linforth, who holds a \$10,000 judgment against the gas company, for damages that the explosion caused in his house; O. M. Van Dux, a prosecuting attorney from Idaho, and Attorney Shorridge, who is representing Linforth in the efforts to discredit Orchard's confession.

On this point Richardson's argument remained centered for a long time. He traced the draft and concluded the evidence was useless as showing Haywood's connection.

On this point Richardson's argument remained centered for a long time. He traced the draft and concluded the evidence was useless as showing Haywood's connection.

On this point Richardson's argument remained centered for a long time. He traced the draft and concluded the evidence was useless as showing Haywood's connection.

On this point Richardson's argument remained centered for a long time. He traced the draft and concluded the evidence was useless as showing Haywood's connection.

On this point Richardson's argument remained centered for a long time. He traced the draft and concluded the evidence was useless as showing Haywood's connection.

On this point Richardson's argument remained centered for a long time. He traced the draft and concluded the evidence was useless as showing Haywood's connection.

On this point Richardson's argument remained centered for a long time. He traced the draft and concluded the evidence was useless as showing Haywood's connection.

On this point Richardson's argument remained centered for a long time. He traced the draft and concluded the evidence was useless as showing Haywood's connection.

On this point Richardson's argument remained centered for a long time. He traced the draft and concluded the evidence was useless as showing Haywood's connection.

On this point Richardson's argument remained centered for a long time. He traced the draft and concluded the evidence was useless as showing Haywood's connection.

On this point Richardson's argument remained centered for a long time. He traced the draft and concluded the evidence was useless as showing Haywood's connection.

On this point Richardson's argument remained centered for a long time. He traced the draft and concluded the evidence was useless as showing Haywood's connection.

On this point Richardson's argument remained centered for a long time. He traced the draft and concluded the evidence was useless as showing Haywood's connection.

Scene in Samuel M. Shortridge's office yesterday at the taking of depositions for the Haywood trial. Fred W. Bradley, for whom Orchard says he laid a bomb in this city, is seated at the head of the table.



Scene in Samuel M. Shortridge's office yesterday at the taking of depositions for the Haywood trial. Fred W. Bradley, for whom Orchard says he laid a bomb in this city, is seated at the head of the table.

Beginning on his left and reading to right are Tracy Cummings, another witness; Fred Miller, the attorney representing the Haywood defense; Albert W. Linforth, who holds a \$10,000 judgment against the gas company, for damages that the explosion caused in his house; O. M. Van Dux, a prosecuting attorney from Idaho, and Attorney Shorridge, who is representing Linforth in the efforts to discredit Orchard's confession.

On this point Richardson's argument remained centered for a long time. He traced the draft and concluded the evidence was useless as showing Haywood's connection.

On this point Richardson's argument remained centered for a long time. He traced the draft and concluded the evidence was useless as showing Haywood's connection.

On this point Richardson's argument remained centered for a long time. He traced the draft and concluded the evidence was useless as showing Haywood's connection.

On this point Richardson's argument remained centered for a long time. He traced the draft and concluded the evidence was useless as showing Haywood's connection.

On this point Richardson's argument remained centered for a long time. He traced the draft and concluded the evidence was useless as showing Haywood's connection.

On this point Richardson's argument remained centered for a long time. He traced the draft and concluded the evidence was useless as showing Haywood's connection.

On this point Richardson's argument remained centered for a long time. He traced the draft and concluded the evidence was useless as showing Haywood's connection.

On this point Richardson's argument remained centered for a long time. He traced the draft and concluded the evidence was useless as showing Haywood's connection.

On this point Richardson's argument remained centered for a long time. He traced the draft and concluded the evidence was useless as showing Haywood's connection.


On this point Richardson's argument remained centered for a long time. He traced the draft and concluded the evidence was useless as showing Haywood's connection.

On this point Richardson's argument remained centered for a long time. He traced the draft and concluded the evidence was useless as showing Haywood's connection.

On this point Richardson's argument remained centered for a long time. He traced the draft and concluded the evidence was useless as showing Haywood's connection.

On this point Richardson's argument remained centered for a long time. He traced the draft and concluded the evidence was useless as showing Haywood's connection.

Scene in Samuel M. Shortridge's office yesterday at the taking of depositions for the Haywood trial. Fred W. Bradley, for whom Orchard says he laid a bomb in this city, is seated at the head of the table.



Scene in Samuel M. Shortridge's office yesterday at the taking of depositions for the Haywood trial. Fred W. Bradley, for whom Orchard says he laid a bomb in this city, is seated at the head of the table.

Beginning on his left and reading to right are Tracy Cummings, another witness; Fred Miller, the attorney representing the Haywood defense; Albert W. Linforth, who holds a \$10,000 judgment against the gas company, for damages that the explosion caused in his house; O. M. Van Dux, a prosecuting attorney from Idaho, and Attorney Shorridge, who is representing Linforth in the efforts to discredit Orchard's confession.

On this point Richardson's argument remained centered for a long time. He traced the draft and concluded the evidence was useless as showing Haywood's connection.

On this point Richardson's argument remained centered for a long time. He traced the draft and concluded the evidence was useless as showing Haywood's connection.

On this point Richardson's argument remained centered for a long time. He traced the draft and concluded the evidence was useless as showing Haywood's connection.

On this point Richardson's argument remained centered for a long time. He traced the draft and concluded the evidence was useless as showing Haywood's connection.

On this point Richardson's argument remained centered for a long time. He traced the draft and concluded the evidence was useless as showing Haywood's connection.

On this point Richardson's argument remained centered for a long time. He traced the draft and concluded the evidence was useless as showing Haywood's connection.

On this point Richardson's argument remained centered for a long time. He traced the draft and concluded the evidence was useless as showing Haywood's connection.

On this point Richardson's argument remained centered for a long time. He traced the draft and concluded the evidence was useless as showing Haywood's connection.

On this point Richardson's argument remained centered for a long time. He traced the draft and concluded the evidence was useless as showing Haywood's connection.

On this point Richardson's argument remained centered for a long time. He traced the draft and concluded the evidence was useless as showing Haywood's connection.

On this point Richardson's argument remained centered for a long time. He traced the draft and concluded the evidence was useless as showing Haywood's connection.

On this point Richardson's argument remained centered for a long time. He traced the draft and concluded the evidence was useless as showing Haywood's connection.

On this point Richardson's argument remained centered for a long time. He traced the draft and concluded the evidence was useless as showing Haywood's connection.

Small Accounts are welcomed by this bank, and none are too large for us to handle satisfactorily. Our patrons, regardless of the amount of business done, receive uniform courtesy and attention in all matters entrusted to us.

REDUCED ROUND TRIP RATES Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul RAILWAY SOUTHERN-UNION PACIFIC Jamestown Exposition LEAVING CALIFORNIA JULY 2, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, AUG. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, SEPT. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, OCT. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, NOV. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, DEC. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 1907.

TEA All sorts of tea grows on the tea bush; all sorts on the same tea bush. Phoenix Tea 1538 MARKET WEST VAN NESS

CALL WANT ADS BRING RESULTS The General Condemnation of So-Called Patent or Secret Medicines of an injurious character, which indulge in extravagant and unfounded pretensions to cure all manner of ills, and the National Legislation Enacted to Restrict Their Sale

CALIFORNIA FIG SYRUP CO. San Francisco, Cal. U. S. A. Louisville, Ky. London, England. New York, N. Y. Every bottle is sold under the general guarantee of the Company, filed with the Secretary of Agriculture, at Washington, D. C., that the remedy is not adulterated or misbranded within the meaning of the Food and Drugs Act, June 30th, 1906.