

THE NATIONAL REPUBLICAN

Daily except Sunday and Week-ends. Published weekly by THE NATIONAL REPUBLICAN COMPANY.

Subscription rates: One year, \$10.00; Six months, \$6.00; Three months, \$3.50; Single copies, 10 cents.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 20.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

Advertisements: One square, one week, \$1.00; One square, one month, \$3.00; One square, three months, \$8.00.

author's reputation. Few such clear, candid, truthful, and instructive books have ever been written in a style so clear and simple as this. We may state here that the most important account of the Maine liquor law and the results of its enforcement that we remember to have seen was that written by Mr. McCarthy for the *Fortnightly Review* about ten years ago. It might be called "Maine under the Microscope."

Where Are the 67,000? The insignificant proportions of the Prohibition, or third party, vote in Maine are a genuine surprise to most persons who are not thoroughly acquainted with the politics, parties, and people of that State. Two years ago, 67,000 voters in the Pine Tree State, after a most exciting campaign, cast their ballots in favor of putting prohibition into its fundamental law. On the 13th of this month, after a campaign of almost unparalleled activity and interest, the prohibition army, led by Neal Dow and John P. St. John, mustered less than four thousand voters.

Why this tremendous falling off? Where are the 67,000 voters who were for prohibition two years ago and do not appear in the Dow-St. John platform of today? The answer to the first question is that prohibition was not the issue in the campaign—the issue presented by Dow and St. John being, "The Republican party must go."

To the second question, we answer that most of the 67,000 missing voters cast their ballots for the Republican candidates on the 13th instant, the balance voted the Democratic ticket. The Maine Republicans are, as a rule, prohibitionists, while the Maine Democrats are, as a rule, anti-prohibitionists; but there are exceptions on both sides.

The Republican party in Maine believes the third party to be not only useless, but a fraud, having for its chief purpose, not the promotion of temperance, but the "smashing of the Republican organization."

The St. Louis *Globe-Democrat*, referring to the result in Maine and "the causes of the Prohibition party," says that— "All men possessing sufficient information on the subject to enable them to vote intelligently in any election in which there is a third party, know that the Republican party, as a party, is the foe of the whisky power as an element in politics."

The Tennessee campaign is furnishing fun for the nation. Whether it is doing anything better than that is not yet apparent. Our District schools, the peculiar pride of the capital, begin a new year to-day under such conditions as ought to guarantee success.

The Indiana Republicans are very much in earnest in their effort to redeem their state from its ignominious position of tail to the Tammany and solid south kite.

Senator Harrison's recent speech at Indianapolis had not been a telling effort, it could scarcely have called for the very extended notice it is receiving in the Democratic papers. If it was "weak," as these organs pronounce it, why are they all on the defensive?

According to the high authority of the *Commercial Gazette*, there is this difference between Sunday and Monday in Cincinnati: On Sunday that paper consists of sixteen pages; on Monday it has but eight. That makes less than 100 per cent. better on the first than on the second day of the week.

The building boom, although seriously retarded by the labor and capital mismanagement of late, is still strong and healthy. The number of new buildings going up and, what is equally important, of old ones being taken down is a magnificent picture to the coming greatness of the most beautiful city on the continent.

Henry Labouchere is indignant because Direct-Acting Deputy-Assistant-Commissioner-Major-General Booth, of the Salvation Army, refuses to submit the accounts of his brigade for public audit. Does any other religious denomination toward such scrutiny? Truly, Booth is still strong and healthy; his attitude toward his flock is that of the gifted but less lover in Browning's "Inn Album": "Be true, like life, myves mountains. * * * Trust me all; trust me wholly."

The Spectator, in a review of Mr. Schuyler's "American Diplomacy," takes occasion to comment on the "niggardly allowances made by the United States for diplomatic and consular service, with an expression of wonder that America is able to obtain such good men in such circumstances." The National Republican has frequently called attention to this defect in our consular service, but we fear that an administration that can find no provision made in the constitution for sending tents to homeless people in Charleston will not easily be perturbed about ill-paid agents abroad.

Yesterday's cable dispatches contained a remarkable shortening of the cable about a remarkable Dublin of the New Irish lord lieutenant and his wife: "Both the Marquis and Lady Londonderry rode from the station on horseback. Her ladyship, as a tribute to the Irish people, wore a dress of white poplin." The lady must have looked "topsy-turvy" in white poplin and mounted on an ambling steed. The impression left by the dispatches is that either the correspondent has been looking at somebody drinking, or that Lady Londonderry has been paralyzing Lady Godiva, or that the cable operator was drunk.

The attempt to collect funds in London for the benefit of the Charleston sufferers has proved a failure. It is unfortunate that such a fund should ever have been suggested, great as the calamity has proved to South Carolina the disaster was distinctly local, and, taking place in a rich country, makes no such demand upon universal sympathy, as the general failure of food supply that occurs in India or Ireland, the sudden collapse of great numbers of the population, and the hundreds of thousands out of employment, or the wide sweep of an epidemic, America is strong enough to look after her own earthquakes, and it should be remembered that when European or Asiatic countries suffer from volcanic eruptions it is not necessary for the United States to send much relief to the sufferers.

It is doubtful if any statement in Great Britain could dispense the case of Ireland in a long speech or prolix pamphlet more thoroughly than the St. Paul *Pioneer* has done in this meaty paragraph: "Lord Churchill's declaration of the intentions of the British government as to Ireland is interestingly uninteresting inasmuch as it is a future 'development of the functions of Lord government, boards and the board of public works,' but a rippling up of the whole iniquitous land system and actual local self-government. The boy possesses a little reformatory tinkering on the surface. The ministerial hand needs the deep, solemn plowing of reform."

"Thinking" will not suffice. The time for dietary expedients is past. The work of reform must be radical. Every day of delay adds to the difficulties and dangers of the situation.

The Tribune states that Mr. Justin McCarthy has no other equal in visiting America than to give as many good lectures as possible. The dollar he receives will not be for the benefit of the League or for any other Irish fund, and the London correspondent adds that "Mr. McCarthy's literary reputation has never stood higher than it does now." Whom else has the good fortune to have read "The History of Our Own Times" will find it easy to place the

author's reputation. Few such clear, candid, truthful, and instructive books have ever been written in a style so clear and simple as this. We may state here that the most important account of the Maine liquor law and the results of its enforcement that we remember to have seen was that written by Mr. McCarthy for the *Fortnightly Review* about ten years ago. It might be called "Maine under the Microscope."

Where Are the 67,000? The insignificant proportions of the Prohibition, or third party, vote in Maine are a genuine surprise to most persons who are not thoroughly acquainted with the politics, parties, and people of that State. Two years ago, 67,000 voters in the Pine Tree State, after a most exciting campaign, cast their ballots in favor of putting prohibition into its fundamental law. On the 13th of this month, after a campaign of almost unparalleled activity and interest, the prohibition army, led by Neal Dow and John P. St. John, mustered less than four thousand voters.

Why this tremendous falling off? Where are the 67,000 voters who were for prohibition two years ago and do not appear in the Dow-St. John platform of today? The answer to the first question is that prohibition was not the issue in the campaign—the issue presented by Dow and St. John being, "The Republican party must go."

To the second question, we answer that most of the 67,000 missing voters cast their ballots for the Republican candidates on the 13th instant, the balance voted the Democratic ticket. The Maine Republicans are, as a rule, prohibitionists, while the Maine Democrats are, as a rule, anti-prohibitionists; but there are exceptions on both sides.

The Republican party in Maine believes the third party to be not only useless, but a fraud, having for its chief purpose, not the promotion of temperance, but the "smashing of the Republican organization."

The St. Louis *Globe-Democrat*, referring to the result in Maine and "the causes of the Prohibition party," says that— "All men possessing sufficient information on the subject to enable them to vote intelligently in any election in which there is a third party, know that the Republican party, as a party, is the foe of the whisky power as an element in politics."

The Tennessee campaign is furnishing fun for the nation. Whether it is doing anything better than that is not yet apparent. Our District schools, the peculiar pride of the capital, begin a new year to-day under such conditions as ought to guarantee success.

The Indiana Republicans are very much in earnest in their effort to redeem their state from its ignominious position of tail to the Tammany and solid south kite.

Senator Harrison's recent speech at Indianapolis had not been a telling effort, it could scarcely have called for the very extended notice it is receiving in the Democratic papers. If it was "weak," as these organs pronounce it, why are they all on the defensive?

According to the high authority of the *Commercial Gazette*, there is this difference between Sunday and Monday in Cincinnati: On Sunday that paper consists of sixteen pages; on Monday it has but eight. That makes less than 100 per cent. better on the first than on the second day of the week.

The building boom, although seriously retarded by the labor and capital mismanagement of late, is still strong and healthy. The number of new buildings going up and, what is equally important, of old ones being taken down is a magnificent picture to the coming greatness of the most beautiful city on the continent.

Henry Labouchere is indignant because Direct-Acting Deputy-Assistant-Commissioner-Major-General Booth, of the Salvation Army, refuses to submit the accounts of his brigade for public audit. Does any other religious denomination toward such scrutiny? Truly, Booth is still strong and healthy; his attitude toward his flock is that of the gifted but less lover in Browning's "Inn Album": "Be true, like life, myves mountains. * * * Trust me all; trust me wholly."

The Spectator, in a review of Mr. Schuyler's "American Diplomacy," takes occasion to comment on the "niggardly allowances made by the United States for diplomatic and consular service, with an expression of wonder that America is able to obtain such good men in such circumstances." The National Republican has frequently called attention to this defect in our consular service, but we fear that an administration that can find no provision made in the constitution for sending tents to homeless people in Charleston will not easily be perturbed about ill-paid agents abroad.

Yesterday's cable dispatches contained a remarkable shortening of the cable about a remarkable Dublin of the New Irish lord lieutenant and his wife: "Both the Marquis and Lady Londonderry rode from the station on horseback. Her ladyship, as a tribute to the Irish people, wore a dress of white poplin." The lady must have looked "topsy-turvy" in white poplin and mounted on an ambling steed. The impression left by the dispatches is that either the correspondent has been looking at somebody drinking, or that Lady Londonderry has been paralyzing Lady Godiva, or that the cable operator was drunk.

The attempt to collect funds in London for the benefit of the Charleston sufferers has proved a failure. It is unfortunate that such a fund should ever have been suggested, great as the calamity has proved to South Carolina the disaster was distinctly local, and, taking place in a rich country, makes no such demand upon universal sympathy, as the general failure of food supply that occurs in India or Ireland, the sudden collapse of great numbers of the population, and the hundreds of thousands out of employment, or the wide sweep of an epidemic, America is strong enough to look after her own earthquakes, and it should be remembered that when European or Asiatic countries suffer from volcanic eruptions it is not necessary for the United States to send much relief to the sufferers.

It is doubtful if any statement in Great Britain could dispense the case of Ireland in a long speech or prolix pamphlet more thoroughly than the St. Paul *Pioneer* has done in this meaty paragraph: "Lord Churchill's declaration of the intentions of the British government as to Ireland is interestingly uninteresting inasmuch as it is a future 'development of the functions of Lord government, boards and the board of public works,' but a rippling up of the whole iniquitous land system and actual local self-government. The boy possesses a little reformatory tinkering on the surface. The ministerial hand needs the deep, solemn plowing of reform."

"Thinking" will not suffice. The time for dietary expedients is past. The work of reform must be radical. Every day of delay adds to the difficulties and dangers of the situation.

The Tribune states that Mr. Justin McCarthy has no other equal in visiting America than to give as many good lectures as possible. The dollar he receives will not be for the benefit of the League or for any other Irish fund, and the London correspondent adds that "Mr. McCarthy's literary reputation has never stood higher than it does now." Whom else has the good fortune to have read "The History of Our Own Times" will find it easy to place the

author's reputation. Few such clear, candid, truthful, and instructive books have ever been written in a style so clear and simple as this. We may state here that the most important account of the Maine liquor law and the results of its enforcement that we remember to have seen was that written by Mr. McCarthy for the *Fortnightly Review* about ten years ago. It might be called "Maine under the Microscope."

Where Are the 67,000? The insignificant proportions of the Prohibition, or third party, vote in Maine are a genuine surprise to most persons who are not thoroughly acquainted with the politics, parties, and people of that State. Two years ago, 67,000 voters in the Pine Tree State, after a most exciting campaign, cast their ballots in favor of putting prohibition into its fundamental law. On the 13th of this month, after a campaign of almost unparalleled activity and interest, the prohibition army, led by Neal Dow and John P. St. John, mustered less than four thousand voters.

Why this tremendous falling off? Where are the 67,000 voters who were for prohibition two years ago and do not appear in the Dow-St. John platform of today? The answer to the first question is that prohibition was not the issue in the campaign—the issue presented by Dow and St. John being, "The Republican party must go."

To the second question, we answer that most of the 67,000 missing voters cast their ballots for the Republican candidates on the 13th instant, the balance voted the Democratic ticket. The Maine Republicans are, as a rule, prohibitionists, while the Maine Democrats are, as a rule, anti-prohibitionists; but there are exceptions on both sides.

The Republican party in Maine believes the third party to be not only useless, but a fraud, having for its chief purpose, not the promotion of temperance, but the "smashing of the Republican organization."

The St. Louis *Globe-Democrat*, referring to the result in Maine and "the causes of the Prohibition party," says that— "All men possessing sufficient information on the subject to enable them to vote intelligently in any election in which there is a third party, know that the Republican party, as a party, is the foe of the whisky power as an element in politics."

The Tennessee campaign is furnishing fun for the nation. Whether it is doing anything better than that is not yet apparent. Our District schools, the peculiar pride of the capital, begin a new year to-day under such conditions as ought to guarantee success.

The Indiana Republicans are very much in earnest in their effort to redeem their state from its ignominious position of tail to the Tammany and solid south kite.

Senator Harrison's recent speech at Indianapolis had not been a telling effort, it could scarcely have called for the very extended notice it is receiving in the Democratic papers. If it was "weak," as these organs pronounce it, why are they all on the defensive?

According to the high authority of the *Commercial Gazette*, there is this difference between Sunday and Monday in Cincinnati: On Sunday that paper consists of sixteen pages; on Monday it has but eight. That makes less than 100 per cent. better on the first than on the second day of the week.

The building boom, although seriously retarded by the labor and capital mismanagement of late, is still strong and healthy. The number of new buildings going up and, what is equally important, of old ones being taken down is a magnificent picture to the coming greatness of the most beautiful city on the continent.

Henry Labouchere is indignant because Direct-Acting Deputy-Assistant-Commissioner-Major-General Booth, of the Salvation Army, refuses to submit the accounts of his brigade for public audit. Does any other religious denomination toward such scrutiny? Truly, Booth is still strong and healthy; his attitude toward his flock is that of the gifted but less lover in Browning's "Inn Album": "Be true, like life, myves mountains. * * * Trust me all; trust me wholly."

The Spectator, in a review of Mr. Schuyler's "American Diplomacy," takes occasion to comment on the "niggardly allowances made by the United States for diplomatic and consular service, with an expression of wonder that America is able to obtain such good men in such circumstances." The National Republican has frequently called attention to this defect in our consular service, but we fear that an administration that can find no provision made in the constitution for sending tents to homeless people in Charleston will not easily be perturbed about ill-paid agents abroad.

Yesterday's cable dispatches contained a remarkable shortening of the cable about a remarkable Dublin of the New Irish lord lieutenant and his wife: "Both the Marquis and Lady Londonderry rode from the station on horseback. Her ladyship, as a tribute to the Irish people, wore a dress of white poplin." The lady must have looked "topsy-turvy" in white poplin and mounted on an ambling steed. The impression left by the dispatches is that either the correspondent has been looking at somebody drinking, or that Lady Londonderry has been paralyzing Lady Godiva, or that the cable operator was drunk.

The attempt to collect funds in London for the benefit of the Charleston sufferers has proved a failure. It is unfortunate that such a fund should ever have been suggested, great as the calamity has proved to South Carolina the disaster was distinctly local, and, taking place in a rich country, makes no such demand upon universal sympathy, as the general failure of food supply that occurs in India or Ireland, the sudden collapse of great numbers of the population, and the hundreds of thousands out of employment, or the wide sweep of an epidemic, America is strong enough to look after her own earthquakes, and it should be remembered that when European or Asiatic countries suffer from volcanic eruptions it is not necessary for the United States to send much relief to the sufferers.

It is doubtful if any statement in Great Britain could dispense the case of Ireland in a long speech or prolix pamphlet more thoroughly than the St. Paul *Pioneer* has done in this meaty paragraph: "Lord Churchill's declaration of the intentions of the British government as to Ireland is interestingly uninteresting inasmuch as it is a future 'development of the functions of Lord government, boards and the board of public works,' but a rippling up of the whole iniquitous land system and actual local self-government. The boy possesses a little reformatory tinkering on the surface. The ministerial hand needs the deep, solemn plowing of reform."

"Thinking" will not suffice. The time for dietary expedients is past. The work of reform must be radical. Every day of delay adds to the difficulties and dangers of the situation.

The Tribune states that Mr. Justin McCarthy has no other equal in visiting America than to give as many good lectures as possible. The dollar he receives will not be for the benefit of the League or for any other Irish fund, and the London correspondent adds that "Mr. McCarthy's literary reputation has never stood higher than it does now." Whom else has the good fortune to have read "The History of Our Own Times" will find it easy to place the

author's reputation. Few such clear, candid, truthful, and instructive books have ever been written in a style so clear and simple as this. We may state here that the most important account of the Maine liquor law and the results of its enforcement that we remember to have seen was that written by Mr. McCarthy for the *Fortnightly Review* about ten years ago. It might be called "Maine under the Microscope."

Where Are the 67,000? The insignificant proportions of the Prohibition, or third party, vote in Maine are a genuine surprise to most persons who are not thoroughly acquainted with the politics, parties, and people of that State. Two years ago, 67,000 voters in the Pine Tree State, after a most exciting campaign, cast their ballots in favor of putting prohibition into its fundamental law. On the 13th of this month, after a campaign of almost unparalleled activity and interest, the prohibition army, led by Neal Dow and John P. St. John, mustered less