

BY TELEGRAPH.

From Washington. The St. Phillip Canal a Fixed Fact. Discomforture of Eads.

WASHINGTON, March 25, 11 A. M.—It is stated here to-day on the best authority, that the arguments yesterday before the Transportation Committee resulted in convincing the members of the Committee that the jetty system advocated by Captain Eads, as applied to the mouth of the Mississippi, was entirely impracticable.

WASHINGTON, March 25.—It is current here that the President has avowed his adhesion to the inflation policy. There is considerable sensation in the Senate, and it is not improbable some reference to the Executive will be made.

A New Political Movement.

WASHINGTON, D. C., March 25.—A secret movement is on foot among a certain class of politicians to bring Grant forward the third time as a candidate for President.

Salvini, while in Havana, is playing at the Tacon Theatre. That's the place for him. His acting has a Tacon way about it, and no mistake.

The new spring fashion in ladies' bonnets is simple and inexpensive. Take last year's hat, sleep in it for a night or two, sit on it half an hour, then get some reliable friend to knock it endwise after you have it on—and presto—you have a very love of a bonnet in the newest spring style.

GOV. KEMPER'S VISIT TO GRANT.

In our telegraphic column will be found a statement that a movement is on foot among politicians of various political complexions, to push Grant forward for the third term. As a fact bearing upon this statement, which the reader can take for what it is worth, we quote the following from the Petersburg Dispatch:

Gov. Kemper being in Washington on other business the visit was prompt. It was a visit of courtesy, such as has been generally paid the Presidents by Governors of the States when in the Federal city. True, everybody has his right to think what he pleases of it; but we can see no impropriety in it. On the contrary, we discover in it exactly that manifestation of respect for the Chief Magistrate of the nation which should be made by a Governor of a State whenever a suitable opportunity presents itself.

Social Equality on its Test.

Mrs. Ames, the wife of the Governor of Mississippi, and a daughter of the notorious Ben Butler, went into the Executive Mansion of that State, as its mistress, theoretically sound on the question of social equality. After several weeks of patient practice of the Radical theory, Mrs. Ames has become disgusted with it, and can no longer tolerate the African de-scent. Her hot house theories of social equality have withered in her throbbing bosom, that so vehemently heaved responsive to the socially down-trodden sons and daughters of Africa's general misery.

Now is a good time for the usual Radical howl to come in to vindicate the social rights of the "colored troops who fought nobly" and their black wives and daughters, who are thus snubbed by one of their own party. This thing cannot always be. It should arouse general indignation in the Radical camps.—Home Courier.

HOW AN INSURANCE AGENT WAS BEATEN.—Between Kenosha and Milwaukee, says a Wisconsin paper, an agent of a traveler's insurance company entered a car, and, having issued tickets to several of the passengers, approached an elderly lady, who, after a moment's conversation, was dead.

"Madame, would you like to insure against accident?" inquired the agent. "I'm going to Oshkosh to visit my darter, who is married up there and has got a baby."

"The agent raised his voice a little: 'Would you like to insure your life against accident?'" "She's married two years and a half. It's a gal."

"Agent, still louder: 'I am an insurance agent, madam. Don't you want your life insured against accident?'" "Oh! I didn't understand you," said the old lady. "No! Her name is Johnson. My name is Evans, and I live five miles from Kenosha."

ABOUT THE OPERA.

As usual, the solemn character of Holy Week will, for a few days, deprive the habitués of the Opera of the handsome entertainments which Mr. Canonge provides for them. A large portion of the company will leave this city on Sunday morning for Galveston, where they will give a series of operatic performances, under the management of Mr. Grunewald.

Rumors are already rife concerning the projects of our opera manager for the next opera season. From what we have learned we can say that the time of closing the opera season, in the latter part of May next, is even too remote to permit of any determination on the part of Mr. Canonge.

But as we have intimated, Mr. Canonge, acting as an intelligent manager, must closely survey and study the field in which he is engaged before arriving at any conclusions. Whatever may be his determination, we remain satisfied that his additional experience in opera management, will, together with his well known sagacity, secure for us a still more brilliant company next summer.

A Leak at the City Hall.

We are filled with wonderment to learn that quite a number of Metropolitan warrants which were received by the Sheriff for taxes, and by him paid into the city treasury, have been hawked about the streets for sale.

Victor Hugo's last production, *Quatre Vingt Treize*, has come out in the vernacular in the New York Courier des Etats Unis, which publishes four pages of the first chapters in its last issue.

It was not until the next day that the doctor, after vainly searching for his own glasses, to compare them with those that he had purchased, became convinced that he had bought his own property which had been abstracted but a few minutes before from his vest pocket by the third party, the excise man, and turned over to the broker to perpetrate the joke.

THE MUTUAL AID AND BENEVOLENT LIFE INSURANCE ASSOCIATION.

The attention of our readers is called to the partial list of members in the above association published elsewhere. The company thus far has met with only two losses, and its large augmentation has necessitated the opening of a new class, that is of a membership limited to 600. The fact that I. N. Marks is its President, and R. W. Young Secretary, is the best guarantee that the affairs of the company will always be carefully and faithfully administered.

Hon. Edwin DeLeon delivered a lecture in Mobile Thursday night, on "The Egyptian at Home." Many of our readers will remember Mr. DeLeon as the efficient Consul General of the United States at Egypt.

The jovial yachtsmen of the Third District are looking forward to the summertime with pleasurable anticipations as to yachting. The "Meteor," which came, and saw, and conquered, last year, is done up in lavender, and ready for another season; and we learn that a number of gentlemen of the "Old Third" have ordered a new 22 feet boat to be ready for the opening of the yachting campaign.

Miss Alice Harrison opens on Sunday next, in her new specialty entitled "Stratagem," in which she personates five characters, introducing new songs and dances. This will also be the last night of the Black Crook Burlesque. Monday first appearance of Miss Leona Dare, the wonderful trapeze artist. Miller and Goldrich song and dance, and character artists. Will Conway in German specialties.

Senator Schurz, who has been selected to deliver the Sumner memorial oration, may be expected to surpass all his previous oratorical efforts upon that occasion. A Washington correspondent remarks that he will have the experience of Charles Francis Adams before him as an admonition, and he will take good care not to pluck roses from other great men's graves to plant upon that of Mr. Sumner.

The London Hornet gives the following as one of the effects of the late royal marriage: Bookseller—Will you have these volumes bound in Russia or Morocco, sir? Retired Coal-dealer—Well, if I can't have 'em bound in London, send 'em to Russia. We must encourage that Czar now, you know.

On Monday morning last a young man, on taking up the daily paper, turned to the column of births, and said: "I wonder if there is anybody born that I know?"

JUDGE CAMPBELL'S STATEMENT.

This is a portion of Judge John A. Campbell's statement of what occurred on that occasion: I was engaged by Mrs. Allaine to have proceedings set aside, and a night session was appointed for an argument of the case; the papers had got hold of this matter in some previous discussion and made a good deal of it; I went into the court, and one of the counsel moved to adjourn, saying that the discussion should be made in the daytime; this was a night session and very unusual, the only one I knew of; I refer to the aspersions of them had nothing to do with the administration of justice in this case, and thereupon the judge broke out in a very loud and very insulting tone, assuming that I had prepared these pieces in the newspapers and signing that I should not over-awe the court; I rose to reply to him and he turned his face to me; I saw at once that he was drunk and I made no reply, and he adjourned the court; of course I had nothing to do with the newspapers or any publications concerning him in them.

Q. Did you receive fees as a custodian? A. Yes, sir; the fees received as custodian were allowed by the Court; the fees as custodian were put into the general account; if I was assigned I did not make any distinction; went right along and put them in the same account.

Q. What was the scale of your fees? A. I received from one, one and a half, two and sometimes five dollars per day, depending upon the estate.

Q. Do you know what amount of attorney's fees you paid per year? A. I should think Stone got in the way of fees per year, perhaps \$25,000; in the first instance may be \$20,000.

Q. How long has Mr. Hughes been acting? A. I suppose about three years.

Q. What do his fees amount to per year? A. About \$15,000 a year.

Q. How much property were you possessed of when you came to Louisiana? A. I suppose I was worth \$40,000; I do not think I brought here more than \$10,000; I may have brought as much as \$15,000.

Q. How much are you worth now? A. I should think that I was worth, if I was set up, \$125,000, or thereabouts.

Q. This is what Mr. H. N. Ogden, a young lawyer and an occasional practitioner in Judge Durell's Court, has to say of his CONDUCT ON THE BENCH.

Q. State what you know of his conduct on the bench? A. I will give you an instance and state a case which occurred to myself, which will throw more light upon that matter than what I can say generally; I cannot say much of the treatment of the rest of the bar; I had a case for a man by the name of Seely against B. F. Flanders, who was formerly Mayor of the city of New Orleans and also Military Governor of Louisiana; the suit was for about six thousand dollars; I filed a petition in the case; it was a civil suit in the Circuit Court, and Mr. Beckwith was employed upon the other side; he is the United States District Attorney; he first filed an exception in the nature of a demurrer; it was put to issue and another had a course of action at all in the suit; that is my present recollection of the matter; the exception was tried and overruled; some six months afterward the case was called on its merits, and I had my witness, Mr. Seely, in court; by rule of the court all cases are tried by jury, unless the jury is waived; I proposed to waive the jury; I did not care to try it by jury, the judge having decided this point in my favor, which gave me, as I considered, plain sailing; but Mr. Beck with declined to waive the jury, and the jury was called; I put my witness on the stand, and while I was examining him to prove the facts alleged in my petition, the judge asked for the petition in the case; it was handed to him; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted me in the most abrupt manner by saying, "You have got no case against Flanders"; I was astonished; the case had been tried on the exception, and was now going before a jury that had been regularly empaneled; I told him that I proposed to try the case before the jury, if he would permit me; he said, "You have got no case against Flanders"; he then interrupted