

RULINGS OF COURT IN UTERMEHLE WILL CASE

Conversation With Testator Admitted as Evidence.

RELATED BY THE CAVEATOR

Objection to Plaintiff Answering Question as to Whether He Had Knowledge of His Legal Rights at Time of Testator's Death Not Sustained.

The contest over the validity of the will of the late George W. Utermehle, which has been in progress for several days before Justice Bernard, and a jury in the Probate Court, which involves property in this district worth \$2,600,000, was continued yesterday.

The court rendered its decision upon the questions submitted by counsel on Tuesday, after considerable argument. The point in controversy arose upon Charles H. Utermehle, the grandson of Charles W. Utermehle, being asked to relate a conversation which he said had occurred between himself and his grandfather when the latter was taking him home in his buggy in 1877.

Objected to by Defense. This was objected to by the defense upon the ground of its being hearsay testimony, and its admission was contended for upon the ground that it tended to show the state of the feeling of the testator toward the boy, which, they declared, would be evidenced by further declarations from that time up to a short while before the testator's death.

Counsel for the caveator declared that they intended to prove that upon the occasion in question the old gentleman had requested the boy to avoid becoming engaged in a family dispute which was then in progress, declaring to him that he proposed to provide for him by leaving him equal shares with his two aunts, as he would have done if his father had survived.

Ruling of the Court. The court ruled at some length, citing numerous authorities, principally the recent decision of the Supreme Court in the Holt case, to the effect that such declarations might be admitted upon an issue of mental incapacity during the period within which the mental impairment was claimed to have existed as showing the condition of the mind of the testator by his utterances, but that they were not evidence of the fact, being unsworn declarations.

Upon exception being noted by counsel for the caveator to the admission of the Utermehle again to the stand, and was asked regarding several subsequent declarations to the same effect, made according to the witness, as late as 1882.

Another Objection Entered. After stating that he had no exact knowledge of the condition of his grandfather at the time of his death, having been for some time prior thereto absent from the city, the question was asked of the witness as to whether he, at the time of his grandfather's death, had any knowledge concerning his legal rights in the matter, and whether he ascertained as to what his rights were at such time.

To this counsel for the caveator objected, contending that the admission of the witness to the stand, and that everybody was presumed to know the law, such testimony was inadmissible. The caveator's counsel contended that the authorities, especially in equity, made many exceptions to rules of this kind, especially where the question presented was one of a family dispute, and mixed with that of law, in which cases want of knowledge of one's rights were allowed to be shown as indicating the reason for their actions.

Admitted by the Court. The court finally admitted the evidence, upon which Mr. Utermehle stated that he was ignorant concerning his rights at the time of his grandfather's death, and when he discovered that his grandmother, by her will, had failed to make good the promise which he claimed had been made to him that he was in a last state of mind, and was upon the matter, and was not able to investigate for some time the true condition of affairs.

He further testified that after the funeral of his grandmother he was present when the will was read and undertook to give a conversation had at the time between his aunts, Mrs. Taylor, his mother, and several of the heirs, in which Mrs. Taylor was alleged to have said that had her mother lived a short time longer she would have died a poor woman, as she had less than \$200,000.

Expected a Different Will. He testified that he had told them this was a great surprise to him; that he had expected an entirely different will, and with a few remarks of this character left the house. Mr. Utermehle further stated that shortly after this he was taken down with a severe attack of rheumatism in Frederick, which lasted about eighteen months, and that his business here was transacted through a power of attorney given to a local real estate agent, under which, he says, the best of his information, he received about \$60,000 in money from his grandmother's estate, which was a one-third share of the same, the other two-thirds going to his two aunts.

He then referred to his visit to Washington in the fall of 1879, when he left Frederick, and said that his attention was first called to the fact of there being some question concerning the will by a local notary public, and that in pursuance of information received he proceeded to consult Mr. Wilton J. Lambert, who investigated with him the proceedings of the Orphans' Court; found that the probate of the will had only been proceeded to the extent of the personal property,

and that in the case of Norman vs. Norman and others, on the file in the court, in which he directed his attention, testimony existed showing the impaired condition of his grandfather about the time the will was made.

Result of Investigation. Mr. Utermehle further testified that he prosecuted an investigation and was much surprised at the information he received for the first time concerning the matter of the condition of his grandfather about the time the will was made and up to the time of his death and his habits of constant overindulgence in strong drink, and that he was also made aware of the alleged control of Dr. and Mrs. Taylor over his grandfather, and of the great confidential relationship existing between them in business matters.

At this point the court adjourned until 10:30 o'clock Monday morning, when Mr. Utermehle will resume his testimony.

DISTRICT COURT RECORD.

Equity Court No. 1. Justice Hagner—Bush vs. Bush; petition of Tobias Bush referred to auditor, Starkweather vs. Warner; return of deposit ordered. Prineux vs. Secretary of Interior; demurrer heard and submitted.

Equity Court No. 2. Justice Bradley—Capital Syndicate Co. vs. Lee; distribution share of James R. Smith ordered paid to F. G. Golden, Brown vs. Alexander; William H. Dennis substituted trustee to execute release, Sharpless vs. Sharpless; trustee authorized to make sale of certain lots, Crowe vs. Crow; trustees authorized to accept offer of purchase nisi, McLean vs. Bugher; leave to dismiss as to certain defendants granted, etc. Jacob Tome Institute vs. Ring; dissolution of restraining order as to removal of elevator; substitution of heirs of Solomon J. Fague as parties defendant, etc. Tolson vs. United Bankers and Trust Co.; receiver authorized to accept offer of purchase of Richard Little.

Circuit Court No. 1. Justice Bingham—Christina Moerlin Brewing Company vs. Yoder; judgment of Cox vs. Myer Loeb, Karnishe, Asholes Anderson vs. Metropolitan Railroad Company, on trial.

Circuit Court No. 2. Justice Clabaugh—John C. Lany vs. Johanna C. Block; account \$720.15. Wilson Gray Howley vs. Columbia Railroad Company; damages, \$15,000.

Equity Court—Bovee vs. Bovee; maintenance, Empire State Realty Company vs. Dantic and others; to foreclose mortgage.

Probate Court, Justice Bernard—Louise M. Mauck; order discharging rule and waiving inventory and of account. Henry Brusack; order admitting will to probate and regard and granting letters testamentary to Louise Brusack; bond, \$400; signature of third witness proved by one, Rosa M. Stinzing, 1882; bond approved and letters issued; warrant to appraisers issued. Estate of Alice Worthington Withrop; return of legal fees filed. Estate of James R. Gillett; order for publication final notice; return day, April 11, 1932. Estate of Parthena Brown; statement received from appraiser. Estate of interest of George M. Moran to John B. Rock. Estate of J. Crint; order continuing trial of issues until Thursday, May 11, 1932. Estate of Mary Wright; minor account stated; guardian notified. Estate of Theodore Voceky; account stated; principal notified. Estate of De Witt Power Gilman et al.; minor's account stated; guardian notified. Estate of F. Hurd et al.; one surety qualified. Estate of Francis F. Taylor; order appointing Robert G. Brown, for account of guardian ad litem. Estate of Margaret Moore; summons issued against Lizzie Cunningham et al. Estate of Ellen R. Godding; 10,000; statement for account with twelve vouchers; proof of publication final notice to creditors filed. Estate of August G. Schoenborn; letters testamentary issued to appraiser in possession, Elizabeth Webb, 5,312; petition of William Herbert Smith and John N. Heale for an order requiring executor to return inventory of personal estate and state account. Estate of Adele Adams Wetzel; minor; affidavit of Joseph E. Lewis, of Washington Loan and Trust Company; additional statement and voucher for account. Estate of Charles G. Sandberg; proof of publication notice to creditors filed. Estate of Robert G. Brown; one surety qualified; oath of second administrator. Ida M. Perry; summons returned by Marshal, served personally. Helena Zepf; citation returned by Marshal, served personally. Estate of George W. Armstrong et al. Petition of Lucy A. Jackson and Margaret J. Jones for appointment of Harriet E. Wilson as guardian. Estate of Mary Ann Keyworth; petition of administrator for sale of personal property. Estate of George L. Tillet; minor; statement of account filed. Estate of David Sloane Stanley; will dated January 14, 1901, naming Willard Ames Holbrook and David Sheridan Stanley executors; first codicil, dated October 22, 1901, Josephine H. Stanley, executrix; second codicil, February 24, 1902, naming no executrix; petition of Josephine Stanley for probate and record of will and for letters testamentary, and for letters of collection, waiver, or consent of Blanch Stanley.

ASK \$5,000 DAMAGE. Hearing in Suit of the Frisbies Against the B. & O. Commenced. Hearing in the suit of Mrs. Annie B. Frisbie and her husband, William R. Frisbie, against Mr. John K. Cowen and Mr. Oscar G. Murray, as receivers of the Baltimore and Ohio Railroad Company, to recover \$5,000 for alleged damages to the property of the plaintiffs, was begun yesterday before Justice Clabaugh in Circuit Court No. 2.

It is alleged by the plaintiffs that their land, which is located near the defendant road, was overflowed with water, on account of a drain which it caused to be sunk, and that the foundation of the dwelling was damaged. Messrs. White & Keigwin appear for the plaintiffs and Attorneys Hatton and Colbert for the railroad company.

TEST CASE BEGUN ON PENDING DIVORCE SUITS

Petition Filed Before New Code Became Effective.

STATUS TO BE DETERMINED

Case May Go to Court of Appeals—Hearing This Morning Before Justice Hagner in Equity Court No. 1—Dabney vs. Dabney.

An important test case, involving the whole subject of divorce legislation in the District of Columbia, will be heard this morning before Mr. Justice Hagner in Equity Court No. 1.

The cause comes up on a demurrer filed by John N. Dabney, defendant, in a suit for divorce instituted by his wife, Pinkie M. Dabney, on the 24th of last December.

The case involved. The complainant in her petition asks for an absolute divorce on the ground of desertion, alleging that she and the defendant were married on the 14th of July, 1897, but that her husband deserted her in August, 1899. The ground of the demurrer is that the court is without jurisdiction to decree an absolute divorce for desertion, owing to the fact that the code, which has been in force since the 1st of last January, provides that a divorce from the bond of matrimony shall be granted only for adultery.

Under the law existing before the code went into effect not only desertion, but also cruelty and habitual drunkenness, as well as Biblical causes, were grounds for absolute divorce. Under the new law the former are made causes simply for a divorce from bed and board.

The Point Raised. The defendant in this suit raises the point as to whether, even in a case pending before the old law was repealed by the code, the court has the power to grant an absolute divorce for any other reason than that of adultery.

During last November and December an unprecedented number of divorce suits, amounting to over a hundred, were filed by parties seeking to avail themselves of the law then in force, in the belief that their cases, if commenced before the 1st of January, 1932, would not be affected by the code. The decision of the court on this demurrer, therefore, is of vast interest to the numerous parties to divorce suits now pending.

It is expected that this case will go up to the Court of Appeals.

The complainant is represented by Attorneys E. Hilton Jackson and Alexander G. Bentley, and the defendant by Attorneys Tracey L. Jeffords and Frank P. Closs.

STREET LINE EXTENSION.

Authority Given to Anacostia and Potomac Railway in Bill Introduced. In the House yesterday Representative Mercer introduced a bill requiring the Anacostia and Potomac River Railroad Company to extend its tracks along the following route:

"Beginning at the north terminus of its Eleventh Street line at Eleventh Street and Florida Avenue, north by double track along Eleventh Street to Lydecker Avenue, thence easterly along Lydecker Avenue by single track to Morgan Street, thence northerly along Morgan Street to Lamar Place, thence west along Lamar Place to Eslin Street, thence south along Eslin Street to Lydecker Avenue, connecting at that point with the tracks herein authorized."

The underground system is required, and the extension must be completed and the cars in operation within two years. The plans of extension must also be approved by the District Commissioners.

CHARGED WITH STEALING A COAT.

Fritz Willoughby Arrested by Detective Lohman.

Detective Lohman, of the Fifth precinct, arrested Fritz Willoughby, a florist, yesterday on a charge of petty larceny. Willoughby, it is alleged, took a coat and vest from the house of John F. Graney, 302 A Street southeast, Monday night or Tuesday morning. When Mr. Graney discovered his loss he reported the matter to Detective Lohman.

The clothing is said to have been sold to a person in the southwest section of the city, the vendor saying he had purchased it from Willoughby. The garment was recovered.

INDUSTRIAL HOME SCHOOL.

Appropriations Asked for Pumps and Other Needed Improvements. Mr. J. Ormond Wilson, president of the board of trustees of the Industrial Home School, recently submitted a report upon the drainage of the buildings to the District Commissioners and asked them to include in their estimates for the expenses of the institution items of \$2,152 for pumping apparatus, \$550 per annum for maintaining the same and \$8,000 to enlarge the girls' quarters.

Col. John Biddle, the Engineer-Commissioner, has submitted a statement to his associates in which he says that the only question in the case is the fact that the Navy Department desires to purchase the site of the Industrial Home School to add to the reservation for the observatory. As the amount asked for the pumping apparatus is small, and as the school will probably remain where it is for a few years, Colonel Biddle asks that this expenditure be allowed.

Limited Divorce Asked.

Proceedings for a limited divorce were instituted yesterday by Mrs. Jennie M. Bovee against Mr. Frank M. Bovee. They were married June 4, 1899, and Mrs. Bovee declares her husband deserted her in December, 1906, leaving her without means of support. Mr. Levi H. David is named as counsel for the complainant.

KING'S PALACE DEPARTMENT STORES

810-812-814-7th Street - 715-Market Space

Agency McCall Bazar Patterns, 10c and 15c.

There Are a Host of Saving Opportunities Offered You Today in This

GREEN TICKET SALE.

The immensity of the bargains will surprise, especially when you have been here and seen the high character of the merchandise.

\$10 and \$12 Suits, \$7.50.

Fifty Women's All-wool Cheviot, Venetian, and Homespun Suits—in single breasted and double breasted Etons, some plain and some trimmed with three rows of moire silk on jacket and skirt—skirts also have graduated flounces and panel effect. In the lot there are all sizes and blue, black, and brown. \$7.50, instead of \$10 and \$12.

\$3 Walking Skirts, \$1.95.

50 Women's All-wool Walking Skirts in black, oxford, and gray; made with stitched bottom and strapped seams and generously full in width; they are just such walking skirts as for which others ask you \$3.00 and which are an extraordinary bargain at \$1.95.

\$5 Cloth Skirt, \$2.75.

New blue, black, and light and dark Grey Walking Skirts, in new serpentine effects—with heavily attached bottoms. Also Dress Skirts of all-wool cloth—satin trimmed and plain—full flounce effect—in black, blue, grey, and oxford—\$2.75.

Startling Silk Waist Offering.

A purchase of the most fashionable and highest grade Silk Waists, worth \$5 to \$8.98, to be offered at \$3.85

We have just come into possession of a lot of Women's New Spring Waists at a price which enables us to offer you a bargain of the most sensational character. The lot consists of waists made of high-grade taffeta, Peau de Soie, and Imperial silk, in black, white, and variety of plain colors and in polka dot effects in several combinations; all are hemstitched and tucked back and front, trimmed with silk ribbon and have trimmable Gibson waist. There are all sizes from 32 to 44.

A glance at these waists, followed by a casual examination of workmanship, will prove to you that they are the best values you have ever bought. \$3.85 instead of \$5 to \$8.98.

\$5 to \$7 Silk Waists, \$2.25.

Another Silk Waist offering that is most unusual, made of an excellent quality of taffeta in all shades; tucked, hemstitched, and trimmed, in every size from 32 to 42 and fully worth \$5 to \$7, for \$2.25. The opportunity comes through a purchase of a maker's samples.

\$1.65 for Misses' and Child's Auto Coats.

100 Misses' Spring-weight All-wool Automobile Coats—in blue, tan, camel, brown, and red, trimmed with braid—one style a plain Auto coat with notch collar, and one with deep round sailor collar—made of good quality all-wool cloth. Sizes 8 to 14 years—\$1.65.

\$2.95 for \$5.00 Spring Jackets.

Elegant assortment of Women's Tan Covert Cloth Spring Jackets—lined throughout; in coat effects. And also Black Eton Jackets, with satin revers. All \$5 values—to go on sale today at \$2.95.

Green Ticket Specials in Spring Millinery

—trimmed hats, untrimmed hats, flowers, foliage, and ribbons. Special attention is called to the offering of trimmed hats at \$3.90 and the chiffon hats at 75c.

\$3.90 for \$5 and \$6 Trimmed Hats.

Mousseline Chiffon and braid and flower-trimmed Hats in newest shapes; all the most exquisite creations which you'll find elsewhere for \$5 and \$6; today for \$3.90.

75c for \$1.50 Mousseline and Chiffon Hats.

Tucked Chiffon and Mousseline Hats, made on wire frames, in the very latest styles—newest spring shapes, black, white and colors; sold everywhere at \$1.50 just now, for 75c.

3c for All-silk Satin Ribbons.

No. 5 all-silk satin Ribbons in all colors—cut from the piece (not remnants), which we warrant pure silk, for 3c a yard.

12c for 25c Lovely Crush Roses.

Imported Crush Roses—three in a bunch—in all latest spring shades, pink, mauve, jacks, cream, and white—12c instead of 25c.

14c for 30c and 50c Flowers and Foliage.

Large lot of medium and large sprays of Flowers and Foliage, embracing American Beauty and crush roses, apple blossoms, poppies, field daisies, and field flowers of all kinds, clover, etc.—all of them full sprays and all 30c and 50c values—for 14c.

2c for 50 doz. bunches Imported Violets.

9c for "American Beauty" Roses.

Handsome American Beauty Roses with bud and foliage will be sold today for 9c each.

9c for All-silk Metallic Taffeta Ribbons.

The all-silk, highly lustrous metallic taffeta Ribbons, which won't crush and of which you all know the usual price—3 1/2 inches wide, and in black, white, pink, blue, red, maize, royal, castor, grey, turquoise, old rose, lavender, and heliotrope—no remnants, but cut from the piece—9c yard.

DOCTORS ENDORSE SWAMP-ROOT

To Prove what Swamp-Root, the Great Kidney, Liver, or Bladder Remedy, will do for YOU, Every Reader of The Times May Have a Sample Bottle Sent Free by Mail.



DR. KILMER & CO., Binghamton, N. Y. Gentlemen: While it has never been my habit or inclination to recommend remedies the ingredients of which are not all known to me, it should make an exception in the case of Dr. Kilmer's Swamp-Root. My experience, so far as I have tested it in my practice, forces me to the conclusion that it is a remedy of the greatest value in all kidney, liver, bladder and other inflammatory conditions of the genito-urinary tract. I now take pleasure in prescribing Swamp-Root in all such cases with a feeling of assurance that my patients will derive great benefit from its use. I shall continue to prescribe it in other cases in my practice with the expectation of good results. Very truly yours,

(A. J. Halle, Md.) A. J. Halle, M.D.

Gentlemen: I have prescribed that wonderful remedy for kidney complaint, Dr. Kilmer's Swamp-Root, with most beneficial effect and know of many cures by its use. These patients had kidney troubles, as diagnosed by other physicians, and treated without benefit. Dr. Kilmer's Swamp-Root effected a cure. I am a liberal man and accept a specific wherever I find it, in an accepted school or out of it. For desperate cases of kidney complaint under treatment with unsatisfactory results I turn to Dr. Kilmer's Swamp-Root with most flattering results. I shall continue to prescribe it, and from personal observation state that Swamp-Root has great curative properties. Truly yours,

(L. Bostlow, N. Y.) L. Bostlow, N. Y.

Swamp-Root is pleasant to take and is used in the leading hospitals, recommended by physicians in their private practice, and is taken by doctors themselves who have kidney ailments, because they recognize in it the greatest and most successful remedy for kidney, liver, and bladder troubles.

EDITORIAL NOTE—If you have the slightest symptoms of kidney or bladder trouble, or if there is a trace of it in your family history, send at once to Dr. Kilmer & Co., Binghamton, N. Y., who will gladly send you by mail, immediately, without cost to you, a sample bottle of Swamp-Root and a book telling all about Swamp-Root and containing many of the thousands upon thousands of testimonial letters received from men and women cured. In writing to Dr. Kilmer & Co., Binghamton, be sure to say that you read this generous offer in the Washington Daily Times.

If you are already convinced that Swamp-Root is what you need, you can purchase the regular fifty-cent and one-dollar size bottles at the drug stores everywhere. Don't make any mistake, but remember the name, Swamp-Root, Dr. Kilmer's Swamp-Root, and the address, Binghamton, N. Y.

CALLISHER Is famous for selling DIAMONDS At the Lowest Prices in Town. 617 PENNA. AVE. N.W.

DOLLS REPAIRED At the lowest possible prices. We can repair HEADS, ARMS, HANDS, WIGS, LEGS AND EYES for either Bisque or Kid Body Dolls, and the Elastic Cord type for string up joints, and you can do the repairing yourself if you choose.

HOLMES & CO., Rubber Goods, 511 Ninth St. N. W.

Expert Roof Repairing. No cost patches, but solder and tin. Stoves cleaned and furnaces for sale and repaired. Roofing, gutters and spouting. W. M. B. MARCHE, the Stove Man, 265 East Capitol St. Phone E-84.

GRAFTON & SON, 714 6th St. At the sign of the Black Cat.

CHAS. E. EBEL, Dealer in CHOICE MEATS, 646, 647 and 648 Center Market, Ninth St. Wing. Phone, Main-2522.

GAS HEATERS Are just the thing to use now. You need a fire—but want one to suit the temperature. There's where Gas Heaters prove doubly good. They give the amount of heat you want—regulate it by turning the valve. We're showing all kinds of Gas Heaters. See them.

Mann's Capital City Lawn Grass Seed. The Most Valuable Lawns in the Capital City are the product of this seed. Flower Seeds, Fertilizers, Bulbs, etc. P. Mann & Co., 207 7th St.

"BABEK" CURES MALARIA, CHILLS, AND FEVER. Used for Years. Never Fails. At Your Druggist's.

GAS APPLIANCE EXCHANGE, 1424 NEW YORK AVE.

Sanitary Milk is essential to all mankind, particularly infants and invalids. Call and see the sanitary appliances of the INGLESIDE DAIRY, 1757 Pa. Ave. N.W. W. B. DODGE & SON, - Phone 2321-3.

LEGAL NOTICE.

SUPREME COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, HOLDING A PROBATE COURT. No. 10057. Administration. This is to give notice that the subscriber, of the District of Columbia, has obtained from the Probate Court of the District of Columbia letters testamentary on the estate of Abraham D. Hagen, late of the District of Columbia deceased. All persons having claims against the deceased are hereby warned to exhibit the same, with the vouchers therefor, legally authenticated, to the subscriber, on or before the 15TH DAY OF MARCH, A. D. 1932; otherwise they may be excluded from all benefits of said estate. Given under my hand this 12th day of March, 1932.

AMERICAN SECURITY AND TRUST CO. By JAMES F. HOOD, Secretary. Attest: LOUIS A. DENT, Register of Wills for the District of Columbia, Clerk of the Probate Court. WILLIAM A. MCKENNEY, Attorney. mh14,12,28

SUPREME COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, HOLDING A PROBATE COURT. No. 10017. Administration. This is to give notice that the subscriber, of the District of Columbia, has obtained from the Probate Court of the District of Columbia letters testamentary on the estate of Catherine C. Emmerich, late of the District of Columbia, deceased. All persons having claims against the deceased are hereby warned to exhibit the same, with the vouchers therefor, legally authenticated, to the subscriber, on or before the 15TH DAY OF MARCH, A. D. 1932; otherwise they may be excluded from all benefits of said estate. Given under my hand this 12th day of March, 1932.

AMERICAN SECURITY AND TRUST CO. By JAMES F. HOOD, Secretary. Attest: LOUIS A. DENT, Register of Wills for the District of Columbia, Clerk of the Probate Court. WILLIAM A. MCKENNEY, Attorney. mh14,12,28

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA—DANIEL BRUCE ET AL. vs. HANNAH A. ROZIER ET AL. AND THE UNKNOWN HEIRS, DEVISEES AND ASSIGNEES OF DANIEL BRUCE, OTHERWISE KNOWN AS DANIEL A. LEWIS, Deceased. Equity No. 2200. The object of this suit is the partition of all that piece or parcel of ground being part of square 907, beginning for one foot 10 inches from the northwest corner of said square, and fronting on 7th and extending to the alley fronting 7th at 10 feet 6 inches, thence east 125 feet to the alley, thence north with said alley, 19 feet to the first of the lines, and to the place of beginning, along the parties in interest.

On motion of complainants it is this 12TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, A. D. 1932, ordered that the defendants, Hannah A. Rozier, Lewis M. Bruce, George H. Bruce, John Bruce, Alice Bruce, David J. Bruce, Estelle Bruce, Arthur A. Bruce, Laura Frith, John Frith, John Bell Ford, Charlotte Ford, William Ford, Constance Kennedy, Nathaniel Kennedy, Robert Bruce, Mary Bruce, Ellen Yancy, Beverly C. Yancy, Equity No. 2200, be and they are, to appear hereon or before the 15th day of MARCH, A. D. 1932, to answer to the petition of the complainants, and to show cause why the same should not be granted; and it is further ordered that the defendants, the unknown heirs, devisees, and assignees of Daniel Bruce, deceased, otherwise known as Daniel A. Lewis, cause their appearance to be entered herein on or before the 15th day of MARCH, A. D. 1932, to answer to the petition of the complainants, and to show cause why the same should not be granted; and it is further ordered that the defendants, the unknown heirs, devisees, and assignees of Daniel Bruce, deceased, otherwise known as Daniel A. Lewis, cause their appearance to be entered herein on or before the 15th day of MARCH, A. D. 1932, to answer to the petition of the complainants, and to show cause why the same should not be granted; and it is further ordered that the defendants, the unknown heirs, devisees, and assignees of Daniel Bruce, deceased, otherwise known as Daniel A. Lewis, cause their appearance to be entered herein on or before the 15th day of MARCH, A. D. 1932, to answer to the petition of the complainants, and to show cause why the same should not be granted; and it is further ordered that the defendants, the unknown heirs, devisees, and assignees of Daniel Bruce, deceased, otherwise known as Daniel A. Lewis, cause their appearance to be entered herein on or before the 15th day of MARCH, A. D. 1932, to answer to the petition of the complainants, and to show cause why the same should not be granted; and it is further ordered that the defendants, the unknown heirs, devisees, and assignees of Daniel Bruce, deceased, otherwise known as Daniel A. Lewis, cause their appearance to be entered herein on or before the 15th day of MARCH, A. D. 1932, to answer to the petition of the complainants, and to show cause why the same should not be granted; and it is further ordered that the defendants, the unknown heirs, devisees, and assignees of Daniel Bruce, deceased, otherwise known as Daniel A. Lewis, cause their appearance to be entered herein on or before the 15th day of MARCH, A. D. 1932, to answer to the petition of the complainants, and to show cause why the same should not be granted; and it is further ordered that the defendants, the unknown heirs, devisees, and assignees of Daniel Bruce, deceased, otherwise known as Daniel A. Lewis, cause their appearance to be entered herein on or before the 15th day of MARCH, A. D. 1932, to answer to the petition of the complainants, and to show cause why the same should not be granted; and it is further ordered that the defendants, the unknown heirs, devisees, and assignees of Daniel Bruce, deceased, otherwise known as Daniel A. Lewis, cause their appearance to be entered herein on or before the 15th day of MARCH, A. D. 1932, to answer to the petition of the complainants, and to show cause why the same should not be granted; and it is further ordered that the defendants, the unknown heirs, devisees, and assignees of Daniel Bruce, deceased, otherwise known as Daniel A. Lewis, cause their appearance to be entered herein on or before the 15th day of MARCH, A. D. 1932, to answer to the petition of the complainants, and to show cause why the same should not be granted; and it is further ordered that the defendants, the unknown heirs, devisees, and assignees of Daniel Bruce, deceased, otherwise known as Daniel A. Lewis, cause their appearance to be entered herein on or before the 15th day of MARCH, A. D. 1932, to answer to the petition of the complainants, and to show cause why the same should not be granted; and it is further ordered that the defendants, the unknown heirs, devisees, and assignees of Daniel Bruce, deceased, otherwise known as Daniel A. Lewis, cause their appearance to be entered herein on or before the 15th day of MARCH, A. D. 1932, to answer to the petition of the complainants, and to show cause why the same should not be granted; and it is further ordered that the defendants, the unknown heirs, devisees, and assignees of Daniel Bruce, deceased, otherwise known as Daniel A. Lewis, cause their appearance to be entered herein on or before the 15th day of MARCH, A. D. 1932, to answer to the petition of the complainants, and to show cause why the same should not be granted; and it is further ordered that the defendants, the unknown heirs, devisees, and assignees of Daniel Bruce, deceased, otherwise known as Daniel A. Lewis, cause their appearance to be entered herein on or before the 15th day of MARCH, A. D. 1932, to answer to the petition of the complainants, and to show cause why the same should not be granted; and it is further ordered that the defendants, the unknown heirs, devisees, and assignees of Daniel Bruce, deceased, otherwise known as Daniel A. Lewis, cause their appearance to be entered herein on or before the 15th day of MARCH, A. D. 1932, to answer to the petition of the complainants, and to show cause why the same should not be granted; and it is further ordered that the defendants, the unknown heirs, devisees, and assignees of Daniel Bruce, deceased, otherwise known as Daniel A. Lewis, cause their appearance to be entered herein on or before the 15th day of MARCH, A. D. 1932, to answer to the petition of the complainants, and to show cause why the same should not be granted; and it is further ordered that the defendants, the unknown heirs, devisees, and assignees of Daniel Bruce, deceased, otherwise known as Daniel A. Lewis, cause their appearance to be entered herein on or before the 15th day of MARCH, A. D. 1932, to answer to the petition of the complainants, and to show cause why the same should not be granted; and it is further ordered that the defendants, the unknown heirs, devisees, and assignees of Daniel Bruce, deceased, otherwise known as Daniel A. Lewis, cause their appearance to be entered herein on or before the 15th day of MARCH, A. D. 1932, to answer to the petition of the complainants, and to show cause why the same should not be granted; and it is further ordered that the defendants, the unknown heirs, devisees, and assignees of Daniel Bruce, deceased, otherwise known as Daniel A. Lewis, cause their appearance to be entered herein on or before the 15th day of MARCH, A. D. 1932, to answer to the petition of the complainants, and to show cause why the same should not be granted; and it is further ordered that the defendants, the unknown heirs, devisees, and assign