

The Ohio Democrat.

BREHM & WHITE, Publishers.

LOGAN, : OHIO.

CURRENT TOPICS.

BLIND Tom's last name is Wiggins.
It is a dull day that does not bring a war-tumor from Europe.

Wm. Aaron recently ordered a \$350 baby carriage for a grandchild.

NOVA SCOTIA'S apple crop is larger this season than for many years past.

JAMES C. Flood has just finished a \$3,000,000 dwelling in San Francisco.

The Pasteur Institute, Paris, has reached the sum of 1,443,900 francs.

A FARMER near Albany, N. Y., has raised a potato weighing fifty-five ounces.

By next spring the French army will have new rifles. It will cost \$20,000,000.

A SECRET SOCIETY of servants for robbing employers has been unearthed in New York.

Eighty girls who landed at Castle Garden the other day fifty-two were red-headed.

KATH PUTNAM, the actress, has an income of \$10,000 from her fruit farm in Michigan.

A BARREL of cider exploded near Greenville, Mich., the other day, and broke a woman's jaw.

JOHN ROACH is said to have cancerous trouble in his throat, similar to that of General Grant.

The juice of half a lemon in a glass of water, with one sugar, will frequently cure a sick headache.

CHICAGO Anarchists are threatening all kinds of vengeance on the prosecution in the recent trial.

A PHILADELPHIA burglar recently printed an indictment that he was ever a New York sidewalk.

SULLIVAN is going to England and thus he is nearly even with England for sending Lansdale over here.

THERE has been discovered on lands near Williamsport, Pa., large deposits of yellow ochre—a four-foot vein.

The Bartholdi Statue of Liberty is to keep her 6,000-candle power electric light burning all day and all night.

SIMON CAMERON is the only survivor of the Senate of Polk's administration now that David L. Yule is a senator.

A PALMIDROM in actual existence is the sign of a baker in Yreka, Siskiyou County, Cal. This is "Yreka Bakery."

NO FEAR "I told you so" has risen to assert that he predicted, several months ago, the storm which destroyed Sabine Pass.

A THRIVING business is done in some American cities in letting out dress suits. The charge for an evening is three dollars.

A WOMAN working in a cooper-shop at Kalamazoo, Mich., can make as many barrels a day as any of the male employes.

HENRY GEORGE was once the private secretary of Abraham S. Hewitt. Now they are rival candidates for Mayor of New York.

IT is reported that a daughter of General Buckner is about to go on the stage. She will conceal her identity under a stage name.

The feeling in France against every thing German is so intense that the sale of toys manufactured in the fatherland has been interdicted.

THERE are twenty-seven women in English prisons, undergoing penal servitude for infanticide, all of whom were originally sentenced to die.

A DAKOTA man won the esteem of his townpeople by walking seventy-five miles in order that he might pay a note "exactly when it was due."

Two letters written by Benedict Arnold, dated six months apart, and proposing marriage to two different women, are said to be identical in terms.

PHILADELPHIA has more daily papers than New York and Boston combined, while New York has more theaters than Philadelphia and Boston combined.

THERE are now twelve Hindoo missionaries in order that they may take the way to the United States to convert our poor heathens to the right way of living.

THERE are now 8,000 miles of overhead wires in London. The local authorities have control over the wires only where there is an interference with the roadway.

An effort is being made to have the pay of the teachers of the Philadelphia public schools increased twenty-five per cent. It appears that they have been miserably underpaid.

The Bulgarian question in its present state is getting to be a chestnut. If the czar does not make a move one of these days he will lose his prestige as the European bulldozer.

The old bridge of Ayr, in Scotland, made memorable in Burns' "The Two Briggs," is to be demolished. It is 251 years old, and was built, like the pyramid of Rhodospis, at the sole expense of a single lady.

ONE of the peculiarities of a 250-pound pumpkin grown at Newburg, N. Y., is that it was fed on milk. A root was sent out from the vine to a basin full of milk, and it consumed a pint of fluid each day.

PROF. JOHN LAWRENCE SULLIVAN, interviewed at Pittsburgh the other day, boasted that he had sold a card on which he had bought \$1,000 worth of cloth a recently and has "the prettiest clothes you ever saw."

A NORWEGIAN lawyer who died recently was such an enthusiast that he willed all his property for the purchase of bicycles for the school children of Christiania. His children will contest the strange bequest.

The common nutmegs are poisonous in large doses. A case which came under medical treatment a lady had eaten a whole nutmeg and a half, which caused extreme drowsiness, then great nervous excitement, followed by subsequent depression and pain in the region of the heart.

The chestnut ball has been superseded by the tar card. When the story-teller becomes too enthusiastic in his exaggeration the listener hands him a card on which is printed, "I am somewhat of a liar myself."

A RACHELON of East Grand Forks, D. T., gave a dance. Among the guests were twenty unmarried young women. During the evening the host proposed marriage to fifteen of them. They all declined with thanks.

It cost the last New York alderman who went to Canada \$25,000 to get away from home; but it will cost the next one \$50,000, the ball of each having been increased to that amount. The business is fast ceasing to be a joke for them.

A YOUNG man and a young woman of Meigs, Ill., have made a wager upon the fate of a Congress. The young man has made the forthcoming election a subject of grave concern to them. If the candidate in question wins, the girl agrees to marry the man. If he loses, the man agrees to marry the girl.

An eighty-foot electric light pole was raised at Elizabeth, Pa., and the following night a wag placed a common lantern on its top. The citizens gathered and an informal meeting was held. The electric light company was condemned, and that particular light was pronounced a fraud. They were slow in discovering the joke.

INTER-STATE COMMERCE.

A Supreme Court Decision of Importance.

State Statute Regulating Railway Charges for Transportation Constituting a Part of Commerce Among the States is Not a Valid Law.

WASHINGTON, Oct. 25.—The United States Supreme Court has just rendered another decision of great importance on the subject of inter-State commerce. It is a decision which will attract more attention even than the former decision of the same subject. It probably goes quite as far in the assertion of the power of the General Government over the commerce of the States as is desired by the most extreme advocates of a logical enforcement of this decision will be very greatly strengthened, the majority of the court say:

"We must, therefore, hold that it is not and never has been the deliberate opinion of a majority of this court that a statute of State which attempts to regulate the fares and charges by railroad companies within its limits for a transportation which constitutes a part of the commerce among the States, is a valid law."

The case comes up from Illinois, and is known as the case of the *Wabash* railroad. The last time it was before the court was in the *Wabash*, St. Louis and Pacific Railroad Company case, in violation of a statute of Illinois which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads.

The case comes up from Illinois, and is known as the case of the *Wabash* railroad. The last time it was before the court was in the *Wabash*, St. Louis and Pacific Railroad Company case, in violation of a statute of Illinois which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads.

The case comes up from Illinois, and is known as the case of the *Wabash* railroad. The last time it was before the court was in the *Wabash*, St. Louis and Pacific Railroad Company case, in violation of a statute of Illinois which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads.

The case comes up from Illinois, and is known as the case of the *Wabash* railroad. The last time it was before the court was in the *Wabash*, St. Louis and Pacific Railroad Company case, in violation of a statute of Illinois which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads.

The case comes up from Illinois, and is known as the case of the *Wabash* railroad. The last time it was before the court was in the *Wabash*, St. Louis and Pacific Railroad Company case, in violation of a statute of Illinois which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads.

The case comes up from Illinois, and is known as the case of the *Wabash* railroad. The last time it was before the court was in the *Wabash*, St. Louis and Pacific Railroad Company case, in violation of a statute of Illinois which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads.

The case comes up from Illinois, and is known as the case of the *Wabash* railroad. The last time it was before the court was in the *Wabash*, St. Louis and Pacific Railroad Company case, in violation of a statute of Illinois which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads.

The case comes up from Illinois, and is known as the case of the *Wabash* railroad. The last time it was before the court was in the *Wabash*, St. Louis and Pacific Railroad Company case, in violation of a statute of Illinois which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads.

The case comes up from Illinois, and is known as the case of the *Wabash* railroad. The last time it was before the court was in the *Wabash*, St. Louis and Pacific Railroad Company case, in violation of a statute of Illinois which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads.

The case comes up from Illinois, and is known as the case of the *Wabash* railroad. The last time it was before the court was in the *Wabash*, St. Louis and Pacific Railroad Company case, in violation of a statute of Illinois which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads.

The case comes up from Illinois, and is known as the case of the *Wabash* railroad. The last time it was before the court was in the *Wabash*, St. Louis and Pacific Railroad Company case, in violation of a statute of Illinois which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads.

The case comes up from Illinois, and is known as the case of the *Wabash* railroad. The last time it was before the court was in the *Wabash*, St. Louis and Pacific Railroad Company case, in violation of a statute of Illinois which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads.

The case comes up from Illinois, and is known as the case of the *Wabash* railroad. The last time it was before the court was in the *Wabash*, St. Louis and Pacific Railroad Company case, in violation of a statute of Illinois which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads.

The case comes up from Illinois, and is known as the case of the *Wabash* railroad. The last time it was before the court was in the *Wabash*, St. Louis and Pacific Railroad Company case, in violation of a statute of Illinois which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads.

The case comes up from Illinois, and is known as the case of the *Wabash* railroad. The last time it was before the court was in the *Wabash*, St. Louis and Pacific Railroad Company case, in violation of a statute of Illinois which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads.

The case comes up from Illinois, and is known as the case of the *Wabash* railroad. The last time it was before the court was in the *Wabash*, St. Louis and Pacific Railroad Company case, in violation of a statute of Illinois which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads.

The case comes up from Illinois, and is known as the case of the *Wabash* railroad. The last time it was before the court was in the *Wabash*, St. Louis and Pacific Railroad Company case, in violation of a statute of Illinois which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads.

The case comes up from Illinois, and is known as the case of the *Wabash* railroad. The last time it was before the court was in the *Wabash*, St. Louis and Pacific Railroad Company case, in violation of a statute of Illinois which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads.

The case comes up from Illinois, and is known as the case of the *Wabash* railroad. The last time it was before the court was in the *Wabash*, St. Louis and Pacific Railroad Company case, in violation of a statute of Illinois which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads.

The case comes up from Illinois, and is known as the case of the *Wabash* railroad. The last time it was before the court was in the *Wabash*, St. Louis and Pacific Railroad Company case, in violation of a statute of Illinois which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads.

The case comes up from Illinois, and is known as the case of the *Wabash* railroad. The last time it was before the court was in the *Wabash*, St. Louis and Pacific Railroad Company case, in violation of a statute of Illinois which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads.

The case comes up from Illinois, and is known as the case of the *Wabash* railroad. The last time it was before the court was in the *Wabash*, St. Louis and Pacific Railroad Company case, in violation of a statute of Illinois which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads.

The case comes up from Illinois, and is known as the case of the *Wabash* railroad. The last time it was before the court was in the *Wabash*, St. Louis and Pacific Railroad Company case, in violation of a statute of Illinois which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads.

The case comes up from Illinois, and is known as the case of the *Wabash* railroad. The last time it was before the court was in the *Wabash*, St. Louis and Pacific Railroad Company case, in violation of a statute of Illinois which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads.

The case comes up from Illinois, and is known as the case of the *Wabash* railroad. The last time it was before the court was in the *Wabash*, St. Louis and Pacific Railroad Company case, in violation of a statute of Illinois which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads.

The case comes up from Illinois, and is known as the case of the *Wabash* railroad. The last time it was before the court was in the *Wabash*, St. Louis and Pacific Railroad Company case, in violation of a statute of Illinois which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads.

The case comes up from Illinois, and is known as the case of the *Wabash* railroad. The last time it was before the court was in the *Wabash*, St. Louis and Pacific Railroad Company case, in violation of a statute of Illinois which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads.

The case comes up from Illinois, and is known as the case of the *Wabash* railroad. The last time it was before the court was in the *Wabash*, St. Louis and Pacific Railroad Company case, in violation of a statute of Illinois which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads.

The case comes up from Illinois, and is known as the case of the *Wabash* railroad. The last time it was before the court was in the *Wabash*, St. Louis and Pacific Railroad Company case, in violation of a statute of Illinois which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads.

The case comes up from Illinois, and is known as the case of the *Wabash* railroad. The last time it was before the court was in the *Wabash*, St. Louis and Pacific Railroad Company case, in violation of a statute of Illinois which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads.

The case comes up from Illinois, and is known as the case of the *Wabash* railroad. The last time it was before the court was in the *Wabash*, St. Louis and Pacific Railroad Company case, in violation of a statute of Illinois which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads.

The case comes up from Illinois, and is known as the case of the *Wabash* railroad. The last time it was before the court was in the *Wabash*, St. Louis and Pacific Railroad Company case, in violation of a statute of Illinois which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads.

The case comes up from Illinois, and is known as the case of the *Wabash* railroad. The last time it was before the court was in the *Wabash*, St. Louis and Pacific Railroad Company case, in violation of a statute of Illinois which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads.

The case comes up from Illinois, and is known as the case of the *Wabash* railroad. The last time it was before the court was in the *Wabash*, St. Louis and Pacific Railroad Company case, in violation of a statute of Illinois which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads.

The case comes up from Illinois, and is known as the case of the *Wabash* railroad. The last time it was before the court was in the *Wabash*, St. Louis and Pacific Railroad Company case, in violation of a statute of Illinois which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads.

The case comes up from Illinois, and is known as the case of the *Wabash* railroad. The last time it was before the court was in the *Wabash*, St. Louis and Pacific Railroad Company case, in violation of a statute of Illinois which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads.

The case comes up from Illinois, and is known as the case of the *Wabash* railroad. The last time it was before the court was in the *Wabash*, St. Louis and Pacific Railroad Company case, in violation of a statute of Illinois which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads.

The case comes up from Illinois, and is known as the case of the *Wabash* railroad. The last time it was before the court was in the *Wabash*, St. Louis and Pacific Railroad Company case, in violation of a statute of Illinois which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads.

The case comes up from Illinois, and is known as the case of the *Wabash* railroad. The last time it was before the court was in the *Wabash*, St. Louis and Pacific Railroad Company case, in violation of a statute of Illinois which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads.

The case comes up from Illinois, and is known as the case of the *Wabash* railroad. The last time it was before the court was in the *Wabash*, St. Louis and Pacific Railroad Company case, in violation of a statute of Illinois which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads.

The case comes up from Illinois, and is known as the case of the *Wabash* railroad. The last time it was before the court was in the *Wabash*, St. Louis and Pacific Railroad Company case, in violation of a statute of Illinois which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads.

The case comes up from Illinois, and is known as the case of the *Wabash* railroad. The last time it was before the court was in the *Wabash*, St. Louis and Pacific Railroad Company case, in violation of a statute of Illinois which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads.

The case comes up from Illinois, and is known as the case of the *Wabash* railroad. The last time it was before the court was in the *Wabash*, St. Louis and Pacific Railroad Company case, in violation of a statute of Illinois which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads.

The case comes up from Illinois, and is known as the case of the *Wabash* railroad. The last time it was before the court was in the *Wabash*, St. Louis and Pacific Railroad Company case, in violation of a statute of Illinois which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads.

The case comes up from Illinois, and is known as the case of the *Wabash* railroad. The last time it was before the court was in the *Wabash*, St. Louis and Pacific Railroad Company case, in violation of a statute of Illinois which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads.

The case comes up from Illinois, and is known as the case of the *Wabash* railroad. The last time it was before the court was in the *Wabash*, St. Louis and Pacific Railroad Company case, in violation of a statute of Illinois which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads.

The case comes up from Illinois, and is known as the case of the *Wabash* railroad. The last time it was before the court was in the *Wabash*, St. Louis and Pacific Railroad Company case, in violation of a statute of Illinois which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads, and which prohibited the charging of toll and compensation for the transportation of freight on the line of the *Wabash* and Chicago roads.

The case