

GRAND ENTREE OF AUTUMN MODES

The new Fall fashions will receive their first showing tomorrow. It is an unrivaled exhibit in many ways and of special interest to fashion adherents. To add interest to the occasion, we offer very special values in new goods that we were fortunate enough to secure under price.

NO 14 of LIPPITT'S SERIES OF WEDNESDAY SURPRISE SALES WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 1, 1902.

New Dress Goods

We are showing very extensive lines of new fall dress fabrics, every late weave, every new novelty is here in splendid variety. Owing to some very fortunate purchases we are able to offer you the following extraordinary values.

Homespun Suitings 54 inches wide, light or dark grays and brown mixed, suitable for rainy day skirts, etc., no lining required, the \$1.00 value during this sale, a yard..... **85c**

Zibeline Suitings 40 inches wide, all wool, gray or brown mixed, a very popular weave for this season, and extremely modish, the \$1.00 value during this sale, a yard..... **65c**

Ladies' Cloth 54 inches wide, in all the new shades, including the blue and sumac red the \$1.00 value, during this sale, a yard..... **65c**

Oxford Suitings 56 inches wide, 20-oz goods, suitable for rainy day suits or skirts, no lining required, the \$1.25 value during this sale, a yard..... **\$1.00**

Ladies', Misses' and Children's Outer Garments.

Our entire cloak section has an aspect of Fall and Winter. The newest fashions in Tailor Suits, Coats, Skirts and Waists are shown in splendid variety. The display is a most comprehensive one and embraces foreign models as well as American creations. It clearly mirrors the reigning modes. Lack of space will not permit us to give descriptions of them, but an early visit to Lippitt's will more than repay you.

JULIUS LIPPITT

THE PIONEER MERCHANT, COLFAX, WASH.

Extraordinary Silk Offer.

85c to \$1.25 quality silks in remnants, during this sale, a yard..... **60c**
Black Peau de Soie, the \$1.25 value, during this sale, a yard..... **85c**

New Fall and Winter Waists.

We are showing this season many new styles in Fall waists that are confined to Lippitt exclusively in Colfax, therefore you will have to come early to secure one of these beautiful waists.

THE COUNTY RECORDS

Title to Good Palouse Realty is Swapped for Coin.

Mortgages on Lands and Chattels Given and Released—Bills of Sale Filed.

Patents and Receipts.

Deaths.

Holzman & Reubens to D G Leitch	750 00
It 4 bk 3 Coffin ad Tekoa	
Saul L Jamison to R F Hayworth	300 00
It 5 bk 11 Tekoa	
W G Plummer to W H Plummer	50 00
It 2 bk 23 Farmington	
W G Plummer to M W Belshaw	1 00
It 2 bk 23 Farmington	
N P Ry Co to John Brown ne qr 11	19 39
It 1 and 2 e hf nw qr 7 19 40	
N P Ry Co to John Brown all 35 19	39
It 39	
Rose M Lombard to John Brown se	480 00
qr ne qr e hf se qr sw qr 24 19	
39	
Wm A Stevens to Charles E Stevens	500 00
Its 2 3 4 bk 12 Wiley's ad Palouse	
Sherriff to F C Davidson und 1-2 nw	1 00
qr 22 19 43	
Martha Carlson to Lewis Schrick h hf	875 00
ne qr 22 14 39	
H F Lang comm'r to Henry C Flower	100 00
10 acres ne qr sw qr 18 14 45	
W E Jaberg to Chambers Land Co	1500 00
tract 14 45	
W E Jaberg to Mabel L Petty part	2900 00
sw qr 4 14 45	
N P Ry Co to Anne Luther Its 3 and	793 73
4 e hf sw qr and se qr 7 15 42	
W B Blackburn to J W Richards se	1 00
qr se qr 21 17 44 ne qr ne qr 25 17 44	
Geo N Hill to Nels M Swanson se qr	4000 00
36 17 44	
1st Nat Bk Pullman to Jas W Hunge	500 00
gate Its 11 and 12 bk 9 Reaney's 2d	
ad Pullman	
Ella Jackson to Eva Stephens It 1 bk	400 00
2d Pullman	
Hettie B Ewert to Jos I Baker tract	500 00
18 John	
Leon Kuhn to Lulu Hulin It 5 bk 40	1 00
Colfax	
John Glaspey to Squires & Gaddis It	1 00
4 6 7 bk 2 It 6 bk 1 L & H ad Pull	
man	
J F Whalley to Livingstone & Kuhn	1000 00
Its 5 bk 48 Colfax	
Sarah E Boyer et al to Orris Dorman	1300 00
all 5 and 7 14 39	
Joe Waddel to Alonzo F Helm It 15	30 00
bk 2 1st add Guy	
Joe Waddel to John J Burton It 5 bk	35 00
7 Guy	
Imp't Co Guy to Ora D Kenoyer Its	20 00
5 6 bk 9 2nd Syd ad Guy	
Imp't Co Guy to Ora D Kenoyer It	30 00
4 bk 17 Syd ad Guy	
Pacific Coast Co to E A Torrence sw	1040 00
qr 17 42	
R F Booth to Mary Pears Its 5 6 bk	100 00
22 R R ad Farmington	
Berta A Campbell to Mary Pears It 8	375 00
bk 23 Farmington	
Geo T Huffman to A F Shepherd It 1	30 00
bk 1 Geo T Huffman's ad to Tekoa.	
Lulu Hulin to D F Trimble It 5 bk 40	

BOTTLE BABIES

Bottle babies are so likely to get thin. What can be done? More milk, condensed milk, watered milk, household mixtures—try them all. Then try a little Scott's Emulsion in the bottle.

It does for babies what it does for old folks—gives new, firm flesh and strong life. You'll be pleased with the result. It takes only a little in milk to make baby fat.

We'll send you a little to try, if you like. SCOTT & BOWNE, 409 Pearl street, New York.

COLD BLOODED FACTS

Continued from First Page.

Statement of Mr. Windus.

The following communication has been sent to the Commoner for publication in this week's issue. Mr. Windus kindly furnishes the Gazette the carbon copy, which reads as follows: Being conscious of having administered the affairs of my office during the past four years with perfect fairness and impartiality toward every one having business with the office, I would not spend a moment's time in replying, on my own account, to the letter given circulation in your columns and signed by W. V. Ewing. It is not complimentary to the intelligence of the readers of the Commoner to suppose that I need reply to inform them that the letter, replete with editorial headlines and comments so ingeniously devised, is nothing but a "political canard," inspired by the influences well known to all curbstone politicians of Colfax. The situation of a political party must indeed be desperate when such means are employed; but when so used as to do injury to a worthy aspirant for political office, by manufacturing a moral crime with which to connect him in some remote way as an accessory, is contemptible.

What actually happened: In the fall of 1900 without being directed by the law or any precedent, I caused upwards of two thousand statements to be mailed to delinquent tax payers, notifying them of their delinquency and calling their attention to the danger of letting these matters rest. I know that such a statement was mailed to Mr. Ewing and that it was not returned to me. Whether he received it or not I do not know, but have no doubt that he did, as in the statement purported to have been written by Mr. Ewing he says that he was in doubt as to the land being taxable, which I suppose he considered good reason for not paying the taxes.

As a matter of fact this land has escaped taxation for several years and Mr. Ewing may congratulate himself that he was not compelled to pay the tax, while other people holding land under similar contracts have paid the taxes promptly. The notice of foreclosure for delinquent taxes of 1895 and prior years was published in the Colfax Gazette, the official paper of the county, for six consecutive issues; said notice containing the description of the property, the name of the person to be assessed, the year or years for which the tax was delinquent, and the amount of the tax lien. This list contained between four and five thousand lots and tracts of land, a large number of which were redeemed after the publication of the notice of foreclosure. The next step was to post notices of sale, which again contained the description of the property, the name of the person to be assessed, the amount of the judgment, and the date on which the sale would begin. All legal requirements for foreclosure of tax liens were fulfilled, according to advice furnished by Mr. Neergaard, prosecuting attorney and attorney for county officials.

I might mention in this connection that other valuable pieces of property owned by individuals and many were forfeited to the county. One which comes to my mind at this time is an hundred and twenty acre tract of valuable land near Uniontown, bought by a prominent democrat, who has refused an offer to compromise and intends to hold the land.

Regarding the statement of Mr. Ewing that he could not get an itemized account of the taxes and costs, I will say that it is absolutely false and ridiculous, as the records of the treasurer's office are public property and open for inspection. My recollection of the matter is this: That after the property was sold and a deed issued, Mr. Ewing with his attorney, J. T. Brown (better known as "Shorty Brown") came to the treasurer's office and whether they asked for or got an itemized statement I do not know, but there was no reason why they could not have had one for the asking. I do know that Mr. Wyman, who represented the interest of the Second National Bank, which held Mr. Ewing's contract as security for payments advanced, received an itemized statement.

Now as to the tax sale. This sale was held, as advertised, at the front door of the court house and was open to any and all bidders. During the sale this particular piece of land was bid in by J. N. Pocock, through his attorney, Thomas Neill, there being no other bidders, after which a tax deed was issued, taking the matter entirely out of the hands of the treasurer's office. From a statement made by Mr. Ewing regarding the assessment of personal property, I am not surprised that he feels aggrieved because he has to pay taxes at all. One day recently while in the treasurer's office he stated that it was not his business to furnish the assessor with a list of his property, but that was the assessor's business—what he was paid for. Perhaps Mr. Ewing overlooked the fact that he signed an affidavit certifying that the assessment was a fair and correct list of all taxable property owned by him.

In conclusion I will say that I see no way in which Mr. Allen can be connected with this affair; and regarding the presumption of Mr. Ewing and the editor of the Commoner that Mr. Bellingier hopes to be chief deputy in case Mr. Allen is elected, I will state that it has been known to me for some time that Mr. Bellingier does not desire or intend to remain in the treasurer's office after January 1st next, but has not made this fact public for various reasons not necessary to explain in this article. I am firmly convinced that Mr. Ewing's alleged statement was gotten up, not for the "careful consideration of the taxpayers," but purely for political purposes, as is clearly shown by the glaring headlines and editorial comments of the Commoner. Very truly,
W. J. WINDUS.

COLD BLOODED FACTS

Continued from First Page.

Statement of Mr. Windus.

The following communication has been sent to the Commoner for publication in this week's issue. Mr. Windus kindly furnishes the Gazette the carbon copy, which reads as follows: Being conscious of having administered the affairs of my office during the past four years with perfect fairness and impartiality toward every one having business with the office, I would not spend a moment's time in replying, on my own account, to the letter given circulation in your columns and signed by W. V. Ewing. It is not complimentary to the intelligence of the readers of the Commoner to suppose that I need reply to inform them that the letter, replete with editorial headlines and comments so ingeniously devised, is nothing but a "political canard," inspired by the influences well known to all curbstone politicians of Colfax. The situation of a political party must indeed be desperate when such means are employed; but when so used as to do injury to a worthy aspirant for political office, by manufacturing a moral crime with which to connect him in some remote way as an accessory, is contemptible.

What actually happened: In the fall of 1900 without being directed by the law or any precedent, I caused upwards of two thousand statements to be mailed to delinquent tax payers, notifying them of their delinquency and calling their attention to the danger of letting these matters rest. I know that such a statement was mailed to Mr. Ewing and that it was not returned to me. Whether he received it or not I do not know, but have no doubt that he did, as in the statement purported to have been written by Mr. Ewing he says that he was in doubt as to the land being taxable, which I suppose he considered good reason for not paying the taxes.

As a matter of fact this land has escaped taxation for several years and Mr. Ewing may congratulate himself that he was not compelled to pay the tax, while other people holding land under similar contracts have paid the taxes promptly. The notice of foreclosure for delinquent taxes of 1895 and prior years was published in the Colfax Gazette, the official paper of the county, for six consecutive issues; said notice containing the description of the property, the name of the person to be assessed, the year or years for which the tax was delinquent, and the amount of the tax lien. This list contained between four and five thousand lots and tracts of land, a large number of which were redeemed after the publication of the notice of foreclosure. The next step was to post notices of sale, which again contained the description of the property, the name of the person to be assessed, the amount of the judgment, and the date on which the sale would begin. All legal requirements for foreclosure of tax liens were fulfilled, according to advice furnished by Mr. Neergaard, prosecuting attorney and attorney for county officials.

I might mention in this connection that other valuable pieces of property owned by individuals and many were forfeited to the county. One which comes to my mind at this time is an hundred and twenty acre tract of valuable land near Uniontown, bought by a prominent democrat, who has refused an offer to compromise and intends to hold the land.

Regarding the statement of Mr. Ewing that he could not get an itemized account of the taxes and costs, I will say that it is absolutely false and ridiculous, as the records of the treasurer's office are public property and open for inspection. My recollection of the matter is this: That after the property was sold and a deed issued, Mr. Ewing with his attorney, J. T. Brown (better known as "Shorty Brown") came to the treasurer's office and whether they asked for or got an itemized statement I do not know, but there was no reason why they could not have had one for the asking. I do know that Mr. Wyman, who represented the interest of the Second National Bank, which held Mr. Ewing's contract as security for payments advanced, received an itemized statement.

Now as to the tax sale. This sale was held, as advertised, at the front door of the court house and was open to any and all bidders. During the sale this particular piece of land was bid in by J. N. Pocock, through his attorney, Thomas Neill, there being no other bidders, after which a tax deed was issued, taking the matter entirely out of the hands of the treasurer's office. From a statement made by Mr. Ewing regarding the assessment of personal property, I am not surprised that he feels aggrieved because he has to pay taxes at all. One day recently while in the treasurer's office he stated that it was not his business to furnish the assessor with a list of his property, but that was the assessor's business—what he was paid for. Perhaps Mr. Ewing overlooked the fact that he signed an affidavit certifying that the assessment was a fair and correct list of all taxable property owned by him.

In conclusion I will say that I see no way in which Mr. Allen can be connected with this affair; and regarding the presumption of Mr. Ewing and the editor of the Commoner that Mr. Bellingier hopes to be chief deputy in case Mr. Allen is elected, I will state that it has been known to me for some time that Mr. Bellingier does not desire or intend to remain in the treasurer's office after January 1st next, but has not made this fact public for various reasons not necessary to explain in this article. I am firmly convinced that Mr. Ewing's alleged statement was gotten up, not for the "careful consideration of the taxpayers," but purely for political purposes, as is clearly shown by the glaring headlines and editorial comments of the Commoner. Very truly,
W. J. WINDUS.

COLD BLOODED FACTS

Continued from First Page.

Statement of Mr. Windus.

The following communication has been sent to the Commoner for publication in this week's issue. Mr. Windus kindly furnishes the Gazette the carbon copy, which reads as follows: Being conscious of having administered the affairs of my office during the past four years with perfect fairness and impartiality toward every one having business with the office, I would not spend a moment's time in replying, on my own account, to the letter given circulation in your columns and signed by W. V. Ewing. It is not complimentary to the intelligence of the readers of the Commoner to suppose that I need reply to inform them that the letter, replete with editorial headlines and comments so ingeniously devised, is nothing but a "political canard," inspired by the influences well known to all curbstone politicians of Colfax. The situation of a political party must indeed be desperate when such means are employed; but when so used as to do injury to a worthy aspirant for political office, by manufacturing a moral crime with which to connect him in some remote way as an accessory, is contemptible.

What actually happened: In the fall of 1900 without being directed by the law or any precedent, I caused upwards of two thousand statements to be mailed to delinquent tax payers, notifying them of their delinquency and calling their attention to the danger of letting these matters rest. I know that such a statement was mailed to Mr. Ewing and that it was not returned to me. Whether he received it or not I do not know, but have no doubt that he did, as in the statement purported to have been written by Mr. Ewing he says that he was in doubt as to the land being taxable, which I suppose he considered good reason for not paying the taxes.

As a matter of fact this land has escaped taxation for several years and Mr. Ewing may congratulate himself that he was not compelled to pay the tax, while other people holding land under similar contracts have paid the taxes promptly. The notice of foreclosure for delinquent taxes of 1895 and prior years was published in the Colfax Gazette, the official paper of the county, for six consecutive issues; said notice containing the description of the property, the name of the person to be assessed, the year or years for which the tax was delinquent, and the amount of the tax lien. This list contained between four and five thousand lots and tracts of land, a large number of which were redeemed after the publication of the notice of foreclosure. The next step was to post notices of sale, which again contained the description of the property, the name of the person to be assessed, the amount of the judgment, and the date on which the sale would begin. All legal requirements for foreclosure of tax liens were fulfilled, according to advice furnished by Mr. Neergaard, prosecuting attorney and attorney for county officials.

I might mention in this connection that other valuable pieces of property owned by individuals and many were forfeited to the county. One which comes to my mind at this time is an hundred and twenty acre tract of valuable land near Uniontown, bought by a prominent democrat, who has refused an offer to compromise and intends to hold the land.

Regarding the statement of Mr. Ewing that he could not get an itemized account of the taxes and costs, I will say that it is absolutely false and ridiculous, as the records of the treasurer's office are public property and open for inspection. My recollection of the matter is this: That after the property was sold and a deed issued, Mr. Ewing with his attorney, J. T. Brown (better known as "Shorty Brown") came to the treasurer's office and whether they asked for or got an itemized statement I do not know, but there was no reason why they could not have had one for the asking. I do know that Mr. Wyman, who represented the interest of the Second National Bank, which held Mr. Ewing's contract as security for payments advanced, received an itemized statement.

Now as to the tax sale. This sale was held, as advertised, at the front door of the court house and was open to any and all bidders. During the sale this particular piece of land was bid in by J. N. Pocock, through his attorney, Thomas Neill, there being no other bidders, after which a tax deed was issued, taking the matter entirely out of the hands of the treasurer's office. From a statement made by Mr. Ewing regarding the assessment of personal property, I am not surprised that he feels aggrieved because he has to pay taxes at all. One day recently while in the treasurer's office he stated that it was not his business to furnish the assessor with a list of his property, but that was the assessor's business—what he was paid for. Perhaps Mr. Ewing overlooked the fact that he signed an affidavit certifying that the assessment was a fair and correct list of all taxable property owned by him.

In conclusion I will say that I see no way in which Mr. Allen can be connected with this affair; and regarding the presumption of Mr. Ewing and the editor of the Commoner that Mr. Bellingier hopes to be chief deputy in case Mr. Allen is elected, I will state that it has been known to me for some time that Mr. Bellingier does not desire or intend to remain in the treasurer's office after January 1st next, but has not made this fact public for various reasons not necessary to explain in this article. I am firmly convinced that Mr. Ewing's alleged statement was gotten up, not for the "careful consideration of the taxpayers," but purely for political purposes, as is clearly shown by the glaring headlines and editorial comments of the Commoner. Very truly,
W. J. WINDUS.

COLD BLOODED FACTS

Continued from First Page.

Statement of Mr. Windus.

The following communication has been sent to the Commoner for publication in this week's issue. Mr. Windus kindly furnishes the Gazette the carbon copy, which reads as follows: Being conscious of having administered the affairs of my office during the past four years with perfect fairness and impartiality toward every one having business with the office, I would not spend a moment's time in replying, on my own account, to the letter given circulation in your columns and signed by W. V. Ewing. It is not complimentary to the intelligence of the readers of the Commoner to suppose that I need reply to inform them that the letter, replete with editorial headlines and comments so ingeniously devised, is nothing but a "political canard," inspired by the influences well known to all curbstone politicians of Colfax. The situation of a political party must indeed be desperate when such means are employed; but when so used as to do injury to a worthy aspirant for political office, by manufacturing a moral crime with which to connect him in some remote way as an accessory, is contemptible.

What actually happened: In the fall of 1900 without being directed by the law or any precedent, I caused upwards of two thousand statements to be mailed to delinquent tax payers, notifying them of their delinquency and calling their attention to the danger of letting these matters rest. I know that such a statement was mailed to Mr. Ewing and that it was not returned to me. Whether he received it or not I do not know, but have no doubt that he did, as in the statement purported to have been written by Mr. Ewing he says that he was in doubt as to the land being taxable, which I suppose he considered good reason for not paying the taxes.

As a matter of fact this land has escaped taxation for several years and Mr. Ewing may congratulate himself that he was not compelled to pay the tax, while other people holding land under similar contracts have paid the taxes promptly. The notice of foreclosure for delinquent taxes of 1895 and prior years was published in the Colfax Gazette, the official paper of the county, for six consecutive issues; said notice containing the description of the property, the name of the person to be assessed, the year or years for which the tax was delinquent, and the amount of the tax lien. This list contained between four and five thousand lots and tracts of land, a large number of which were redeemed after the publication of the notice of foreclosure. The next step was to post notices of sale, which again contained the description of the property, the name of the person to be assessed, the amount of the judgment, and the date on which the sale would begin. All legal requirements for foreclosure of tax liens were fulfilled, according to advice furnished by Mr. Neergaard, prosecuting attorney and attorney for county officials.

I might mention in this connection that other valuable pieces of property owned by individuals and many were forfeited to the county. One which comes to my mind at this time is an hundred and twenty acre tract of valuable land near Uniontown, bought by a prominent democrat, who has refused an offer to compromise and intends to hold the land.

Regarding the statement of Mr. Ewing that he could not get an itemized account of the taxes and costs, I will say that it is absolutely false and ridiculous, as the records of the treasurer's office are public property and open for inspection. My recollection of the matter is this: That after the property was sold and a deed issued, Mr. Ewing with his attorney, J. T. Brown (better known as "Shorty Brown") came to the treasurer's office and whether they asked for or got an itemized statement I do not know, but there was no reason why they could not have had one for the asking. I do know that Mr. Wyman, who represented the interest of the Second National Bank, which held Mr. Ewing's contract as security for payments advanced, received an itemized statement.

Now as to the tax sale. This sale was held, as advertised, at the front door of the court house and was open to any and all bidders. During the sale this particular piece of land was bid in by J. N. Pocock, through his attorney, Thomas Neill, there being no other bidders, after which a tax deed was issued, taking the matter entirely out of the hands of the treasurer's office. From a statement made by Mr. Ewing regarding the assessment of personal property, I am not surprised that he feels aggrieved because he has to pay taxes at all. One day recently while in the treasurer's office he stated that it was not his business to furnish the assessor with a list of his property, but that was the assessor's business—what he was paid for. Perhaps Mr. Ewing overlooked the fact that he signed an affidavit certifying that the assessment was a fair and correct list of all taxable property owned by him.

In conclusion I will say that I see no way in which Mr. Allen can be connected with this affair; and regarding the presumption of Mr. Ewing and the editor of the Commoner that Mr. Bellingier hopes to be chief deputy in case Mr. Allen is elected, I will state that it has been known to me for some time that Mr. Bellingier does not desire or intend to remain in the treasurer's office after January 1st next, but has not made this fact public for various reasons not necessary to explain in this article. I am firmly convinced that Mr. Ewing's alleged statement was gotten up, not for the "careful consideration of the taxpayers," but purely for political purposes, as is clearly shown by the glaring headlines and editorial comments of the Commoner. Very truly,
W. J. WINDUS.

COLD BLOODED FACTS

Continued from First Page.

Statement of Mr. Windus.

The following communication has been sent to the Commoner for publication in this week's issue. Mr. Windus kindly furnishes the Gazette the carbon copy, which reads as follows: Being conscious of having administered the affairs of my office during the past four years with perfect fairness and impartiality toward every one having business with the office, I would not spend a moment's time in replying, on my own account, to the letter given circulation in your columns and signed by W. V. Ewing. It is not complimentary to the intelligence of the readers of the Commoner to suppose that I need reply to inform them that the letter, replete with editorial headlines and comments so ingeniously devised, is nothing but a "political canard," inspired by the influences well known to all curbstone politicians of Colfax. The situation of a political party must indeed be desperate when such means are employed; but when so used as to do injury to a worthy aspirant for political office, by manufacturing a moral crime with which to connect him in some remote way as an accessory, is contemptible.

What actually happened: In the fall of 1900 without being directed by the law or any precedent, I caused upwards of two thousand statements to be mailed to delinquent tax payers, notifying them of their delinquency and calling their attention to the danger of letting these matters rest. I know that such a statement was mailed to Mr. Ewing and that it was not returned to me. Whether he received it or not I do not know, but have no doubt that he did, as in the statement purported to have been written by Mr. Ewing he says that he was in doubt as to the land being taxable, which I suppose he considered good reason for not paying the taxes.

As a matter of fact this land has escaped taxation for several years and Mr. Ewing may congratulate himself that he was not compelled to pay the tax, while other people holding land under similar contracts have paid the taxes promptly. The notice of foreclosure for delinquent taxes of 1895 and prior years was published in the Colfax Gazette, the official paper of the county, for six consecutive issues; said notice containing the description of the property, the name of the person to be assessed, the year or years for which the tax was delinquent, and the amount of the tax lien. This list contained between four and five thousand lots and tracts of land, a large number of which were redeemed after the publication of the notice of foreclosure. The next step was to post notices of sale, which again contained the description of the property, the name of the person to be assessed, the amount of the judgment, and the date on which the sale would begin. All legal requirements for foreclosure of tax liens were fulfilled, according to advice furnished by Mr. Neergaard, prosecuting attorney and attorney for county officials.

I might mention in this connection that other valuable pieces of property owned by individuals and many were forfeited to the county. One which comes to my mind at this time is an hundred and twenty acre tract of valuable land near Uniontown, bought by a prominent democrat, who has refused an offer to compromise and intends to hold the land.

Regarding the statement of Mr. Ewing that he could not get an itemized account of the taxes and costs, I will say that it is absolutely false and ridiculous, as the records of the treasurer's office are public property and open for inspection. My recollection of the matter is this: That after the property was sold and a deed issued, Mr. Ewing with his attorney, J. T. Brown (better known as "Shorty Brown") came to the treasurer's office and whether they asked for or got an itemized statement I do not know, but there was no reason why they could not have had one for the asking. I do know that Mr. Wyman, who represented the interest of the Second National Bank, which held Mr. Ewing's contract as security for payments advanced, received an itemized statement.

Now as to the tax sale. This sale was held, as advertised, at the front door of the court house and was open to any and all bidders. During the sale this particular piece of land was bid in by J. N. Pocock, through his attorney, Thomas Neill, there being no other bidders, after which a tax deed was issued, taking the matter entirely out of the hands of the treasurer's office. From a statement made by Mr. Ewing regarding the assessment of personal property, I am not surprised that he feels aggrieved because he has to pay taxes at all. One day recently while in the treasurer's office he stated that it was not his business to furnish the assessor with a list of his property, but that was the assessor's business—what he was paid for. Perhaps Mr. Ewing overlooked the fact that he signed an affidavit certifying that the assessment was a fair and correct list of all taxable property owned by him.

In conclusion I will say that I see no way in which Mr. Allen can be connected with this affair; and regarding the presumption of Mr. Ewing and the editor of the Commoner that Mr. Bellingier hopes to be chief deputy in case Mr. Allen is elected, I will state that it has been known to me for some time that Mr. Bellingier does not desire or intend to remain in the treasurer's office after January 1st next, but has not made this fact public for various reasons not necessary to explain in this article. I am firmly convinced that Mr. Ewing's alleged statement was gotten up, not for the "careful consideration of the taxpayers," but purely for political purposes, as is clearly shown by the glaring headlines and editorial comments of the Commoner. Very truly,
W. J. WINDUS.



H. L. KING

—of the—
KING OPTICAL CO.

OF SPOKANE,
will be at

Dr. Benson's Oct. 3 and 4

Office

See him if you need glasses.

Water Pipe Extension

Bids to Be Received Up to Monday Evening, October 6th, 1902.

Notice is hereby given that the City Council of the City of Colfax, will receive sealed bids for the furnishing, laying and constructing of about 1400 feet of water pipe, the same being an extension of the regular system. The size to be 4-inch pipe, and bids will be received for both wooden and iron pipe. Bids to be made in accordance with plans and specifications now on file in the office of the city clerk, and bids to be received up to Monday, October 6, 1902, at 8 o'clock p. m., the council reserving the right to reject any and all bids.
Colfax, Sept. 15th, 1902.
HOWARD BRAMWELL,
City Clerk.

I am now prepared to do all kinds of land business, homestead entries and proofs, contests, etc. Have had 20 years experience in land cases. W. A. Inman, U.S. Commissioner, Colfax, Wash. Office with H. W. Goff, Ellis block.



WOMAN'S RELIEF

A really healthy woman has little pain or discomfort at the menstrual period. No woman needs to have any. Wine of Cardui will quickly relieve those smarting menstrual pains and the dragging head, back and side aches caused by falling of the womb and irregular menses.

WINE OF CARDUI

has brought permanent relief to 1,000,000 women who suffered every month. It makes the menstrual organs strong and healthy. It is the provision made by Nature to give women relief from the terrible aches and pains which blight so many homes.

GREENWOOD, LA., Oct. 14, 1900. I have been very sick for some time. I was taken with a severe pain in