

# WAGON HAULS FOR FARM PRODUCTS

Great Benefits Accrue to Farmers From Better Roads.

## RAILROADS CUT DISTANCES.

Cotton States Have the Longest Hauls to Market and New York State Has the Shortest—General Improvement Marks Recent Years.

It would require about 6,358,000 days for one wagon, or that number of wagons for one day, to haul from the farms of the country the portion of the corn crop that is marketed in an average year. It would require one wagon 6,857,000 days to haul the average wheat crop to the market or to shipping points and 2,532,000 days to haul the cotton crop.

These figures are contained in a farm bulletin just issued by the department of agriculture, which deals with the subject of wagon hauls for farm products. According to this bulletin, the average distance of the farms of the United States from market is over six miles, while those farthest away from market average more than eight miles.

The average distance of farms in New York state from the market is five miles, while the average for the more remote farms is seven miles. This means that for the general average New York farmers are one and one-half miles and those more remotely located 1.7 miles nearer market than farmers generally throughout the country.

It would require one farm wagon 53,400 days to haul to market an average wheat crop in New York state, while the marketed portion of an average corn crop could be hauled by one wagon in 3,400 days.

The bulletin is based on reports received from county and township crop correspondents by the bureau of crop



HAULING COTTON TO MARKET.

estimates. These reports show that the longer hauls to market generally are in cotton states or in the Rocky mountain region. The smaller loads also are in the cotton states. The average size of a wagon load of cotton is three bales, or 1,500 pounds, while the average wagon load of wheat is 53.5 bushels, or 3,200 pounds.

However, while the size of the cotton load is much smaller than that of the corn load, the value of the former is greater. The average value of a load of cotton, based on farm prices Dec. 1, 1913, was \$183; wheat, \$43; corn, \$28.

The bulletin states that wagon hauls are much shorter this year than 1906, when the last similar inquiry was made.

"Railroad building," it is stated, "during the past nine years has brought some farms nearer to shipping points and markets and has helped to shorten the average distance hauled and to increase the average number of trips per day."

"During the seven years following 1906 more than 32,000 miles of new railroad were built, and several thousand more miles have been added since 1913, so that there are at least 15 per cent more miles of steam railroads in the United States now than in 1906."

"In addition to this new mileage of steam railroads the hauls of some farmers have no doubt been shortened by new freight carrying electric railroads."

The figures indicate a considerable rise in the average cost per day to the farmer in hauling his products. However, this higher cost per day is offset partly or wholly by the larger quantities hauled per day in 1915 compared with 1906.

**Highway Legislation in Arkansas.** Among the enactments affecting road work made by the legislature of Arkansas is a proposed amendment to the constitution which will have a very marked effect upon road work of the state, according to reports.

The amendment has been adopted by both branches of the legislature and has been approved by the governor, but must be voted upon by the people of the state at the next general election. It permits counties to issue bonds for the construction of roads up to an amount that can be cared for by a three mill levy on all taxable property within the several counties, the life of the bonds not to exceed thirty years.

It is reported that no opposition to the adoption of the amendment is expected.

## ROADS AND LAND VALUES.

The building of a great deal of well paved roads in Manatee county, Fla., has shown how good roads affect land values. From 1911 to 1912 land along these roads increased on the average \$20 an acre, while lands a mile from the road increased only \$10 an acre.

Dinwiddie county, Va., is another instructive case. The building of 125 miles of fine roads caused land adjacent to the roads to increase in value from \$24.25 to \$30 an acre, while lands ten miles away increased an average of \$16.32 an acre.

The state builds the road, and the landowner sells it if he sells the land. That would look queer to a man from another planet. The man miles away is taxed to build roads, and the people along the pike get the selling value of them. Rather queer, too, when one thinks of it for a few minutes.

The figures are a powerful argument for good roads and also for a better way of apportioning the burdens and benefits.—Farm and Fireside.

## INCREASE OF CONVICT LABOR.

Different System of Using Convicts in Thirty States.

Thirty states at the beginning of the present year had on their statute books laws providing for the employment of state prisoners in road building. Arizona, Arkansas, Idaho, Louisiana, Maryland, Montana, Nevada, New Jersey, New Mexico, Ohio, Oregon, Virginia and Washington follow practically the same system, providing that the control of this work shall be vested in the state highway commission. The highway commission or state engineer makes requisition to the state prison authorities for such number of prisoners as he can use effectively, and the prison authorities turn over to him such prisoners as are suitable for the road work.

The prison commission or board of control of state institutions is held responsible for the development of the convict road work in some nine states—Colorado, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Michigan, Missouri, North Dakota, Oklahoma and Wisconsin. State prisoners are turned over to the county authorities to be worked on the county roads in Florida, Georgia, North Carolina and South Carolina. This system is not approved by the national committee on prisons and prison labor, which holds that the state under no circumstances is justified in delegating the responsibility for its convict wards to county authorities.

The system in New York state divides the responsibility for the construction work and maintenance of the camps between the state highway department and the commissioners of the counties in which the roads are to be built, with the state superintendent of prisons in final authority. In Utah, West Virginia and Wyoming the highway department and the prison department co-operate in the control of this work. The prison department is fully responsible for the care and discipline of the prisoners, while the road department is called upon to do the work which it is equipped to do, the building of roads.

The committee has found this latter system essential to the successful development of convict road work. The prison department is in a position to care for the prisoners and to handle such matters as food, clothing, housing, medical attention, the affording of educational facilities and recreation.

## DIXIE HIGHWAY MOVEMENT.

Influence Bids Fair to Bring About Better Conditions in the South.

The influence of the Dixie highway movement continues to spread until now it bids fair to bring about a marked improvement in road conditions all over the south. Four new highways tributary to the Chicago-Miami road already are under consideration.

Immediately after the Dixie highway plan was established as a certainty a movement was set on foot for a southern highway, from Chattanooga to New Orleans. Since then three more roads have been proposed.

A highway which is looked upon as being already as good as built is from Miami westward across the state of Florida to the gulf coast. A bond issue of \$1,000,000 soon will be voted, to include the cost of the part of the Dixie highway near Miami.

A second highway is proposed to run from Miami to Cape Sable, Fla., the southernmost point of the Atlantic seaboard. Bonds already have been voted. This will carry the Dixie highway from the great lakes to the gulf of Mexico.

In North Carolina there has been a revival of a plan made several years ago and partially executed to build a road practically across the state of North Carolina and into Tennessee. Since the Dixie highway idea has come into being the general assembly of North Carolina has memorialized the general assembly of Tennessee upon this plan, and the Tennessee legislature has answered that it is ready to co-operate at any time.

In addition the Dixie highway will cause the construction of many more miles of good road, because half a dozen or more contesting sections will put their roads in the best possible condition as an inducement for obtaining the route of the highway. Sections adjacent to the contesting territories are offering to build small tributaries if the Dixie highway will come within 50 or 100 miles of them.

## Chair of the Giant Idris.

One of the mountain peaks of north Wales is known as the Chair of Idris, or Cader Idris, as the Welsh people call it, because its top is hollowed out like an immense couch. According to local tradition, whoever spends a night in the Chair of Idris will on the following morning be dead, raving mad or endowed with the loftiest poetical inspiration. No one seems to know exactly who Idris was or when he lived, but he must have been a giant if his body was in proportion with his celebrated "chair." Mrs. Hemans has a fine poem with the Chair of Idris as the theme, and Tennyson also mentions it in "Enid."

## Fog.

"Talk about a London fog," said a Yankee just returning from England. "Why, I found that they even had foghorns in the elevators at my hotel!"

When jealousy strikes a woman, love and hate embrace.—Smart Set.

## LEGAL NOTICES

### Application for License to Sell Intoxicating Liquors in Brown County Minnesota.

Notice is hereby given that George Fischer has petitioned the Board of County Commissioners of Brown County, Minnesota, for license to sell intoxicating liquors at the Townsite of Searles, in the Town of Cottonwood, in the front room of the first floor of the two story masonry building, situate on Lots Nos. 10 and 11 of Block No. 1 of said Townsite.

This application and any remonstrance or objections to the granting of the same will be heard and determined by said Board of County Commissioners, at their next special session, on Monday the 8th day of July, A. D. 1915, at the Court House, in the City of New Ulm. (Seal) LOUIS G. VOGEL, County Auditor and Ex-Officio Clerk of Board. Dated New Ulm, Minn., June 15th, 1915.

### Order To Show Cause.

State of Minnesota, County of Brown, ss. In Probate Court.

In the Matter of the Estate of Christiane Pfenninger, Deceased.

Whereas Herman F. Keller, Auguste Keller, Elizabeth Bevier, Carline Wilde, Fred J. Keller and Auguste Kiesling have presented and caused to be filed in the above named proceedings a verified petition praying that the order of this Court made and entered herein on March 14, 1914, admitting to probate the Will of the above named decedent be vacated and set aside, and that said Petitioners be allowed to appear and object to the probating and allowance of said Will as the Last Will and Testament of the decedent; and likewise praying that the Order of this Court of March 19, 1914, appointing Herman Held as executor of said estate be vacated and set aside;

Now, Therefore, It is Hereby Ordered that Henry Engel, a legatee in said Will, and said Herman Held, a legatee and also executor in said Will, and all other persons interested in said estate, be and appear before this Court at a Special Term thereof to be held at the Probate Office, at the Court House in the City of New Ulm, in the above named County and State, on the 15th day of July, 1915, at 10 o'clock in the forenoon of said day, and show cause, if any there be, why said petition should not be granted.

And said Petitioners are likewise Ordered to appear at said time and place and make such showing as may be possible and pertinent under said petition.

It is Further Ordered that this Order be served personally upon said Herman Held and said Henry Engel, and that it be published three (3) successive weeks in the New Ulm Review, a weekly newspaper, printed and published in said City of New Ulm.

Dated this 22nd day of June, 1915. By the Court, GEO. ROSS, Judge of Probate.

### Order to Examine Accounts Etc.

State of Minnesota, County of Brown, ss. In Probate Court, Special Term, June 21, 1915.

In the Matter of the Estate of Johanna Friton, Deceased.

On reading and filing the petition of Adolph Klaus, the administrator of the estate of Johanna Friton, deceased representing, among other things, that he has fully administered said estate, and praying that a time and place be fixed for examining and allowing the account of his administration and for assignment of the residue of said estate to the parties entitled thereto by law.

It is Ordered, That said account be examined, and petition and application for the allowance of said claims and debts so paid by him and not yet allowed according to law, be heard by this Court on Friday the 16th day of July A. D. 1915 at 10 o'clock A. M., at the Probate Office in New Ulm in said County.

And it is Further Ordered, That notice thereof be given to all persons interested, by publishing this order once in each week for three successive weeks prior to said day of hearing, in the New Ulm Review, a weekly newspaper, printed and published at New Ulm in said County.

Dated at New Ulm the 21st day of June A. D. 1915.

By the Court, GEO. ROSS, Judge of Probate.

## Notice of Hearing Upon Petition of Freeholder.

WHEREAS, A petition signed by Joseph Sturm a freeholder of School District No. 70, in this County, representing that he is the owner of the following described lands, situated in said District, to-wit:

The N. 1-2 of S. E. 1-4 of Section No. 36, Township No. 109, Range No. 34, and the N. W. 1-4, N. 1-2 of S. W. 1-4 and the S. W. 1-4 of S. W. 1-4 of Section No. 31, Township No. 109, Range 33, and that he desires to be set off with his lands from School Dist. No. 70 to School Dist. No. 59, which lies adjoining for the following reasons:

1. His children have been attending school in Dist. No. 59.
2. There is a lake between his home and the school house in Dist. No. 70, which makes a much longer distance for his children to travel, if compelled to go to Dist. No. 70, instead of Dist. No. 59.
3. Roads are much better from his home to Dist. No. 59 than to Dist. No. 70; school house to Dist. No. 59 being on main road, between his home and Comfrey.
4. Said petitioner wishes to be set off with the above described property into Dist. No. 59, so that he may be able to help build a new school house in Dist. No. 59 has been presented to the County Board of Brown County; and asking that his said lands may be set off from said District No. 70 to said District No. 59 and the said Board has appointed a time and place for hearing thereon. Therefore

### NOTICE

Is hereby given that said petition will be heard by said Board, at a session thereof, commencing on the 13th day of July A. D. 1915, at 10 o'clock in the forenoon, at the office of the County Auditor in the City of New Ulm in said County, at which time and place the said Board will hear the evidence and the arguments of all persons interested, for or against granting the prayer of the petitioner.

Dated at New Ulm, Minn., June 16, 1915. By order of the County Board, LOUIS G. VOGEL, County Auditor and Ex-Officio Clerk of Board.

## Notice of Hearing Upon Petition of Freeholder.

WHEREAS, A petition signed by Anna Baier a freeholder of School District No. 70, in this County, representing that she is the owner of the following described lands, situated in said District, to-wit:

The S. 1-2 of S. E. 1-4 of Section No. 36, Township No. 109, Range No. 34, and that she desires to be set off with her said lands from School Dist. No. 70 to School Dist. No. 59 which lies adjoining, for the following reasons:

That all her land is in School Dist. No. 59 and as said school district desires to build a new school house, I therefore want to help in paying same, as I have had my children to attend school in School Dist. No. 59 has been presented to the County Board of Brown County; and asking that her said lands may be set off from said District No. 70 to said District No. 59, and the said Board has appointed a time and place for hearing thereon. Therefore

### NOTICE

Is hereby given that said petition will be heard by said Board, at a session thereof, commencing on the 13th day of July A. D. 1915, at 10 o'clock in the forenoon, at the office of the County Auditor in the City of New Ulm in said County, at which time and place the said Board will hear the evidence and the arguments of all persons interested, for or against granting the prayer of the petitioner.

Dated at New Ulm, June 16th, 1915. By order of the County Board, LOUIS G. VOGEL, County Auditor and Ex-Officio Clerk of Board.

## Application for License to Sell Intoxicating Liquors in Brown County Minnesota.

Notice is hereby given that J. O. Case has petitioned the Board of County Commissioners of Brown County, Minnesota, for license to sell intoxicating liquors at the Townsite of Essig in the Town of Millford in the front room of the first floor of the two story frame building, situate on Lot No. 12 of Block No. 3 of said townsite.

This application and any remonstrance or objections to the granting of the same will be heard and determined by said Board of County Commissioners, at their next Special session, on Monday the 8th day of July, A. D. 1915, at the Court House, in the City of New Ulm. (Seal) LOUIS G. VOGEL, County Auditor and Ex-Officio Clerk of Board. Dated at New Ulm, Minn., June 15th, 1915.

### Order to Present Claims Within Three Months.

State of Minnesota, County of Brown, ss. In Probate Court, Special Term, June 19, 1915.

In the Matter of the Estate of Frederick Walther, Deceased.

Letters Testamentary on the Estate of Frederick Walther deceased, late of the City of New Ulm in the County of Brown and the State of Minnesota being granted to Friedericks Walther.

It Appearing on proper proof by affidavit Friedericks Walther, made and filed herein, as provided by law, that there are no debts against the estate of said deceased:

It is Ordered, That three months be and the same is hereby allowed from and after the date of this Order, in which all persons having claims or demands against the said deceased, if any there be, are required to file the same in the Probate Court of said County, for examination and allowance, or be forever barred.

It is Further Ordered, That the first Monday in October 1915 at 10 o'clock, a. m. at a General Term of said Probate Court, to be held at the Court House in the City of New Ulm, in said County, be and the same hereby is appointed as the time and place when and where the said Probate Court will examine and adjust said claims and demands.

And It is Further Ordered, That notice of such hearing be given to all creditors and persons interested in said Estate, by forthwith publishing this Order once in each week for three successive weeks in the New Ulm Review, a weekly newspaper printed and published in said County.

Dated at New Ulm this 19th day of June 1915.

By the Court, GEO. ROSS, Judge of Probate.

## SUMMONS.

State of Minnesota, District Court, County of Brown, Ninth Judicial District.

Ernst Sauer, Plaintiff vs. Sylvester Freeman, Hieronimus Schmedel, Heinrich Schmidt, Michael Selter, Christian Mayer, Friedrich Kuhlmann, J. H. Heine, Emma Brand, W. Hausenstein, Friedrich Rahner, Peter Griesheimer, Mary Keck, Caroline Keck, Peter Frey, Joseph Hitz, Nicolaus Heinen, Peter Richards, Friedr. Gottlieb Melges, Friedrich Seemann, Charles E. Flandreau, Carl W. Schmidt, Henry Timme, Carl Sauer, William Pfaender, Heinrich Waas, Charlotte Paulina Waas, Henry John Waas, Alice Christina Waas, Albert Waas, Francis L. Erd, Horatio C. Cooper, Laura V. Cooper, Laura V. Linck, Carl Jaenisch, Hermann Jaenisch, Hugo Kahlfeldt, Alma Kahlfeldt, Oscar Kahlfeldt, Henry Schalek, John C. Fenske, Edward Stein, F. G. Melges, Jacob Brandenberger, Ernst Eechmann, guardian of Minor Children of Jacob Brandenberger, Peter Constans, Charlotte Lauschke, Christoph Schumann, Conrad F. Held, Theodor Kraefft, Luther Whitton, Mary Meyerding, Mary Stoll, Amelia Hoffman, Emma Kiesling, and Joseph Lehrer, and also all other persons or parties unknown claiming any right, title, estate, lien or interest in the real estate described in the complaint herein.

Defendants.

THE STATE OF MINNESOTA, To the above named Defendants.

You and each of you are hereby summoned and required to answer the complaint of the plaintiff in the above entitled action, which is filed in the office of the Clerk of the District Court in the Ninth Judicial District in and for the County of Brown and State of Minnesota, and to serve a copy of your answer to the said complaint on the subscribers, at their office in the City of New Ulm in said County, within twenty days after the service of this summons upon you, exclusive of the day of such service and if you fail to answer the said complaint within the time aforesaid, the Plaintiff in this action will apply to the Court for the relief demanded in the complaint.

Dated June 8th, 1915.

STEINHAUSER & ERICKSON, Plaintiff's Attorneys, New Ulm, Minnesota.

### NOTICE OF LIS PENDENS.

State of Minnesota, District Court, County of Brown, Ninth Judicial District.

Ernst Sauer, Plaintiff vs. Sylvester Freeman, Hieronimus Schmedel, Heinrich Schmidt, Michael Selter, Christian Mayer, Friedrich Kuhlmann, J. H. Heine, Emma Brand, W. Hausenstein, Friedrich Rahner, Peter Griesheimer, Mary Keck, Caroline Keck, Peter Frey, Joseph Hitz, Nicolaus Heinen, Peter Richards, Friedr. Gottlieb Melges, Friedrich Seemann, Charles E. Flandreau, Carl W. Schmidt, Henry Timme, Carl Sauer, William Pfaender, Heinrich Waas, Charlotte Paulina Waas, Henry John Waas, Alice Christina Waas, Albert Waas, Francis L. Erd, Horatio C. Cooper, Laura V. Cooper, Laura V. Linck, Carl Jaenisch, Hermann Jaenisch, Hugo Kahlfeldt, Alma Kahlfeldt, Oscar Kahlfeldt, Henry Schalek, John C. Fenske, Edward Stein, F. G. Melges, Jacob Brandenberger, Ernst Eechmann, guardian of Minor Children of Jacob Brandenberger, Peter Constans, Charlotte Lauschke, Christoph Schumann, Conrad F. Held, Theodor Kraefft, Luther Whitton, Mary Meyerding, Mary Stoll, Amelia Hoffman, Emma Kiesling, and Joseph Lehrer, and also all other persons or parties unknown claiming any right, title, estate, lien or interest in the real estate described in the complaint herein.

Defendants.

THE real property affected by said action is situated in the County of Brown and State of Minnesota, and is described as follows, to-wit: Lots One (1), and Two (2) in Block Eight (8) North of Center Street, excepting therefrom the Right of Way of the Minneapolis and St. Louis Railroad Co.; Lot One (1) in Block Nine (9) North of Center Street; Lots Six (6), and Seven (7) in Block One Hundred Sixteen (116) North of Center Street; Lot Thirteen (13) in Block Two Hundred Eleven (211) North of Center Street, excepting therefrom the Right of Way of the Minneapolis and St. Louis Railroad Co.; Lots Two (2), Three (3), Four (4), and Five (5) in Block Two Hundred Twelve (212) North of Center Street and also Lots Eight (8), Ten (10), Twelve (12), and Fourteen (14) in said Block excepting therefrom the Right of Way of the Minneapolis and St. Louis Railroad Co.; Lots One (1), Two (2), Four (4), Five (5), and Six (6) in Block Two Hundred Thirteen (213) North of Center Street and also Lots Eight (8), Nine (9), Ten (10), Twelve (12), Thirteen (13), and Fourteen (14) in said Block, excepting therefrom the Right of Way of the Minneapolis and St. Louis Railroad Co.; Outlots Nine (9), Ten (10), Twelve (12), Thirteen (13), and Sixteen (16); Bottomland Lots Twenty-nine (29), Thirty (30), Thirty-one (31), Thirty-six (36), Thirty-eight (38), and the Southerly Half (So-called) of Lot Twenty-eight (28), all in the City of New Ulm according to the plat of said City on file in the office of the Register of Deeds in and for said Brown County.

Dated June 8th, 1915.

STEINHAUSER & ERICKSON, Plaintiff's Attorneys, New Ulm, Minnesota.

THE real property affected by said action is situated in the County of Brown and State of Minnesota, and is described as follows, to-wit: Lots One (1), and Two (2) in Block Eight (8) North of Center Street, excepting therefrom the Right of Way of the Minneapolis and St. Louis Railroad Co.; Lot One (1) in Block Nine (9) North of Center Street; Lots Six (6), and Seven (7) in Block One Hundred Sixteen (116) North of Center Street; Lot Thirteen (13) in Block Two Hundred Eleven (211) North of Center Street, excepting therefrom the Right of Way of the Minneapolis and St. Louis Railroad Co.; Lots Two (2), Three (3), Four (4), and Five (5) in Block Two Hundred Twelve (212) North of Center Street and also Lots Eight (8), Ten (10), Twelve (12), and Fourteen (14) in said Block excepting therefrom the Right of Way of the Minneapolis and St. Louis Railroad Co.; Lots One (1), Two (2), Four (4), Five (5), and Six (6) in Block Two Hundred Thirteen (213) North of Center Street and also Lots Eight (8), Nine (9), Ten (10), Twelve (12), Thirteen (13), and Fourteen (14) in said Block, excepting therefrom the Right of Way of the Minneapolis and St. Louis Railroad Co.; Outlots Nine (9), Ten (10), Twelve (12), Thirteen (13), and Sixteen (16); Bottomland Lots Twenty-nine (29), Thirty (30), Thirty-one (31), Thirty-six (36), Thirty-eight (38), and the Southerly Half (So-called) of Lot Twenty-eight (28), all in the City of New Ulm according to the plat of said City on file in the office of the Register of Deeds in and for said Brown County.

Dated June 8th, 1915.

STEINHAUSER & ERICKSON, Plaintiff's Attorneys, New Ulm, Minnesota.

THE real property affected by said action is situated in the County of Brown and State of Minnesota, and is described as follows, to-wit: Lots One (1), and Two (2) in Block Eight (8) North of Center Street, excepting therefrom the Right of Way of the Minneapolis and St. Louis Railroad Co.; Lot One (1) in Block Nine (9) North of Center Street; Lots Six (6), and Seven (7) in Block One Hundred Sixteen (116) North of Center Street; Lot Thirteen (13) in Block Two Hundred Eleven (211) North of Center Street, excepting therefrom the Right of Way of the Minneapolis and St. Louis Railroad Co.; Lots Two (2), Three (3), Four (4), and Five (5) in Block Two Hundred Twelve (212) North of Center Street and also Lots Eight (8), Ten (10), Twelve (12), and Fourteen (14) in said Block excepting therefrom the Right of Way of the Minneapolis and St. Louis Railroad Co.; Lots One (1), Two (2), Four (4), Five (5), and Six (6) in Block Two Hundred Thirteen (213) North of Center Street and also Lots Eight (8), Nine (9), Ten (10), Twelve (12), Thirteen (13), and Fourteen (14) in said Block, excepting therefrom the Right of Way of the Minneapolis and St. Louis Railroad Co.; Outlots Nine (9), Ten (10), Twelve (12), Thirteen (13), and Sixteen (16); Bottomland Lots Twenty-nine (29), Thirty (30), Thirty-one (31), Thirty-six (36), Thirty-eight (38), and the Southerly Half (So-called) of Lot Twenty-eight (28), all in the City of New Ulm according to the plat of said City on file in the office of the Register of Deeds in and for said Brown County.

Dated June 8th, 1915.

STEINHAUSER & ERICKSON, Plaintiff's Attorneys, New Ulm, Minnesota.

NOTICE, is hereby given that an action has been commenced in this Court by the above named plaintiff against the above named defendants. That the object of said action is to have the said plaintiff adjudged the owner in fee simple and entitled to the possession of the real property, in the complaint and hereinafter described, and to further adjudge that the defendants above named, and also all other persons unknown have no right, title, estate, interest or lien in or to said real property or any part hereof.

Defendants.

### SUMMONS.

State of Minnesota, District Court, County of Brown, Ninth Judicial District.

Ernest F. Hermerding, Plaintiff, vs. I. I. Hirschbuehl, also all other persons unknown claiming any right, title, estate, interest or lien in the real estate described in the complaint herein.

Defendants.

THE STATE OF MINNESOTA, To the Above named Defendants:

You and each of you are hereby summoned and required to answer the complaint of the Plaintiff in the above entitled action, which is filed in the office of the Clerk of the District Court of the Ninth Judicial District in and for the County of Brown and State of Minnesota, and to serve a copy of your answer to the said complaint on the subscribers, at their office in the City of New Ulm in said County, within twenty days after the service of this summons upon you, exclusive of the day of such service; and if you fail to answer the said complaint within the time aforesaid, the Plaintiff in this action will apply to the Court for the relief demanded in the Complaint.

Dated June 2nd, 1915.

SOMSEN, DEMPSEY & MUELLER, Plaintiff's Attorneys, New Ulm, Minnesota.

### NOTICE OF LIS PENDENS.

State of Minnesota, District Court, County of Brown, Ninth Judicial District.

Ernest F. Hermerding, Plaintiff, vs. I. I. Hirschbuehl, also all other persons, unknown claiming any right, title, estate, interest or lien in the real estate described in the complaint herein.

Defendants.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN, that an action has been commenced in this Court by the above named Plaintiff against the above named Defendants; that the object of said action is to have the Plaintiff adjudged the owner in fee simple and entitled to the possession of the real property in the complaint and hereinafter described, and to further adjudge that the Defendant I. I. Hirschbuehl and also all other persons unknown have no right, title, estate, interest or lien in or to said real property or any part thereof.

The real property affected by said action is situated in the County of Brown in the State of Minnesota and is described as follows, to-wit: Lot No. Two (2) of Block Ninety-four (94) North of Center Street in the City of New Ulm, according to the plat of said City on file and of record in the office of the Register of Deeds in and for said County of Brown and State of Minnesota.

Dated June 2nd, 1915.

SOMSEN, DEMPSEY & MUELLER, Plaintiff's Attorneys, New Ulm, Minnesota.

## CALL FOR BIDS ON BRIDGE WORK.