

MINNEAPOLIS NEWS.

OFFICE—No. 6 Washington Avenue, opposite Nicollet house. Office hours from 6 a. m. to 10 o'clock p. m.

MINNEAPOLIS GLOBULETS.

The real estate transfers filed yesterday aggregated \$35,375.

Gov. Hubbard is expected to attend the army fair to-night.

A. B. Nettleton spoke last evening before the Y. M. C. A. on "Getting a Start."

The new Norwegian paper, "Fremtiden," will make its first appearance this week.

The army fair was well attended last evening and the receipts were over \$600.

Next Friday evening Hose No. 5 and Hook & Ladder No. 3 companies will give a dance at Peterson's hall.

The autographs of noted personages were sold by auction to the highest bidder at the army fair last night.

The Geo. N. Morgan post, No. 4, G. A. R., will give a musical and literary entertainment next Friday evening.

Fourteen prisoners were arraigned in the district court yesterday on various charges. Two pleaded "guilty" and twelve "not guilty."

Alburt Hammering, one of the victims of the Chicago fire, is lying dangerously ill at the College hospital. His son Willie is expected to recover.

The funeral of Mrs. Nancy Wood will occur at 12 o'clock this afternoon from the residence of her mother, Mrs. L. Kimball, 416 Fourth avenue south.

Two children of Mr. F. D. Noerenberg, who died of diphtheria, were buried yesterday. His many friends will be sorry to hear of his sad affliction.

The first anniversary of the organization of the Franklin Temple of Honor was celebrated in a banquet last evening, at 1931 Fourth avenue south.

James Burk, charged with larceny from the person of Louis Thiele, was yesterday committed in default of bonds in \$1,000 for examination on February 26.

The following gentlemen have been elected additional directors of the Manufacturers' National bank: H. A. Smith, G. A. Camp, Geo. R. Newell and C. E. Wales.

The case of John Johnson, for breaking into a freight car, came up before the municipal court yesterday, and the case was continued for the grand jury to investigate.

Charles Carroll was yesterday sentenced to three months' imprisonment in the county jail for stealing a fur overcoat from Simpson & Henderson's store on the 24th of last December.

Marriage licenses were yesterday granted to C. R. Andin and Lydia Sandberg, John Gorey and Sarah Knight, Herman Robertson and Hannah Moran, John Sokalik and Frances Merrick.

Jacob Linninger, a young man seventeen years of age, and hailing from Anoka, was yesterday sentenced to three years in state prison for the larceny of two coats from Skoll & Robitsek on the 26th of last November.

The Robert Emmet Literary association held a meeting last evening to perfect arrangements for the entertainment to be given at Ponce Opera house on the evening of March 4, in commemoration of the birth of Emmet.

George Roll, Frank Clark and John Hanson received a free ride in the patrol wagon yesterday to the county jail, where they will remain for five days for imbibing too much benzine, and getting disorderly on the public streets.

The Republican city convention will be held at Market hall, Tuesday, March 6, at 10 a. m., to nominate candidates for the offices of mayor and comptroller and to name twelve park commissioners and one alderman from each ward.

Charles Aase was fined yesterday in the sum of \$90 and costs, making in all \$105.13, for opening his saloon on Sunday. He paid the fine and left the court, lamenting the rigidity of the Sunday law under a Democratic administration.

As William Reynolds was driving in a cutter yesterday forenoon across the St. Louis railway tracks, his horse was frightened by an approaching train and ran away. The cutter was demolished, but fortunately Mr. Reynolds escaped with only a few bruises.

A funeral meeting of the Minnesota undertakers was held yesterday afternoon in Dr. Dru's hall, for the purpose of organizing a state association. The grave and solemn deacon, Nettleton, made the address of welcome which was responded to by Mr. Damphier, of St. Paul.

Yesterday Rudolph Goetz, of Milwaukee, the champion skater of America, received a letter from his friends in Milwaukee, explaining that Alex. Polson, the champion skater of Milwaukee, refused to meet him in the projected contest. Mr. Goetz now desires to meet Mr. Doves, of Chicago, either in this city or in St. Paul, for any sum of money from \$500 to \$2,000.

For the free exhibition of disorderly conduct given at the concert saloon at 418 North Washington avenue, a few nights ago William Sullivan, alias "Billy the cripple," was yesterday assigned to the hotel de Stodard for ten days. When he comes out of jail his acquaintances can truly greet him in the words of the old song, "Willie, we have missed you," for indeed his absence will be conspicuously noticed by all law-abiding citizens.

John Copley, who danced with his daughter, grand daughter and great-grand-daughter during the Christmas holidays, appeared before the grand jury yesterday to enter complaint against Savage, the second hand clothing man, for buying some robes and blankets stolen from Copley last August. The old man subsequently appeared before Clerk Davenport, and in presence of the bench gave utterance to forcible and rather inelegant language on account of the dilatoriness displayed by the authorities in bringing to justice the purloiners of his property and the purchaser thereof. The bench was moved to laughter by the allegations of Copley and the officials, and others present gave way to roars of laughter, which will add immensely to their weight, if laughter has the effect of making persons fat.

MINNEAPOLIS PERSONALS.

V. G. Hush is in Chicago.

Thaumatogus is back from the east.

Ed. McDermott left yesterday for New York.

C. M. Dow, a banker of Bradford, Pa., is visiting the city.

Donald Grant, of Fairbault, was registered yesterday at the Nicollet.

C. H. Bradley, Monticello, was a guest of the Clark house yesterday.

Col. S. G. McGill, the lumber king of Dakota, was at the Nicollet yesterday.

Mrs. T. S. King has left for Philadelphia to attend to her daughter Florence, who lies seriously ill.

Mrs. James T. Tobin, the sister of County Treasurer Walsh, is lying dangerously ill and her recovery is pronounced very uncertain.

THE SEGELBAUMS.

The final decision in the Segelbaum divorce case filed yesterday by Judge Young—His Honor declines to sever the matrimonial link that binds them.—The action dismissed.

The suit for divorce brought by Adele Segelbaum against Max Segelbaum, and about which so much has been said in the newspapers for the last six months, was disposed of yesterday in the district court by Judge Young, who filed his findings and decisions as follows:

This action was brought by plaintiff for a divorce. A reference was made to John P. Rea, an attorney of this court, to take and report the evidence. The case now comes before the court for hearing of the referee.

From the evidence reported the following facts are found:

That said parties are residents of said Hennepin county, and have been such for more than one year next prior to the filing of the complaint herein. Plaintiff is twenty-seven years of age, and defendant is thirty-three years of age.

That said parties were married in the city of Milwaukee, state of Wisconsin, April 5, 1875, and from the time of such marriage said parties continued to live and cohabit as husband and wife until on or about July 31, 1882, when said parties ceased so to live and cohabit together, and have never resumed such cohabitation, although the parties have continued and still do continue to live in the same house.

That there are now living the fruit of such marriage, two children, to-wit: Albert, eight years old, and Irene, five years old, both in the care and custody of the plaintiff.

That said parties have during the greater portion of this married life lived in matrimonial only together, and especially has this been the case during the last two or three years, and in respect to which both parties have been at fault.

I do not from the evidence find the allegations of the complaint true in respect to the acts of cruel and inhuman treatment charged. As COXHEADS, or LAW

That said action be dismissed.

A. H. YOUNG, Judge.

THE DISTRICT COURT.

The Grand Jury's Work—The Criminals Indicted, Arraigned and Sentenced Yesterday.

The grand jury met yesterday morning at the usual hour and proceeded with their work until 4:30 o'clock, when they adjourned till this morning. True bills of indictment were found against the following prisoners who were subsequently arraigned before Judges Young and Koon, to plead to the charges preferred against them:

George N. Bard, for stealing a double harness and other articles valued at \$40 from P. Osander & Co., on November 29, pleaded not guilty. His trial was set for March 3 and Mr. Reid, of Smith & Heid, will appear in his behalf.

Samuel Lewis, for entering S. C. Cutler's house to commit larceny on December 6. Pleaded not guilty. Trial on the same day and Attorney Nettie to appear as his attorney.

Peter Melchisedek, for attempting to burn the mill of the Minneapolis Straw Board company on December 21. Entered plea of not guilty and will be defended by Grethen & Ebert on March 3.

Oscar Davis, stealing a horse valued at \$75 from John Carr, on July 15, '83. Not guilty, and C. F. Baxter employed to defend him on March 3.

Alonzo Flamen, assault on A. Johnson with a revolver, on 23d of last October. Not guilty. Trial set for March 3, and Attorney Cockerell appointed by the court as his counsel.

William Smith, true name William Johnson, entering the dwelling house of William Quisdy to commit larceny. Pleaded not guilty, and W. H. Donahoe to appear as counsel on March 3.

John Johnson, indicted under the name of John Anderson, larceny of a gold watch and chain from H. E. Heiler on November 1. Not guilty; Grethen & Ebert, attorneys; trial March 4.

Martin Fleming, larceny of horse and buggy from A. J. Creigh on October 26. Not guilty; Attorney Baxter for defense; trial, March 3.

G. W. Howard, larceny of a gold watch and diamond ring valued at \$93 from Wm. H. Chamberlain on November 23. Not guilty; Attorney Ripley for counsel and trial on March 4.

John alias Charles Carroll, larceny of a fur overcoat from Simpson & Henderson on December 24; entered a plea of not guilty, which he changed to a plea of guilty, and was sentenced to three months' imprisonment in the county jail.

Hugh Connors, assault with intent to commit rape on the person of Mary Dedak on the 22d of last October; not guilty; Attorney Wheelwright, assigned to defend him on March 4.

Robert Armstrong, alias Forbes, larceny of coats worth \$50 from the dwelling house of I. L. Moore on December 2, pleaded not guilty, and E. S. Bowditch assigned as counsel on March 4.

James Murphy, indicted stealing for a horse and buggy with Martin Fleming, on October 20, from A. J. Creigh, not guilty, and tried on March 3 with Attorney Baxter as counsel.

Jacob Linninger, larceny of two coats from Skoll & Robitsek, on November 26, pleaded guilty and sentenced to Stillwater for three years.

THE COUNTY COMMISSIONERS.

An Adjourned Meeting Held Yesterday—Abatement in Taxes Made—The Hotel Lafayette Property Detached From Excelsior Township and Annexed to the Town of Medina.

An adjourned meeting of the county commissioners was held yesterday in the auditor's office with a full attendance. The following business was transacted:

The bond of Otto P. Olson was approved and license granted him to sell spirituous liquors in the town of Medina.

The county attorney returned the bids of J. H. Johnson and N. F. Warren & Co. to furnish the county coffins, etc., for defendant papers, with the opinion that it was a charge against the county. Placed on file.

A remonstrance signed by H. D. Eldridge and others, was received protesting against granting the petition of C. M. Coffin and others, for the abatement of portions of the town of Excelsior be attached to the town of Medina. Placed on file.

The following applicants for abatements in taxes had their petitions granted:

Mary J. Sparks, Charles A. Borey, Vio Brunst, Davis & Co., Martha B. Lee, Plow Manufacturing Co., Thomas S. King, A. B. Bowman, Lavinda Farworsch, C. & G. Cooper & Co., Grand DeTour Plow Co., and E. S. Bell.

The applications of McArde Bros. and Mrs. James O'Brien for abatements were not granted, as the parties had listed the property assessed.

The county attorney returned the petition of C. M. Coffin and others, with the opinion that said petition being a petition to change the premises and make the proposed change as petitioned for, if they thought fit to do so. Commissioner Chown then moved that the petition in question be laid on the table, lost, by a vote of three to two.

The following resolution was then adopted by a vote of three to two: Resolved, That the petition of C. M. Coffin and others, asking that all those portions of sections 15, 16, 17 and 18 now lying within the limits of the township of Excelsior, and all that portion of section 19 lying north of the center of the channel connecting Harrison's bay to the main body of Lake Minnetonka; section 20 and all the parts of sections 21, 22 and 23 lying northerly of the main body of said lake and of the Narrows, so-called; said sections being township 117, of range 23 west, be annexed to the town of Medina.

On motion the board then adjourned.

Flour Market.

The following is the weekly review of flour production in Minneapolis and the Northwest Miller for its issue of Feb. 22:

The flour production of last week shows quite a marked increase, but without the use of steam power in the two Pillsbury mills it would have been little different from the production of the week ending Feb. 13, 1884. The Pillsbury mills turned out over forty per cent. of the week's production, and of their work only about forty per cent. was done by water power. The flour total for these times. Official figures show the total production of the week to have been 1,000,000 bushels, or 38,000 barrels daily—against 54,794 barrels the previous week. The water power during the first half of the present week was not bad, and the mills promised to do a fairly satisfactory amount of work. The flour market shows encouraging signs of improvement, not only

at home, but abroad, and is pronounced firmer by all millers. There is no particular advance in prices, but an early boom is anticipated.

The following were the receipts and shipments made for the week ending Feb. 19:

Table with columns: Commodity, Receipts, Shipments. Wheat, bns., 286,500, 33,500. Flour, bbls., 4,900, 64,323. Millstuffs, St. Paul, 1,965, 1,965.

The wheat in store in Minneapolis elevators, (including the transfer) on Wednesday, showed an increase of 186,000 bushels over the preceding week. One elevator showed a loss of 110,000 bushels, and another a gain of nearly 300,000 bushels.

The following table exhibits the local stock, as well as that at St. Paul and Duluth:

Table with columns: Location, Commodity, Price. In elevators, bus., 2,890,000, 2,704,000. In mills, 400,000, 370,000.

THE COURTS.

District Court.

NEW SUITS AND PAPERS FILED. Priest, Cleveland & Bain vs. N. Sherman, defendant, and The First National bank, garnishee; affidavit for judgment filed.

Charles May vs. George W. Avery; judgment roll filed.

Charles W. Ingerson vs. Town of Medina et al.; same.

John D. Heller vs. Christina Kaeding; same.

Wheaton, Reynolds & Co. vs. Frank Hoppe; same.

Woodard & Stone vs. J. E. Stanley; same.

Drennen, Starr & Everett vs. the Girard Fire & Marine Insurance company; complaint filed \$2,000.

Edmunds, Hudson & Co. vs. H. A. Harvey, defendant, and the Chicago Milwaukee & St. Paul Railway company, garnishee; affidavit filed.

Cyrus W. Packett, et al., vs. C. H. Rowe, et al.; complaint filed.

Probate Court.

[Before Judge Teland.] Estate of Wm. Ingenuitt, deceased; inventory filed and allowed.

Estate of Martin Knobel, deceased; order for creditors to present claims made.

Municipal Court.

[Before Judge Bailey.] George Roll and Frank Clark, drunkenness; committed five days each.

John Johnson, disorderly conduct; committed five days.

E. Bergert, assault and battery; dismissed for want of prosecution.

John Johnson, breaking into a freight car; continued for the grand jury to make investigation.

William Patwell and Theodore Winkler, larceny from the person of George W. Brown; continued until the 26th, at 9 a. m.; committed in default of bail in \$5,000 each.

William Sullivan, disorderly conduct; committed ten days.

James Burk, larceny from the person of Louis Thiele; committed in default of bonds in \$1,000 for examination February 26.

Charles Case, saloon open on Sunday; paid a fine in \$105.14, being \$90 and costs.

Lulu DeBarre, drunkenness; sentence suspended.

CITY COUNCIL.

The New Telegraph Company Ordinance Laid on the Table—Paving Matters—Miscellaneous Business.

The city council held a regular meeting last evening. President Pillsbury occupied the chair.

An ordinance, regulating licenses in the city of Minneapolis, was given its first reading. The ordinance holds non-resident dealers in liquor responsible for the payment of taxes, on the ground that such proceedings are unconstitutional. The case will be argued at Madison next Saturday. The complainants are represented by Messrs. Martin and Oestba, of this city, and Gen. F. Sabin, of Marine.

The license question came up for consideration at the meeting of the city council on Tuesday evening, but the venerable fathers, as will be fully explained hereafter, have not yet decided in any manner possible, inasmuch as they are inclined not to disturb the existing order of things. At least the charge for license remains unchanged, and the city council has not yet decided on additional licenses for the sale of liquor will be granted at present. Perhaps the honorable body referred to, could have performed no act so wise as to have granted the property to many persons are eager to engage in the saloon business at the present time, cannot easily be explained, as it is stated on undoubted authority, that the council has not yet decided on the city that have more than paid expenses for the last three months.

ASHLAND, WIS.

The county clerk, J. C. McCarty, removed from office by J. C. McCarty, clerk, charged preferred by Mr. J. O. Hayes, and appointed Eugene F. Price in his stead. The removal grew out of the refusal of the county clerk to sign an order of the county board for the removal of the county clerk, J. C. McCarty, of the Wisconsin Central Railroad company. The whole matter is expected to come up before Judge Clough, of Superior City, during the present week on the 26th inst. J. C. McCarty should not deliver books, papers, etc., of his office to Mr. Price, the new appointee.

The history of the difficulty, as near as it can be ascertained, is as follows: The county clerk, J. C. McCarty, is about this time to lose his office. The Wisconsin Central Railroad, issued \$200,000 in bonds and took in exchange an equal amount of stock in the county. The county board found the debt entered into an arrangement with Mr. Abbott, who represented the bond holders, by which, in exchange for \$108,000 of county bonds, the railroad company was to issue \$100,000 of new bonds, bearing five per cent. interest, all the tax deeds and tax certificates belonging to the county, covering a large part of the territory of the county, were to be transferred to Mr. Abbott. The agreement was made in February 1881, and the county performed its part of the contract at that time by turning over the property to the railroad company. The railroad company, in return, has issued the bonds of the county, which is claimed under the contract, should have been surrendered at once, until July, 1881, and now demands the return of the property. The county board found the debt entered into an arrangement with Mr. Abbott, who represented the bond holders, by which, in exchange for \$108,000 of county bonds, the railroad company was to issue \$100,000 of new bonds, bearing five per cent. interest, all the tax deeds and tax certificates belonging to the county, covering a large part of the territory of the county, were to be transferred to Mr. Abbott. The agreement was made in February 1881, and the county performed its part of the contract at that time by turning over the property to the railroad company. The railroad company, in return, has issued the bonds of the county, which is claimed under the contract, should have been surrendered at once, until July, 1881, and now demands the return of the property. The county board found the debt entered into an arrangement with Mr. Abbott, who represented the bond holders, by which, in exchange for \$108,000 of county bonds, the railroad company was to issue \$100,000 of new bonds, bearing five per cent. interest, all the tax deeds and tax certificates belonging to the county, covering a large part of the territory of the county, were to be transferred to Mr. Abbott. The agreement was made in February 1881, and the county performed its part of the contract at that time by turning over the property to the railroad company. The railroad company, in return, has issued the bonds of the county, which is claimed under the contract, should have been surrendered at once, until July, 1881, and now demands the return of the property. The county board found the debt entered into an arrangement with Mr. Abbott, who represented the bond holders, by which, in exchange for \$108,000 of county bonds, the railroad company was to issue \$100,000 of new bonds, bearing five per cent. interest, all the tax deeds and tax certificates belonging to the county, covering a large part of the territory of the county, were to be transferred to Mr. Abbott. The agreement was made in February 1881, and the county performed its part of the contract at that time by turning over the property to the railroad company. The railroad company, in return, has issued the bonds of the county, which is claimed under the contract, should have been surrendered at once, until July, 1881, and now demands the return of the property. The county board found the debt entered into an arrangement with Mr. Abbott, who represented the bond holders, by which, in exchange for \$108,000 of county bonds, the railroad company was to issue \$100,000 of new bonds, bearing five per cent. interest, all the tax deeds and tax certificates belonging to the county, covering a large part of the territory of the county, were to be transferred to Mr. Abbott. The agreement was made in February 1881, and the county performed its part of the contract at that time by turning over the property to the railroad company. The railroad company, in return, has issued the bonds of the county, which is claimed under the contract, should have been surrendered at once, until July, 1881, and now demands the return of the property. The county board found the debt entered into an arrangement with Mr. Abbott, who represented the bond holders, by which, in exchange for \$108,000 of county bonds, the railroad company was to issue \$100,000 of new bonds, bearing five per cent. interest, all the tax deeds and tax certificates belonging to the county, covering a large part of the territory of the county, were to be transferred to Mr. Abbott. The agreement was made in February 1881, and the county performed its part of the contract at that time by turning over the property to the railroad company. The railroad company, in return, has issued the bonds of the county, which is claimed under the contract, should have been surrendered at once, until July, 1881, and now demands the return of the property. The county board found the debt entered into an arrangement with Mr. Abbott, who represented the bond holders, by which, in exchange for \$108,000 of county bonds, the railroad company was to issue \$100,000 of new bonds, bearing five per cent. interest, all the tax deeds and tax certificates belonging to the county, covering a large part of the territory of the county, were to be transferred to Mr. Abbott. The agreement was made in February 1881, and the county performed its part of the contract at that time by turning over the property to the railroad company. The railroad company, in return, has issued the bonds of the county, which is claimed under the contract, should have been surrendered at once, until July, 1881, and now demands the return of the property. The county board found the debt entered into an arrangement with Mr. Abbott, who represented the bond holders, by which, in exchange for \$108,000 of county bonds, the railroad company was to issue \$100,000 of new bonds, bearing five per cent. interest, all the tax deeds and tax certificates belonging to the county, covering a large part of the territory of the county, were to be transferred to Mr. Abbott. The agreement was made in February 1881, and the county performed its part of the contract at that time by turning over the property to the railroad company. The railroad company, in return, has issued the bonds of the county, which is claimed under the contract, should have been surrendered at once, until July, 1881, and now demands the return of the property. The county board found the debt entered into an arrangement with Mr. Abbott, who represented the bond holders, by which, in exchange for \$108,000 of county bonds, the railroad company was to issue \$100,000 of new bonds, bearing five per cent. interest, all the tax deeds and tax certificates belonging to the county, covering a large part of the territory of the county, were to be transferred to Mr. Abbott. The agreement was made in February 1881, and the county performed its part of the contract at that time by turning over the property to the railroad company. The railroad company, in return, has issued the bonds of the county, which is claimed under the contract, should have been surrendered at once, until July, 1881, and now demands the return of the property. The county board found the debt entered into an arrangement with Mr. Abbott, who represented the bond holders, by which, in exchange for \$108,000 of county bonds, the railroad company was to issue \$100,000 of new bonds, bearing five per cent. interest, all the tax deeds and tax certificates belonging to the county, covering a large part of the territory of the county, were to be transferred to Mr. Abbott. The agreement was made in February 1881, and the county performed its part of the contract at that time by turning over the property to the railroad company. The railroad company, in return, has issued the bonds of the county, which is claimed under the contract, should have been surrendered at once, until July, 1881, and now demands the return of the property. The county board found the debt entered into an arrangement with Mr. Abbott, who represented the bond holders, by which, in exchange for \$108,000 of county bonds, the railroad company was to issue \$100,000 of new bonds, bearing five per cent. interest, all the tax deeds and tax certificates belonging to the county, covering a large part of the territory of the county, were to be transferred to Mr. Abbott. The agreement was made in February 1881, and the county performed its part of the contract at that time by turning over the property to the railroad company. The railroad company, in return, has issued the bonds of the county, which is claimed under the contract, should have been surrendered at once, until July, 1881, and now demands the return of the property. The county board found the debt entered into an arrangement with Mr. Abbott, who represented the bond holders, by which, in exchange for \$108,000 of county bonds, the railroad company was to issue \$100,000 of new bonds, bearing five per cent. interest, all the tax deeds and tax certificates belonging to the county, covering a large part of the territory of the county, were to be transferred to Mr. Abbott. The agreement was made in February 1881, and the county performed its part of the contract at that time by turning over the property to the railroad company. The railroad company, in return, has issued the bonds of the county, which is claimed under the contract, should have been surrendered at once, until July, 1881, and now demands the return of the property. The county board found the debt entered into an arrangement with Mr. Abbott, who represented the bond holders, by which, in exchange for \$108,000 of county bonds, the railroad company was to issue \$100,000 of new bonds, bearing five per cent. interest, all the tax deeds and tax certificates belonging to the county, covering a large part of the territory of the county, were to be transferred to Mr. Abbott. The agreement was made in February 1881, and the county performed its part of the contract at that time by turning over the property to the railroad company. The railroad company, in return, has issued the bonds of the county, which is claimed under the contract, should have been surrendered at once, until July, 1881, and now demands the return of the property. The county board found the debt entered into an arrangement with Mr. Abbott, who represented the bond holders, by which, in exchange for \$108,000 of county bonds, the railroad company was to issue \$100,000 of new bonds, bearing five per cent. interest, all the tax deeds and tax certificates belonging to the county, covering a large part of the territory of the county, were to be transferred to Mr. Abbott. The agreement was made in February 1881, and the county performed its part of the contract at that time by turning over the property to the railroad company. The railroad company, in return, has issued the bonds of the county, which is claimed under the contract, should have been surrendered at once, until July, 1881, and now demands the return of the property. The county board found the debt entered into an arrangement with Mr. Abbott, who represented the bond holders, by which, in exchange for \$108,000 of county bonds, the railroad company was to issue \$100,000 of new bonds, bearing five per cent. interest, all the tax deeds and tax certificates belonging to the county, covering a large part of the territory of the county, were to be transferred to Mr. Abbott. The agreement was made in February 1881, and the county performed its part of the contract at that time by turning over the property to the railroad company. The railroad company, in return, has issued the bonds of the county, which is claimed under the contract, should have been surrendered at once, until July, 1881, and now demands the return of the property. The county board found the debt entered into an arrangement with Mr. Abbott, who represented the bond holders, by which, in exchange for \$108,000 of county bonds, the railroad company was to issue \$100,000 of new bonds, bearing five per cent. interest, all the tax deeds and tax certificates belonging to the county, covering a large part of the territory of the county, were to be transferred to Mr. Abbott. The agreement was made in February 1881, and the county performed its part of the contract at that time by turning over the property to the railroad company. The railroad company, in return, has issued the bonds of the county, which is claimed under the contract, should have been surrendered at once, until July, 1881, and now demands the return of the property. The county board found the debt entered into an arrangement with Mr. Abbott, who represented the bond holders, by which, in exchange for \$108,000 of county bonds, the railroad company was to issue \$100,000 of new bonds, bearing five per cent. interest, all the tax deeds and tax certificates belonging to the county, covering a large part of the territory of the county, were to be transferred to Mr. Abbott. The agreement was made in February 1881, and the county performed its part of the contract at that time by turning over the property to the railroad company. The railroad company, in return, has issued the bonds of the county, which is claimed under the contract, should have been surrendered at once, until July, 1881, and now demands the return of the property. The county board found the debt entered into an arrangement with Mr. Abbott, who represented the bond holders, by which, in exchange for \$108,000 of county bonds, the railroad company was to issue \$100,000 of new bonds, bearing five per cent. interest, all the tax deeds and tax certificates belonging to the county, covering a large part of the territory of the county, were to be transferred to Mr. Abbott. The agreement was made in February 1881, and the county performed its part of the contract at that time by turning over the property to the railroad company. The railroad company, in return, has issued the bonds of the county, which is claimed under the contract, should have been surrendered at once, until July, 1881, and now demands the return of the property. The county board found the debt entered into an arrangement with Mr. Abbott, who represented the bond holders, by which, in exchange for \$108,000 of county bonds, the railroad company was to issue \$100,000 of new bonds, bearing five per cent. interest, all the tax deeds and tax certificates belonging to the county, covering a large part of the territory of the county, were to be transferred to Mr. Abbott. The agreement was made in February 1881, and the county performed its part of the contract at that time by turning over the property to the railroad company. The railroad company, in return, has issued the bonds of the county, which is claimed under the contract, should have been surrendered at once, until July, 1881, and now demands the return of the property. The county board found the debt entered into an arrangement with Mr. Abbott, who represented the bond holders, by which, in exchange for \$108,000 of county bonds, the railroad company was to issue \$100,000 of new bonds, bearing five per cent. interest, all the tax deeds and tax certificates belonging to the county, covering a large part of the territory of the county, were to be transferred to Mr. Abbott. The agreement was made in February 1881, and the county performed its part of the contract at that time by turning over the property to the railroad company. The railroad company, in return, has issued the bonds of the county, which is claimed under the contract, should have been surrendered at once, until July, 1881, and now demands the return of the property. The county board found the debt entered into an arrangement with Mr. Abbott, who represented the bond holders, by which, in exchange for \$108,000 of county bonds, the railroad company was to issue \$100,000 of new bonds, bearing five per cent. interest, all the tax deeds and tax certificates belonging to the county, covering a large part of the territory of the county, were to be transferred to Mr. Abbott. The agreement was made in February 1881, and the county performed its part of the contract at that time by turning over the property to the railroad company. The railroad company, in return, has issued the bonds of the county, which is claimed under the contract, should have been surrendered at once, until July, 188