

MINNEAPOLIS NEWS.

OFFICE—No. 6 Washington Avenue, opposite Nicollet house. Office hours from 6 a. m. to 10 o'clock p. m.

AFTER all the talk, the Journal has backed out of the morning paper scheme. The Journal was never in any morning newspaper scheme. There are a number of "smart Alices" connected with various publications about town who assume to know more about the Journal's business than the proprietors themselves.—Journal.

And now, in this connection, the GLOBE has a slight curiosity to know why it is that the Journal assumes to know more about the Democratic campaign than the Democrats themselves. For the quietness of gall command us to the Republican press.

ALDERMANIC candidates are bewilderingly numerous this spring. This fact is true of both parties. But the great strife will be for the election of members to the Park Commission, notwithstanding the fact that sapient politicians endeavor to ignore it. There's millions in it so to speak.

J. D. WYMAN'S friends are confident in their ability to secure to him the Republican nomination for mayor. The Pillsbury faction, however, are bitter in their opposition, and a lively squabble will be the result of the convention of March 4th.

It is agreed by those who know best that the pending police investigation cannot possibly affect the political campaign. On the other hand, others claim that the whole is a mere political dodge, which is not very likely, however.

The Republicans will without doubt run Col. Clark for re-election to the city council although he is a staunch prohibitionist.

DR. KARL BENDERKE is urged by his Democratic friends to become a candidate for alderman from the Sixth ward.

MINNEAPOLIS GLOBELETS.

The real estate transfers filed yesterday aggregated \$93,306.

Mr. French lectured in the Church of the Redeemer last evening.

A Democratic mass meeting will be held this evening at Market hall.

Geo. N. Morgan post, G. A. R., held an interesting meeting last night.

Republican caucuses will be held this evening in the First and Second wards.

There are twenty-five cases on the special term calendar to be heard to-day in the district court.

It is estimated that there has been about 12,000 tons of ice harvested at Lake Minnetonka this winter.

Up to the present writing his friends have still been unable to trace the whereabouts of Joseph P. Harris, the missing millionaire.

C. W. Lee will be given a hearing in the municipal court to-morrow, upon the charge of threatening to shoot John Thurman.

St. David's day will be celebrated this evening at the Welsh church by a competitive literary and musical entertainment.

A meeting of the committee on claims of the board of county commissioners will be held this forenoon in the court house building.

John E. Plouff has been held to await the action of the grand jury upon the charge of bastardy, brought at the instance of Sarah Watland.

The police committee from the city council held another star chamber session yesterday, and it is barely possible the matter will soon be made public.

John Campbell, a hackman, will be tried in the municipal court to-day, upon the charge of over-charging Mary Oakes for transportation, so violating the city ordinance.

Licenses to wed were yesterday issued to the following couples: Ole Iverson and Julia Olson; Lewis Swanson and Anna Nelson; Andrew Koncar and Susanna Kotusack.

The examination of applicants for admission to the Annapolis Naval academy will occur at the Nicollet house, at 9:30 o'clock this morning. All must be above the age of fourteen years.

The jury in the case of Fred C. Hahn vs. Geo. McMullen gave a verdict yesterday for the plaintiff in \$350 damages, for injuries received from being struck on the head by a rock during the excavation at the site of the Union depot, near suspension bridge.

It seems that the Republican organs, which have with their customary gall taken upon themselves the duty of managing the Democratic campaign, announce that the Democratic mass meeting to be held in Market hall this evening is an anti-Ames affair.

The supervising architect will on March 18 advertise for the brick and stone work for the new public building at Minneapolis. The contract will be for a building two stories high to which an additional story can be added if desired.

The Norwegians of this city are jubilant over the impeachment and conviction of Prime Minister Christian August Selmer, of the disunited kingdom of Norway and Sweden. For advising King Oscar to veto the popular amendments to the constitution he was impeached, removed from office and sentenced to pay \$5,000 costs.

The stationary engineers have elected the following officers: J. G. Lacy, president; H. G. Pierce, vice-president; N. C. Boyd, recording secretary; W. M. Sage, financial secretary; G. W. L. Johnson, corresponding secretary; W. P. Winkley, treasurer; G. S. Getchell, conductor; W. McMillan, door-keeper; John Norton, past president; G. W. Cook, chaplain.

The agent for the Baird & Dillon publishing house in the Lake Side building, Chicago, was robbed on Franklin avenue the other night by foot pads to the amount of \$18.75. The young man reported the matter to the police, but the latter have thus far been unable to bring the criminals to justice, for want of a definite description to lead to their identification and capture.

There has recently been commenced the construction of the largest elevator in the state of Minnesota under the supervision of Mr. M. H. Cloutier, next to elevator "A" on Western avenue with a capacity of 1,250,000 bushels. Mr. Cloutier has come here recently from Milwaukee and has built several elevators for the Milwaukee road, and also one at the Union stockpools.

MINNEAPOLIS PERSONALS.

Luth Jaeger, editor of *Duodistiken*, lies ill at Rush city.

Joe Willard is convalescing again, after five weeks sickness.

Major Thompson, of Pine City, made Minneapolis a visit to-day.

J. F. Ross, the young capitalist of Boston, was registered at the Nicollet yesterday.

C. S. Houston, of St. Paul, began yesterday his duties as mail agent on the Minneapolis & St. Louis, between this city and Albert Lea.

General Rosser left last night for New York to sail from there to Panama to fill the position of chief engineer of the Nicaragua canal.

Hon. B. W. Benson and John Stammers, of Valley City, N. D., were in the city yesterday. There is no place like Dakota unless it is Minneapolis.

The Wrestling Match.

It is now something of a question whether Asion Butler, of this city, and J. I. Barnes, the athlete of St. Paul, will meet in a wrestling match. The trouble arose from the fact that Barnes weighs more than Butler, and the latter stipulated that the maximum weight should be 140 pounds. Respecting the same Mr. Barnes writes:

"I am not looking for matches of any kind, and will not reduce myself to 140 pounds. If Mr. Butler means business, I will wrestle him a fair and square Greco-Roman match, best two in three falls, for a reasonable stake, no gate money; the match

to take place in St. Paul within three days."

In reply Mr. Butler has this to say:

"The idea of putting the match within three days is ridiculous. It is impossible for me to leave my place to-morrow, and the third day is Sunday. When the stakes will amount to nothing, and there will be no gate receipts. As he says I am trying to "back out" I will challenge him to wrestle a match, best two in three or best three in five, as he may desire, in any manner, at any place and for any amount, the winner to take all. He will accept, and further no mention will be made of weight."

Both gentlemen have good backing, and could a match be consummated an interesting contest will result.

THE COLLEGE HOSPITAL.

The Third Annual Commencement of the Minnesota College Hospital Last Evening—A Class of Seven Graduated.

The third annual commencement of the Minnesota College hospital occurred last evening, at the First Baptist church, on Hennepin avenue and Fifth street. There was a large audience, composed of the friends of the medical institution and of the young men who had completed their studies therein.

The following are the graduates: Byron B. Davis, of Salem, Nebraska; J. Woodbury Durrell, of Minneapolis; Olaf Sohler, of Cambridge, Minn.; Kenneth A. Palmer, of Fond du Lac, Wis.; Edgar A. Fisher of Monticello, Minn.; J. Frank Page, of Allerton, Iowa, and Simon O. Francis, of Dudley, Illinois.

The faculty of the college were in attendance and with them were sitting Hon. John M. Willis, Dr. S. M. Dr. Smith, of St. Paul, and Hon. E. M. Wilson and Hon. Thomas Lowry, of this city. The last named gentleman presided and called the meeting to order at 8:15 o'clock, when he introduced Rev. Dr. Smith, who opened the exercises with prayer. The Hon. John M. Willis was then introduced as the first speaker of the evening, and from the well known oratorical ability of the gentleman it is needless to state his address was eloquent and much relished by the assemblage. He knew no reason why he should be selected as speaker at this commencement, unless it was that his ignorance of medical knowledge was known to the faculty. The gentleman, however, brought his audience back to the days of antiquity and displayed a great deal of knowledge respecting Esculapius, the recognized divinity of medical science, as well as Hippocrates, Galen and others whose names are familiar to the medical profession. Since the Christian era the science of medicine had progressed at a marvelous rate until now a knowledge of sanitary science is disseminated throughout the world. The science of medicine was a great aid to jurisprudence. Many cases in the courts require the aid of medical experts, like that of the United States against the assassin Guiteau, for instance. For the testimony of medical experts as witnesses, the speaker would, however, substitute a jury of medical experts in cases of importance like that referred to. He complimented Minneapolis upon its culture and education and upon having established in its midst a grandly equipped institution from which can go forth to bless mankind educated gentlemen like the graduates here that evening.

The physician is akin to divinity. He is with us at our birth, he prolongs life and at the hour of death he is present to render consolation and assuage our pain and suffering. This synopsis gives but a faint idea of the beautiful address of Mr. Willis.

The Hon. F. M. Wilson was the next speaker and his address was strange to some of the exercises held this evening are termed a commencement instead of an end, but when the students commence the business of life it is then that they really commence their education. From this time forth it is by continued study only that they may become what they are in the profession they have chosen. They are just entering on life. He referred to the ancient superstition of the seventh son of the seventh son possessing miraculous healing power, and here, this evening, there were going out from the college seven physicians, or one for every day of the week. He saw no reason why young men should not have a first class medical institution here instead of being obliged to go east to obtain a medical education. The hospital is situated here and the burden of its support has fallen heavily on a few, so heavily that it has become a grievous burden. Much more must be done to support consistently with its requirements. Money must be forthcoming, either from public or from private contributions. He believed voluntary donations and charitable contributions preferable. He spoke of charity which is twice blessed.—It blesses him that gives and him that takes."

He eloquently spoke of the American philanthropist whose body was carried from across the Atlantic to the metropolis of our nation where thousands bowed down in reverence on its arrival. He spoke of the three millionaires who are laboring to alleviate the suffering of the poor of London. He founded institutions here which will cause his memory to be ever cherished in the hearts of his countrymen.

And here in Minneapolis is an orphan asylum handed through munificence and philanthropy of another benefactor of the race—the late C. C. Washburn.

The College hospital should be maintained and he spoke of it this evening at no suggestion from the faculty.

Thomas Lowry then gave the young men their parting words with appropriate words accompanying the presentation. He also administered the physician's oath.

Prizes were then distributed by Dr. French as follows:

\$100 for the best examination offered by the president, was carried by Mr. Bryon B. Davis; second prize of \$75 was awarded to Clarence G. Lang; second best prize to W. B. Linton, of Minneapolis; a microscope from Dr. Abbott to Mr. Palmer, of Fond du Lac, Wis. Other prizes were distributed and then the meeting adjourned to a banquet at the Nicollet.

Who Shall Govern Our City?

[Translated from the Freie Presse.]

"The Tribune knows well enough, that mainly through their slinging of their dirty shells, two years ago, they helped secure Dr. Ames a vote of 1,800 majority. Therefore they opened the campaign this year with the motto: "Abuse and insult has no effect," but that is a mere empty phrase for it has already exhausted its vocabulary and is daily looking for new words, with which to vent its anger upon the present mayor; to tell the truth the Tribune plays but a very small part in the elections and especially the municipal elections. It is but a faction of a very small party, though, sorry to say, it has means enough in hand to assist in obtaining votes of unscrupulous voters, but the great mass of the people is intelligent enough to see the narrow minded standpoint of Abbie Nettleton and his followers; at least one can find out through its columns what Nettleton and his cohorts wish. They simply want to place the control of the city once more in the hands of their so-called aristocratic clique, to have a city government like our neighboring city St. Paul, after the pattern cut by Mayor O'Brien, under pretense of high license. They wish to bring us under the complete influence of prohibition and the blue puritanical Sunday law. That is all. Let every citizen take notice—the coming city election will be a war between church, women aristocrats and the friends of personal liberty. We do not wish to occupy ourselves to-day with the possible candidate. The fact that a convention has taken place between the Republicans and Prohibitionists, although, thanks to Brother Satterlee without success, plainly shows the intention. The Republican candidate should by this time show himself openly to destroy the distrust in which Nettleton and his followers showed him from the beginning. We owe it to the German citizens and societies, not to lend a hand by the enforcing of unreasonable laws of the dark ages to put them in a false light. The attacks made against the present administration prove themselves to be without foundation. Mistakes have undoubtedly been made. They are such that can be remedied in the future, however. The same attacks were made against Dr. Ames eight years ago at the close of his mayoralty term. He was then beaten by Mr. De Laiteur. What had we then? A prying, spying government, but no lessening of our rights. We are decided enemies of gambling houses, but if, as Father McGolrick says, "years back gambling was carried on with barred doors and in hidden places," whereas to-day it is carried on openly, then we are decidedly in favor of to-day. Or does anyone think

there is less gambling going on in St. Paul to-day than formerly? Surely not. The only difference is that the officers have lost more control. The victims are plucked without the power to complain. Openness may be very good, but it has at last hoisted in its favor, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with party politics. It is of little consequence whether the mayor follows the Republican or Democratic banners, but why do we ask it as a condition of office, whereas, secrecy generally goes hand in hand with deceit. Municipal elections have nothing to do with