

SAINT PAUL.

Additional City News on Page 8. QUIPS AND SNIPS.

Although entirely devoid of elegance, the idea of an evening contemporary, in closing an account of a wedding by giving the pedicures of a string of fingers, is absolutely new and pleasing.

It is quite amusing to hear some of the absurd questions asked by our country cousins as they stroll through St. Paul's elegant court house. A youth of the benighted generation of the Berblaine in the main corridor yesterday, asked:

"What's the 'box box'?" "What witness box you mean?" "Why, the witness box, as I told you, they talk of. They've got 'em in every court house, a witness box, have you not here I'd like to see it just over there?"

A happy thought struck the custodian. He led the young countryman over to the elevator and exhibited that slow-going apparatus as the witness box.

"Well, 'box'—I never knew that witness boxes moved before," ejaculated the youth.

"These don't move before, my; they move behind—time," dryly remarked the custodian.

Dr. Day intimates that he is soon to fix up a room in the top story of the court house for the use of the press friends on duty at the building.

The reporters are perfectly satisfied with the location of the room, because to be in the court house is to be in their own comfort and consolation.

But the general doctor will find it extremely difficult to reach the desired tastes of the press gang as to the furnishing of the room.

He is apt to make the great mistake of putting the manager's mind revolving top desks instead of pine tables, such as the reporters are accustomed to. He should also know that a great boon to him would be to get a large collection of old cork shoes.

He is quite likely to furnish the necessary furnishings, and to spoil the comfort of the boys, unintentionally. He should also put in a wet plush carpet and a pair of Oriental rugs. The necessity of spilling the most elegant floor covering is actually pointed out by the doctor and the pencil pushers.

Leave the floor alone, doctor; the boys will sweep it so completely that you will see the bare and ugly boards. They will fresco it in their own peculiar style.

WISE AND OTHERWISE. Among the first duties of the next legislature will be the appointment of another judge of the supreme court.

The present bench of justices cannot be re-elected by the freemen, and the amount of litigation, as was evidenced yesterday, when it was practically decided that sixty-eight cases on the calendar for the October term must be continued to the April term.

Carl Judson was at the capital yesterday. He says that he is continuing inspecting all cattle at the stockyards, and will continue to do so until the supreme court has decided on the case of the Scheffer bill. Meanwhile Armour & Co. are pouring dressed beef into the city, and the world still revolves.

Gov. Merriam has not a new lease of life. The statement from Grant county that the defendant in the case of the Farmers' alliance should appear in court has proved their true friend. There have been many deserters from the political wilderness of the Hon. Albert Scheffer, and if the members of the Farmers' alliance should turn their backs upon him it will be the unkindest cut of all.

By the way, the Farmers' Press has a complimentary notice of Gov. Merriam, for the stability he has exhibited in refusing to grant a pardon to the Bob Couser and other political criminals.

NOTE AND COMMENT. John Gilliat, one of the governors of the Bank of England, was in the city yesterday, accompanied by his wife. Mr. Gilliat has heavy interests at Duluth and other points in the northern part of the state, and he has been spending a few days looking the field over. He left for St. Joseph, Mo., on his way to a company with Mr. Benson, of the Iowa Land company.

C. C. Lewis—the Rev. W. F. Crafts, in his lecture Sunday, repeatedly referred to the Seventh-Day people, who oppose his movement. The Rev. Crafts Sunday laws, as comprising a minority too small to be entitled to any particular consideration by the state.

Mr. Crafts spoke at West St. Paul, his audience of thirty-two persons numbered seventh-day people. A remarkably lively assembly.

Much annoyance is caused to patrons of the public library by the freemen, and with such many ignorant individuals mark the pages of the books. A copy of the "Conquest of Mexico" was seen yesterday, in which every page was marked with a red pencil.

It is interesting to note that the meaning of this absurdity is hard to comprehend. An occasional freeman inserted a red pencil in books in a public library, and when done as some egotistical cranks are now doing, it proves annoying to the readers.

Souvenirs of excursion trips are becoming more elaborate every day. The latest is that issued by the Burlington for the trip of Damascus Commandery No. 1, St. Paul, to the city of Damascus, the Right Eminent Grand Commander of the State of Minnesota, to the twenty-fourth Triennial Conference of the Grand Encampment United States, at Washington. It is an elaborate affair in gold and colors, containing a hymn dedicated to "The Sabbath School of Oct. 6, 1899."

Just what the hymn has to do with the rest of the book only the Burlington & Northern people can tell. The souvenir comprises views of Harper's Ferry, the heart of the Alleghenies, and other useful and attractive information.

Of course, "Twas Carlelessness. Dr. Hahn saved the city for \$1,500 damages. On the night of July 7, while the doctor was driving on View street, he suddenly came upon an embankment of earth which had been carelessly thrown up in the street by the city's laborers. His horse fell, the buggy was overturned, and Dr. Hahn was violently thrown to the ground. The accident damaged the harness and buggy to the extent of \$500; and in addition to that amount, Dr. Hahn wants \$1,000 for personal injuries.

Without One Word. Jacob Ceska, a Bohemian shoemaker, living at 377 Western avenue south, dropped dead on board of a Milwaukee train yesterday morning near Minneapolis. Heart disease was the cause. He was returning to his home in Chamberlain, S. D., where he went in search of work. He was forty-five years of age, and leaves a widow and several children.

Bradley. Bradley's store or barncans at Bradley's closing out sale of Fine Shoes. Everything goes at a sacrifice. 225 East Seventh st.

Good Reading Matter. Filizende Blatter. Waiting at the postoffice. "Haven't you anything else to read but this old newspaper?" "Sorry sir. Perhaps you might be interested to look over these post-cards that came in to-day."

Burlington Route Dining Cars. Omaha to Denver and Council Bluffs to Kansas City. Meals for the excellence of their cuisine. Meals 70 cents.

DIVORCE IN HIGH LIFE.

James N. Granger, the Well-Known Lawyer, is Called Upon

To Answer a Suit for Divorce, Brought by His Wife.

Charges of Cruel and Inhuman Treatment Made Against Him.

With Other Complaints of a Serious and Distressing Nature.

St. Paul's upper tenor will be shocked, if not surprised, to hear that James W. Granger, the well known and able lawyer, is the defendant in a divorce suit instituted in the district court yesterday.

That unhappy relation, the household of the Grangers is a fact well known in society circles for over a year. But yesterday Sarah L. Granger, the wife, disclosed to the public the cause for this unhappy relation by filing a bill for divorce.

Her complaint is substantially as follows: She married Mr. Granger at Chicago, Feb. 6, 1878. Immediately after the marriage the couple came to St. Paul and have resided here ever since. In July last Mr. Granger went to Providence, R. I., where he remained. Since then he has not returned to St. Paul, and has refused to contribute to the support of his wife and son. He is a habitual drunkard, having been partially or wholly intoxicated almost during that time he has been in St. Paul.

During that time he has been guilty of cruel and inhuman treatment of his wife, having repeatedly struck and beaten her by the use of his fists and other articles. Sometimes he has been so violent that marks of the blows were left upon her person for several days. He has also been guilty of using abusive and insulting names and epithets to her. It is further stated that he has been guilty of neglecting and abusing his wife's friends and relatives, numerous false, scandalous and derogatory statements concerning her.

The only issue of the marriage is a son named Lancelot, who is now nine years of age. About a year ago the wife was afflicted with spinal curvature, and it became necessary to remove him to Boston for medical treatment. Mrs. Granger, with the consent of her husband, took the boy to Boston in December, 1888, and placed him in charge of competent physicians. On one of the trips he was accompanied by a detective. Mrs. Granger believes that her husband has been guilty of different offenses in July and August last, Mr. Granger, while intoxicated, went to Boston and endeavored to remove the child from the care of the physicians. He was accompanied by a detective. Mrs. Granger believes that her husband has been guilty of different offenses in July and August last, Mr. Granger, while intoxicated, went to Boston and endeavored to remove the child from the care of the physicians.

He was accompanied by a detective. Mrs. Granger believes that her husband has been guilty of different offenses in July and August last, Mr. Granger, while intoxicated, went to Boston and endeavored to remove the child from the care of the physicians.

He was accompanied by a detective. Mrs. Granger believes that her husband has been guilty of different offenses in July and August last, Mr. Granger, while intoxicated, went to Boston and endeavored to remove the child from the care of the physicians.

He was accompanied by a detective. Mrs. Granger believes that her husband has been guilty of different offenses in July and August last, Mr. Granger, while intoxicated, went to Boston and endeavored to remove the child from the care of the physicians.

He was accompanied by a detective. Mrs. Granger believes that her husband has been guilty of different offenses in July and August last, Mr. Granger, while intoxicated, went to Boston and endeavored to remove the child from the care of the physicians.

He was accompanied by a detective. Mrs. Granger believes that her husband has been guilty of different offenses in July and August last, Mr. Granger, while intoxicated, went to Boston and endeavored to remove the child from the care of the physicians.

He was accompanied by a detective. Mrs. Granger believes that her husband has been guilty of different offenses in July and August last, Mr. Granger, while intoxicated, went to Boston and endeavored to remove the child from the care of the physicians.

He was accompanied by a detective. Mrs. Granger believes that her husband has been guilty of different offenses in July and August last, Mr. Granger, while intoxicated, went to Boston and endeavored to remove the child from the care of the physicians.

He was accompanied by a detective. Mrs. Granger believes that her husband has been guilty of different offenses in July and August last, Mr. Granger, while intoxicated, went to Boston and endeavored to remove the child from the care of the physicians.

He was accompanied by a detective. Mrs. Granger believes that her husband has been guilty of different offenses in July and August last, Mr. Granger, while intoxicated, went to Boston and endeavored to remove the child from the care of the physicians.

He was accompanied by a detective. Mrs. Granger believes that her husband has been guilty of different offenses in July and August last, Mr. Granger, while intoxicated, went to Boston and endeavored to remove the child from the care of the physicians.

He was accompanied by a detective. Mrs. Granger believes that her husband has been guilty of different offenses in July and August last, Mr. Granger, while intoxicated, went to Boston and endeavored to remove the child from the care of the physicians.

He was accompanied by a detective. Mrs. Granger believes that her husband has been guilty of different offenses in July and August last, Mr. Granger, while intoxicated, went to Boston and endeavored to remove the child from the care of the physicians.

He was accompanied by a detective. Mrs. Granger believes that her husband has been guilty of different offenses in July and August last, Mr. Granger, while intoxicated, went to Boston and endeavored to remove the child from the care of the physicians.

He was accompanied by a detective. Mrs. Granger believes that her husband has been guilty of different offenses in July and August last, Mr. Granger, while intoxicated, went to Boston and endeavored to remove the child from the care of the physicians.

He was accompanied by a detective. Mrs. Granger believes that her husband has been guilty of different offenses in July and August last, Mr. Granger, while intoxicated, went to Boston and endeavored to remove the child from the care of the physicians.

He was accompanied by a detective. Mrs. Granger believes that her husband has been guilty of different offenses in July and August last, Mr. Granger, while intoxicated, went to Boston and endeavored to remove the child from the care of the physicians.

He was accompanied by a detective. Mrs. Granger believes that her husband has been guilty of different offenses in July and August last, Mr. Granger, while intoxicated, went to Boston and endeavored to remove the child from the care of the physicians.

He was accompanied by a detective. Mrs. Granger believes that her husband has been guilty of different offenses in July and August last, Mr. Granger, while intoxicated, went to Boston and endeavored to remove the child from the care of the physicians.

He was accompanied by a detective. Mrs. Granger believes that her husband has been guilty of different offenses in July and August last, Mr. Granger, while intoxicated, went to Boston and endeavored to remove the child from the care of the physicians.

He was accompanied by a detective. Mrs. Granger believes that her husband has been guilty of different offenses in July and August last, Mr. Granger, while intoxicated, went to Boston and endeavored to remove the child from the care of the physicians.

He was accompanied by a detective. Mrs. Granger believes that her husband has been guilty of different offenses in July and August last, Mr. Granger, while intoxicated, went to Boston and endeavored to remove the child from the care of the physicians.

He was accompanied by a detective. Mrs. Granger believes that her husband has been guilty of different offenses in July and August last, Mr. Granger, while intoxicated, went to Boston and endeavored to remove the child from the care of the physicians.

He was accompanied by a detective. Mrs. Granger believes that her husband has been guilty of different offenses in July and August last, Mr. Granger, while intoxicated, went to Boston and endeavored to remove the child from the care of the physicians.

He was accompanied by a detective. Mrs. Granger believes that her husband has been guilty of different offenses in July and August last, Mr. Granger, while intoxicated, went to Boston and endeavored to remove the child from the care of the physicians.

AWAY UP IN THE SKIES

Attorney Holman some time ago, was reported back. He stated that while the remainder of the purchase price (\$85,000) in well secured paper, James E. Megall has been installed as private bookkeeper, and Emilie Mehl as assistant clerk.

A CHARMING SOUBRETTE

Joined in Wedlock With a City Liverman.

Fergus D. Abbey and Miss Sophia D. Hesselberger were quietly married at People's church yesterday afternoon at 4 o'clock. The ceremony was performed by Rev. Samuel G. Smith, and only persons present were Mr. and Mrs. Hesselberger, the brother-in-law and sister of the bride; Aid. Patrick Conley and William James Wells.

A very unusual matrimonial union, a soubrette of marked talent and an actress of unusual ability. Her pretty face and nimble form are familiar to all frequenters of the Olympic, where she concluded a most successful engagement Sunday night. In her retirement she has turned to the profession of one of its brightest lights. The bridegroom, whom every St. Paulite knows as the genial, pleasant-faced "Dick" Abbey, a native Pauline, is the brother-in-law of the lively firm of Abbey & Schulte, and one of the most successful business men of the city.

She married Mr. Granger at Chicago, Feb. 6, 1878. Immediately after the marriage the couple came to St. Paul and have resided here ever since. In July last Mr. Granger went to Providence, R. I., where he remained. Since then he has not returned to St. Paul, and has refused to contribute to the support of his wife and son. He is a habitual drunkard, having been partially or wholly intoxicated almost during that time he has been in St. Paul.

During that time he has been guilty of cruel and inhuman treatment of his wife, having repeatedly struck and beaten her by the use of his fists and other articles. Sometimes he has been so violent that marks of the blows were left upon her person for several days. He has also been guilty of using abusive and insulting names and epithets to her. It is further stated that he has been guilty of neglecting and abusing his wife's friends and relatives, numerous false, scandalous and derogatory statements concerning her.

The only issue of the marriage is a son named Lancelot, who is now nine years of age. About a year ago the wife was afflicted with spinal curvature, and it became necessary to remove him to Boston for medical treatment. Mrs. Granger, with the consent of her husband, took the boy to Boston in December, 1888, and placed him in charge of competent physicians. On one of the trips he was accompanied by a detective. Mrs. Granger believes that her husband has been guilty of different offenses in July and August last, Mr. Granger, while intoxicated, went to Boston and endeavored to remove the child from the care of the physicians.

He was accompanied by a detective. Mrs. Granger believes that her husband has been guilty of different offenses in July and August last, Mr. Granger, while intoxicated, went to Boston and endeavored to remove the child from the care of the physicians.

He was accompanied by a detective. Mrs. Granger believes that her husband has been guilty of different offenses in July and August last, Mr. Granger, while intoxicated, went to Boston and endeavored to remove the child from the care of the physicians.

He was accompanied by a detective. Mrs. Granger believes that her husband has been guilty of different offenses in July and August last, Mr. Granger, while intoxicated, went to Boston and endeavored to remove the child from the care of the physicians.

He was accompanied by a detective. Mrs. Granger believes that her husband has been guilty of different offenses in July and August last, Mr. Granger, while intoxicated, went to Boston and endeavored to remove the child from the care of the physicians.

He was accompanied by a detective. Mrs. Granger believes that her husband has been guilty of different offenses in July and August last, Mr. Granger, while intoxicated, went to Boston and endeavored to remove the child from the care of the physicians.

He was accompanied by a detective. Mrs. Granger believes that her husband has been guilty of different offenses in July and August last, Mr. Granger, while intoxicated, went to Boston and endeavored to remove the child from the care of the physicians.

He was accompanied by a detective. Mrs. Granger believes that her husband has been guilty of different offenses in July and August last, Mr. Granger, while intoxicated, went to Boston and endeavored to remove the child from the care of the physicians.

He was accompanied by a detective. Mrs. Granger believes that her husband has been guilty of different offenses in July and August last, Mr. Granger, while intoxicated, went to Boston and endeavored to remove the child from the care of the physicians.

He was accompanied by a detective. Mrs. Granger believes that her husband has been guilty of different offenses in July and August last, Mr. Granger, while intoxicated, went to Boston and endeavored to remove the child from the care of the physicians.

He was accompanied by a detective. Mrs. Granger believes that her husband has been guilty of different offenses in July and August last, Mr. Granger, while intoxicated, went to Boston and endeavored to remove the child from the care of the physicians.

He was accompanied by a detective. Mrs. Granger believes that her husband has been guilty of different offenses in July and August last, Mr. Granger, while intoxicated, went to Boston and endeavored to remove the child from the care of the physicians.

He was accompanied by a detective. Mrs. Granger believes that her husband has been guilty of different offenses in July and August last, Mr. Granger, while intoxicated, went to Boston and endeavored to remove the child from the care of the physicians.

He was accompanied by a detective. Mrs. Granger believes that her husband has been guilty of different offenses in July and August last, Mr. Granger, while intoxicated, went to Boston and endeavored to remove the child from the care of the physicians.

He was accompanied by a detective. Mrs. Granger believes that her husband has been guilty of different offenses in July and August last, Mr. Granger, while intoxicated, went to Boston and endeavored to remove the child from the care of the physicians.

He was accompanied by a detective. Mrs. Granger believes that her husband has been guilty of different offenses in July and August last, Mr. Granger, while intoxicated, went to Boston and endeavored to remove the child from the care of the physicians.

He was accompanied by a detective. Mrs. Granger believes that her husband has been guilty of different offenses in July and August last, Mr. Granger, while intoxicated, went to Boston and endeavored to remove the child from the care of the physicians.

He was accompanied by a detective. Mrs. Granger believes that her husband has been guilty of different offenses in July and August last, Mr. Granger, while intoxicated, went to Boston and endeavored to remove the child from the care of the physicians.

He was accompanied by a detective. Mrs. Granger believes that her husband has been guilty of different offenses in July and August last, Mr. Granger, while intoxicated, went to Boston and endeavored to remove the child from the care of the physicians.

He was accompanied by a detective. Mrs. Granger believes that her husband has been guilty of different offenses in July and August last, Mr. Granger, while intoxicated, went to Boston and endeavored to remove the child from the care of the physicians.

He was accompanied by a detective. Mrs. Granger believes that her husband has been guilty of different offenses in July and August last, Mr. Granger, while intoxicated, went to Boston and endeavored to remove the child from the care of the physicians.

He was accompanied by a detective. Mrs. Granger believes that her husband has been guilty of different offenses in July and August last, Mr. Granger, while intoxicated, went to Boston and endeavored to remove the child from the care of the physicians.

He was accompanied by a detective. Mrs. Granger believes that her husband has been guilty of different offenses in July and August last, Mr. Granger, while intoxicated, went to Boston and endeavored to remove the child from the care of the physicians.

He was accompanied by a detective. Mrs. Granger believes that her husband has been guilty of different offenses in July and August last, Mr. Granger, while intoxicated, went to Boston and endeavored to remove the child from the care of the physicians.

He was accompanied by a detective. Mrs. Granger believes that her husband has been guilty of different offenses in July and August last, Mr. Granger, while intoxicated, went to Boston and endeavored to remove the child from the care of the physicians.

He was accompanied by a detective. Mrs. Granger believes that her husband has been guilty of different offenses in July and August last, Mr. Granger, while intoxicated, went to Boston and endeavored to remove the child from the care of the physicians.

He was accompanied by a detective. Mrs. Granger believes that her husband has been guilty of different offenses in July and August last, Mr. Granger, while intoxicated, went to Boston and endeavored to remove the child from the care of the physicians.

Comptroller Roche Fixes the City Tax Levy for Next Year.

He Figures the Elusive Little Item at Only 19.35 Mills.

By Careful, Judicious Pruning This May Be Slightly Reduced.

Ald. Leithauer Receives a Quiet Snub—City Council.

City Comptroller Roche laid before the common council last night his estimate for the tax levy for 1900. The document presented in detail all of the estimated receipts and expenditures of the city government for the year, for which the tax levy must be fixed on or before Tuesday next. The report was referred, without reading, to the committee on finance, and the members of the committee will meet with the comptroller to-morrow afternoon at 2:30 to consider its recommendations. They will report at a special meeting of the council Monday night, when the final action will be taken.

Comptroller Roche's estimate is based upon an assessed valuation of \$120,000,000. He sums up in general the tax requirements for city purposes as follows:

Interest upon the bonded debt, 2.85; City bonds maturing in 1900, 102.75; General fund expenditures, 4.45; Ward fund expenditures, 2.40; Total (balance of cost), .25; Total for city purposes, .95; \$1,194,000.

To the above estimate for city purposes he added a tax of 2.35 mills for state purposes, 19.35 mills for school purposes, and 4.50 mills for the board of education, making a total estimate of 10.05 mills for city purposes. He also suggested that a reduction of the city rate of 1.00 mill would be prepared for the purpose of expediting the argument of cases. There are 318 cases, and the dates for the hearing of 250 of them will carry the court up to Jan. 27, and the chief justice stated that, if possible, the remainder would be taken up, but, not, there would have to go over until the next term. Jan. 15 and 24 were fixed as dates for the settling of what was called a new set of rates.

Comptroller Roche's estimate is based upon an assessed valuation of \$120,000,000. He sums up in general the tax requirements for city purposes as follows:

Interest upon the bonded debt, 2.85; City bonds maturing in 1900, 102.75; General fund expenditures, 4.45; Ward fund expenditures, 2.40; Total (balance of cost), .25; Total for city purposes, .95; \$1,194,000.

To the above estimate for city purposes he added a tax of 2.35 mills for state purposes, 19.35 mills for school purposes, and 4.50 mills for the board of education, making a total estimate of 10.05 mills for city purposes. He also suggested that a reduction of the city rate of 1.00 mill would be prepared for the purpose of expediting the argument of cases. There are 318 cases, and the dates for the hearing of 250 of them will carry the court up to Jan. 27, and the chief justice stated that, if possible, the remainder would be taken up, but, not, there would have to go over until the next term. Jan. 15 and 24 were fixed as dates for the settling of what was called a new set of rates.

Comptroller Roche's estimate is based upon an assessed valuation of \$120,000,000. He sums up in general the tax requirements for city purposes as follows:

Interest upon the bonded debt, 2.85; City bonds maturing in 1900, 102.75; General fund expenditures, 4.45; Ward fund expenditures, 2.40; Total (balance of cost), .25; Total for city purposes, .95; \$1,194,000.

To the above estimate for city purposes he added a tax of 2.35 mills for state purposes, 19.35 mills for school purposes, and 4.50 mills for the board of education, making a total estimate of 10.05 mills for city purposes. He also suggested that a reduction of the city rate of 1.00 mill would be prepared for the purpose of expediting the argument of cases. There are 318 cases, and the dates for the hearing of 250 of them will carry the court up to Jan. 27, and the chief justice stated that, if possible, the remainder would be taken up, but, not, there would have to go over until the next term. Jan. 15 and 24 were fixed as dates for the settling of what was called a new set of rates.

Comptroller Roche's estimate is based upon an assessed valuation of \$120,000,000. He sums up in general the tax requirements for city purposes as follows:

Interest upon the bonded debt, 2.85; City bonds maturing in 1900, 102.75; General fund expenditures, 4.45; Ward fund expenditures, 2.40; Total (balance of cost), .25; Total for city purposes, .95; \$1,194,000.

To the above estimate for city purposes he added a tax of 2.35 mills for state purposes, 19.35 mills for school purposes, and 4.50 mills for the board of education, making a total estimate of 10.05 mills for city purposes. He also suggested that a reduction of the city rate of 1.00 mill would be prepared for the purpose of expediting the argument of cases. There are 318 cases, and the dates for the hearing of 250 of them will carry the court up to Jan. 27, and the chief justice stated that, if possible, the remainder would be taken up, but, not, there would have to go over until the next term. Jan. 15 and 24 were fixed as dates for the settling of what was called a new set of rates.

Comptroller Roche's estimate is based upon an assessed valuation of \$120,000,000. He sums up in general the tax requirements for city purposes as follows:

Interest upon the bonded debt, 2.85; City bonds maturing in 1900, 102.75; General fund expenditures, 4.45; Ward fund expenditures, 2.40; Total (balance of cost), .25; Total for city purposes, .95; \$1,194,000.

To the above estimate for city purposes he added a tax of 2.35 mills for state purposes, 19.35 mills for school purposes, and 4.50 mills for the board of education, making a total estimate of 10.05 mills for city purposes. He also suggested that a reduction of the city rate of 1.00 mill would be prepared for the purpose of expediting the argument of cases. There are 318 cases, and the dates for the hearing of 250 of them will carry the court up to Jan. 27, and the chief justice stated that, if possible, the remainder would be taken up, but, not, there would have to go over until the next term. Jan. 15 and 24 were fixed as dates for the settling of what was called a new set of rates.

Comptroller Roche's estimate is based upon an assessed valuation of \$120,000,000. He sums up in general the tax requirements for city purposes as follows:

Interest upon the bonded debt, 2.85; City bonds maturing in 1900, 102.75; General fund expenditures, 4.45; Ward fund expenditures, 2.40; Total (balance of cost), .25; Total for city purposes, .95; \$1,194,000.

To the above estimate for city purposes he added a tax of 2.35 mills for state purposes, 19.35 mills for school purposes, and 4.50 mills for the board of education, making a total estimate of 10.05 mills for city purposes. He also suggested that a reduction of the city rate of 1.00 mill would be prepared for the purpose of expediting the argument of cases. There are 318 cases, and the dates for the hearing of 250 of them will carry the court up to Jan. 27, and the chief justice stated that, if possible, the remainder would be taken up, but, not, there would have to go over until the next term. Jan. 15 and 24 were fixed as dates for the settling of what was called a new set of rates.

Comptroller Roche's estimate is based upon an assessed valuation of \$120,000,000. He sums up in general the tax requirements for city purposes as follows:

Interest upon the bonded debt, 2.85; City bonds maturing in 1900, 102.75; General fund expenditures, 4.45; Ward fund expenditures, 2.40; Total (balance of cost), .25; Total for city purposes, .95; \$1,194,000.

To the above estimate for city purposes he added a tax of 2.35 mills for state purposes, 19.35 mills for school purposes, and 4.50 mills for the board of education, making a total estimate of 10.05 mills for city purposes. He also suggested that a reduction of the city rate of 1.00 mill would be prepared for the purpose of expediting the argument of cases. There are 318 cases, and the dates for the hearing of 250 of them will carry the court up to Jan. 27, and the chief justice stated that, if possible, the remainder would be taken up, but, not, there would have to go over until the next term. Jan. 15 and 24 were fixed as dates for the settling of what was called a new set of rates.

Comptroller Roche's estimate is based upon an assessed valuation of \$120,000,000. He sums up in general the tax requirements for city purposes as follows:

Interest upon the bonded debt, 2.85; City bonds maturing in 1900, 102.75; General fund expenditures, 4.45; Ward fund expenditures, 2.40; Total (balance of cost), .25; Total for city purposes, .95; \$1,194,000.

To the above estimate for city purposes he added a tax of 2.35 mills for state purposes, 19.35 mills for school purposes, and 4.50 mills for the board of education, making a total estimate of 10.05 mills for city purposes. He also suggested that a reduction of the city rate of 1.00 mill would be prepared for the purpose of expediting the argument of cases. There are 318 cases, and the dates for the hearing of 250 of them will carry the court up to Jan. 27, and the chief justice stated that, if possible, the remainder would be taken up, but, not, there would have to go over until the next term. Jan. 15 and 24 were fixed as dates for the settling of what was called a new set of rates.

Comptroller Roche's estimate is based upon an assessed valuation of \$120,000,000. He sums up in general the tax requirements for city purposes as follows:

Interest upon the bonded debt, 2.85; City bonds maturing in 1900, 102.75; General fund expenditures, 4.45; Ward fund expenditures, 2.40; Total (balance of cost), .25; Total for city purposes, .95; \$1,194,000.