

THE DAILY GLOBE

PUBLISHED EVERY DAY AT THE GLOBE BUILDING, COR. FOURTH AND CEDAR STREETS. BY LEWIS BAKER.

ST. PAUL GLOBE SUBSCRIPTION RATES: Daily (except Sundays) 25c per week in advance...

ADVERTISING RATES: Daily (except Sundays) 25c per line per week in advance...

RECEIVED: Received at special rate of postage provided for by act of Congress...

Eastern Advertising Office, Room 21, Tribune Building, New York.

Complete files of the Globe are kept in St. Paul for reference...

TO-DAY'S WEATHER: Washington, Jan. 2.—For Iowa: Warmer; fair; wind shifting to southerly.

GENERAL OBSERVATIONS: St. Paul, Minn., Jan. 2.—Temperature 29.86; barometer 30.12; wind S.W. 16.

THE STORY OF A DAY: Trains in Kansas are stopped under a heavy snow. A Spokane Falls dry goods firm fails.

THE ALLEGHEE RIVER IS RISING RAPIDLY IN PITTSBURGH.

THE SEVENTH CAVALRY NARROWLY ESCAPES THE CLASPS OF THE WILD CATS AT WISCONSIN.

THE FIGHT FOR THE PEASANTSHIP OF THE MINNESOTA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES GROWS INTERESTING.

FATHER CRAFT WROTE A LETTER JUST BEFORE HE WAS KILLED IN WHICH HE STATED THAT THE GOVERNMENT'S TREATMENT OF THE SIOUX WAS UNFAIR.

A TRUST DEFENSE: The immense harvest combine that is attracting attention of late is being made to pose as a great benefactor to farmers.

AMEND THE AMENDMENT: There are few states in the Union where the practice of law is as black an art as it is in Minnesota.

THE SENATOR ELECTIONS: The half a dozen legislatures that have exciting contests over the election of senators will do very little business until the senatorship is out of the way.

THE TRIUMPHANT ELECTION OF IGNATIUS DONNELLY AS PRESIDENT OF THE STATE ALLIANCE WAS A MERITED COMPLIMENT TO THAT DISTINGUISHED LEADER WHO WILL UNDOUBTEDLY WEAR HIS NEW HONORS WITH HIS ACCUSTOMED AND BECOMING MODesty OF MANNER.

THE COMMITTEE THAT DID THE LATER SWEATING OVER THE MCKINLEY BILL LISTED THAT "THEY HAD SUBMITTED THE BILL TO THE SENATE AND IN NO CASE HAD RAISED THE DUTY UNTIL THEY HAD 'ABSOLUTE PROOF' THAT A DUTY WAS NEEDED TO PAY THE WAGES OF THE LABORERS EMPLOYED."

CHRISTMAS EVE TWO LITTLE GIRLS ARRIVED ON THE CARS AT A PENNSYLVANIA CITY, WHO HAD TRAVELED 7,000 MILES FROM THEIR HOMETOWN, ENTIRELY ALONE, AND LABELED LIKE A LETTER.

HARRISON IS GIVEN A GREAT DEAL OF CREDIT FOR VETOING THE BILL FOR A \$75,000 POSTOFFICE BUILDING AT BAR HARBOR, THE LITTLE SEASIDE HAMLET IN MAINE WHERE BLAINE RESTLETS IN HOT WEATHER SO OFTEN.

BY THE DECISION OF ASSISTANT SECRETARY BUSSEY, PERSONS WHO ENLISTED IN THE CONFEDERATE ARMY AND SUBSEQUENTLY DESERTED AND SERVED NINETY DAYS IN THE UNION ARMY ARE ENTITLED TO A PENSION UNDER THE ACT OF THE PRESENT CONGRESS.

THE CUSTOMS OFFICIALS AT DETROIT THOUGHT THEY WERE GREAT JOKERS, THE OTHER DAY, IN LISTING AN ENGLISH PLUM PUDDING AS BUILDING MATERIAL.

UNCLE JERRY BUSH PROTESTS TOO MUCH THAT HE THINKS HARRISON IS A FIRST-CLASS PRESIDENT AND SHOULD BE RE-ELECTED.

THE CUSTOMS OFFICIALS AT DETROIT THOUGHT THEY WERE GREAT JOKERS, THE OTHER DAY, IN LISTING AN ENGLISH PLUM PUDDING AS BUILDING MATERIAL.

UNCLE JERRY BUSH PROTESTS TOO MUCH THAT HE THINKS HARRISON IS A FIRST-CLASS PRESIDENT AND SHOULD BE RE-ELECTED.

THE CUSTOMS OFFICIALS AT DETROIT THOUGHT THEY WERE GREAT JOKERS, THE OTHER DAY, IN LISTING AN ENGLISH PLUM PUDDING AS BUILDING MATERIAL.

UNCLE JERRY BUSH PROTESTS TOO MUCH THAT HE THINKS HARRISON IS A FIRST-CLASS PRESIDENT AND SHOULD BE RE-ELECTED.

THE CUSTOMS OFFICIALS AT DETROIT THOUGHT THEY WERE GREAT JOKERS, THE OTHER DAY, IN LISTING AN ENGLISH PLUM PUDDING AS BUILDING MATERIAL.

UNCLE JERRY BUSH PROTESTS TOO MUCH THAT HE THINKS HARRISON IS A FIRST-CLASS PRESIDENT AND SHOULD BE RE-ELECTED.

THE CUSTOMS OFFICIALS AT DETROIT THOUGHT THEY WERE GREAT JOKERS, THE OTHER DAY, IN LISTING AN ENGLISH PLUM PUDDING AS BUILDING MATERIAL.

UNCLE JERRY BUSH PROTESTS TOO MUCH THAT HE THINKS HARRISON IS A FIRST-CLASS PRESIDENT AND SHOULD BE RE-ELECTED.

THE CUSTOMS OFFICIALS AT DETROIT THOUGHT THEY WERE GREAT JOKERS, THE OTHER DAY, IN LISTING AN ENGLISH PLUM PUDDING AS BUILDING MATERIAL.

UNCLE JERRY BUSH PROTESTS TOO MUCH THAT HE THINKS HARRISON IS A FIRST-CLASS PRESIDENT AND SHOULD BE RE-ELECTED.

THE CUSTOMS OFFICIALS AT DETROIT THOUGHT THEY WERE GREAT JOKERS, THE OTHER DAY, IN LISTING AN ENGLISH PLUM PUDDING AS BUILDING MATERIAL.

UNCLE JERRY BUSH PROTESTS TOO MUCH THAT HE THINKS HARRISON IS A FIRST-CLASS PRESIDENT AND SHOULD BE RE-ELECTED.

THE CUSTOMS OFFICIALS AT DETROIT THOUGHT THEY WERE GREAT JOKERS, THE OTHER DAY, IN LISTING AN ENGLISH PLUM PUDDING AS BUILDING MATERIAL.

UNCLE JERRY BUSH PROTESTS TOO MUCH THAT HE THINKS HARRISON IS A FIRST-CLASS PRESIDENT AND SHOULD BE RE-ELECTED.

THE CUSTOMS OFFICIALS AT DETROIT THOUGHT THEY WERE GREAT JOKERS, THE OTHER DAY, IN LISTING AN ENGLISH PLUM PUDDING AS BUILDING MATERIAL.

UNCLE JERRY BUSH PROTESTS TOO MUCH THAT HE THINKS HARRISON IS A FIRST-CLASS PRESIDENT AND SHOULD BE RE-ELECTED.

THE CUSTOMS OFFICIALS AT DETROIT THOUGHT THEY WERE GREAT JOKERS, THE OTHER DAY, IN LISTING AN ENGLISH PLUM PUDDING AS BUILDING MATERIAL.

GOULD and the other railroad magnates want to combine and simplify for the benefit of the public. When trusts care to make any defense, they usually propose to reduce prices. It is difficult to remember many instances where they have afforded opportunities of this sort. Perhaps the harvest combine is to have a better remedy.

THE ALLIANCE PLATFORM: The platform of the Minnesota Farmers' Alliance, as adopted at its convention day before yesterday, is, in most respects, an exceedingly moderate document to emanate from an organization which is popularly supposed to live for the extrication of the existing order of things.

It is practically in accord with the views of all the most intelligent men in the state on the subject of the tariff, arbitration, franchises, pensions, convict labor and the force bill. Its principles which it advocates on all of these questions are the principles for which the Democracy has been, and will be, faithfully contending, and with which the rank and file of Minnesota Republicans are in open or secret sympathy.

On one or two points it takes positions which a great many people would gladly see held if it were practicable, but legislation is not a matter which would be likely to prove futile. The business demoralization which attends open gambling constitutes a serious evil on contemporary society, and if legal enactments could accomplish an end in this direction, they ought to be made.

If the Alliance has discovered any way of curing the disease, the general public would probably be glad to see it applied. A very large majority of our people would probably be glad to see it applied. A very large majority of our people would probably be glad to see it applied.

It is only a commodity, like everything else, and those who have it to sell claim the right to exact such price for it as they can get in the open market. We should all be glad to buy our hats cheaper, and probably everybody except the hatters would be benefited if the legislature should regulate the price of hats in proportion to the pocketbooks of the people. The trouble would be that, while we could forbid the sale of hats at more than a maximum price, we could not compel men to furnish us hats at that figure unless it were going to prove profitable for them to do so.

And if it did not, and the supply of hats should stop on the law's account, men would probably devise some way of evading any such law as might be enacted rather than ruin their health by going bareheaded. In the same way we may forbid men who have money to sell from asking more than 1 or 2 per cent a year for it we want to, and it surely would not compel men to furnish us hats at that figure unless it were going to prove profitable for them to do so.

And if it did not, and the supply of hats should stop on the law's account, men would probably devise some way of evading any such law as might be enacted rather than ruin their health by going bareheaded.

In the same way we may forbid men who have money to sell from asking more than 1 or 2 per cent a year for it we want to, and it surely would not compel men to furnish us hats at that figure unless it were going to prove profitable for them to do so.

And if it did not, and the supply of hats should stop on the law's account, men would probably devise some way of evading any such law as might be enacted rather than ruin their health by going bareheaded.

In the same way we may forbid men who have money to sell from asking more than 1 or 2 per cent a year for it we want to, and it surely would not compel men to furnish us hats at that figure unless it were going to prove profitable for them to do so.

And if it did not, and the supply of hats should stop on the law's account, men would probably devise some way of evading any such law as might be enacted rather than ruin their health by going bareheaded.

In the same way we may forbid men who have money to sell from asking more than 1 or 2 per cent a year for it we want to, and it surely would not compel men to furnish us hats at that figure unless it were going to prove profitable for them to do so.

And if it did not, and the supply of hats should stop on the law's account, men would probably devise some way of evading any such law as might be enacted rather than ruin their health by going bareheaded.

In the same way we may forbid men who have money to sell from asking more than 1 or 2 per cent a year for it we want to, and it surely would not compel men to furnish us hats at that figure unless it were going to prove profitable for them to do so.

And if it did not, and the supply of hats should stop on the law's account, men would probably devise some way of evading any such law as might be enacted rather than ruin their health by going bareheaded.

In the same way we may forbid men who have money to sell from asking more than 1 or 2 per cent a year for it we want to, and it surely would not compel men to furnish us hats at that figure unless it were going to prove profitable for them to do so.

And if it did not, and the supply of hats should stop on the law's account, men would probably devise some way of evading any such law as might be enacted rather than ruin their health by going bareheaded.

In the same way we may forbid men who have money to sell from asking more than 1 or 2 per cent a year for it we want to, and it surely would not compel men to furnish us hats at that figure unless it were going to prove profitable for them to do so.

And if it did not, and the supply of hats should stop on the law's account, men would probably devise some way of evading any such law as might be enacted rather than ruin their health by going bareheaded.

In the same way we may forbid men who have money to sell from asking more than 1 or 2 per cent a year for it we want to, and it surely would not compel men to furnish us hats at that figure unless it were going to prove profitable for them to do so.

And if it did not, and the supply of hats should stop on the law's account, men would probably devise some way of evading any such law as might be enacted rather than ruin their health by going bareheaded.

In the same way we may forbid men who have money to sell from asking more than 1 or 2 per cent a year for it we want to, and it surely would not compel men to furnish us hats at that figure unless it were going to prove profitable for them to do so.

And if it did not, and the supply of hats should stop on the law's account, men would probably devise some way of evading any such law as might be enacted rather than ruin their health by going bareheaded.

In the same way we may forbid men who have money to sell from asking more than 1 or 2 per cent a year for it we want to, and it surely would not compel men to furnish us hats at that figure unless it were going to prove profitable for them to do so.

And if it did not, and the supply of hats should stop on the law's account, men would probably devise some way of evading any such law as might be enacted rather than ruin their health by going bareheaded.

In the same way we may forbid men who have money to sell from asking more than 1 or 2 per cent a year for it we want to, and it surely would not compel men to furnish us hats at that figure unless it were going to prove profitable for them to do so.

And if it did not, and the supply of hats should stop on the law's account, men would probably devise some way of evading any such law as might be enacted rather than ruin their health by going bareheaded.

In the same way we may forbid men who have money to sell from asking more than 1 or 2 per cent a year for it we want to, and it surely would not compel men to furnish us hats at that figure unless it were going to prove profitable for them to do so.

And if it did not, and the supply of hats should stop on the law's account, men would probably devise some way of evading any such law as might be enacted rather than ruin their health by going bareheaded.

In the same way we may forbid men who have money to sell from asking more than 1 or 2 per cent a year for it we want to, and it surely would not compel men to furnish us hats at that figure unless it were going to prove profitable for them to do so.

And if it did not, and the supply of hats should stop on the law's account, men would probably devise some way of evading any such law as might be enacted rather than ruin their health by going bareheaded.

In the same way we may forbid men who have money to sell from asking more than 1 or 2 per cent a year for it we want to, and it surely would not compel men to furnish us hats at that figure unless it were going to prove profitable for them to do so.

And if it did not, and the supply of hats should stop on the law's account, men would probably devise some way of evading any such law as might be enacted rather than ruin their health by going bareheaded.

In the same way we may forbid men who have money to sell from asking more than 1 or 2 per cent a year for it we want to, and it surely would not compel men to furnish us hats at that figure unless it were going to prove profitable for them to do so.

And if it did not, and the supply of hats should stop on the law's account, men would probably devise some way of evading any such law as might be enacted rather than ruin their health by going bareheaded.

In the same way we may forbid men who have money to sell from asking more than 1 or 2 per cent a year for it we want to, and it surely would not compel men to furnish us hats at that figure unless it were going to prove profitable for them to do so.

And if it did not, and the supply of hats should stop on the law's account, men would probably devise some way of evading any such law as might be enacted rather than ruin their health by going bareheaded.

In the same way we may forbid men who have money to sell from asking more than 1 or 2 per cent a year for it we want to, and it surely would not compel men to furnish us hats at that figure unless it were going to prove profitable for them to do so.

And if it did not, and the supply of hats should stop on the law's account, men would probably devise some way of evading any such law as might be enacted rather than ruin their health by going bareheaded.

In the same way we may forbid men who have money to sell from asking more than 1 or 2 per cent a year for it we want to, and it surely would not compel men to furnish us hats at that figure unless it were going to prove profitable for them to do so.

And if it did not, and the supply of hats should stop on the law's account, men would probably devise some way of evading any such law as might be enacted rather than ruin their health by going bareheaded.

In the same way we may forbid men who have money to sell from asking more than 1 or 2 per cent a year for it we want to, and it surely would not compel men to furnish us hats at that figure unless it were going to prove profitable for them to do so.

And if it did not, and the supply of hats should stop on the law's account, men would probably devise some way of evading any such law as might be enacted rather than ruin their health by going bareheaded.

In the same way we may forbid men who have money to sell from asking more than 1 or 2 per cent a year for it we want to, and it surely would not compel men to furnish us hats at that figure unless it were going to prove profitable for them to do so.

And if it did not, and the supply of hats should stop on the law's account, men would probably devise some way of evading any such law as might be enacted rather than ruin their health by going bareheaded.

THE JURY SOLVENT: There is frequent comment in the papers, and generally of a favorable character, over the jury amendment to the constitution of this state, recently adopted. There is, however, much misconception as to its scope and status.

The Cleveland Leader, in the following, apparently understands it to be compulsory, and to apply to criminal as well as civil cases:

Minnesota is making a most interesting experiment with an amended jury law. By a recent enactment, there, ten of the twelve members of a jury are given power to render a binding verdict. This change is one that has been favored by many able lawyers in recent years, but natural conservatism and the constitutional barriers in many states have thus far prevented any serious movement in the direction of majority rule in the jury room.

It is a method of requiring only a five-sixths majority to secure a verdict, with many arguments in its favor, not the least of which is the power which the old system gives one persistent, stubborn and unreasonable man on a jury. Under Ohio law, as it stands today, if a criminal lawyer can "fix" one of the twelve men his case is virtually won for one crack can stand for all time between eleven just men and a verdict. If the Minnesota law is found to work satisfactorily, we anticipate, a like change in the jury laws will find many earnest advocates in other states.

The "experiment," if by the legislature shall see fit to pass a law to enforce the provision of the constitution, which is not mandatory, can only provide that in civil cases the jury, after wrestling after a verdict for six hours, can then try for it by a five-sixths vote. This is a mild innovation upon the common practice.

A MORMON DEAL: The Mormon leaders have made some rather large deals in real estate lately, as reported. Extensive tracts of land in Idaho and Colorado have been bought, but no particular importance seems to attach to these. They are probably good farming districts and advantageous as investments.

The trouble would be that, while we could forbid the sale of hats at more than a maximum price, we could not compel men to furnish us hats at that figure unless it were going to prove profitable for them to do so.

And if it did not, and the supply of hats should stop on the law's account, men would probably devise some way of evading any such law as might be enacted rather than ruin their health by going bareheaded.

In the same way we may forbid men who have money to sell from asking more than 1 or 2 per cent a year for it we want to, and it surely would not compel men to furnish us hats at that figure unless it were going to prove profitable for them to do so.

And if it did not, and the supply of hats should stop on the law's account, men would probably devise some way of evading any such law as might be enacted rather than ruin their health by going bareheaded.

In the same way we may forbid men who have money to sell from asking more than 1 or 2 per cent a year for it we want to, and it surely would not compel men to furnish us hats at that figure unless it were going to prove profitable for them to do so.

And if it did not, and the supply of hats should stop on the law's account, men would probably devise some way of evading any such law as might be enacted rather than ruin their health by going bareheaded.

In the same way we may forbid men who have money to sell from asking more than 1 or 2 per cent a year for it we want to, and it surely would not compel men to furnish us hats at that figure unless it were going to prove profitable for them to do so.

And if it did not, and the supply of hats should stop on the law's account, men would probably devise some way of evading any such law as might be enacted rather than ruin their health by going bareheaded.

In the same way we may forbid men who have money to sell from asking more than 1 or 2 per cent a year for it we want to, and it surely would not compel men to furnish us hats at that figure unless it were going to prove profitable for them to do so.

And if it did not, and the supply of hats should stop on the law's account, men would probably devise some way of evading any such law as might be enacted rather than ruin their health by going bareheaded.

In the same way we may forbid men who have money to sell from asking more than 1 or 2 per cent a year for it we want to, and it surely would not compel men to furnish us hats at that figure unless it were going to prove profitable for them to do so.

And if it did not, and the supply of hats should stop on the law's account, men would probably devise some way of evading any such law as might be enacted rather than ruin their health by going bareheaded.

In the same way we may forbid men who have money to sell from asking more than 1 or 2 per cent a year for it we want to, and it surely would not compel men to furnish us hats at that figure unless it were going to prove profitable for them to do so.

And if it did not, and the supply of hats should stop on the law's account, men would probably devise some way of evading any such law as might be enacted rather than ruin their health by going bareheaded.

In the same way we may forbid men who have money to sell from asking more than 1 or 2 per cent a year for it we want to, and it surely would not compel men to furnish us hats at that figure unless it were going to prove profitable for them to do so.

And if it did not, and the supply of hats should stop on the law's account, men would probably devise some way of evading any such law as might be enacted rather than ruin their health by going bareheaded.

In the same way we may forbid men who have money to sell from asking more than 1 or 2 per cent a year for it we want to, and it surely would not compel men to furnish us hats at that figure unless it were going to prove profitable for them to do so.

And if it did not, and the supply of hats should stop on the law's account, men would probably devise some way of evading any such law as might be enacted rather than ruin their health by going bareheaded.

In the same way we may forbid men who have money to sell from asking more than 1 or 2 per cent a year for it we want to, and it surely would not compel men to furnish us hats at that figure unless it were going to prove profitable for them to do so.

And if it did not, and the supply of hats should stop on the law's account, men would probably devise some way of evading any such law as might be enacted rather than ruin their health by going bareheaded.

In the same way we may forbid men who have money to sell from asking more than 1 or 2 per cent a year for it we want to, and it surely would not compel men to furnish us hats at that figure unless it were going to prove profitable for them to do so.

And if it did not, and the supply of hats should stop on the law's account, men would probably devise some way of evading any such law as might be enacted rather than ruin their health by going bareheaded.

In the same way we may forbid men who have money to sell from asking more than 1 or 2 per cent a year for it we want to, and it surely would not compel men to furnish us hats at that figure unless it were going to prove profitable for them to do so.

And if it did not, and the supply of hats should stop on the law's account, men would probably devise some way of evading any such law as might be enacted rather than ruin their health by going bareheaded.

In the same way we may forbid men who have money to sell from asking more than 1 or 2 per cent a year for it we want to, and it surely would not compel men to furnish us hats at that figure unless it were going to prove profitable for them to do so.

And if it did not, and the supply of hats should stop on the law's account, men would probably devise some way of evading any such law as might be enacted rather than ruin their health by going bareheaded.

In the same way we may forbid men who have money to sell from asking more than 1 or 2 per cent a year for it we want to, and it surely would not compel men to furnish us hats at that figure unless it were going to prove profitable for them to do so.

And if it did not, and the supply of hats should stop on the law's account, men would probably devise some way of evading any such law as might be enacted rather than ruin their health by going bareheaded.

In the same way we may forbid men who have money to sell from asking more than 1 or 2 per cent a year for it we want to, and it surely would not compel men to furnish us hats at that figure unless it were going to prove profitable for them to do so.

And if it did not, and the supply of hats should stop on the law's account, men would probably devise some way of evading any such law as might be enacted rather than ruin their health by going bareheaded.

In the same way we may forbid men who have money to sell from asking more than 1 or 2 per cent a year for it we want to, and it surely would not compel men to furnish us hats at that figure unless it were going to prove profitable for them to do so.

And if it did not, and the supply of hats should stop on the law's account, men would probably devise some way of evading any such law as might be enacted rather than ruin their health by going bareheaded.

In the same way we may forbid men who have money to sell from asking more than 1 or 2 per cent a year for it we want to, and it surely would not compel men to furnish us hats at that figure unless it were going to prove profitable for them to do so.

And if it did not, and the supply of hats should stop on the law's account, men would probably devise some way of evading any such law as might be enacted rather than ruin their health by going bareheaded.

In the same way we may forbid men who have money to sell from asking more than 1 or 2 per cent a year for it we want to, and it surely would not compel men to furnish us hats at that figure unless it were going to prove profitable for them to do so.

And if it did not, and the supply of hats should stop on the law's account, men would probably devise some way of evading any such law as might be enacted rather than ruin their health by going bareheaded.

In the same way we may forbid men who have money to sell from asking more than 1 or 2 per cent a year for it we want to, and it surely would not compel men to furnish us hats at that figure unless it were going to prove profitable for them to do so.

And if it did not, and the supply of hats should stop on the law's account, men would probably devise some way of evading any such law as might be enacted rather than ruin their health by going bareheaded.

In the same way we may forbid men who have money to sell from asking more than 1 or 2 per cent a year for it we want to, and it surely would not compel men to furnish us hats at that figure unless it were going to prove profitable for them to do so.

And if it did not, and the supply of hats should stop on the law's account, men would probably devise some way of evading any such law as might be enacted rather than ruin their health by going bareheaded.

In the same way we may forbid men who have money to sell from asking more than 1 or 2 per cent a year for it we want to, and it surely would not compel men to furnish us hats at that figure unless it were going to prove profitable for them to do so.

GLOBE TOWER SHOTS.

Ex-Chopper Clarkson comes out in the bland moonlight and announces that the state of Washington is not cool than Pennsylvania, more pine than Maine and more fish than Massachusetts.

The attention of the accompanying facts—that the State of Washington has no Matt S. Q., no Thomas B. Reed and no George Frisbie Hoar. However, she is a good state, and the home of more ex-Paul newspaper men than in any other state in the Union.

Alonso Hunger was lately wedded in Texas to Miss Maria Huggie. In this matrimonial case there could seem to be no prospective grounds for incompatibility of temperament.

No one need be at all surprised at the little bludge in the lower left vest pocket of Ignatius Donnelly's coat.

Generous Coffee Merchant Arnelke spontaneously declares that he will surely pay the \$15,000,000 engaged against him in the "Betsy Bunting" case. This is kind in Mr. Arnelke. At one time it was suspected that he intended to reverse the date of the various courts that have ruled against him.

Managing Editor—See here, Simpkins. I thought you said I could write English? Reporter—Yes, sir. Managing Editor—But you have written: "The appearance of the Irish envoys in Chicago greets us with 'hello's'."

Editor—Well, sir. Managing Editor—That isn't English, you know.

The Boston Herald editorially comments upon "The President's Worst Mistake." Which one of the 9,755,470,000?

To his ultimate friends Mr. Dewey announces that, dating from Jan. 1, he will abstain from eating any food that is not strictly of the vegetable kingdom.

One of the curiosities of political life is the alleged offer to Senator Inalls of \$10,000 per annum to edit a New York paper, contrasting with his assistant labors in Kansas trying to coerce a reprobate legislature to vote him a \$5,000 bill in Washington. However, to the inner circle of Republican politics this is not at all surprising.

Eugene Field effusively announces that he is trying to get just the right story. If Mr. Field will come to St. Paul we will start him on the road to success by contributing a cat.

The reappearance of that old political mark in Iowa, "Calamity" Weller, indicates that the tide of grangerism and greenbackism is getting down to its last, low stage.

The adjournment of the Farmers' Alliance appears to have left Fish floundering alone in the sandy shoals of the Mississippi river.

It is a Republican paper that admits that "the president is in the habit of missing great opportunities." Had the great opportunity of 1888 missed Ben Harrison, the country would have been better off.

The newspaper correspondents who are trying to relieve Gen. Brooke of his command are an ambitious and lacking Indian news, they seem disposed to stir up a politico-military hornet's nest.

Views of the Sage: The Farmers' Alliance, in annual meeting, elected Hon. Ignatius Donnelly president for the United States. This result was foreshadowed, as he was the only candidate who seemed to want the office or to have any experience in it.

Mr. Donnelly is brilliant, erratic and extreme, and is therefore a fit leader of the movement, the extremists of the party are largely in the majority. The choice will hasten the disintegration and downfall of the Alliance as a distinct party.—Moorhead News.

The triumphant election of Ignatius Donnelly as president of the state alliance was a merited compliment to that distinguished leader who will undoubtedly wear his new honors with his accustomed and becoming modesty of manner.