

MINNEAPOLIS.

POLITICAL PARAGRAPHS.

The Jefferson club held an uneventful meeting last night. The only real business transacted was the auditing of bills. The committee on the formation of a permanent league reported that it had nothing to report beyond the announcement that the committee was getting along well with the work.

The Cleveland club will hold the regular meeting tonight. The club will listen to a paper on the Swiss Referendum by Prof. W. W. Pennell. The club will also hear a report from the committee appointed to confer with other club representatives relative to forming a permanent Democratic league in Minneapolis.

The prohibition county committee has issued no formal call, but the work has been passed around to the caucuses which will be held tonight. The county convention will meet in W. C. R. hall Friday night.

President E. W. Durant has issued a call for the annual meeting of the North Star Democratic association at Minneapolis one week from tomorrow, May 19.

MINNEAPOLIS GLOBULES.

Bank clearings yesterday were \$1,718,603.34. In the suit for damages of Howard Weber against the Minneapolis Street Railway company the jury returned a verdict yesterday for the defendant.

The council committee on sewers met yesterday afternoon and decided to let the cement contract to the Twin City Lime and Cement company.

The colored knights of Pythias lodge, Heron Division No. 1, gave a ball at Harmonia hall last night. In spite of the inclement weather it was a success.

James Peterson, a saloonkeeper at 2029 Washington avenue north, pleaded guilty yesterday to the charge of keeping his place open on Sunday and was fined \$75.

Building Inspector J. M. Hazen has issued official notices to the bridge committee of Minneapolis, Tenn., to attend the formal opening of the great bridge across the Mississippi at that point on Monday.

The Homeopathic Medical society will meet at the library building on Monday evening, May 10, at 8 o'clock. Dr. F. Foster on "Materia Medica," and Dr. G. E. Dennis on "Hygiene of the Child."

Rev. Andrew Stroehmer, an old resident, was found dead in bed yesterday at his home, 108 Fremont avenue north. He was 82 years of age and was married to Mrs. A. M. Stroehmer and had two daughters—Albert B., Samuel A., Anna E. and Lynn K.

The street railway company announces that it will try to stop the practice of expectorating tobacco juice on the floor of streets. An attempt will be made to place the tobacco chewer on the platform with the smoker.

James Geary, manager of the World's theater, will give the orphans a free entertainment at his theater Friday night, May 13, commencing at 7:30. All connected with orphan institutions are invited to be present on the above date.

The examination of Joseph W. Edwards, the collector charged with embezzlement, occurred yesterday. He was taken to grand jury in bonds of \$300. Bert Partridge, the pickpocket, was bound over to the grand jury in \$500 bail.

The central high school juniors have arranged to give an entertainment at the Masonic temple on Monday evening, May 10. The programme will consist of musical numbers and recitations, including with a force, "Thirty Minutes for Refreshments."

After inspection Monday evening Capt. Ames presented to Company A a life-size portrait of himself. The boys thanked him and presented to him a handsome watch, mounted in gold and silver, E. W. Goddard making the presentation speech.

There was another meeting in the building occupied by the Swinburn Printing company at 9 and 11 Washington avenue north about 8 o'clock last night. The fire caught in the press room on the third floor, but did little damage. This is the third fire in this building within the last two weeks.

Marriage licenses were issued yesterday to Mauly F. Gates and Helen E. Waters, 225 Harrison and Helen Gardner, Misses H. Higgins and Minerva J. Slater, Benjamin S. Bull and Anna McCullough, John Collins and Miss Whitney, Oliver B. Gray and Kate Hanley, Charles M. Garfield and Hazel M. Pratt.

The following cases of contagious diseases were reported at the health office yesterday: Measles, 2324 Ninth avenue south, 237 Eighth street, 266 North Second street, 2025 Second street, 1029 Third avenue south, diphtheria, 1820 nineteenth avenue southeast, scarlet fever, 1609 Linden avenue.

A class of fifteen blue lodge Masons went up to four rounds higher in the Masonic lodge yesterday at the Masonic temple. The initiations were conducted by the Scottish Rite lodges of this city which have been in session No. 2, St. Vincent de Paul, Rose Croix No. 3, Alfred E. Anderson, Pershing No. 2 and Minneapolis consistory No. 2.

DISTRICT COURT BRIEFS.

The family trouble of Dr. Ames was again up in the district court for two weeks yesterday. James M. Boyd Jr. & Co., brought by Dr. Ames to recover possession of \$1,000 worth of furniture. This case was tried by Judge Ames on Friday, May 7, and the jury found in favor of Dr. Ames. The furniture, Dr. Ames or Mrs. Ames.

Judge Ames yesterday dismissed the old suit of Mrs. Mary E. W. against the firm of Young in which she claimed something like \$2,000 due from her father's estate. The case was tried on Friday, May 7.

John D. Hyatt was given a divorce yesterday by Judge Cady from a divorcee. Hyatt because she deserted him in March, 1888, five months after their wedding in this city.

Some months ago Michael McDonough died, leaving a large estate. His wife, Bridget Dean, contested the will, claiming that he was not in his right mind at the time it was made. The matter came up yesterday and was not in his right mind at the time it was made. The matter came up yesterday and was not in his right mind at the time it was made.

Delia Wall makes application to the district court for a divorce from her husband, William Wall. They were married in March, 1884, and she claims to have been deserted by her husband in August of the following year. She asks to be allowed to resume her maiden name.

Last August a lad named Johnnie Esser went to work for August Busse on the farm. The boy remained with him but two weeks when he left, claiming that he had been treated and abused. Suit was brought against Farmer Busse by the boy's mother, \$200 being claimed. The boy came up yesterday afternoon, but was dismissed, the boy being allowed \$2.50 for his two weeks' work.

Hattie D. Trachy yesterday commenced divorce proceedings against her husband, Philip, on the grounds of cruelty and habitual drunkenness. They were married at the office of Judge Ames in March, 1888, and she claims to have lost \$4,000 worth of property in their own name and she asks the court to have absolute control of her amount.

"No more doctors for me!" This was the cry of a woman who had been ill for some time. She had been told by several doctors that she was going to die, but she had not. She had been told by several doctors that she was going to die, but she had not.

"No more doctors for me!" This was the cry of a woman who had been ill for some time. She had been told by several doctors that she was going to die, but she had not. She had been told by several doctors that she was going to die, but she had not.

"No more doctors for me!" This was the cry of a woman who had been ill for some time. She had been told by several doctors that she was going to die, but she had not. She had been told by several doctors that she was going to die, but she had not.

"No more doctors for me!" This was the cry of a woman who had been ill for some time. She had been told by several doctors that she was going to die, but she had not. She had been told by several doctors that she was going to die, but she had not.

"No more doctors for me!" This was the cry of a woman who had been ill for some time. She had been told by several doctors that she was going to die, but she had not. She had been told by several doctors that she was going to die, but she had not.

"No more doctors for me!" This was the cry of a woman who had been ill for some time. She had been told by several doctors that she was going to die, but she had not. She had been told by several doctors that she was going to die, but she had not.

"No more doctors for me!" This was the cry of a woman who had been ill for some time. She had been told by several doctors that she was going to die, but she had not. She had been told by several doctors that she was going to die, but she had not.

"No more doctors for me!" This was the cry of a woman who had been ill for some time. She had been told by several doctors that she was going to die, but she had not. She had been told by several doctors that she was going to die, but she had not.

"No more doctors for me!" This was the cry of a woman who had been ill for some time. She had been told by several doctors that she was going to die, but she had not. She had been told by several doctors that she was going to die, but she had not.

"No more doctors for me!" This was the cry of a woman who had been ill for some time. She had been told by several doctors that she was going to die, but she had not. She had been told by several doctors that she was going to die, but she had not.

"No more doctors for me!" This was the cry of a woman who had been ill for some time. She had been told by several doctors that she was going to die, but she had not. She had been told by several doctors that she was going to die, but she had not.

"No more doctors for me!" This was the cry of a woman who had been ill for some time. She had been told by several doctors that she was going to die, but she had not. She had been told by several doctors that she was going to die, but she had not.

"No more doctors for me!" This was the cry of a woman who had been ill for some time. She had been told by several doctors that she was going to die, but she had not. She had been told by several doctors that she was going to die, but she had not.

"No more doctors for me!" This was the cry of a woman who had been ill for some time. She had been told by several doctors that she was going to die, but she had not. She had been told by several doctors that she was going to die, but she had not.

"No more doctors for me!" This was the cry of a woman who had been ill for some time. She had been told by several doctors that she was going to die, but she had not. She had been told by several doctors that she was going to die, but she had not.

"No more doctors for me!" This was the cry of a woman who had been ill for some time. She had been told by several doctors that she was going to die, but she had not. She had been told by several doctors that she was going to die, but she had not.

SENATED BY SPEES.

Beginning of the Case That Is Expected to Prove Their Identity.

Relation of the Tale of the Confession of Catherine Johnson.

Foresters of the State Meet and Elect Grand Court Officers.

Annual Local Legion Election and Monthly Banquet of Companions.

The anarchist spy case was on trial in the civil branch of the municipal court yesterday. Catherine Johnson, the servant girl who is suspected of being a spy, and who is suing Mrs. W. L. Harris for defamation of character, was in court as soon as the doors were opened, and with her a host of servant witnesses and her attorneys, Solover & Gould, Merrick & Merrick looked after the interests of Mrs. Harris, who did not appear until the afternoon session.

The greater portion of the forenoon was spent in selecting a jury. This proved no easy task, for the majority of the men summoned had read the articles printed in the Globe regarding the case and were consequently in a hostile mood toward the plaintiff. The court finally selected a jury of twelve men, including one who had been a witness in the case.

As far as the testimony of the plaintiff, Catherine Johnson, is concerned, she testified that she had been employed by Mrs. Harris for some time, and that she had been a witness in the case.

As far as the testimony of the plaintiff, Catherine Johnson, is concerned, she testified that she had been employed by Mrs. Harris for some time, and that she had been a witness in the case.

As far as the testimony of the plaintiff, Catherine Johnson, is concerned, she testified that she had been employed by Mrs. Harris for some time, and that she had been a witness in the case.

As far as the testimony of the plaintiff, Catherine Johnson, is concerned, she testified that she had been employed by Mrs. Harris for some time, and that she had been a witness in the case.

As far as the testimony of the plaintiff, Catherine Johnson, is concerned, she testified that she had been employed by Mrs. Harris for some time, and that she had been a witness in the case.

As far as the testimony of the plaintiff, Catherine Johnson, is concerned, she testified that she had been employed by Mrs. Harris for some time, and that she had been a witness in the case.

As far as the testimony of the plaintiff, Catherine Johnson, is concerned, she testified that she had been employed by Mrs. Harris for some time, and that she had been a witness in the case.

As far as the testimony of the plaintiff, Catherine Johnson, is concerned, she testified that she had been employed by Mrs. Harris for some time, and that she had been a witness in the case.

As far as the testimony of the plaintiff, Catherine Johnson, is concerned, she testified that she had been employed by Mrs. Harris for some time, and that she had been a witness in the case.

As far as the testimony of the plaintiff, Catherine Johnson, is concerned, she testified that she had been employed by Mrs. Harris for some time, and that she had been a witness in the case.

As far as the testimony of the plaintiff, Catherine Johnson, is concerned, she testified that she had been employed by Mrs. Harris for some time, and that she had been a witness in the case.

As far as the testimony of the plaintiff, Catherine Johnson, is concerned, she testified that she had been employed by Mrs. Harris for some time, and that she had been a witness in the case.

As far as the testimony of the plaintiff, Catherine Johnson, is concerned, she testified that she had been employed by Mrs. Harris for some time, and that she had been a witness in the case.

As far as the testimony of the plaintiff, Catherine Johnson, is concerned, she testified that she had been employed by Mrs. Harris for some time, and that she had been a witness in the case.

As far as the testimony of the plaintiff, Catherine Johnson, is concerned, she testified that she had been employed by Mrs. Harris for some time, and that she had been a witness in the case.

As far as the testimony of the plaintiff, Catherine Johnson, is concerned, she testified that she had been employed by Mrs. Harris for some time, and that she had been a witness in the case.

As far as the testimony of the plaintiff, Catherine Johnson, is concerned, she testified that she had been employed by Mrs. Harris for some time, and that she had been a witness in the case.

As far as the testimony of the plaintiff, Catherine Johnson, is concerned, she testified that she had been employed by Mrs. Harris for some time, and that she had been a witness in the case.

As far as the testimony of the plaintiff, Catherine Johnson, is concerned, she testified that she had been employed by Mrs. Harris for some time, and that she had been a witness in the case.

As far as the testimony of the plaintiff, Catherine Johnson, is concerned, she testified that she had been employed by Mrs. Harris for some time, and that she had been a witness in the case.

As far as the testimony of the plaintiff, Catherine Johnson, is concerned, she testified that she had been employed by Mrs. Harris for some time, and that she had been a witness in the case.

As far as the testimony of the plaintiff, Catherine Johnson, is concerned, she testified that she had been employed by Mrs. Harris for some time, and that she had been a witness in the case.

As far as the testimony of the plaintiff, Catherine Johnson, is concerned, she testified that she had been employed by Mrs. Harris for some time, and that she had been a witness in the case.

As far as the testimony of the plaintiff, Catherine Johnson, is concerned, she testified that she had been employed by Mrs. Harris for some time, and that she had been a witness in the case.

As far as the testimony of the plaintiff, Catherine Johnson, is concerned, she testified that she had been employed by Mrs. Harris for some time, and that she had been a witness in the case.

As far as the testimony of the plaintiff, Catherine Johnson, is concerned, she testified that she had been employed by Mrs. Harris for some time, and that she had been a witness in the case.

As far as the testimony of the plaintiff, Catherine Johnson, is concerned, she testified that she had been employed by Mrs. Harris for some time, and that she had been a witness in the case.

As far as the testimony of the plaintiff, Catherine Johnson, is concerned, she testified that she had been employed by Mrs. Harris for some time, and that she had been a witness in the case.

As far as the testimony of the plaintiff, Catherine Johnson, is concerned, she testified that she had been employed by Mrs. Harris for some time, and that she had been a witness in the case.

As far as the testimony of the plaintiff, Catherine Johnson, is concerned, she testified that she had been employed by Mrs. Harris for some time, and that she had been a witness in the case.

As far as the testimony of the plaintiff, Catherine Johnson, is concerned, she testified that she had been employed by Mrs. Harris for some time, and that she had been a witness in the case.

As far as the testimony of the plaintiff, Catherine Johnson, is concerned, she testified that she had been employed by Mrs. Harris for some time, and that she had been a witness in the case.

As far as the testimony of the plaintiff, Catherine Johnson, is concerned, she testified that she had been employed by Mrs. Harris for some time, and that she had been a witness in the case.

As far as the testimony of the plaintiff, Catherine Johnson, is concerned, she testified that she had been employed by Mrs. Harris for some time, and that she had been a witness in the case.

As far as the testimony of the plaintiff, Catherine Johnson, is concerned, she testified that she had been employed by Mrs. Harris for some time, and that she had been a witness in the case.

As far as the testimony of the plaintiff, Catherine Johnson, is concerned, she testified that she had been employed by Mrs. Harris for some time, and that she had been a witness in the case.

As far as the testimony of the plaintiff, Catherine Johnson, is concerned, she testified that she had been employed by Mrs. Harris for some time, and that she had been a witness in the case.

As far as the testimony of the plaintiff, Catherine Johnson, is concerned, she testified that she had been employed by Mrs. Harris for some time, and that she had been a witness in the case.

A MILITARY BANQUET.

The Local Legion Holds a Stated Meeting and Elects Officers.

Ninety soldier-looking men met at the banquet table at the Nicollet hotel last night, the occasion being a stated meeting of the military order of the Local Legion. Previous to the banquet a business session was held, during which the officers for the year were elected and two new members admitted. The latter were, by inheritance from original companion, William Bryan Dixon, eldest son of the late William H. Dixon, deceased, and by inheritance from deceased officer, Dr. J. M. Hazen, eldest son of the late Col. J. M. Hazen, Third Wisconsin cavalry. The following were elected officers:

President—Prof. Winchell; Vice President—Mrs. H. H. Judson; Secretary—Miss Clara Jameson; Corresponding Secretary—Mrs. F. P. Faine. The following committees were chosen:

Committee on Resolutions—Mrs. H. H. Judson, Mrs. W. L. Judson, Mrs. J. M. Hazen, Mrs. F. P. Faine; Committee on Entertainment—Mrs. H. H. Judson, Mrs. W. L. Judson, Mrs. J. M. Hazen, Mrs. F. P. Faine; Committee on Finance—Mrs. H. H. Judson, Mrs. W. L. Judson, Mrs. J. M. Hazen, Mrs. F. P. Faine.

The banquet was a success, and the officers for the year were elected and two new members admitted. The latter were, by inheritance from original companion, William Bryan Dixon, eldest son of the late William H. Dixon, deceased, and by inheritance from deceased officer, Dr. J. M. Hazen, eldest son of the late Col. J. M. Hazen, Third Wisconsin cavalry.

The banquet was a success, and the officers for the year were elected and two new members admitted. The latter were, by inheritance from original companion, William Bryan Dixon, eldest son of the late William H. Dixon, deceased, and by inheritance from deceased officer, Dr. J. M. Hazen, eldest son of the late Col. J. M. Hazen, Third Wisconsin cavalry.

The banquet was a success, and the officers for the year were elected and two new members admitted. The latter were, by inheritance from original companion, William Bryan Dixon, eldest son of the late William H. Dixon, deceased, and by inheritance from deceased officer, Dr. J. M. Hazen, eldest son of the late Col. J. M. Hazen, Third Wisconsin cavalry.

The banquet was a success, and the officers for the year were elected and two new members admitted. The latter were, by inheritance from original companion, William Bryan Dixon, eldest son of the late William H. Dixon, deceased, and by inheritance from deceased officer, Dr. J. M. Hazen, eldest son of the late Col. J. M. Hazen, Third Wisconsin cavalry.

The banquet was a success, and the officers for the year were elected and two new members admitted. The latter were, by inheritance from original companion, William Bryan Dixon, eldest son of the late William H. Dixon, deceased, and by inheritance from deceased officer, Dr. J. M. Hazen, eldest son of the late Col. J. M. Hazen, Third Wisconsin cavalry.

The banquet was a success, and the officers for the year were elected and two new members admitted. The latter were, by inheritance from original companion, William Bryan Dixon, eldest son of the late William H. Dixon, deceased, and by inheritance from deceased officer, Dr. J. M. Hazen, eldest son of the late Col. J. M. Hazen, Third Wisconsin cavalry.

The banquet was a success, and the officers for the year were elected and two new members admitted. The latter were, by inheritance from original companion, William Bryan Dixon, eldest son of the late William H. Dixon, deceased, and by inheritance from deceased officer, Dr. J. M. Hazen, eldest son of the late Col. J. M. Hazen, Third Wisconsin cavalry.

The banquet was a success, and the officers for the year were elected and two new members admitted. The latter were, by inheritance from original companion, William Bryan Dixon, eldest son of the late William H. Dixon, deceased, and by inheritance from deceased officer, Dr. J. M. Hazen, eldest son of the late Col. J. M. Hazen, Third Wisconsin cavalry.

The banquet was a success, and the officers for the year were elected and two new members admitted. The latter were, by inheritance from original companion, William Bryan Dixon, eldest son of the late William H. Dixon, deceased, and by inheritance from deceased officer, Dr. J. M. Hazen, eldest son of the late Col. J. M. Hazen, Third Wisconsin cavalry.

The banquet was a success, and the officers for the year were elected and two new members admitted. The latter were, by inheritance from original companion, William Bryan Dixon, eldest son of the late William H. Dixon, deceased, and by inheritance from deceased officer, Dr. J. M. Hazen, eldest son of the late Col. J. M. Hazen, Third Wisconsin cavalry.

The banquet was a success, and the officers for the year were elected and two new members admitted. The latter were, by inheritance from original companion, William Bryan Dixon, eldest son of the late William H. Dixon, deceased, and by inheritance from deceased officer, Dr. J. M. Hazen, eldest son of the late Col. J. M. Hazen, Third Wisconsin cavalry.

The banquet was a success, and the officers for the year were elected and two new members admitted. The latter were, by inheritance from original companion, William Bryan Dixon, eldest son of the late William H. Dixon, deceased, and by inheritance from deceased officer, Dr. J. M. Hazen, eldest son of the late Col. J. M. Hazen, Third Wisconsin cavalry.

The banquet was a success, and the officers for the year were elected and two new members admitted. The latter were, by inheritance from original companion, William Bryan Dixon, eldest son of the late William H. Dixon, deceased, and by inheritance from deceased officer, Dr. J. M. Hazen, eldest son of the late Col. J. M. Hazen, Third Wisconsin cavalry.

The banquet was a success, and the officers for the year were elected and two new members admitted. The latter were, by inheritance from original companion, William Bryan Dixon, eldest son of the late William H. Dixon, deceased, and by inheritance from deceased officer, Dr. J. M. Hazen, eldest son of the late Col. J. M. Hazen, Third Wisconsin cavalry.

The banquet was a success, and the officers for the year were elected and two new members admitted. The latter were, by inheritance from original companion, William Bryan Dixon, eldest son of the late William H. Dixon, deceased, and by inheritance from deceased officer, Dr. J. M. Hazen, eldest son of the late Col. J. M. Hazen, Third Wisconsin cavalry.

The banquet was a success, and the officers for the year were elected and two new members admitted. The latter were, by inheritance from original companion, William Bryan Dixon, eldest son of the late William H. Dixon, deceased, and by inheritance from deceased officer, Dr. J. M. Hazen, eldest son of the late Col. J. M. Hazen, Third Wisconsin cavalry.

The banquet was a success, and the officers for the year were elected and two new members admitted. The latter were, by inheritance from original companion, William Bryan Dixon, eldest son of the late William H. Dixon, deceased, and by inheritance from deceased officer, Dr. J. M. Hazen, eldest son of the late Col. J. M. Hazen, Third Wisconsin cavalry.

The banquet was a success, and the officers for the year were elected and two new members admitted. The latter were, by inheritance from original companion, William Bryan Dixon, eldest son of the late William H. Dixon, deceased, and by inheritance from deceased officer, Dr. J. M. Hazen, eldest son of the late Col. J. M. Hazen, Third Wisconsin cavalry.

The banquet was a success, and the officers for the year were elected and two new members admitted. The latter were, by inheritance from original companion, William Bryan Dixon, eldest son of the late William H. Dixon, deceased, and by inheritance from deceased officer, Dr. J. M. Hazen, eldest son of the late Col. J. M. Hazen, Third Wisconsin cavalry.

The banquet was a success, and the officers for the year were elected and two new members admitted. The latter were, by inheritance from original companion, William Bryan Dixon, eldest son of the late William H. Dixon, deceased, and by inheritance from deceased officer, Dr. J. M. Hazen, eldest son of the late Col. J. M. Hazen, Third Wisconsin cavalry.

The banquet was a success, and the officers for the year were elected and two new members admitted. The latter were, by inheritance from original companion, William Bryan Dixon, eldest son of the late William H. Dixon, deceased, and by inheritance from deceased officer, Dr. J. M. Hazen, eldest son of the late Col. J. M. Hazen, Third Wisconsin cavalry.

The banquet was a success, and the officers for the year were elected and two new members admitted. The latter were, by inheritance from original companion, William Bryan Dixon, eldest son of the late William H. Dixon, deceased, and by inheritance from deceased officer, Dr. J. M. Hazen, eldest son of the late Col. J. M. Hazen, Third Wisconsin cavalry.

The banquet was a success, and the officers for the year were elected and two new members admitted. The latter were, by inheritance from original companion, William Bryan Dixon, eldest son of the late William H. Dixon, deceased, and by inheritance from deceased officer, Dr. J. M. Hazen, eldest son of the late Col. J. M. Hazen, Third Wisconsin cavalry.

The banquet was a success, and the officers for the year were elected and two new members admitted. The latter were, by inheritance from original companion, William Bryan Dixon, eldest son of the late William H. Dixon, deceased, and by inheritance from deceased officer, Dr. J. M. Hazen, eldest son of the late Col. J. M. Hazen, Third Wisconsin cavalry.

The banquet was a success, and the officers for the year were elected and two new members admitted. The latter were, by inheritance from original companion, William Bryan Dixon, eldest son of the late William H. Dixon, deceased, and by inheritance from deceased officer, Dr. J. M. Hazen, eldest son of the late Col. J. M. Hazen, Third Wisconsin cavalry.

The banquet was a success, and the officers for the year were elected and two new members admitted. The latter were, by inheritance from original companion, William Bryan Dixon, eldest son of the late William H. Dixon, deceased, and by inheritance from deceased officer, Dr. J. M. Hazen, eldest son of the late Col. J. M. Hazen, Third Wisconsin cavalry.

The banquet was a success, and the officers for the year were elected and two new members admitted. The latter were, by inheritance from original companion, William Bryan Dixon, eldest son of the late William H. Dixon, deceased, and by inheritance from deceased officer, Dr. J. M. Hazen, eldest son of the late Col. J. M. Hazen, Third Wisconsin cavalry.

The banquet was a success, and the officers for the year were elected and two new members admitted. The latter were, by inheritance from original companion, William Bryan Dixon, eldest son of the late William H. Dixon, deceased, and by inheritance from deceased officer, Dr. J. M. Hazen, eldest son of the late Col. J. M. Hazen, Third Wisconsin cavalry.

The banquet was a success, and the officers for the year were elected and two new members admitted. The latter were, by inheritance from original companion, William Bryan Dixon, eldest son of the late William H. Dixon, deceased, and by inheritance from deceased officer, Dr. J. M. Hazen, eldest son of the late Col. J. M. Hazen, Third Wisconsin cavalry.

The banquet was a success, and the officers for the year were elected and two new members admitted. The latter were, by inheritance from original companion, William Bryan Dixon, eldest son of the late William H. Dixon, deceased, and by inheritance from deceased officer, Dr. J. M. Hazen, eldest son of the late Col. J. M. Hazen, Third Wisconsin cavalry.

The banquet was a success, and the officers for the year were elected and two new members admitted. The latter were, by inheritance from original companion, William Bryan Dixon, eldest son of the late William H. Dixon, deceased, and by inheritance from deceased officer, Dr. J. M. Hazen, eldest son of the late Col. J. M. Hazen, Third Wisconsin cavalry.

The banquet was a success, and the officers for the year were elected and two new members admitted. The latter were, by inheritance from original companion, William Bryan Dixon, eldest son of the late William H. Dixon, deceased, and by inheritance from deceased officer, Dr. J. M. Hazen, eldest son of the late Col. J. M. Hazen, Third Wisconsin cavalry.

The banquet was a success, and the officers for the year were elected and two new members admitted. The latter were, by inheritance from original companion, William Bryan Dixon, eldest son of the late William H. Dixon, deceased, and by inheritance from deceased officer, Dr. J. M. Hazen, eldest son of the late Col. J. M. Hazen, Third Wisconsin cavalry.

The banquet was a success, and the officers for the year were elected and two new members admitted. The latter were, by inheritance from original companion, William Bryan Dixon, eldest son of the late William H. Dixon, deceased, and by inheritance from deceased officer, Dr. J. M. Hazen, eldest son of the late Col. J. M. Hazen, Third Wisconsin cavalry.

The banquet was a success, and the officers for the year were elected and two new members admitted. The latter were, by inheritance from original companion, William Bryan Dixon, eldest son of the late William H. Dixon, deceased, and by inheritance from deceased officer, Dr. J. M. Hazen, eldest son of the late Col. J. M. Hazen, Third Wisconsin cavalry.

The banquet was a success, and the officers for the year were elected and two new members admitted. The latter were, by inheritance from original companion, William Bryan Dixon, eldest son of the late William H. Dixon, deceased, and by inheritance from deceased officer, Dr. J. M. Hazen, eldest son of the late Col. J. M. Hazen, Third Wisconsin cavalry.

The banquet was a success, and the officers for the year were elected and two new members admitted. The latter were, by inheritance from original companion, William Bryan Dixon, eldest son of the late William H. Dixon, deceased, and by inheritance from deceased officer, Dr. J. M. Hazen, eldest son of the late Col. J. M. Hazen, Third Wisconsin cavalry.

The banquet was a success, and the officers for the year were elected and two new members admitted. The latter were, by inheritance from original companion, William Bryan Dixon, eldest son of the late William H. Dixon, deceased, and by inheritance from deceased officer, Dr. J. M. Hazen, eldest son of the late Col. J. M. Hazen, Third Wisconsin cavalry.

The banquet was a success, and the officers for the year were elected and two new members admitted. The latter were, by inheritance from original companion, William Bryan Dixon, eldest son of the late William H. Dixon, deceased, and by inheritance from deceased officer, Dr. J. M. Hazen, eldest son of the late Col. J. M. Hazen, Third Wisconsin cavalry.

The banquet was a success, and the officers for the year were elected and two new members admitted. The latter were, by inheritance from original companion, William Bryan Dixon, eldest son of the late William H. Dixon, deceased, and by inheritance from deceased officer, Dr. J. M. Hazen, eldest son of the late Col. J. M. Hazen, Third Wisconsin cavalry.

The banquet was a success, and the officers for the year were elected and two new members admitted. The latter were