

stead." The speaker has a commanding and venerable presence, but he admitted he felt some embarrassment before an audience.

Mr. Halstead began by saying that there are two things that are very interesting—those that they know about and those that they do not know. He quoted estimates of the journalist as expressed by distinguished men in that profession, and then he outlined his own experience as a journalist in this country and as correspondent from abroad. The field of the reporter for a daily newspaper was the first picture he drew. Then he pointed out the striking points in the great pictures drawn by the correspondent in great events within his own experience in the great dramas of the world for the last thirty years or more. In other words, he presented these pictures as if reading the headlines of the accounts of noted events and scenes.

Graphicly were mentioned historical events presented, beginning with the scene where John Brown was hung in the valley of Arden, near Charlestown, Mass. The scaffold, surrounded by a hollow square of Federal troops, commanded by "Stone" Jackson, and the other surroundings, were given with a picture of the noted abolitionists, were outlined. The adoption of the first constitution of the state in the next scene. Then followed the picture of the firing on Fort Sumter, the call for volunteers by Lincoln, the battle at Fredericksburg, the charge of the Irish brigade, Sherman's march to the sea, the surrender of Lee, the close of the war—all were portrayed from the correspondent's standpoint. Then came:

Scenes From Abroad. The starting of Columbus to discover America, war scenes in Europe, the Franco-Prussian war and its great battles and the civil war in France that followed were clearly outlined. Then he turned to natural scenes and phenomena. An earthquake in Iceland and volcanic eruptions, the grandeur of the Alps, the rocks of Gibraltar, the cities of the Orient and scenes in uncivilized countries received the benefit of the word pictures of the noted editor.

Proceeding, Mr. Halstead said: "As to the events of the future, it is difficult to tell what course the press will take." In illustrating the progress made in the matter of disseminating news in the New Orleans and the occasion of Gen. Jackson defeating the British, the other the contest between Sullivan and Corbett for the world's championship, the use of the former was slow in reaching the people, while as to the latter, thousands of people in different parts of the world were reading of the while the contest was going on to learn the news of the event as it progressed.

Mr. Halstead spoke in a clear, manly and pleasant manner, his audience was heartily applauded.

THE WOMEN'S END

Is Nobly Upheld by Eliza Archer Connor. The brightest speech of the evening was that made by Eliza Archer Connor, of the New York Woman's Press club, who was introduced as the editor of a stock journal, but who stated that she was only the editor of a stock page, not a journal.

Mrs. Connor said: "I have been asked to speak for our sister, the editor of the New York Woman's Press club, and the reason of their being." As Mr. Smalley had claimed that Minnesota was the leader so far as the woman's journalism was concerned, and told of the founding of the St. Cloud Visitor by Mrs. Jane G. Swisshelm at that town in 1857, she could not use the incident as she had intended, but would say that as the inhabitants of that city had not agreed with Mrs. Swisshelm's method of advocacy, they had got up in the night and tossed her paper and press into the river and "hid" her type, and that was the end of the St. Cloud Visitor.

"Mr. Borri has said that in the beginning of this league there was some petty opposition to the mission of the women's clubs. Well, there are always some old clumps in everything, and there were a few old clumps in the league who set themselves against allowing the women's clubs to join, but to no purpose. T. J. Keenan had insisted that the women's clubs should be connected with the organization, and I want to express my thanks to Col. Corcoran and Foster Coates for the stand they took on that score, so that we were enabled to become members in good standing in this league in spite of sex or previous condition of servitude. And we will show you that your confidence has not been misplaced.

"I have thought that there was a bit of shrewdness mixed with the kindness of these friends of ours. We prefer, of course, to be connected with a well established organization, but we can't do that unless we have an organization of our own. The twentieth century women will go on in their own way, and may possibly beat the men."

She spoke of the various women's clubs represented—the New England Woman's Press association, represented by Miss E. M. White of New England Farmer; Mrs. Barbara N. Galpin, Somerville Journal; Miss Helen M. Winslow, Daily Journal, an Mercy, and Winthrop; the Illinois Woman's Press association, Chicago, Mrs. Julia K. Barnes, the Cleveland Woman's Press club, Mrs. Elroy M. Perry, Cleveland Leader, and husband, The Georgia Woman's Press club, represented by Mrs. Louise M. Gordon and Miss Louise Gordon, which has placed so high a standard for its members, and will not allow woman to belong unless she works for money, and the recommendation of the club is sufficient to secure positions for women, as the Georgia editors feel that they will endorse none who do not have the requirement it differs from other women's clubs. In starting a club women and men go to work very differently. The first thing men do is to have a club house and something to eat; but women would rather talk in an hour. The work

Queer Thing

Why everybody doesn't use Pearline. Here and there, though, there's a woman who's been left behind. The world has moved along without her. What she needs to know is this—that in washing clothes or cleaning house, Pearline will save half her work, half her time, and do away with the rub, rub, rub, that wears things out—that it costs no more than common soap, and does no more harm.

And if she wants to know it, there are millions of women who can tell her. Beware of imitations. 315 JAMES PYLE, N. Y.

DEPLORABLE DEFECT.

No Money Available for the Expenses of Anti-Trust Delegates.

A Joint Resolution of the Legislature Is Held to Be Inoperative.

Attorney General Childs Renders an Important Opinion on the Point.

The Necessary Funds May Be Raised by Subscription in the State.

A vexatious inactivity has seemingly balked the intention of the legislature in its attempt to dismount the coal combine and to uproot trusts, monopolies and the like, that have for their specific object the maintenance of extortionate prices on articles of general necessity.

It is held that the appropriation made by the legislature to defray the actual expenses of the Minnesota delegation to the anti-trust congress of the nation, was irregularly made, and consequently null and void.

All this is explained in the accompanying correspondence between the attorney general and the state auditor.

Will this condition of things thwart the movement entirely? This is a question that is now exciting no little amount of comment among both the ardent supporters of the project and the members of the coal combine. Will the enthusiasm of the members of the delegation that has been disheartened to represent Minnesota's interest at the conference be so dampened that the whole movement will prove a fiasco?

Some of the most zealous exponents of the crusade hold that it will not. They stoutly maintain that the spirit of patriotism that was so deeply stirred by the expense made by the legislature during the session of the legislature is too lasting to permit the whole thing to drop at this important juncture, simply because it will cost them something to do what they plan to do. Again, there is a feeling manifested that it will not be a very difficult matter to raise the needed money by popular subscription.

It is claimed that lasting disgrace will be visited upon Minnesota if the inquiry is allowed to fizzle at this time. The step taken, it is asserted, has been too prominent to permit any ordinary obstacle to interrupt a searching investigation. Minnesota is in the van in the movement. The other states, with a common impulse, seemingly, are falling into line, and the convention in Chicago will be a memorable affair.

The correspondence alluded to above between State Auditor Bierman and Attorney General Childs follows:

Hon. H. W. Childs, Attorney General—Sir: There has been presented to me a voucher for the payment of expenses of a coal combine, which is to be held in Chicago during the present month, such expenses, I find that it was made by a resolution.

Section 12 of article 4 of the constitution of this state provides that no money shall be appropriated by bill, and section 3 of article 10 provides that no money shall be paid out of the treasury of this state except in pursuance of an appropriation act.

Section 13 of article 4 provides no law of this state shall commence, which is followed by the clause "and no bill shall be introduced in the legislature of this state which shall be a bill for the purpose of appropriating money."

It is clear that the constitution prohibits in express terms the disbursement of money from the state treasury except in pursuance of an appropriation act. It is a law within the meaning of the constitution that a law which is required to have a certain style, and which is said in parliamentary language, an "appropriation bill," is a bill for the purpose of appropriating money.

The omission of the style "appropriation bill" from the title of the bill in question is a defect which is not cured by the fact that the bill is in fact an appropriation bill. The constitutional requirement is that the bill shall be an appropriation bill, and it is not cured by the fact that it is in fact an appropriation bill.

It is held that the appropriation made by the legislature to defray the actual expenses of the Minnesota delegation to the anti-trust congress of the nation, was irregularly made, and consequently null and void.

All this is explained in the accompanying correspondence between the attorney general and the state auditor.

Will this condition of things thwart the movement entirely? This is a question that is now exciting no little amount of comment among both the ardent supporters of the project and the members of the coal combine.

Will the enthusiasm of the members of the delegation that has been disheartened to represent Minnesota's interest at the conference be so dampened that the whole movement will prove a fiasco?

Some of the most zealous exponents of the crusade hold that it will not. They stoutly maintain that the spirit of patriotism that was so deeply stirred by the expense made by the legislature during the session of the legislature is too lasting to permit the whole thing to drop at this important juncture.

It is claimed that lasting disgrace will be visited upon Minnesota if the inquiry is allowed to fizzle at this time. The step taken, it is asserted, has been too prominent to permit any ordinary obstacle to interrupt a searching investigation.

Minnesota is in the van in the movement. The other states, with a common impulse, seemingly, are falling into line, and the convention in Chicago will be a memorable affair.

The correspondence alluded to above between State Auditor Bierman and Attorney General Childs follows:

Hon. H. W. Childs, Attorney General—Sir: There has been presented to me a voucher for the payment of expenses of a coal combine, which is to be held in Chicago during the present month, such expenses, I find that it was made by a resolution.

Section 12 of article 4 of the constitution of this state provides that no money shall be appropriated by bill, and section 3 of article 10 provides that no money shall be paid out of the treasury of this state except in pursuance of an appropriation act.

Section 13 of article 4 provides no law of this state shall commence, which is followed by the clause "and no bill shall be introduced in the legislature of this state which shall be a bill for the purpose of appropriating money."

It is clear that the constitution prohibits in express terms the disbursement of money from the state treasury except in pursuance of an appropriation act. It is a law within the meaning of the constitution that a law which is required to have a certain style, and which is said in parliamentary language, an "appropriation bill," is a bill for the purpose of appropriating money.

The omission of the style "appropriation bill" from the title of the bill in question is a defect which is not cured by the fact that the bill is in fact an appropriation bill. The constitutional requirement is that the bill shall be an appropriation bill, and it is not cured by the fact that it is in fact an appropriation bill.

It is held that the appropriation made by the legislature to defray the actual expenses of the Minnesota delegation to the anti-trust congress of the nation, was irregularly made, and consequently null and void.

All this is explained in the accompanying correspondence between the attorney general and the state auditor.

Will this condition of things thwart the movement entirely? This is a question that is now exciting no little amount of comment among both the ardent supporters of the project and the members of the coal combine.

Will the enthusiasm of the members of the delegation that has been disheartened to represent Minnesota's interest at the conference be so dampened that the whole movement will prove a fiasco?

Some of the most zealous exponents of the crusade hold that it will not. They stoutly maintain that the spirit of patriotism that was so deeply stirred by the expense made by the legislature during the session of the legislature is too lasting to permit the whole thing to drop at this important juncture.

It is claimed that lasting disgrace will be visited upon Minnesota if the inquiry is allowed to fizzle at this time. The step taken, it is asserted, has been too prominent to permit any ordinary obstacle to interrupt a searching investigation.

Minnesota is in the van in the movement. The other states, with a common impulse, seemingly, are falling into line, and the convention in Chicago will be a memorable affair.

The correspondence alluded to above between State Auditor Bierman and Attorney General Childs follows:

Hon. H. W. Childs, Attorney General—Sir: There has been presented to me a voucher for the payment of expenses of a coal combine, which is to be held in Chicago during the present month, such expenses, I find that it was made by a resolution.

Section 12 of article 4 of the constitution of this state provides that no money shall be appropriated by bill, and section 3 of article 10 provides that no money shall be paid out of the treasury of this state except in pursuance of an appropriation act.

Section 13 of article 4 provides no law of this state shall commence, which is followed by the clause "and no bill shall be introduced in the legislature of this state which shall be a bill for the purpose of appropriating money."

It is clear that the constitution prohibits in express terms the disbursement of money from the state treasury except in pursuance of an appropriation act. It is a law within the meaning of the constitution that a law which is required to have a certain style, and which is said in parliamentary language, an "appropriation bill," is a bill for the purpose of appropriating money.

The omission of the style "appropriation bill" from the title of the bill in question is a defect which is not cured by the fact that the bill is in fact an appropriation bill. The constitutional requirement is that the bill shall be an appropriation bill, and it is not cured by the fact that it is in fact an appropriation bill.

It is held that the appropriation made by the legislature to defray the actual expenses of the Minnesota delegation to the anti-trust congress of the nation, was irregularly made, and consequently null and void.

All this is explained in the accompanying correspondence between the attorney general and the state auditor.

Will this condition of things thwart the movement entirely? This is a question that is now exciting no little amount of comment among both the ardent supporters of the project and the members of the coal combine.

Will the enthusiasm of the members of the delegation that has been disheartened to represent Minnesota's interest at the conference be so dampened that the whole movement will prove a fiasco?

Some of the most zealous exponents of the crusade hold that it will not. They stoutly maintain that the spirit of patriotism that was so deeply stirred by the expense made by the legislature during the session of the legislature is too lasting to permit the whole thing to drop at this important juncture.

It is claimed that lasting disgrace will be visited upon Minnesota if the inquiry is allowed to fizzle at this time. The step taken, it is asserted, has been too prominent to permit any ordinary obstacle to interrupt a searching investigation.

DEPLORABLE DEFECT.

No Money Available for the Expenses of Anti-Trust Delegates.

A Joint Resolution of the Legislature Is Held to Be Inoperative.

Attorney General Childs Renders an Important Opinion on the Point.

The Necessary Funds May Be Raised by Subscription in the State.

A vexatious inactivity has seemingly balked the intention of the legislature in its attempt to dismount the coal combine and to uproot trusts, monopolies and the like, that have for their specific object the maintenance of extortionate prices on articles of general necessity.

It is held that the appropriation made by the legislature to defray the actual expenses of the Minnesota delegation to the anti-trust congress of the nation, was irregularly made, and consequently null and void.

All this is explained in the accompanying correspondence between the attorney general and the state auditor.

Will this condition of things thwart the movement entirely? This is a question that is now exciting no little amount of comment among both the ardent supporters of the project and the members of the coal combine.

Will the enthusiasm of the members of the delegation that has been disheartened to represent Minnesota's interest at the conference be so dampened that the whole movement will prove a fiasco?

Some of the most zealous exponents of the crusade hold that it will not. They stoutly maintain that the spirit of patriotism that was so deeply stirred by the expense made by the legislature during the session of the legislature is too lasting to permit the whole thing to drop at this important juncture.

It is claimed that lasting disgrace will be visited upon Minnesota if the inquiry is allowed to fizzle at this time. The step taken, it is asserted, has been too prominent to permit any ordinary obstacle to interrupt a searching investigation.

Minnesota is in the van in the movement. The other states, with a common impulse, seemingly, are falling into line, and the convention in Chicago will be a memorable affair.

The correspondence alluded to above between State Auditor Bierman and Attorney General Childs follows:

Hon. H. W. Childs, Attorney General—Sir: There has been presented to me a voucher for the payment of expenses of a coal combine, which is to be held in Chicago during the present month, such expenses, I find that it was made by a resolution.

Section 12 of article 4 of the constitution of this state provides that no money shall be appropriated by bill, and section 3 of article 10 provides that no money shall be paid out of the treasury of this state except in pursuance of an appropriation act.

Section 13 of article 4 provides no law of this state shall commence, which is followed by the clause "and no bill shall be introduced in the legislature of this state which shall be a bill for the purpose of appropriating money."

It is clear that the constitution prohibits in express terms the disbursement of money from the state treasury except in pursuance of an appropriation act. It is a law within the meaning of the constitution that a law which is required to have a certain style, and which is said in parliamentary language, an "appropriation bill," is a bill for the purpose of appropriating money.

The omission of the style "appropriation bill" from the title of the bill in question is a defect which is not cured by the fact that the bill is in fact an appropriation bill. The constitutional requirement is that the bill shall be an appropriation bill, and it is not cured by the fact that it is in fact an appropriation bill.

It is held that the appropriation made by the legislature to defray the actual expenses of the Minnesota delegation to the anti-trust congress of the nation, was irregularly made, and consequently null and void.

All this is explained in the accompanying correspondence between the attorney general and the state auditor.

Will this condition of things thwart the movement entirely? This is a question that is now exciting no little amount of comment among both the ardent supporters of the project and the members of the coal combine.

Will the enthusiasm of the members of the delegation that has been disheartened to represent Minnesota's interest at the conference be so dampened that the whole movement will prove a fiasco?

Some of the most zealous exponents of the crusade hold that it will not. They stoutly maintain that the spirit of patriotism that was so deeply stirred by the expense made by the legislature during the session of the legislature is too lasting to permit the whole thing to drop at this important juncture.

It is claimed that lasting disgrace will be visited upon Minnesota if the inquiry is allowed to fizzle at this time. The step taken, it is asserted, has been too prominent to permit any ordinary obstacle to interrupt a searching investigation.

Minnesota is in the van in the movement. The other states, with a common impulse, seemingly, are falling into line, and the convention in Chicago will be a memorable affair.

The correspondence alluded to above between State Auditor Bierman and Attorney General Childs follows:

Hon. H. W. Childs, Attorney General—Sir: There has been presented to me a voucher for the payment of expenses of a coal combine, which is to be held in Chicago during the present month, such expenses, I find that it was made by a resolution.

Section 12 of article 4 of the constitution of this state provides that no money shall be appropriated by bill, and section 3 of article 10 provides that no money shall be paid out of the treasury of this state except in pursuance of an appropriation act.

Section 13 of article 4 provides no law of this state shall commence, which is followed by the clause "and no bill shall be introduced in the legislature of this state which shall be a bill for the purpose of appropriating money."

It is clear that the constitution prohibits in express terms the disbursement of money from the state treasury except in pursuance of an appropriation act. It is a law within the meaning of the constitution that a law which is required to have a certain style, and which is said in parliamentary language, an "appropriation bill," is a bill for the purpose of appropriating money.

The omission of the style "appropriation bill" from the title of the bill in question is a defect which is not cured by the fact that the bill is in fact an appropriation bill. The constitutional requirement is that the bill shall be an appropriation bill, and it is not cured by the fact that it is in fact an appropriation bill.

It is held that the appropriation made by the legislature to defray the actual expenses of the Minnesota delegation to the anti-trust congress of the nation, was irregularly made, and consequently null and void.

All this is explained in the accompanying correspondence between the attorney general and the state auditor.

Will this condition of things thwart the movement entirely? This is a question that is now exciting no little amount of comment among both the ardent supporters of the project and the members of the coal combine.

Will the enthusiasm of the members of the delegation that has been disheartened to represent Minnesota's interest at the conference be so dampened that the whole movement will prove a fiasco?

Some of the most zealous exponents of the crusade hold that it will not. They stoutly maintain that the spirit of patriotism that was so deeply stirred by the expense made by the legislature during the session of the legislature is too lasting to permit the whole thing to drop at this important juncture.

It is claimed that lasting disgrace will be visited upon Minnesota if the inquiry is allowed to fizzle at this time. The step taken, it is asserted, has been too prominent to permit any ordinary obstacle to interrupt a searching investigation.

Minnesota is in the van in the movement. The other states, with a common impulse, seemingly, are falling into line, and the convention in Chicago will be a memorable affair.

The correspondence alluded to above between State Auditor Bierman and Attorney General Childs follows:

Hon. H. W. Childs, Attorney General—Sir: There has been presented to me a voucher for the payment of expenses of a coal combine, which is to be held in Chicago during the present month, such expenses, I find that it was made by a resolution.

Section 12 of article 4 of the constitution of this state provides that no money shall be appropriated by bill, and section 3 of article 10 provides that no money shall be paid out of the treasury of this state except in pursuance of an appropriation act.

Section 13 of article 4 provides no law of this state shall commence, which is followed by the clause "and no bill shall be introduced in the legislature of this state which shall be a bill for the purpose of appropriating money."

It is clear that the constitution prohibits in express terms the disbursement of money from the state treasury except in pursuance of an appropriation act. It is a law within the meaning of the constitution that a law which is required to have a certain style, and which is said in parliamentary language, an "appropriation bill," is a bill for the purpose of appropriating money.

The omission of the style "appropriation bill" from the title of the bill in question is a defect which is not cured by the fact that the bill is in fact an appropriation bill. The constitutional requirement is that the bill shall be an appropriation bill, and it is not cured by the fact that it is in fact an appropriation bill.

It is held that the appropriation made by the legislature to defray the actual expenses of the Minnesota delegation to the anti-trust congress of the nation, was irregularly made, and consequently null and void.

All this is explained in the accompanying correspondence between the attorney general and the state auditor.

Will this condition of things thwart the movement entirely? This is a question that is now exciting no little amount of comment among both the ardent supporters of the project and the members of the coal combine.

Will the enthusiasm of the members of the delegation that has been disheartened to represent Minnesota's interest at the conference be so dampened that the whole movement will prove a fiasco?

Some of the most zealous exponents of the crusade hold that it will not. They stoutly maintain that the spirit of patriotism that was so deeply stirred by the expense made by the legislature during the session of the legislature is too lasting to permit the whole thing to drop at this important juncture.

DEPLORABLE DEFECT.

No Money Available for the Expenses of Anti-Trust Delegates.

A Joint Resolution of the Legislature Is Held to Be Inoperative.

Attorney General Childs Renders an Important Opinion on the Point.

The Necessary Funds May Be Raised by Subscription in the State.

A vexatious inactivity has seemingly balked the intention of the legislature in its attempt to dismount the coal combine and to uproot trusts, monopolies and the like, that have for their specific object the maintenance of extortionate prices on articles of general necessity.

It is held that the appropriation made by the legislature to defray the actual expenses of the Minnesota delegation to the anti-trust congress of the nation, was irregularly made, and consequently null and void.

All this is explained in the accompanying correspondence between the attorney general and the state auditor.

Will this condition of things thwart the movement entirely? This is a question that is now exciting no little amount of comment among both the ardent supporters of the project and the members of the coal combine.

Will the enthusiasm of the members of the delegation that has been disheartened to represent Minnesota's interest at the conference be so dampened that the whole movement will prove a fiasco?

Some of the most zealous exponents of the crusade hold that it will not. They stoutly maintain that the spirit of patriotism that was so deeply stirred by the expense made by the legislature during the session of the legislature is too lasting to permit the whole thing to drop at this important juncture.

It is claimed that lasting disgrace will be visited upon Minnesota if the inquiry is allowed to fizzle at this time. The step taken, it is asserted, has been too prominent to permit any ordinary obstacle to interrupt a searching investigation.

Minnesota is in the van in the movement. The other states, with a common impulse, seemingly, are falling into line, and the convention in Chicago will be a memorable affair.

The correspondence alluded to above between State Auditor Bierman and Attorney General Childs follows:

Hon. H. W. Childs, Attorney General—Sir: There has been presented to me a voucher for the payment of expenses of a coal combine, which is to be held in Chicago during the present month, such expenses, I find that it was made by a resolution.

Section 12 of article 4 of the constitution of this state provides that no money shall be appropriated by bill, and section 3 of article 10 provides that no money shall be paid out of the treasury of this state except in pursuance of an appropriation act.

Section 13 of article 4 provides no law of this state shall commence, which is followed by the clause "and no bill shall be introduced in the legislature of this state which shall be a bill for the purpose of appropriating money."

It is clear that the constitution prohibits in express terms the disbursement of money from the state treasury except in pursuance of an appropriation act. It is a law within the meaning of the constitution that a law which is required to have a certain style, and which is said in parliamentary language, an "appropriation bill," is a bill for the purpose of appropriating money.

The omission of the style "appropriation bill" from the title of the bill in question is a defect which is not cured by the fact that the bill is in fact an appropriation bill. The constitutional requirement is that the bill shall be an appropriation bill, and it is not cured by the fact that it is in fact an appropriation bill.

It is held that the appropriation made by the legislature to defray the actual expenses of the Minnesota delegation to the anti-trust congress of the nation, was irregularly made, and consequently null and void.

All this is explained in the accompanying correspondence between the attorney general and the state auditor.

Will this condition of things thwart the movement entirely? This is a question that is now exciting no little amount of comment among both the ardent supporters of the project and the members of the coal combine.

Will the enthusiasm of the members of the delegation that has been disheartened to represent Minnesota's interest at the conference be so dampened that the whole movement will prove a fiasco?

Some of the most zealous exponents of the crusade hold that it will not. They stoutly maintain that the spirit of patriotism that was so deeply stirred by the expense made by the legislature during the session of the legislature is too lasting to permit the whole thing to drop at this important juncture.

It is claimed that lasting disgrace will be visited upon Minnesota if the inquiry is allowed to fizzle at this time. The step taken, it is asserted, has been too prominent to permit any ordinary obstacle to interrupt a searching investigation.

Minnesota is in the van in the movement. The other states, with a common impulse, seemingly, are falling into line, and the convention in Chicago will be a memorable affair.

The correspondence alluded to above between State Auditor Bierman and Attorney General Childs follows:

Hon. H. W. Childs, Attorney General—Sir: There has been presented to me a voucher for the payment of expenses of a coal combine, which is to be held in Chicago during the present month, such expenses, I find that it was made by a resolution.

Section 12 of article 4 of the constitution of this state provides that no money shall be appropriated by bill, and section 3 of article 10 provides that no money shall be paid out of the treasury of this state except in pursuance of an appropriation act.

Section 13 of article 4 provides no law of this state shall commence, which is followed by the clause "and no bill shall be introduced in the legislature of this state which shall be a bill for the purpose of appropriating money."

It is clear that the constitution prohibits in express terms the disbursement of money from the state treasury except in pursuance of an appropriation act. It is a law within the meaning of the constitution that a law which is required to have a certain style, and which is said in parliamentary language, an "appropriation bill," is a bill for the purpose of appropriating money.

The omission of the style "appropriation bill" from the title of the bill in question is a defect which is not cured by the fact that the bill is in fact an appropriation bill. The constitutional requirement is that the bill shall be an appropriation bill, and it is not cured by the fact that it is in fact an appropriation bill.

It is held that the appropriation made by the legislature to defray the actual expenses of the Minnesota delegation to the anti-trust congress of the nation, was irregularly made, and consequently null and void.

All this is explained in the accompanying correspondence between the attorney general and the state auditor.

Will this condition of things thwart the movement entirely? This is a question that is now exciting no little amount of comment among both the ardent supporters of the project and the members of the coal combine.

Will the enthusiasm of the members of the delegation that has been disheartened to represent Minnesota's interest at the conference be so dampened that the whole movement will prove a fiasco?

Some of the most zealous exponents of the crusade hold that it will not. They stoutly maintain that the spirit of patriotism that was so deeply stirred by the expense made by the legislature during the session of the legislature is too lasting to permit the whole thing to drop at this important juncture.

It is claimed that lasting disgrace will be visited upon Minnesota if the inquiry is allowed to fizzle at this time. The step taken, it is asserted, has been too prominent to permit any ordinary obstacle to interrupt a searching investigation.

Minnesota is in the van in the movement. The other states, with a common impulse, seemingly, are falling into line, and the convention in Chicago will be a memorable affair.

The correspondence alluded to above between State Auditor Bierman and Attorney General Childs follows: