

THE Royal Baking Powder is the purest and strongest baking powder made. It has received the highest award at the U. S. Gov't official investigation, and at all the Great International Expositions and World's Fairs wherever exhibited in competition with others.

It makes the finest, lightest, sweetest, most wholesome bread, cake and pastry. More economical than any other leavening agent.

ROYAL BAKING POWDER CO., 105 WALL ST., NEW-YORK.

ORDERS ARE AFFIRMED

By the Supreme Court in Two Important Cases Against the Globe.

THE ORDER IS MODIFIED

In the Case of Kraniger Against the People's Building Society.

NINE DECISIONS FILED.

Five by Justice Canty and Four by Justice Mitchell.

Five by Justice Canty and Four by Justice Mitchell.

Five by Justice Canty and Four by Justice Mitchell.

Five by Justice Canty and Four by Justice Mitchell.

Five by Justice Canty and Four by Justice Mitchell.

Five by Justice Canty and Four by Justice Mitchell.

Five by Justice Canty and Four by Justice Mitchell.

Five by Justice Canty and Four by Justice Mitchell.

Five by Justice Canty and Four by Justice Mitchell.

Five by Justice Canty and Four by Justice Mitchell.

Five by Justice Canty and Four by Justice Mitchell.

Five by Justice Canty and Four by Justice Mitchell.

Five by Justice Canty and Four by Justice Mitchell.

Five by Justice Canty and Four by Justice Mitchell.

Five by Justice Canty and Four by Justice Mitchell.

Five by Justice Canty and Four by Justice Mitchell.

Five by Justice Canty and Four by Justice Mitchell.

Five by Justice Canty and Four by Justice Mitchell.

Five by Justice Canty and Four by Justice Mitchell.

Five by Justice Canty and Four by Justice Mitchell.

Five by Justice Canty and Four by Justice Mitchell.

Five by Justice Canty and Four by Justice Mitchell.

Five by Justice Canty and Four by Justice Mitchell.

Five by Justice Canty and Four by Justice Mitchell.

Five by Justice Canty and Four by Justice Mitchell.

Five by Justice Canty and Four by Justice Mitchell.

Five by Justice Canty and Four by Justice Mitchell.

Five by Justice Canty and Four by Justice Mitchell.

Five by Justice Canty and Four by Justice Mitchell.

Five by Justice Canty and Four by Justice Mitchell.

Five by Justice Canty and Four by Justice Mitchell.

afterward brought under chapter 70, G. S. 1874, by a creditor of said corporation in favor of himself and all other creditors, and against the corporation and its stockholders, and for the appointment of a receiver.

After the commencement of the latter action an order was made in the former action under section 23, chapter 70, ordering creditors to exhibit their claims and become parties to that action.

Order is Modified. The order of the court in the case of Kraniger against the People's Building Society, which was made on the 11th of December last, is modified.

Trust Company vs. The Globe. St. Paul Trust Company, as executor, etc., of Norman Kittson, deceased, etc., respondent, vs. The St. Paul Globe Publishing Company, appellant.

St. Paul Trust Company, as executor, etc., of Norman Kittson, deceased, etc., respondent, vs. The St. Paul Globe Publishing Company, appellant.

John C. Oswald et al., respondents, vs. The St. Paul Globe Publishing Company et al., appellants.

Henry Summers the order of the lower court is reversed, and in that of Paul Kraniger against the People's Building Society the order is modified.

State of Minnesota, respondent, vs. Henry Summers, appellant.

back and perfected the sale so made under the power. When a deed is made by "A. B. Executor," and signed by him in the same form.

Held, it sufficiently appears that it was made by him in his representative capacity.

Held, it is conceded without being decided that for a reasonable time after the deed was made and recorded the nominal consideration expressed in the deed was sufficient to put purchasers on inquiry, but that time has long since passed.

Held, it is conceded without being decided that for a reasonable time after the deed was made and recorded the nominal consideration expressed in the deed was sufficient to put purchasers on inquiry, but that time has long since passed.

Held, it is conceded without being decided that for a reasonable time after the deed was made and recorded the nominal consideration expressed in the deed was sufficient to put purchasers on inquiry, but that time has long since passed.

Held, it is conceded without being decided that for a reasonable time after the deed was made and recorded the nominal consideration expressed in the deed was sufficient to put purchasers on inquiry, but that time has long since passed.

Held, it is conceded without being decided that for a reasonable time after the deed was made and recorded the nominal consideration expressed in the deed was sufficient to put purchasers on inquiry, but that time has long since passed.

Held, it is conceded without being decided that for a reasonable time after the deed was made and recorded the nominal consideration expressed in the deed was sufficient to put purchasers on inquiry, but that time has long since passed.

Held, it is conceded without being decided that for a reasonable time after the deed was made and recorded the nominal consideration expressed in the deed was sufficient to put purchasers on inquiry, but that time has long since passed.

Held, it is conceded without being decided that for a reasonable time after the deed was made and recorded the nominal consideration expressed in the deed was sufficient to put purchasers on inquiry, but that time has long since passed.

Held, it is conceded without being decided that for a reasonable time after the deed was made and recorded the nominal consideration expressed in the deed was sufficient to put purchasers on inquiry, but that time has long since passed.

Held, it is conceded without being decided that for a reasonable time after the deed was made and recorded the nominal consideration expressed in the deed was sufficient to put purchasers on inquiry, but that time has long since passed.

Held, it is conceded without being decided that for a reasonable time after the deed was made and recorded the nominal consideration expressed in the deed was sufficient to put purchasers on inquiry, but that time has long since passed.

Held, it is conceded without being decided that for a reasonable time after the deed was made and recorded the nominal consideration expressed in the deed was sufficient to put purchasers on inquiry, but that time has long since passed.

Held, it is conceded without being decided that for a reasonable time after the deed was made and recorded the nominal consideration expressed in the deed was sufficient to put purchasers on inquiry, but that time has long since passed.

Held, it is conceded without being decided that for a reasonable time after the deed was made and recorded the nominal consideration expressed in the deed was sufficient to put purchasers on inquiry, but that time has long since passed.

Held, it is conceded without being decided that for a reasonable time after the deed was made and recorded the nominal consideration expressed in the deed was sufficient to put purchasers on inquiry, but that time has long since passed.

Held, it is conceded without being decided that for a reasonable time after the deed was made and recorded the nominal consideration expressed in the deed was sufficient to put purchasers on inquiry, but that time has long since passed.

Held, it is conceded without being decided that for a reasonable time after the deed was made and recorded the nominal consideration expressed in the deed was sufficient to put purchasers on inquiry, but that time has long since passed.

Held, it is conceded without being decided that for a reasonable time after the deed was made and recorded the nominal consideration expressed in the deed was sufficient to put purchasers on inquiry, but that time has long since passed.

Held, it is conceded without being decided that for a reasonable time after the deed was made and recorded the nominal consideration expressed in the deed was sufficient to put purchasers on inquiry, but that time has long since passed.

Held, it is conceded without being decided that for a reasonable time after the deed was made and recorded the nominal consideration expressed in the deed was sufficient to put purchasers on inquiry, but that time has long since passed.

Held, it is conceded without being decided that for a reasonable time after the deed was made and recorded the nominal consideration expressed in the deed was sufficient to put purchasers on inquiry, but that time has long since passed.

Held, it is conceded without being decided that for a reasonable time after the deed was made and recorded the nominal consideration expressed in the deed was sufficient to put purchasers on inquiry, but that time has long since passed.

Held, it is conceded without being decided that for a reasonable time after the deed was made and recorded the nominal consideration expressed in the deed was sufficient to put purchasers on inquiry, but that time has long since passed.

NORTH STATE KICKS.

They Are as Numerous as Those of a Georgia Mule.

WHATEVER IS, IS WRONG.

The Millennium Would Have to Skip North Dakota This Time.

COAL RATES ALL AWRY,

Likewise Insurance Rates and a Bookful of Other Things.

BRISACK, N. D., Jan. 18.—The joint committee on compilation organized today and agreed to take up the civil code first. They assure your correspondent that they will give all of the seven codes full consideration and report them in ample time for suggestions from the attorneys of the state after the changes from the present laws are published.

During the years of statehood in mentioning the clerks of the committee yesterday, it was said Hamilton was secretary of the first compilation committee. He was its chairman, and is therefore specially familiar with every feature of the work of the committee.

The bill introduced by Representative Twitchell in relation to the value of policy is causing much excitement in insurance circles, and it is alleged that the companies will pull out of the state if it becomes a law. In Ohio it is claimed the risks written increased rapidly under such a law, but the losses also increased in proportion.

The new rates adopted by the board of railroad commissioners are also meeting with much comment. They were adopted Dec. 20, but not placed in the hands of the railroad company until the last day of the administration, Jan. 7, Jan. 11 the new commissioners protested against the rates as being most unsatisfactory, but were informed that they were put in force Jan. 10. The old board expressed the belief that the

rates were reasonable and fair to both the railroad and to the public. Aggressive railroad legislation is threatened the differential between Dickinson and Sims from 25 to 50 cents per ton in the future, and the board is likely to increase the rates.

The board of university and school lands adjourned today after a four-day session. They took no action upon the petition of William H. Williams, nor as to other appointments in his office.

They met again on the last Thursday in January, to plan the adjournment of the legislature. They authorized advertisements for the leasing of cultivated school lands, and took necessary steps for the collection of \$8 per capita during the past year.

DOINGS AT PIERRE. The Senate Trips, but Fails to Adjourn Until Monday. Special to the Globe.

PIERRE, S. D., Jan. 18.—The senate spent the day largely in discussions of economy. It began with passing with a vote of 42 to 1 Senator Boyce's bill for a constitutional convention.

Then the matter of pay for the senate employees was taken up. The committee on legislative expenditures reported favorably on cutting the salaries from 50 cents to \$1 a day over two years ago, after a hour's discussion, in which Senators Boyce, Latham and Lawrence supported the committee, and Senators Pease, Rice, Howell and Wilson opposed it.

The senate, by a vote of 27 to 16, gave the clerks the old schedule. After the introduction of a considerable number of bills, Senator Latham moved to adjourn over until Tuesday, and Gen. Pease and one or two others supported him, when Senator Foote jumped to his feet and read the riot act to the senate, declaring that in the present condition of the state it was their business to do work, and that if they wished to finish their business in good shape during the session, they ought to keep clean books every day.

Lothian, Duran, Howard and Allison and it carried the senate with him. On the motion to adjourn to Saturday there was a yeas and nays vote of 16 to 10.

Lothian, Duran, Howard and Allison and it carried the senate with him. On the motion to adjourn to Saturday there was a yeas and nays vote of 16 to 10.

Lothian, Duran, Howard and Allison and it carried the senate with him. On the motion to adjourn to Saturday there was a yeas and nays vote of 16 to 10.

Lothian, Duran, Howard and Allison and it carried the senate with him. On the motion to adjourn to Saturday there was a yeas and nays vote of 16 to 10.

Lothian, Duran, Howard and Allison and it carried the senate with him. On the motion to adjourn to Saturday there was a yeas and nays vote of 16 to 10.

Lothian, Duran, Howard and Allison and it carried the senate with him. On the motion to adjourn to Saturday there was a yeas and nays vote of 16 to 10.

Lothian, Duran, Howard and Allison and it carried the senate with him. On the motion to adjourn to Saturday there was a yeas and nays vote of 16 to 10.

Lothian, Duran, Howard and Allison and it carried the senate with him. On the motion to adjourn to Saturday there was a yeas and nays vote of 16 to 10.

Lothian, Duran, Howard and Allison and it carried the senate with him. On the motion to adjourn to Saturday there was a yeas and nays vote of 16 to 10.

Lothian, Duran, Howard and Allison and it carried the senate with him. On the motion to adjourn to Saturday there was a yeas and nays vote of 16 to 10.

Lothian, Duran, Howard and Allison and it carried the senate with him. On the motion to adjourn to Saturday there was a yeas and nays vote of 16 to 10.



Edison's Latest Wonder, The Kinetoscope, or Living Photographs.

Hundreds of people have expressed ASTONISHMENT and DE-LIGHT upon witnessing the attractions of this wonderful invention. The Kinetoscope can be seen here this week. We have arranged to exhibit 5 instruments, the views in each one being worth the price charged for all. The cost is merely nominal—10 cents for adults, 5 cents for children.

As a fitting climax to a very successful selling week we name the following prices for the BEST GRADES of Merchandise for TODAY'S SALE:

Men's Furnishings.

20 DOZEN DOMET FLANNEL PAJAMAS, REGULAR PRICE, \$2.50, TODAY'S PRICE, \$1.50. \$1.00 SAVED ON EACH GARMENT.

The BEST GLOVES in the world, Perrin's and Reypner's Reindeer Cape and Suede Gloves; regular prices, \$2.50, \$2.25 and \$2.00. Today's price, ONE DOLLAR AND FIFTY CENTS.

FINE NECKWEAR.

All \$2.00, \$1.75 and \$1.50 Neckwear TODAY will be sold for 98 Cents. See Robert street Neckwear Window.

Winter Underwear and Hosiery.

TWO STRONG ATTRACTIONS, for today only, from 8 a. m. and until 6 p. m. 100 dozen Children's All-Wool Hose, double knee, 15c Value, 30c a pair.

120 dozen Ladies' Fine English Cashmere Hose, high-spliced heels, double soles and toes, 30 CENTS A PAIR. The lot is small. Only 4 pairs will be sold of each purchaser. Four tables loaded with our choicest lines of

WINTER UNDERWEAR.

Worth up to \$2.50 and \$3.00 a garment. Table 1--All at 39c a Garment. Table 2--All at 39c a Garment. Table 3--All at 39c a Garment. Table 4--All at \$1.29 a Garment.

Lace Department.

All Imported Made-Up Neckwear, New and Popular Ideas, at exactly HALF-PRICE Today.

Annual Linen Sale.

8c each for 100 dozen Pure Linen Huck Towels, good size. 39c a yard, 10 pieces Table Padding or "Silence Cloth," really worth 65 cents.

89c each, 100 Heavy Comb Bed Spreads, reduced from \$1.25. 90c dozen, 50 dozen Unbleached 3/4 Naphins, regularly sold for \$1.25.

Glove Department.

98c a pair, Ladies' Mocha Lined Mittens. Sold for \$1.50. 75c a pair, Ladies' Heavy Double Silk Mittens, fancy backs. The \$1.50 kind. 21c a pair, Ladies' Black Cashmere Gloves, Silk Fourchettes. Worth 35 cents.

Cloak Department.

It's almost time to take account of stock. We seldom have much left to take in this department. Here is the way we clean them up.

Lot 1, at \$5.00 each; every Cloak worth up to \$12.00. Lot 2, at \$7.50 each; every Cloak worth up to \$15.00. Lot 3, at \$12.00 each; every Cloak worth up to \$20.00.

ALL NEW STYLES. ALL BOUGHT SINCE NOVEMBER LAST.

Fur Department.

We have a few Short-Length Fur Capes on which we are prepared to take a great loss. The cheapest of them is worth \$10.00, but in order to close them all out quickly today we will sell them for \$5.00 each.

Three lots of Astrakhan Coats, with muff to match, at extremely low prices. LOT 1, Ladies' Astrakhan Coats, at \$40.00 each. This lot includes all our Coats worth up to \$60.00 and \$65.00, with muff to match.

LOT 2, at \$23.50. All our Astrakhan Coats worth up to \$30.00, with muff to match. LOT 3, at \$15.00. A few \$25.00 garments, with muff to match.

Anniversary Silk Sale.

Busy here all right. Yes, and why? Because we sell best styles Kai Ki Wash Silks for TWENTY CENTS A YARD.

Cheney Bros.' Printed Silks, 1895 styles, FORTY-NINE CENTS A YARD. Extra Heavy Plaid Taffeta Silks, spring ideas, for waists and petticoats, EIGHTY-EIGHT CENTS A YARD.

When they wake up and get some, other dealers will ask \$1.25 or \$1.50 for them. SATIN-DAMASK FLAWLESS HABUTAI SILKS (a swell novelty, and to be had here only), at 59c a yard.

If you feel interested in Silks for any purpose, come in and join the throng at the LIVELIEST SILK DEPARTMENT IN THE COUNTRY.

Toilet Articles.

19 Cents per bottle, 6-ounce size, 10 dozen bottles Florida Water; excellent quality. 19 Cents per bottle, 6-ounce size, 10 dozen bottles superior quality Bay Rum.

Sixth and Robert Streets, St. Paul, Minn.

ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION.—We the undersigned, do hereby associate ourselves together for the purpose of becoming a Corporation under and pursuant to the provisions of the laws of the State of Minnesota, and the several acts amendatory thereto and thereof, and for said purpose, do hereby sign and adopt the following articles of incorporation.

ARTICLE I. The name of this Corporation shall be "The Publishers' Collection Agency." Its business shall be limited to the collection of outstanding accounts and indebtedness of any and all kinds, throughout the United States and Canada, and its principal office shall be in the City of St. Paul, Ramsey County, Minnesota.

ARTICLE II. The time of commencement of this Corporation shall be the 1st day of February, A. D. 1915, and shall continue for a period of twenty years.

ARTICLE III. The capital stock of this Corporation shall be the sum of Twenty-Five Thousand Dollars (\$25,000.00), and shall be divided into two hundred and fifty (250) shares, with par value of One Hundred Dollars (\$100.00) each.

ARTICLE IV. The names and residences of the persons forming this Corporation are as follows: Benjamin F. Gilkinson, St. Paul, Minn.; Charles Ward, St. Paul, Minn.; William L. Carruthers, Carleton, Minn.; Edward G. Beyl, St. Paul, Minn.; Frank E. Enckel, St. Paul, Minn.

ARTICLE V. The Government of this Corporation shall be vested in a Board of Directors, consisting of five Directors. Directors shall be stockholders and elected for one year at the annual meeting, which shall be held in St. Paul, Minnesota, at 4 o'clock p. m. of the first Tuesday of January in each year hereafter.

ARTICLE VI. The Board of Directors may suspend or remove any officer or agent of the Corporation, and may fill any vacancy in the office of any officer or agent of the Corporation, and may fill any vacancy in the office of any officer or agent of the Corporation, and may fill any vacancy in the office of any officer or agent of the Corporation.

ARTICLE VII. The Board of Directors may suspend or remove any officer or agent of the Corporation, and may fill any vacancy in the office of any officer or agent of the Corporation, and may fill any vacancy in the office of any officer or agent of the Corporation.

ARTICLE VIII. The Board of Directors may suspend or remove any officer or agent of the Corporation, and may fill any vacancy in the office of any officer or agent of the Corporation, and may fill any vacancy in the office of any officer or agent of the Corporation.

ARTICLE IX. The Board of Directors may suspend or remove any officer or agent of the Corporation, and may fill any vacancy in the office of any officer or agent of the Corporation, and may fill any vacancy in the office of any officer or agent of the Corporation.

ARTICLE X. The Board of Directors may suspend or remove any officer or agent of the Corporation, and may fill any vacancy in the office of any officer or agent of the Corporation, and may fill any vacancy in the office of any officer or agent of the Corporation.

ARTICLE XI. The Board of Directors may suspend or remove any officer or agent of the Corporation, and may fill any vacancy in the office of any officer or agent of the Corporation, and may fill any vacancy in the office of any officer or agent of the Corporation.

ARTICLE XII. The Board of Directors may suspend or remove any officer or agent of the Corporation, and may fill any vacancy in the office of any officer or agent of the Corporation, and may fill any vacancy in the office of any officer or agent of the Corporation.

ARTICLE XIII. The Board of Directors may suspend or remove any officer or agent of the Corporation, and may fill any vacancy in the office of any officer or agent of the Corporation, and may fill any vacancy in the office of any officer or agent of the Corporation.

ARTICLE XIV. The Board of Directors may suspend or remove any officer or agent of the Corporation, and may fill any vacancy in the office of any officer or agent of the Corporation, and may fill any vacancy in the office of any officer or agent of the Corporation.

ARTICLE XV. The Board of Directors may suspend or remove any officer or agent of the Corporation, and may fill any vacancy in the office of any officer or agent of the Corporation, and may fill any vacancy in the office of any officer or agent of the Corporation.

ARTICLE XVI. The Board of Directors may suspend or remove any officer or agent of the Corporation, and may fill any vacancy in the office of any officer or agent of the Corporation, and may fill any vacancy in the office of any officer or agent of the Corporation.

ARTICLE XVII. The Board of Directors may suspend or remove any officer or agent of the Corporation, and may fill any vacancy in the office of any officer or agent of the Corporation, and may fill any vacancy in the office of any officer or agent of the Corporation.

ARTICLE XVIII. The Board of Directors may suspend or remove any officer or agent of the Corporation, and may fill any vacancy in the office of any officer or agent of the Corporation, and may fill any vacancy in the office of any officer or agent of the Corporation.

ARTICLE XIX. The Board of Directors may suspend or remove any officer or agent of the Corporation, and may fill any vacancy in the office of any officer or agent of the Corporation, and may fill any vacancy in the office of any officer or agent of the Corporation.

ARTICLE XX. The Board of Directors may suspend or remove any officer or agent of the Corporation, and may fill any vacancy in the office of any officer or agent of the Corporation, and may fill any vacancy in the office of any officer or agent of the Corporation.

ARTICLE XXI. The Board of Directors may suspend or remove any officer or agent of the Corporation, and may fill any vacancy in the office of any officer or agent of the Corporation, and may fill any vacancy in the office of any officer or agent of the Corporation.

ARTICLE XXII. The Board of Directors may suspend or remove any officer or agent of the Corporation, and may fill any vacancy in the office of any officer or agent of the Corporation, and may fill any vacancy in the office of any officer or agent of the Corporation.

ARTICLE XXIII. The Board of Directors may suspend or remove any officer or agent of the Corporation, and may fill any vacancy in the office of any officer or agent of the Corporation, and may fill any vacancy in the office of any officer or agent of the Corporation.

ARTICLE XXIV. The Board of Directors may suspend or remove any officer or agent of the Corporation, and may fill any vacancy in the office of any officer or agent of the Corporation, and may fill any vacancy in the office of any officer or agent of the Corporation.

ARTICLE XXV. The Board of Directors may suspend or remove any officer or agent of the Corporation, and may fill any vacancy in the office of any officer or agent of the Corporation, and may fill any vacancy in the office of any officer or agent of the Corporation.

ARTICLE XXVI. The Board of Directors may suspend or remove any officer or agent of the Corporation, and may fill any vacancy in the office of any officer or agent of the Corporation, and may fill any vacancy in the office of any officer or agent of the Corporation.

ARTICLE XXVII. The Board of Directors may suspend or remove any officer or agent of the Corporation, and may fill any vacancy in the office of any officer or agent of the Corporation, and may fill any vacancy in the office of any officer or agent of the Corporation.

ARTICLE XXVIII. The Board of Directors may suspend or remove any officer or agent of the Corporation, and may fill any vacancy in the office of any officer or agent of the Corporation, and may fill any vacancy in the office of any officer or agent of the Corporation.

ARTICLE XXIX. The Board of Directors may suspend or remove any officer or agent of the Corporation, and may fill any vacancy in the office of any officer or agent of the Corporation, and may fill any vacancy in the office of any officer or agent of the Corporation.

ARTICLE XXX. The Board of Directors may suspend or remove any officer or agent of the Corporation, and may fill any vacancy in the office of any officer or agent of the Corporation, and may fill any vacancy in the office of any officer or agent of the Corporation.

ARTICLE XXXI. The Board of Directors may suspend or remove any officer or agent of the Corporation, and may fill any vacancy in the office of any officer or agent of the Corporation, and may fill any vacancy in the office of any officer or agent of the Corporation.

ARTICLE XXXII. The Board of Directors may suspend or remove any officer or agent of the Corporation, and may fill any vacancy in the office of any officer or agent of the Corporation, and may fill any vacancy in the office of any officer or agent of the Corporation.

ARTICLE XXXIII. The Board of Directors may suspend or remove any officer or agent of the Corporation, and may fill any vacancy in the office of any officer or agent of the Corporation, and may fill any vacancy in the office of any officer or