

TIGERS WIN AGAIN

VICTORY OF THE VARSITY OVER YALE DUBLICATED BY THE FRESHMEN.

CONTEST VERY ONE-SIDED.

NASSAU LIVE TOO HEAVY FOR THE BOYS FROM NEW HAVEN.

BLUE ELEVEN BETTER DRILLED.

Interference Quick to Form and Speedy, but So Light as to Be Ineffective.

PRINCETON, N. J. Nov. 25.—The freshmen football eleven from New Haven met defeat at the hands of the Princeton freshmen team this afternoon by a score of 14 to 4. It was a one-sided contest.

The Princeton boys were superior at almost every point, and Yale was forced to play its hardest to make any gains. The Princeton eleven were much heavier than their opponents and to the superiority in weight, together with the individual brilliancy of the players, the victory is due.

The visitors were better drilled in team play and strategy. Their interference was quick to form and speedy, but too light to be effective against Princeton's heavy tackles and ends, who frequently broke it up and downed the runners for a loss. Yale tried a double pass and succeeded in making substantial gains by means of it.

Yale's light forwards could not hold the Princeton linemen and Princeton's backs made long gains through the center. For Yale, Durston, Sutphin, Sullivan and Corwin did the best work. Hillbrand, Lathrop, Palmer and Reid were conspicuous for brilliant plays on the Princeton side.

AFRAID OF WOLVERINES.

Badgers Will Probably Not Meet the Michigan Eleven.

MADISON, Wis., Nov. 25.—The University of Wisconsin football team had light practice yesterday afternoon and will go to Evanston today to meet the Michigan eleven in the evening game. It is not likely that either Gregg or Nelson will be able to play, their injuries in Saturday's game with Minnesota having proved to be more serious than was first thought, and neither will probably appear on the gridiron again.

Gregg's place at quarter will be taken by McPherson, who is a strong player, but apt to fumble the ball, and who will probably be replaced by Peel, who distinguished himself after he went into Saturday's game by several fine tackles and some hard blocking. Otherwise the team is in good condition for the contest.

The team has not voted on the acceptance of Wisconsin's challenge to play the winner of the Wisconsin game with Michigan. It is not likely to be taken up. With two of its best men gone and the chance of having others crippled in Saturday's game, the feeling is that it would not be wise to go into such a contest so soon afterward.

Lexington Races. LEXINGTON, Nov. 25.—Summary: First race, six furlongs, time, 1:20. Second race, four and a half furlongs—1:20.4. Third race, six furlongs—1:20.4. Fourth race, six furlongs—1:20.4. Fifth race, six furlongs—1:20.4. Sixth race, six furlongs—1:20.4.

New Orleans Races. NEW ORLEANS, La., Nov. 25.—Summary: First race, six furlongs, time, 1:20. Second race, six furlongs, time, 1:20. Third race, six furlongs, time, 1:20. Fourth race, six furlongs, time, 1:20. Fifth race, six furlongs, time, 1:20. Sixth race, six furlongs, time, 1:20.

Gridiron Gladiators. CHICAGO, Nov. 25.—The gridiron gladiators from Ann Arbor arrived in Chicago today and tonight are resting at the Chicago Athletic club. Tomorrow afternoon with the Chicago team they will play the Wolverines at the University of Michigan.

Football Game Today. Michigan vs. Chicago at Chicago. Wisconsin vs. Northwestern at Evanston. Purdue vs. Illinois at Champaign. Cornell vs. Pennsylvania at Philadelphia. Iowa vs. Nebraska at Omaha.

AWARDED TO RYAN. Decision of the Referee Hissed by "Mysterious Billy's Friends."

MASPEY, L. N., Nov. 25.—For the fifth time Tommy Ryan, of Syracuse, and "Mysterious Billy" Smith, of New Haven, have fought to decide which was the superior in the writer weight class. All previous encounters had been as interesting as the present one, and a battle royal had been expected.

The crowd was not so big as had been expected, but there were probably more people in the building when the preliminary fight was put on with the invisible Charlie Hovey as announcer, and Tim Hurst, of Hovey's gymnasium, as referee.

Jimmie Mace, the old-time English champion, occupied a seat in one of the boxes, and he was the center of attraction before the sport began. By way of an appetizer, Bill Moore, of Boston, and Fred Patterson, of Brooklyn, started to box ten rounds at 125 pounds. Patterson as the opponent, but in the second round Moore began to assert himself, and some very pretty hitting ensued.

Moore was fairly on his feet to the sixth round, and then the Syracuse pugilist began to take an active interest in the game. At the close of the eighth round, the close of the last round, if the battle had gone half a minute longer Patterson would have been a minute longer in the ring.

The fight went on to the eighth round, when Ryan forced it and held Smith to the ropes until the gong released him. In the ninth Smith sent his right hand hard on the face, making Ryan's right eye bleed. Ryan swung his left on the face, and Smith slipped to the floor. They began slugging right and left on the body and face, and Ryan, who has a right to the better of the argument, the referee saw that the men would soon reach their limit, and stopped the fight.

He then declared Ryan the winner. When the referee gave the decision there were many cries of discontent and hisses. R. J. Murphy, of Long Island, announced that he would back Smith against Ryan at any time for any purse at catch weights, and he stipulated that he was willing to put up any sum from \$5,000 to \$10,000, providing that Tim Hurst should referee. The result was unsatisfactory to the majority of the spectators, and the better man of both men are willing to have them meet again in order to settle the matter, the better man at catch or stipulated weights.

BASE BALL POOL DENIED. Cleveland Will Not Play into the Hands of St. Louis.

CLEVELAND, O., Nov. 25.—President Robinson of the Cleveland Base Ball club said today that there was absolutely no truth in the story that he would be induced to effect the Cleveland and St. Louis clubs were to be merged next summer, the best players to be sent to the other club and the poorest kept here. Mr. Robinson said he had not entered into any negotiations with Van Der Ahe, and it was his determination to have the Cleveland club better than ever this season.

AWARDED TO LASKER. MOSCOW, Nov. 25.—The sixth game of the chess match between Lasker and Steinitz was begun on Monday and left unfinished early yesterday morning. The matter was a Gluoco piano, which was adjourned in a moving position for Lasker. Today Steinitz did not appear at the board, and it was pointed out to play, so the committee awarded the game to Lasker. At first Steinitz intended to appeal, but a compromise was made. He accepted the verdict of the committee, but he got an adjournment for one week for the purpose of re-creating his health. Present score: Lasker, 5; Steinitz, 0; drawn, 1.

Gophers Go South. The Minnesota football team left for the South yesterday to arrive in Knoxville, Tenn., today in time to see the Sunflower eleven play the Missourians, in order to get a line of the game. The team is in excellent condition, and the regular men, except Tackie A. M. Smith, were all taken to the game. The regular men, except Tackie A. M. Smith, were all taken to the game.

Third Victory for Aldrich. The game last night in the amateur short-stop tournament at Foley's Millard hall was won by the team of Aldrich and his associates. The team of Aldrich and his associates won the game.

Lexington Races. LEXINGTON, Nov. 25.—Summary: First race, six furlongs, time, 1:20. Second race, four and a half furlongs—1:20.4. Third race, six furlongs—1:20.4. Fourth race, six furlongs—1:20.4. Fifth race, six furlongs—1:20.4. Sixth race, six furlongs—1:20.4.

New Orleans Races. NEW ORLEANS, La., Nov. 25.—Summary: First race, six furlongs, time, 1:20. Second race, six furlongs, time, 1:20. Third race, six furlongs, time, 1:20. Fourth race, six furlongs, time, 1:20. Fifth race, six furlongs, time, 1:20. Sixth race, six furlongs, time, 1:20.

Gridiron Gladiators. CHICAGO, Nov. 25.—The gridiron gladiators from Ann Arbor arrived in Chicago today and tonight are resting at the Chicago Athletic club. Tomorrow afternoon with the Chicago team they will play the Wolverines at the University of Michigan.

AFRAID TO CONTEST.

Special Election on Sheriff Probable at Anoka.

ANOKA, Minn., Nov. 25.—After starting a contest to attempt to settle the question of who is to be sheriff for 1897, it has been decided to hold a new election, and the Republican county committee is furnishing the money to do so.

It is suspected that, aside from the fact that the contest is probably a waste of money, it is possible in case the party which was perfectly willing to drop things right where they stood, the party which was not so willing to do so, the party which was not so willing to do so, the party which was not so willing to do so.

HE'S WANTED IN ST. PAUL. But What His Name and Crime It Is Hard to Determine.

SPECIAL TO THE GLOBE. FARGO, N. D., Nov. 25.—A. Weber, arrested in this city three weeks ago charged with the murder of a woman, is being sought by the St. Paul police.

Want Pay for Inspection. WEST SUPERIOR, Wis., Nov. 25.—An echo of the famous grain inspection war which upset the elevator and milling interests of the West, is being heard, this time in the courts.

Many Contests Threatened. In the opinion of Judge Cady, a general election in the city of St. Paul is being held in violation of the law.

Hall Quits Mankato. MANKATO, Minn., Nov. 25.—John Handrick, who has been in charge of the Mankato hall, has resigned his position.

Lake Front Case Closed. WASHINGTON, Nov. 25.—The hearing in the Chicago lake front case, in which 160 acres of valuable land on the Lake Michigan shore, above the city of Chicago, were being sold, was closed today.

Interment at La Crosse. AUSTIN, Minn., Nov. 25.—The body of the late Maj. J. Van Valkenburg was taken to La Crosse, Wis., for interment.

St. Louis County Sues. DULUTH, Minn., Nov. 25.—The county of St. Louis has instituted suit against the city of Duluth to recover the amount of \$48,719.

Woman Contests With Woman. JACKSON, Minn., Nov. 25.—Miss Lizzie Price, who was the Republican nominee for superintendent of schools, and who was defeated by the Democratic nominee, has commenced an action to contest the election.

Lessons Hahnshrough's Chances. GRAND FORKS, N. D., Nov. 25.—A petition was filed in the court today for the holding of a meeting of citizens to further the candidacy of J. M. Cochran for the senate.

Rockefeller Indicted. ST. PETER, Minn., Nov. 25.—The district court for this county is now in session, and Judge Webster presiding. There are several cases on the docket.

Smothered Her Child. WINONA, Minn., Nov. 25.—The child of Mrs. William Strass, of this city, was smothered accidentally while being nursed by her mother on a shopping trip.

Two Contests in Pipestone. PIPESTONE, Minn., Nov. 25.—The election of a sheriff and a justice of the peace is being held in Pipestone today.

Child Burned to Death. PARKERSBURG, S. D., Nov. 25.—The two-year-old child of Mrs. J. H. Smith was burned to death this morning. The mother was sitting on the floor with the child, and the child was playing with some fire.

Catholic Academy Burned. ELKTON, S. D., Nov. 25.—St. Gertrude's academy was totally destroyed by fire last evening at 8 o'clock. The inmates were all saved, but some of the building were lost.

Winnipeg Has Smallpox. WINNIPEG, Man., Nov. 25.—Two suspected cases of smallpox are under surveillance in this city. The patients are Galicians and have been in the city for some time.

Minnesota Man Named. WASHINGTON, Nov. 25.—The president has appointed a man from Minnesota to be ambassador to Brazil. The man is named Smith.

STEP DOWN IN COURT

Continued From First Page.

may be delegated to such a local body, no general provisions can be so delegated. It also follows that if the legislature can, by a general law, delegate to a local body the power to adopt by-laws or ordinances on a particular subject, it may by general law limit that power to a particular locality or to a particular class of localities.

It is a well-established principle that the constitutionality of a law is to be determined at the time of its adoption, and the distinction between a law which is unconstitutional at the time of its adoption and a law which is unconstitutional at the time of its enforcement is a distinction which is not to be made.

On the other hand, there have been a great many acts performed by the commission which have been simply advisory to the council, where the council has possessed final and ultimate authority in the premises and where the act of the commissioner has amounted to no more than a recommendation of a committee of the council.

In such cases, the council having control over the act, the council would not be able to secure payment for such work, unless the common council shall direct the same to be paid out of the general fund. The contract for the doing of such work being ultra vires no action would lie against the city to recover thereon, but the improvements received thereon and the city having received the benefit, there would doubtless be no objection to the city appropriating a sufficient amount to pay the contractors for the work actually performed.

The constitutionality of a law is to be determined at the time of its adoption, and the distinction between a law which is unconstitutional at the time of its adoption and a law which is unconstitutional at the time of its enforcement is a distinction which is not to be made.

It is a well-established principle that the constitutionality of a law is to be determined at the time of its adoption, and the distinction between a law which is unconstitutional at the time of its adoption and a law which is unconstitutional at the time of its enforcement is a distinction which is not to be made.

On the other hand, there have been a great many acts performed by the commission which have been simply advisory to the council, where the council has possessed final and ultimate authority in the premises and where the act of the commissioner has amounted to no more than a recommendation of a committee of the council.

In such cases, the council having control over the act, the council would not be able to secure payment for such work, unless the common council shall direct the same to be paid out of the general fund. The contract for the doing of such work being ultra vires no action would lie against the city to recover thereon, but the improvements received thereon and the city having received the benefit, there would doubtless be no objection to the city appropriating a sufficient amount to pay the contractors for the work actually performed.

The constitutionality of a law is to be determined at the time of its adoption, and the distinction between a law which is unconstitutional at the time of its adoption and a law which is unconstitutional at the time of its enforcement is a distinction which is not to be made.

It is a well-established principle that the constitutionality of a law is to be determined at the time of its adoption, and the distinction between a law which is unconstitutional at the time of its adoption and a law which is unconstitutional at the time of its enforcement is a distinction which is not to be made.

On the other hand, there have been a great many acts performed by the commission which have been simply advisory to the council, where the council has possessed final and ultimate authority in the premises and where the act of the commissioner has amounted to no more than a recommendation of a committee of the council.

In such cases, the council having control over the act, the council would not be able to secure payment for such work, unless the common council shall direct the same to be paid out of the general fund. The contract for the doing of such work being ultra vires no action would lie against the city to recover thereon, but the improvements received thereon and the city having received the benefit, there would doubtless be no objection to the city appropriating a sufficient amount to pay the contractors for the work actually performed.

The constitutionality of a law is to be determined at the time of its adoption, and the distinction between a law which is unconstitutional at the time of its adoption and a law which is unconstitutional at the time of its enforcement is a distinction which is not to be made.

It is a well-established principle that the constitutionality of a law is to be determined at the time of its adoption, and the distinction between a law which is unconstitutional at the time of its adoption and a law which is unconstitutional at the time of its enforcement is a distinction which is not to be made.

On the other hand, there have been a great many acts performed by the commission which have been simply advisory to the council, where the council has possessed final and ultimate authority in the premises and where the act of the commissioner has amounted to no more than a recommendation of a committee of the council.

In such cases, the council having control over the act, the council would not be able to secure payment for such work, unless the common council shall direct the same to be paid out of the general fund. The contract for the doing of such work being ultra vires no action would lie against the city to recover thereon, but the improvements received thereon and the city having received the benefit, there would doubtless be no objection to the city appropriating a sufficient amount to pay the contractors for the work actually performed.

The constitutionality of a law is to be determined at the time of its adoption, and the distinction between a law which is unconstitutional at the time of its adoption and a law which is unconstitutional at the time of its enforcement is a distinction which is not to be made.

It is a well-established principle that the constitutionality of a law is to be determined at the time of its adoption, and the distinction between a law which is unconstitutional at the time of its adoption and a law which is unconstitutional at the time of its enforcement is a distinction which is not to be made.

On the other hand, there have been a great many acts performed by the commission which have been simply advisory to the council, where the council has possessed final and ultimate authority in the premises and where the act of the commissioner has amounted to no more than a recommendation of a committee of the council.

In such cases, the council having control over the act, the council would not be able to secure payment for such work, unless the common council shall direct the same to be paid out of the general fund. The contract for the doing of such work being ultra vires no action would lie against the city to recover thereon, but the improvements received thereon and the city having received the benefit, there would doubtless be no objection to the city appropriating a sufficient amount to pay the contractors for the work actually performed.

The constitutionality of a law is to be determined at the time of its adoption, and the distinction between a law which is unconstitutional at the time of its adoption and a law which is unconstitutional at the time of its enforcement is a distinction which is not to be made.

It is a well-established principle that the constitutionality of a law is to be determined at the time of its adoption, and the distinction between a law which is unconstitutional at the time of its adoption and a law which is unconstitutional at the time of its enforcement is a distinction which is not to be made.

On the other hand, there have been a great many acts performed by the commission which have been simply advisory to the council, where the council has possessed final and ultimate authority in the premises and where the act of the commissioner has amounted to no more than a recommendation of a committee of the council.

In such cases, the council having control over the act, the council would not be able to secure payment for such work, unless the common council shall direct the same to be paid out of the general fund. The contract for the doing of such work being ultra vires no action would lie against the city to recover thereon, but the improvements received thereon and the city having received the benefit, there would doubtless be no objection to the city appropriating a sufficient amount to pay the contractors for the work actually performed.

The constitutionality of a law is to be determined at the time of its adoption, and the distinction between a law which is unconstitutional at the time of its adoption and a law which is unconstitutional at the time of its enforcement is a distinction which is not to be made.

It is a well-established principle that the constitutionality of a law is to be determined at the time of its adoption, and the distinction between a law which is unconstitutional at the time of its adoption and a law which is unconstitutional at the time of its enforcement is a distinction which is not to be made.

On the other hand, there have been a great many acts performed by the commission which have been simply advisory to the council, where the council has possessed final and ultimate authority in the premises and where the act of the commissioner has amounted to no more than a recommendation of a committee of the council.

In such cases, the council having control over the act, the council would not be able to secure payment for such work, unless the common council shall direct the same to be paid out of the general fund. The contract for the doing of such work being ultra vires no action would lie against the city to recover thereon, but the improvements received thereon and the city having received the benefit, there would doubtless be no objection to the city appropriating a sufficient amount to pay the contractors for the work actually performed.

The constitutionality of a law is to be determined at the time of its adoption, and the distinction between a law which is unconstitutional at the time of its adoption and a law which is unconstitutional at the time of its enforcement is a distinction which is not to be made.

It is a well-established principle that the constitutionality of a law is to be determined at the time of its adoption, and the distinction between a law which is unconstitutional at the time of its adoption and a law which is unconstitutional at the time of its enforcement is a distinction which is not to be made.

On the other hand, there have been a great many acts performed by the commission which have been simply advisory to the council, where the council has possessed final and ultimate authority in the premises and where the act of the commissioner has amounted to no more than a recommendation of a committee of the council.

STEP DOWN IN COURT

Continued From First Page.

may be delegated to such a local body, no general provisions can be so delegated. It also follows that if the legislature can, by a general law, delegate to a local body the power to adopt by-laws or ordinances on a particular subject, it may by general law limit that power to a particular locality or to a particular class of localities.

It is a well-established principle that the constitutionality of a law is to be determined at the time of its adoption, and the distinction between a law which is unconstitutional at the time of its adoption and a law which is unconstitutional at the time of its enforcement is a distinction which is not to be made.

On the other hand, there have been a great many acts performed by the commission which have been simply advisory to the council, where the council has possessed final and ultimate authority in the premises and where the act of the commissioner has amounted to no more than a recommendation of a committee of the council.

In such cases, the council having control over the act, the council would not be able to secure payment for such work, unless the common council shall direct the same to be paid out of the general fund. The contract for the doing of such work being ultra vires no action would lie against the city to recover thereon, but the improvements received thereon and the city having received the benefit, there would doubtless be no objection to the city appropriating a sufficient amount to pay the contractors for the work actually performed.

The constitutionality of a law is to be determined at the time of its adoption, and the distinction between a law which is unconstitutional at the time of its adoption and a law which is unconstitutional at the time of its enforcement is a distinction which is not to be made.

It is a well-established principle that the constitutionality of a law is to be determined at the time of its adoption, and the distinction between a law which is unconstitutional at the time of its adoption and a law which is unconstitutional at the time of its enforcement is a distinction which is not to be made.

On the other hand, there have been a great many acts performed by the commission which have been simply advisory to the council, where the council has possessed final and ultimate authority in the premises and where the act of the commissioner has amounted to no more than a recommendation of a committee of the council.

In such cases, the council having control over the act, the council would not be able to secure payment for such work, unless the common council shall direct the same to be paid out of the general fund. The contract for the doing of such work being ultra vires no action would lie against the city to recover thereon, but the improvements received thereon and the city having received the benefit, there would doubtless be no objection to the city appropriating a sufficient amount to pay the contractors for the work actually performed.

The constitutionality of a law is to be determined at the time of its adoption, and the distinction between a law which is unconstitutional at the time of its adoption and a law which is unconstitutional at the time of its enforcement is a distinction which is not to be made.

It is a well-established principle that the constitutionality of a law is to be determined at the time of its adoption, and the distinction between a law which is unconstitutional at the time of its adoption and a law which is unconstitutional at the time of its enforcement is a distinction which is not to be made.

On the other hand, there have been a great many acts performed by the commission which have been simply advisory to the council, where the council has possessed final and ultimate authority in the premises and where the act of the commissioner has amounted to no more than a recommendation of a committee of the council.

In such cases, the council having control over the act, the council would not be able to secure payment for such work, unless the common council shall direct the same to be paid out of the general fund. The contract for the doing of such work being ultra vires no action would lie against the city to recover thereon, but the improvements received thereon and the city having received the benefit, there would doubtless be no objection to the city appropriating a sufficient amount to pay the contractors for the work actually performed.

The constitutionality of a law is to be determined at the time of its adoption, and the distinction between a law which is unconstitutional at the time of its adoption and a law which is unconstitutional at the time of its enforcement is a distinction which is not to be made.

It is a well-established principle that the constitutionality of a law is to be determined at the time of its adoption, and the distinction between a law which is unconstitutional at the time of its adoption and a law which is unconstitutional at the time of its enforcement is a distinction which is not to be made.

On the other hand, there have been a great many acts performed by the commission which have been simply advisory to the council, where the council has possessed final and ultimate authority in the premises and where the act of the commissioner has amounted to no more than a recommendation of a committee of the council.

In such cases, the council having control over the act, the council would not be able to secure payment for such work, unless the common council shall direct the same to be paid out of the general fund. The contract for the doing of such work being ultra vires no action would lie against the city to recover thereon, but the improvements received thereon and the city having received the benefit, there would doubtless be no objection to the city appropriating a sufficient amount to pay the contractors for the work actually performed.

The constitutionality of a law is to be determined at the time of its adoption, and the distinction between a law which is unconstitutional at the time of its adoption and a law which is unconstitutional at the time of its enforcement is a distinction which is not to be made.

It is a well-established principle that the constitutionality of a law is to be determined at the time of its adoption, and the distinction between a law which is unconstitutional at the time of its adoption and a law which is unconstitutional at the time of its enforcement is a distinction which is not to be made.

On the other hand, there have been a great many acts performed by the commission which have been simply advisory to the council, where the council has possessed final and ultimate authority in the premises and where the act of the commissioner has amounted to no more than a recommendation of a committee of the council.

In such cases, the council having control over the act, the council would not be able to secure payment for such work, unless the common council shall direct the same to be paid out of the general fund. The contract for the doing of such work being ultra vires no action would lie against the city to recover thereon, but the improvements received thereon and the city having received the benefit, there would doubtless be no objection to the city appropriating a sufficient amount to pay the contractors for the work actually performed.

The constitutionality of a law is to be determined at the time of its adoption, and the distinction between a law which is unconstitutional at the time of its adoption and a law which is unconstitutional at the time of its enforcement is a distinction which is not to be made.

It is a well-established principle that the constitutionality of a law is to be determined at the time of its adoption, and the distinction between a law which is unconstitutional at the time of its adoption and a law which is unconstitutional at the time of its enforcement is a distinction which is not to be made.

On the other hand, there have been a great many acts performed by the commission which have been simply advisory to the council, where the council has possessed final and ultimate authority in the premises and where the act of the commissioner has amounted to no more than a recommendation of a committee of the council.

In such cases, the council having control over the act, the council would not be able to secure payment for such work, unless the common council shall direct the same to be paid out of the general fund. The contract for the doing of such work being ultra vires no action would lie against the city to recover thereon, but the improvements received thereon and the city having received the benefit, there would doubtless be no objection to the city appropriating a sufficient amount to pay the contractors for the work actually performed.

The constitutionality of a law is to be determined at the time of its adoption, and the distinction between a law which is unconstitutional at the time of its adoption and a law which is unconstitutional at the time of its enforcement is a distinction which is not to be made.

It is a well-established principle that the constitutionality of a law is to be determined at the time of its adoption, and the distinction between a law which is unconstitutional at the time of its adoption and a law which is unconstitutional at the time of its enforcement is a distinction which is not to be made.

On the other hand, there have been a great many acts performed by the commission which have been simply advisory to the council, where the council has possessed final and ultimate authority in the premises and where the act of the commissioner has amounted to no more than a recommendation of a committee of the council.

In such cases, the council having control over the act, the council would not be able to secure payment for such work, unless the common council shall direct the same to be paid out of the general fund. The contract for the doing of such work being ultra vires no action would lie against the city to recover thereon, but the improvements received thereon and the city having received the benefit, there would doubtless be no objection to the city appropriating a sufficient amount to pay the contractors for the work actually performed.

The constitutionality of a law is to be determined at the time of its adoption, and the distinction between a law which is unconstitutional at the time of its adoption and a law which is unconstitutional at the time of its enforcement is a distinction which is not to be made.

It is a well-established principle that the constitutionality of a law is to be determined at the time of its adoption, and the distinction between a law which is unconstitutional at the time of its adoption and a law which is unconstitutional at the time of its enforcement is a distinction which is not to be made.

On the other hand, there have been a great many acts performed by the commission which have been simply advisory to the council, where the council has possessed final and ultimate authority in the premises and where the act of the commissioner has amounted to no more than a recommendation of a committee of the council.

NATIVES TORTURED.

The Unspeakable Spaniard at Work in the Philippines.

SAN FRANCISCO, Nov. 25.—News from Manila, brought by the steamer City of Peking yesterday from Hong Kong, shows that the Spaniards have resorted to cruel and inhuman practices against the natives of the Philippines, which passes in its cruelty that practiced by the Chinese mandarins, in case of the atrocious crimes.

They are also charged with committing the estates of wealthy half-breeds and deporting these wretched victims to the coast of Africa, a place where climate that quickly proves fatal to the stranger. These statements are not based on the strength of reports of refugees, James T. Sullivan, a well-known correspondent, was recently commissioned by the Hong Kong Press to go to Manila, and cautioned to evade the press censorship, and in several letters which he smuggled through the lines, he tells of what he saw and what he has heard on good authority.

According to him, the instruments of torture used in the Spanish Inquisition three centuries ago have been kept in the most perfect order, and have been brought out recently and used to extort confessions from native and Mes-tizo suspects, who have been kept in dungeons for months and years without trial. Mr. Davidson also intimates that the leading powers of Christendom will be called upon to interfere and put an end to the horrors.

The constitution of Pennsylvania provides that "the legislature shall not pass local or special laws, but general laws only." The constitution of Pennsylvania provides that "the legislature shall not pass local or special laws, but general laws only."

In all cases enumerated in the preceding section, and in all other cases where a general law is required, the provisions of this act shall be general and uniform operation throughout the state.

The legislature shall establish a uniform system of county, town and municipal government. Under these provisions the supreme court of that state has held repeatedly that a general local option law for the organization of a local option law is unconstitutional.

Under these provisions the supreme court of that state has held repeatedly that a general local option law for the organization of a local option law is unconstitutional. The constitution of Pennsylvania provides that "the legislature shall not pass local or special laws, but general laws only."

In all cases enumerated in the preceding section, and in all other cases where a general law is required, the provisions of this act shall be general and uniform operation throughout the state.

The legislature shall establish a uniform system of county, town and municipal government. Under these provisions the supreme court of that state has held repeatedly that a general local option law for the organization of a local option law is unconstitutional.

Under these provisions the supreme court of that state has held repeatedly that a general local option law for the organization of a local option law is unconstitutional. The constitution of Pennsylvania provides that "the legislature shall not pass local or special laws, but general laws only."

In all cases enumerated in the preceding section, and in all other cases where a general law is required, the provisions