

BULLETIN OF THE ST. PAUL GLOBE.

MONDAY, NOV. 30, 1896.

Weather for Today—Continued Cold.

PAGE 1.

Chandler Encourages Bimetallism, Scantorial Fight in North Dakota. What Congress Has to Do. Czar Gets a Pacific Port.

PAGE 2.

More Hold-Ups in St. Paul. Battle in a Billiard Hall. Hermann Rosenthal's Strange Story.

PAGE 3.

Minneapolis Matters. Murder of Bartley Doubtful. Maternity Hospital Dedicated.

PAGE 4.

Editorial. First Coast Train Arrives. Woman Owns Part of Juneau.

PAGE 5.

Skaters at Como. What Fusion Cost Democracy. Henry Clevins' Weekly Review.

PAGE 6.

Wonderful New Air Motor. Markets of the World.

PAGE 7.

The Household. Vagrant Verse. Wants of the People.

PAGE 8.

How Faces Are Made New. A Letter of Love.

EVENTS TODAY.

Metropolitan—Doreas, 8.15. Grand—The Dazzler, 8.15. Market Hall—Anna Eva Fay, 8.

MOVEMENTS OF STEAMSHIPS.

NEW YORK, Nov. 29.—Sailed: Massachusetts, London. AUCKLAND—Arrived: Mariposa, San Francisco. QUEENSTOWN—Sailed: Umbria, New York.

Mr. Foraker has called on Mr. Hanna. Mr. Foraker now, no doubt, has his orders.

The lockmakers have formed a trust. Good, strong keys ought to keep that together.

Peru has sent sympathy to Cuba. But, we repeat, Cuba wants firearms and battleships.

The battleship Texas must have thirteen officers. It has more tough luck than anything else afloat.

Evangelist Moody says William Jennings Bryan would grace the pulpit. The suggestion is worth considering, Mr. Bryan.

The cold wave was wholly impartial in its favors. It hit everybody and everything, from Portland, Or., to Portland, Me.

The Democrats have not yet accepted Henry Waterson's suggestion that the 8th of January be made a day of fasting and prayer.

Money is coming out of hiding in the East in enormous volume. The increase in deposits in New York during the week was \$14,339,000.

Chauncey M. Depew is talked of for ambassador to England because he is post-prandially, so to speak, the readiest man in America.

An Illinois canary bird which has been silent for three years sang this morning after election. This bird's action needs no explanation.

The colleges have about decided to call the class of 1900 the "Nittynits." That is about what a lot of the members of the class will amount to.

Frank James wants to become a police commissioner of St. Louis. There is no doubt that James is a good shot and also a good judge of a good shot.

A New Jersey man killed himself just half an hour before his life insurance policy expired. This wasn't giving the insurance company a fair shake.

The New York World has finally come to the GLOBE's figures on McKinley's plurality. The World now gives it as 708,823, which is very little out of the way.

Sleeping car companies have a few troubles of their own. A patron of one of them has brought suit for \$25,000 because he caught cold on one of its pieces of rolling stock.

The most popular church in the country is located at La Grange, Ind. It has opened a nursery where babies are supplied during services with nursing bottles, cabs and cradles.

Gov. Sheesley's report shows that Alaska is a better place to catch seal-skin saques than gold ore. The yield of gold there the past year was in many instances not more than \$4 per ton.

Chicago cast more votes than all the silver states together, and with more effect. The total vote of Colorado, Idaho, Montana, Nevada and Utah was 334,234. The vote of Chicago was 873,734.

We have on our table half a dozen articles headed "The Work of Congress." There appear to be several people around who do not yet know that the Fifty-fourth congress does not work.

Mr. McKinley has personally complimented an Illinois man who voted for him. There are over 7,000,000 others, William, who will expect a letter from you now, or consider themselves snubbed.

A New York man was wedded to the girl of his choice the other day among "caskets of an undertaking establishment. He didn't want the young woman to get too cheerful an idea of her prospects.

An item that has been overlooked in the great football scrimmages of the past few days is the fact that over fifty football players are in the hospitals nursing wounds of one kind or another.

ENLIGHTENS EUROPE

SENATOR CHANDLER KINDLY EXPLAINS THE WHY OF THE RECENT ELECTION.

SILVERITES TOO RADICAL.

SILVER MONOMETALLISM A STEP TOO FAR FOR THE AMERICAN PEOPLE.

HE BUOYS UP BIMETALLISTS

With Assurances That Even McKinley Is With Them for International Agreement.

WASHINGTON, Nov. 29.—The Post, in a cable letter from London, will print tomorrow an advance copy of an important article, written by Hon. William E. Chandler, senator from New Hampshire, for the December issue of the National Review, the Conservative monthly, in which he discusses the late election from the Republican standpoint. His principal object is to encourage European bimetalists to continue their propaganda.

The Review, commenting editorially upon the election of Maj. McKinley, dogmatically asserts that "Unless the Republican party settles the silver question before there will be nothing left of that party after 1900."

Senator Chandler's article is as follows: European bimetalists need not believe that the election of McKinley and Hobart by overwhelming majorities is a step permanently accede to the single gold standard. The Bryan proposition was soon seen by the American voters to simply mean that the United States should adopt silver monometallism; should deliberately give up all attempt to keep

AT A PARITY; should send gold to a premium and thereby make it merchandise merely, and should base its currency upon silver. It is hardly to be considered, upon reflection, by any true bimetalist, that such action on our part would have the cause of bimetalism in any country in the world.

It is true that the Bryanites and Mr. Bryan's recent outcry from his temporary political entombment, addresses, not the Democrats nor the Republicans, but the bimetalists. This, however, is a sheer affectation. No Bryan orator or writer has seriously urged the adoption of his policy—the immediate, unlimited free coinage of silver by the United States alone—would send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period. Surely that would be silver monometallism, and can it be contended that silver monometallism is any better than bimetalism than gold monometallism? It is supposed that it was generally agreed by thoughtful and radical bimetalists everywhere that it would not send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period. Surely that would be silver monometallism, and can it be contended that silver monometallism is any better than bimetalism than gold monometallism? It is supposed that it was generally agreed by thoughtful and radical bimetalists everywhere that it would not send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period.

It is true that the Bryanites and Mr. Bryan's recent outcry from his temporary political entombment, addresses, not the Democrats nor the Republicans, but the bimetalists. This, however, is a sheer affectation. No Bryan orator or writer has seriously urged the adoption of his policy—the immediate, unlimited free coinage of silver by the United States alone—would send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period. Surely that would be silver monometallism, and can it be contended that silver monometallism is any better than bimetalism than gold monometallism? It is supposed that it was generally agreed by thoughtful and radical bimetalists everywhere that it would not send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period.

It is true that the Bryanites and Mr. Bryan's recent outcry from his temporary political entombment, addresses, not the Democrats nor the Republicans, but the bimetalists. This, however, is a sheer affectation. No Bryan orator or writer has seriously urged the adoption of his policy—the immediate, unlimited free coinage of silver by the United States alone—would send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period. Surely that would be silver monometallism, and can it be contended that silver monometallism is any better than bimetalism than gold monometallism? It is supposed that it was generally agreed by thoughtful and radical bimetalists everywhere that it would not send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period.

It is true that the Bryanites and Mr. Bryan's recent outcry from his temporary political entombment, addresses, not the Democrats nor the Republicans, but the bimetalists. This, however, is a sheer affectation. No Bryan orator or writer has seriously urged the adoption of his policy—the immediate, unlimited free coinage of silver by the United States alone—would send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period. Surely that would be silver monometallism, and can it be contended that silver monometallism is any better than bimetalism than gold monometallism? It is supposed that it was generally agreed by thoughtful and radical bimetalists everywhere that it would not send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period.

It is true that the Bryanites and Mr. Bryan's recent outcry from his temporary political entombment, addresses, not the Democrats nor the Republicans, but the bimetalists. This, however, is a sheer affectation. No Bryan orator or writer has seriously urged the adoption of his policy—the immediate, unlimited free coinage of silver by the United States alone—would send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period. Surely that would be silver monometallism, and can it be contended that silver monometallism is any better than bimetalism than gold monometallism? It is supposed that it was generally agreed by thoughtful and radical bimetalists everywhere that it would not send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period.

It is true that the Bryanites and Mr. Bryan's recent outcry from his temporary political entombment, addresses, not the Democrats nor the Republicans, but the bimetalists. This, however, is a sheer affectation. No Bryan orator or writer has seriously urged the adoption of his policy—the immediate, unlimited free coinage of silver by the United States alone—would send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period. Surely that would be silver monometallism, and can it be contended that silver monometallism is any better than bimetalism than gold monometallism? It is supposed that it was generally agreed by thoughtful and radical bimetalists everywhere that it would not send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period.

It is true that the Bryanites and Mr. Bryan's recent outcry from his temporary political entombment, addresses, not the Democrats nor the Republicans, but the bimetalists. This, however, is a sheer affectation. No Bryan orator or writer has seriously urged the adoption of his policy—the immediate, unlimited free coinage of silver by the United States alone—would send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period. Surely that would be silver monometallism, and can it be contended that silver monometallism is any better than bimetalism than gold monometallism? It is supposed that it was generally agreed by thoughtful and radical bimetalists everywhere that it would not send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period.

It is true that the Bryanites and Mr. Bryan's recent outcry from his temporary political entombment, addresses, not the Democrats nor the Republicans, but the bimetalists. This, however, is a sheer affectation. No Bryan orator or writer has seriously urged the adoption of his policy—the immediate, unlimited free coinage of silver by the United States alone—would send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period. Surely that would be silver monometallism, and can it be contended that silver monometallism is any better than bimetalism than gold monometallism? It is supposed that it was generally agreed by thoughtful and radical bimetalists everywhere that it would not send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period.

It is true that the Bryanites and Mr. Bryan's recent outcry from his temporary political entombment, addresses, not the Democrats nor the Republicans, but the bimetalists. This, however, is a sheer affectation. No Bryan orator or writer has seriously urged the adoption of his policy—the immediate, unlimited free coinage of silver by the United States alone—would send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period. Surely that would be silver monometallism, and can it be contended that silver monometallism is any better than bimetalism than gold monometallism? It is supposed that it was generally agreed by thoughtful and radical bimetalists everywhere that it would not send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period.

It is true that the Bryanites and Mr. Bryan's recent outcry from his temporary political entombment, addresses, not the Democrats nor the Republicans, but the bimetalists. This, however, is a sheer affectation. No Bryan orator or writer has seriously urged the adoption of his policy—the immediate, unlimited free coinage of silver by the United States alone—would send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period. Surely that would be silver monometallism, and can it be contended that silver monometallism is any better than bimetalism than gold monometallism? It is supposed that it was generally agreed by thoughtful and radical bimetalists everywhere that it would not send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period.

It is true that the Bryanites and Mr. Bryan's recent outcry from his temporary political entombment, addresses, not the Democrats nor the Republicans, but the bimetalists. This, however, is a sheer affectation. No Bryan orator or writer has seriously urged the adoption of his policy—the immediate, unlimited free coinage of silver by the United States alone—would send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period. Surely that would be silver monometallism, and can it be contended that silver monometallism is any better than bimetalism than gold monometallism? It is supposed that it was generally agreed by thoughtful and radical bimetalists everywhere that it would not send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period.

It is true that the Bryanites and Mr. Bryan's recent outcry from his temporary political entombment, addresses, not the Democrats nor the Republicans, but the bimetalists. This, however, is a sheer affectation. No Bryan orator or writer has seriously urged the adoption of his policy—the immediate, unlimited free coinage of silver by the United States alone—would send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period. Surely that would be silver monometallism, and can it be contended that silver monometallism is any better than bimetalism than gold monometallism? It is supposed that it was generally agreed by thoughtful and radical bimetalists everywhere that it would not send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period.

It is true that the Bryanites and Mr. Bryan's recent outcry from his temporary political entombment, addresses, not the Democrats nor the Republicans, but the bimetalists. This, however, is a sheer affectation. No Bryan orator or writer has seriously urged the adoption of his policy—the immediate, unlimited free coinage of silver by the United States alone—would send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period. Surely that would be silver monometallism, and can it be contended that silver monometallism is any better than bimetalism than gold monometallism? It is supposed that it was generally agreed by thoughtful and radical bimetalists everywhere that it would not send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period.

It is true that the Bryanites and Mr. Bryan's recent outcry from his temporary political entombment, addresses, not the Democrats nor the Republicans, but the bimetalists. This, however, is a sheer affectation. No Bryan orator or writer has seriously urged the adoption of his policy—the immediate, unlimited free coinage of silver by the United States alone—would send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period. Surely that would be silver monometallism, and can it be contended that silver monometallism is any better than bimetalism than gold monometallism? It is supposed that it was generally agreed by thoughtful and radical bimetalists everywhere that it would not send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period.

It is true that the Bryanites and Mr. Bryan's recent outcry from his temporary political entombment, addresses, not the Democrats nor the Republicans, but the bimetalists. This, however, is a sheer affectation. No Bryan orator or writer has seriously urged the adoption of his policy—the immediate, unlimited free coinage of silver by the United States alone—would send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period. Surely that would be silver monometallism, and can it be contended that silver monometallism is any better than bimetalism than gold monometallism? It is supposed that it was generally agreed by thoughtful and radical bimetalists everywhere that it would not send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period.

It is true that the Bryanites and Mr. Bryan's recent outcry from his temporary political entombment, addresses, not the Democrats nor the Republicans, but the bimetalists. This, however, is a sheer affectation. No Bryan orator or writer has seriously urged the adoption of his policy—the immediate, unlimited free coinage of silver by the United States alone—would send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period. Surely that would be silver monometallism, and can it be contended that silver monometallism is any better than bimetalism than gold monometallism? It is supposed that it was generally agreed by thoughtful and radical bimetalists everywhere that it would not send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period.

It is true that the Bryanites and Mr. Bryan's recent outcry from his temporary political entombment, addresses, not the Democrats nor the Republicans, but the bimetalists. This, however, is a sheer affectation. No Bryan orator or writer has seriously urged the adoption of his policy—the immediate, unlimited free coinage of silver by the United States alone—would send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period. Surely that would be silver monometallism, and can it be contended that silver monometallism is any better than bimetalism than gold monometallism? It is supposed that it was generally agreed by thoughtful and radical bimetalists everywhere that it would not send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period.

It is true that the Bryanites and Mr. Bryan's recent outcry from his temporary political entombment, addresses, not the Democrats nor the Republicans, but the bimetalists. This, however, is a sheer affectation. No Bryan orator or writer has seriously urged the adoption of his policy—the immediate, unlimited free coinage of silver by the United States alone—would send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period. Surely that would be silver monometallism, and can it be contended that silver monometallism is any better than bimetalism than gold monometallism? It is supposed that it was generally agreed by thoughtful and radical bimetalists everywhere that it would not send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period.

It is true that the Bryanites and Mr. Bryan's recent outcry from his temporary political entombment, addresses, not the Democrats nor the Republicans, but the bimetalists. This, however, is a sheer affectation. No Bryan orator or writer has seriously urged the adoption of his policy—the immediate, unlimited free coinage of silver by the United States alone—would send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period. Surely that would be silver monometallism, and can it be contended that silver monometallism is any better than bimetalism than gold monometallism? It is supposed that it was generally agreed by thoughtful and radical bimetalists everywhere that it would not send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period.

It is true that the Bryanites and Mr. Bryan's recent outcry from his temporary political entombment, addresses, not the Democrats nor the Republicans, but the bimetalists. This, however, is a sheer affectation. No Bryan orator or writer has seriously urged the adoption of his policy—the immediate, unlimited free coinage of silver by the United States alone—would send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period. Surely that would be silver monometallism, and can it be contended that silver monometallism is any better than bimetalism than gold monometallism? It is supposed that it was generally agreed by thoughtful and radical bimetalists everywhere that it would not send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period.

It is true that the Bryanites and Mr. Bryan's recent outcry from his temporary political entombment, addresses, not the Democrats nor the Republicans, but the bimetalists. This, however, is a sheer affectation. No Bryan orator or writer has seriously urged the adoption of his policy—the immediate, unlimited free coinage of silver by the United States alone—would send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period. Surely that would be silver monometallism, and can it be contended that silver monometallism is any better than bimetalism than gold monometallism? It is supposed that it was generally agreed by thoughtful and radical bimetalists everywhere that it would not send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period.

It is true that the Bryanites and Mr. Bryan's recent outcry from his temporary political entombment, addresses, not the Democrats nor the Republicans, but the bimetalists. This, however, is a sheer affectation. No Bryan orator or writer has seriously urged the adoption of his policy—the immediate, unlimited free coinage of silver by the United States alone—would send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period. Surely that would be silver monometallism, and can it be contended that silver monometallism is any better than bimetalism than gold monometallism? It is supposed that it was generally agreed by thoughtful and radical bimetalists everywhere that it would not send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period.

It is true that the Bryanites and Mr. Bryan's recent outcry from his temporary political entombment, addresses, not the Democrats nor the Republicans, but the bimetalists. This, however, is a sheer affectation. No Bryan orator or writer has seriously urged the adoption of his policy—the immediate, unlimited free coinage of silver by the United States alone—would send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period. Surely that would be silver monometallism, and can it be contended that silver monometallism is any better than bimetalism than gold monometallism? It is supposed that it was generally agreed by thoughtful and radical bimetalists everywhere that it would not send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period.

It is true that the Bryanites and Mr. Bryan's recent outcry from his temporary political entombment, addresses, not the Democrats nor the Republicans, but the bimetalists. This, however, is a sheer affectation. No Bryan orator or writer has seriously urged the adoption of his policy—the immediate, unlimited free coinage of silver by the United States alone—would send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period. Surely that would be silver monometallism, and can it be contended that silver monometallism is any better than bimetalism than gold monometallism? It is supposed that it was generally agreed by thoughtful and radical bimetalists everywhere that it would not send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period.

It is true that the Bryanites and Mr. Bryan's recent outcry from his temporary political entombment, addresses, not the Democrats nor the Republicans, but the bimetalists. This, however, is a sheer affectation. No Bryan orator or writer has seriously urged the adoption of his policy—the immediate, unlimited free coinage of silver by the United States alone—would send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period. Surely that would be silver monometallism, and can it be contended that silver monometallism is any better than bimetalism than gold monometallism? It is supposed that it was generally agreed by thoughtful and radical bimetalists everywhere that it would not send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period.

It is true that the Bryanites and Mr. Bryan's recent outcry from his temporary political entombment, addresses, not the Democrats nor the Republicans, but the bimetalists. This, however, is a sheer affectation. No Bryan orator or writer has seriously urged the adoption of his policy—the immediate, unlimited free coinage of silver by the United States alone—would send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period. Surely that would be silver monometallism, and can it be contended that silver monometallism is any better than bimetalism than gold monometallism? It is supposed that it was generally agreed by thoughtful and radical bimetalists everywhere that it would not send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period.

It is true that the Bryanites and Mr. Bryan's recent outcry from his temporary political entombment, addresses, not the Democrats nor the Republicans, but the bimetalists. This, however, is a sheer affectation. No Bryan orator or writer has seriously urged the adoption of his policy—the immediate, unlimited free coinage of silver by the United States alone—would send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period. Surely that would be silver monometallism, and can it be contended that silver monometallism is any better than bimetalism than gold monometallism? It is supposed that it was generally agreed by thoughtful and radical bimetalists everywhere that it would not send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period.

It is true that the Bryanites and Mr. Bryan's recent outcry from his temporary political entombment, addresses, not the Democrats nor the Republicans, but the bimetalists. This, however, is a sheer affectation. No Bryan orator or writer has seriously urged the adoption of his policy—the immediate, unlimited free coinage of silver by the United States alone—would send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period. Surely that would be silver monometallism, and can it be contended that silver monometallism is any better than bimetalism than gold monometallism? It is supposed that it was generally agreed by thoughtful and radical bimetalists everywhere that it would not send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period.

It is true that the Bryanites and Mr. Bryan's recent outcry from his temporary political entombment, addresses, not the Democrats nor the Republicans, but the bimetalists. This, however, is a sheer affectation. No Bryan orator or writer has seriously urged the adoption of his policy—the immediate, unlimited free coinage of silver by the United States alone—would send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period. Surely that would be silver monometallism, and can it be contended that silver monometallism is any better than bimetalism than gold monometallism? It is supposed that it was generally agreed by thoughtful and radical bimetalists everywhere that it would not send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period.

It is true that the Bryanites and Mr. Bryan's recent outcry from his temporary political entombment, addresses, not the Democrats nor the Republicans, but the bimetalists. This, however, is a sheer affectation. No Bryan orator or writer has seriously urged the adoption of his policy—the immediate, unlimited free coinage of silver by the United States alone—would send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period. Surely that would be silver monometallism, and can it be contended that silver monometallism is any better than bimetalism than gold monometallism? It is supposed that it was generally agreed by thoughtful and radical bimetalists everywhere that it would not send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period.

It is true that the Bryanites and Mr. Bryan's recent outcry from his temporary political entombment, addresses, not the Democrats nor the Republicans, but the bimetalists. This, however, is a sheer affectation. No Bryan orator or writer has seriously urged the adoption of his policy—the immediate, unlimited free coinage of silver by the United States alone—would send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period. Surely that would be silver monometallism, and can it be contended that silver monometallism is any better than bimetalism than gold monometallism? It is supposed that it was generally agreed by thoughtful and radical bimetalists everywhere that it would not send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period.

It is true that the Bryanites and Mr. Bryan's recent outcry from his temporary political entombment, addresses, not the Democrats nor the Republicans, but the bimetalists. This, however, is a sheer affectation. No Bryan orator or writer has seriously urged the adoption of his policy—the immediate, unlimited free coinage of silver by the United States alone—would send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period. Surely that would be silver monometallism, and can it be contended that silver monometallism is any better than bimetalism than gold monometallism? It is supposed that it was generally agreed by thoughtful and radical bimetalists everywhere that it would not send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period.

It is true that the Bryanites and Mr. Bryan's recent outcry from his temporary political entombment, addresses, not the Democrats nor the Republicans, but the bimetalists. This, however, is a sheer affectation. No Bryan orator or writer has seriously urged the adoption of his policy—the immediate, unlimited free coinage of silver by the United States alone—would send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period. Surely that would be silver monometallism, and can it be contended that silver monometallism is any better than bimetalism than gold monometallism? It is supposed that it was generally agreed by thoughtful and radical bimetalists everywhere that it would not send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period.

It is true that the Bryanites and Mr. Bryan's recent outcry from his temporary political entombment, addresses, not the Democrats nor the Republicans, but the bimetalists. This, however, is a sheer affectation. No Bryan orator or writer has seriously urged the adoption of his policy—the immediate, unlimited free coinage of silver by the United States alone—would send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period. Surely that would be silver monometallism, and can it be contended that silver monometallism is any better than bimetalism than gold monometallism? It is supposed that it was generally agreed by thoughtful and radical bimetalists everywhere that it would not send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period.

It is true that the Bryanites and Mr. Bryan's recent outcry from his temporary political entombment, addresses, not the Democrats nor the Republicans, but the bimetalists. This, however, is a sheer affectation. No Bryan orator or writer has seriously urged the adoption of his policy—the immediate, unlimited free coinage of silver by the United States alone—would send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period. Surely that would be silver monometallism, and can it be contended that silver monometallism is any better than bimetalism than gold monometallism? It is supposed that it was generally agreed by thoughtful and radical bimetalists everywhere that it would not send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period.

It is true that the Bryanites and Mr. Bryan's recent outcry from his temporary political entombment, addresses, not the Democrats nor the Republicans, but the bimetalists. This, however, is a sheer affectation. No Bryan orator or writer has seriously urged the adoption of his policy—the immediate, unlimited free coinage of silver by the United States alone—would send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period. Surely that would be silver monometallism, and can it be contended that silver monometallism is any better than bimetalism than gold monometallism? It is supposed that it was generally agreed by thoughtful and radical bimetalists everywhere that it would not send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period.

It is true that the Bryanites and Mr. Bryan's recent outcry from his temporary political entombment, addresses, not the Democrats nor the Republicans, but the bimetalists. This, however, is a sheer affectation. No Bryan orator or writer has seriously urged the adoption of his policy—the immediate, unlimited free coinage of silver by the United States alone—would send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period. Surely that would be silver monometallism, and can it be contended that silver monometallism is any better than bimetalism than gold monometallism? It is supposed that it was generally agreed by thoughtful and radical bimetalists everywhere that it would not send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period.

It is true that the Bryanites and Mr. Bryan's recent outcry from his temporary political entombment, addresses, not the Democrats nor the Republicans, but the bimetalists. This, however, is a sheer affectation. No Bryan orator or writer has seriously urged the adoption of his policy—the immediate, unlimited free coinage of silver by the United States alone—would send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period. Surely that would be silver monometallism, and can it be contended that silver monometallism is any better than bimetalism than gold monometallism? It is supposed that it was generally agreed by thoughtful and radical bimetalists everywhere that it would not send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period.

It is true that the Bryanites and Mr. Bryan's recent outcry from his temporary political entombment, addresses, not the Democrats nor the Republicans, but the bimetalists. This, however, is a sheer affectation. No Bryan orator or writer has seriously urged the adoption of his policy—the immediate, unlimited free coinage of silver by the United States alone—would send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period. Surely that would be silver monometallism, and can it be contended that silver monometallism is any better than bimetalism than gold monometallism? It is supposed that it was generally agreed by thoughtful and radical bimetalists everywhere that it would not send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period.

It is true that the Bryanites and Mr. Bryan's recent outcry from his temporary political entombment, addresses, not the Democrats nor the Republicans, but the bimetalists. This, however, is a sheer affectation. No Bryan orator or writer has seriously urged the adoption of his policy—the immediate, unlimited free coinage of silver by the United States alone—would send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period. Surely that would be silver monometallism, and can it be contended that silver monometallism is any better than bimetalism than gold monometallism? It is supposed that it was generally agreed by thoughtful and radical bimetalists everywhere that it would not send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period.

It is true that the Bryanites and Mr. Bryan's recent outcry from his temporary political entombment, addresses, not the Democrats nor the Republicans, but the bimetalists. This, however, is a sheer affectation. No Bryan orator or writer has seriously urged the adoption of his policy—the immediate, unlimited free coinage of silver by the United States alone—would send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period. Surely that would be silver monometallism, and can it be contended that silver monometallism is any better than bimetalism than gold monometallism? It is supposed that it was generally agreed by thoughtful and radical bimetalists everywhere that it would not send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period.

It is true that the Bryanites and Mr. Bryan's recent outcry from his temporary political entombment, addresses, not the Democrats nor the Republicans, but the bimetalists. This, however, is a sheer affectation. No Bryan orator or writer has seriously urged the adoption of his policy—the immediate, unlimited free coinage of silver by the United States alone—would send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period. Surely that would be silver monometallism, and can it be contended that silver monometallism is any better than bimetalism than gold monometallism? It is supposed that it was generally agreed by thoughtful and radical bimetalists everywhere that it would not send gold to a premium and keep it there for an indefinite period.

MUCH WORK TO DO

CONGRESS NEED NOT BE IDLE WHEN IT MEETS NEXT WEEK.

MARTINELLI IN NEW YORK.

Bestows the Papal Benediction on the Congregation of St. Augustine.

NEW YORK, Nov. 29.—Archbishop Martinelli celebrated pontifical high mass in St. Augustine's church today. It marked the first public appearance of the Italian successor in this country, outside of Washington. The six altar boys, who led the way, were followed by priests who were to officiate. Rev. Father McGeehan, of St. Peter's church, deacon of the mass, came with the subdeacon, Rev. Father Whalen, of the Order of St. Augustine. The high mass was celebrated in the church of the Carmelites, and the venerable form of Father Anacleto, of the Franciscans, attracted the interest of the congregation. Rev. Father Aylward and Rev. Father Geraghty, of Philadelphia, members of the Order of St. Augustine, were followed by Rev. Father Esposito, associate pastor of St. Augustine's church. Rev. Abbot Edelbrock, of the Order of St. Augustine, and Very Rev. Mgr. S. J. Conroy, secretary of the papal delegation in Washington, preceded the deacons of honor, the Rev. Father Gregg, of St. Augustine's church, and the Rev. Father Esposito, of Philadelphia. Altar boys in purple robes, with surplices of white lace, directly preceded the apostolic delegate, Archbishop Martinelli, who wore the vestments of his office, a purple cassock and a mitre. He wore red gloves, or gauntlets, embroidered with gold, and on the thumb of the right hand, the emblem of his dignity. He chanted the solemn pontifical high mass in a low, well-modulated voice. The pontifical high mass was celebrated in the church of the Carmelites, and the venerable form of Father Anacleto, of the Franciscans, attracted the interest of the congregation. Rev. Father Aylward and Rev. Father Geraghty, of Philadelphia, members of the Order of St. Augustine, were followed by Rev. Father Esposito, associate pastor of St. Augustine's church. Rev. Abbot Edelbrock, of the Order of St. Augustine, and Very Rev. Mgr. S. J. Conroy, secretary of the papal delegation in Washington, preceded the deacons of honor, the Rev. Father Gregg, of St. Augustine's church, and the Rev. Father Esposito, of Philadelphia. Altar boys in purple robes, with surplices of white lace, directly preceded the apostolic delegate, Archbishop Martinelli, who wore the vestments of his office, a purple cassock and a mitre. He wore red gloves, or gauntlets, embroidered with gold, and on the thumb of the right hand, the emblem of his dignity. He chanted the solemn pontifical high mass in a low, well-modulated voice. The pontifical high mass was celebrated in the church of the Carmelites, and the venerable form of Father Anacleto, of the Franciscans, attracted the interest of the congregation. Rev. Father Aylward and Rev. Father Geraghty, of Philadelphia, members of the Order of St. Augustine, were followed by Rev. Father Esposito, associate pastor of St. Augustine's church