

BICYCLISTS--

TAKE NOTICE!

It's getting about time to arrange for Suits. We would like to talk with you on the subject. We have sensible Suits at moderate prices. Can give you Separate Skirts. Take your order for anything you may want to wear on the Bicycle. Our five-piece Suits (Jacket, Skirt, Knickerbockers, Leggings and Hat) at \$4.00 to \$15.00 are great value. We shall sell the R. & H. Suit, the F. S. & B., "The Star," and in fact any kind you want, either with divided skirt or with plain skirt.

Street Suits....

We have just got in over 100 New Suits in late designs. Our \$10.00, \$12.50 and \$15.00 Suits are great values. We would like to show them to you. Quoting prices amounts to little unless you see the goods.



Store Your Furs.—Let Us Send for Them.

THE DEN BILL KILLED

DEPARTMENT STORES WILL BE TAXED JUST AS THEY HAVE BEEN.

SENATE SPENDS A HARD DAY,

BUT FIGHTS THE OCCUPATION TAX TO A FINISH ONCE FOR ALL.

REAPPORTIONMENT IS HUNG UP.

Senate Also Struggles With the Voluntem Game and Fish Law Prepared in Committee.

The senate yesterday killed the department store bill, although not until a most valiant fight had been made for it by Senator Theden, Senator Sperry, Senator Ringdal and others. The discussion occupied all day, with the exception of an interruption occasionally caused by the reapportionment report or other similar incident, the only other momentous work done being the taking up of the other special order, the big game and fish bill, which was left unfinished till this morning.

When the senate went into committee of the whole on the department store bill, Senators Theden and W. E. Johnson had a tilt over whether or not there was a lobby against the bill, in which Senator Theden had a little the best of it from the daily prominence of the lobbyists, whose faces are as familiar to the sergeant-at-arms as those of any of the senators themselves.

Senator Theden moved that the bill be passed, Senator W. E. Johnson that it be indefinitely postponed, but if it was to be passed he sent up a re-arrangement of the grocery classification which was accepted by the author.

Senator Knavatoff wanted the bill limited to cities of over 15,000. Senator Johnson, continuing, declared that the dry goods classifications were equally satisfactory, and then took up the attitude of the retail grocers of Minneapolis. The amendment was accepted by the author.

Senator Greer then said he thought it was too near the end of the session for the senate to continue the chasing of rainbows. He did not believe this bill would have the effect it was designed to, and he would not support it day after day because it would leave gas and like companies at the mercy of the city councils, what of this bill would be the entire traffic in merchandise at the mercy of the same councils. The tax was unjust unless the cities gave them some additional consideration in return for the excess of taxation.

Senator Sperry spoke in behalf of the bill. He said that if the bill proposed equal taxation it was not more so than now when the tax is levied on the state of the sum of five years defrauded the state of the sum of \$20,000 in taxes, on the figures furnished by the state of Minnesota. Minnesota had led in other battles for the right, and she should not hesitate to do battle with this evil, which was doing so much to the debasement of human labor.

He had no doubt as to the constitutionality of the bill. Its own friends had receded from their first ground that it could not originate in the senate. Now the attorney general advised the senate that it should be given to the committee of the whole. The motion to indefinitely postpone was lost, 22 to 23, and the bill was recommended for passage, 23 to 21.

Senator McHale asked for a call of the senate, when the committee of the whole was called. The opponents of the bill asked that further proceedings under the call be dispensed with, but this was lost, and the sergeant at arms was despatched after the absentees. On a vote the motion to adopt the report of the committee was defeated, as follows:

Ayes—Barr, Cole, Calkin, Dunn, Hodge, Iida, Johnson (A. G.), Larson, McHale, Ozmum, Peterson, Pottgiser, Ringdal, Schaller, Severson, Sheehan, Sperry, Theden, Thorpe, Whitney, Wing, Wyman, Young—24. Nays—Greer, Cronkhitze, Dunham, French, Fuller, Greer, Hanna, Hanson, Howard, Johnson (W. E.), Jones, Kellar, Knavatoff, Lloyd, Masterman, Morgan, Miller, Morgan, Peterson, Pottgiser, Sperry, Stebbins, Stockton, Sweningsen, Young—23.

The bill was then indefinitely postponed—23 to 23, as follows: Ayes—Barr, Cole, Calkin, Dunn, French, Fuller, Greer, Hanna, Hanson, Howard, Johnson (W. E.), Jones, Kellar, Knavatoff, Lloyd, Masterman, Morgan, Miller, Morgan, Peterson, Pottgiser, Sperry, Stebbins, Stockton, Sweningsen, Young—23. Nays—Greer, Cronkhitze, Dunham, French, Fuller, Greer, Hanna, Hanson, Howard, Johnson (A. G.), Larson, McHale, Ozmum, Peterson, Pottgiser, Ringdal, Schaller, Severson, Sheehan, Sperry, Theden, Thorpe, Whitney, Wing, Wyman, Young—23.

The reapportionment conference was ready to report at 3 o'clock as directed. Senator Wyman moved that the report be considered in quasi committee, with President Gibbs in the chair. The report concurred with the house amendment giving 119 house members and 63 the senate. Ramsey county was redistributed as in the senate bill and the other changes already indicated in the Globe.

Senator Stebbins objected to the quasi committee idea. He said the report was unanimous from the joint committee.

Senator Johnson moved that the report be considered in committee of the whole. The motion was lost, 23 to 21.

Senator Johnson moved that the report be considered in committee of the whole. The motion was lost, 23 to 21.

Senator Johnson moved that the report be considered in committee of the whole. The motion was lost, 23 to 21.

Senator Johnson moved that the report be considered in committee of the whole. The motion was lost, 23 to 21.

Senator Johnson moved that the report be considered in committee of the whole. The motion was lost, 23 to 21.

that he was perplexed as to how he should vote when the bill came to a final issue. It was a mixed question, and the question was between the people who were enabled by the department stores to get goods cheaper and the people whose business was being crushed by the huge concerns. These stores were an outgrowth of conditions which had been planted by the merchants as a whole. The merchant of his city who complained that he and his family purchased their goods in the big stores because they could get them cheaper there, was offering the same condition. The bill would provide a tax on a man's business, perhaps, twice the cost of his stocks in a number of lines, but he was willing to trust to the bill, as amended, to bring to pass the cures which were aimed at.

Senator Theden sent in communication to indicate that the attitude of the Minneapolis grocers and laboring people had been misrepresented by Senator Pottgiser.

Senator Pottgiser said the letters did not refute his contention. Senator Ringdal said he was in general opposed to any legislation that would indicate that the widest liberty, but he was not sure that this was not the first step in a revolution in mercantile conditions. There had been a time when private parties carried the mails. This was no longer the case. He believed the march of human affairs was driving men to the department stores, and he would not support a bill that would tend to take the senate side of the matter.

Senator Howard said, while there was reason for the feeling existing against the department stores on the part of other business men, it would be useless as to try to stem the raging flood of the Mississippi with a dam of such a nature. He resorted to this kind of comparison because the dam had gone down to ruin. Efforts to regulate commercial conditions artificially by legislation had ever been failures. He also held that the bill was unconstitutional, in that it was unequal, and that it was not a police power of the state. It was a dishonest measure, in that it was not a law against department stores, but a law against the people. Why not bring in a bill against office buildings, too. They caused declines of rent, not less so than the department stores.

Senator Iida said business was out of sorts, and the country was tinkering around trying to cure it. The department stores with their netting and stores with a hook and line; there was a law against net fishing; why should there not be a law against department stores. Why not take them down and compel them when they advertised bargains to sell to the first buyer all they wanted, and they would soon quit the business.

The Knavatoff amendments were lost. Senator Stebbins offered an amendment to include sections 20, 21 and 22. It was lost.

Senator Greer then said he thought it was too near the end of the session for the senate to continue the chasing of rainbows. He did not believe this bill would have the effect it was designed to, and he would not support it day after day because it would leave gas and like companies at the mercy of the city councils, what of this bill would be the entire traffic in merchandise at the mercy of the same councils. The tax was unjust unless the cities gave them some additional consideration in return for the excess of taxation.

Senator Sperry spoke in behalf of the bill. He said that if the bill proposed equal taxation it was not more so than now when the tax is levied on the state of the sum of five years defrauded the state of the sum of \$20,000 in taxes, on the figures furnished by the state of Minnesota. Minnesota had led in other battles for the right, and she should not hesitate to do battle with this evil, which was doing so much to the debasement of human labor.

He had no doubt as to the constitutionality of the bill. Its own friends had receded from their first ground that it could not originate in the senate. Now the attorney general advised the senate that it should be given to the committee of the whole. The motion to indefinitely postpone was lost, 22 to 23, and the bill was recommended for passage, 23 to 21.

Senator McHale asked for a call of the senate, when the committee of the whole was called. The opponents of the bill asked that further proceedings under the call be dispensed with, but this was lost, and the sergeant at arms was despatched after the absentees. On a vote the motion to adopt the report of the committee was defeated, as follows:

Ayes—Barr, Cole, Calkin, Dunn, Hodge, Iida, Johnson (A. G.), Larson, McHale, Ozmum, Peterson, Pottgiser, Ringdal, Schaller, Severson, Sheehan, Sperry, Theden, Thorpe, Whitney, Wing, Wyman, Young—24. Nays—Greer, Cronkhitze, Dunham, French, Fuller, Greer, Hanna, Hanson, Howard, Johnson (W. E.), Jones, Kellar, Knavatoff, Lloyd, Masterman, Morgan, Miller, Morgan, Peterson, Pottgiser, Sperry, Stebbins, Stockton, Sweningsen, Young—23.

The bill was then indefinitely postponed—23 to 23, as follows: Ayes—Barr, Cole, Calkin, Dunn, French, Fuller, Greer, Hanna, Hanson, Howard, Johnson (W. E.), Jones, Kellar, Knavatoff, Lloyd, Masterman, Morgan, Miller, Morgan, Peterson, Pottgiser, Sperry, Stebbins, Stockton, Sweningsen, Young—23. Nays—Greer, Cronkhitze, Dunham, French, Fuller, Greer, Hanna, Hanson, Howard, Johnson (A. G.), Larson, McHale, Ozmum, Peterson, Pottgiser, Ringdal, Schaller, Severson, Sheehan, Sperry, Theden, Thorpe, Whitney, Wing, Wyman, Young—23.

The reapportionment conference was ready to report at 3 o'clock as directed. Senator Wyman moved that the report be considered in quasi committee, with President Gibbs in the chair. The report concurred with the house amendment giving 119 house members and 63 the senate. Ramsey county was redistributed as in the senate bill and the other changes already indicated in the Globe.

Senator Stebbins objected to the quasi committee idea. He said the report was unanimous from the joint committee.

Senator Johnson moved that the report be considered in committee of the whole. The motion was lost, 23 to 21.

Senator Johnson moved that the report be considered in committee of the whole. The motion was lost, 23 to 21.

Senator Johnson moved that the report be considered in committee of the whole. The motion was lost, 23 to 21.

Senator Johnson moved that the report be considered in committee of the whole. The motion was lost, 23 to 21.

Senator Johnson moved that the report be considered in committee of the whole. The motion was lost, 23 to 21.

Senator Johnson moved that the report be considered in committee of the whole. The motion was lost, 23 to 21.

THE SAINT PAUL

GLOBE: SATURDAY, APRIL 10, 1897.

FEE ON POUND NETS

HOUSE PASSES THE BILL RAISING THE RATE FROM \$10 TO \$25.

COUNTRY AGAINST CITIES

AND THE MEMBERS FROM THE RURAL DISTRICTS HAD THEIR WAY.

VICTORY FOR THE COMMISSION.

Voting Machine Bill on the Table—Several Proposed Measures Herebefore Killed Are Dug Up.

A half-hour was spent by the house yesterday afternoon in a discussion of the subject of fish and fishing. The matter came up on a third reading of Mr. Underleak's bill to raise the license fee upon pound nets used in international waters from \$10 to \$25. Mr. Underleak, explaining the bill, said that the license fee charged by the Canadian government was \$50 per net, and that the records of the state fish and game commission show that these nets were owned and controlled by a close corporation, making it a practical monopoly, although nominally open to all. He also stated that there was a standing offer of \$50 a net for the exclusive privilege of fishing with the use of pound nets in the international waters contiguous to the United States.

Henry Johns opposed the bill. He said it was an unfair discrimination against the poor fishermen on the northern shore, that if they caught a net full of fish, they would not import Russian caviar. Mr. Donnelly took exception to the remarks of Mr. Johns, saying that he was opposed to the wholesale slaughter of fish and game, which Mr. Johns had justified as an evidently natural result of the progress of civilization.

Mr. Douglas said the \$25 license fee was too low rather than too high. The city members, as a rule, were against the increase, but the country legislators favored it, and the bill was passed. Some misunderstanding arose in the consideration of a bill (H. F. 198) by Mr. Snodgrass to authorize the purchase by county commissioners, city and village councils and township trustees of voting machines and their use at any election. Mr. Snyder sought to amend the bill so that it would not permit only one patented machine which "automatically conceals" the vote of any member. Mr. Stockwell objected to any change in the bill, thus forcing the friends of the measure to vote for a monopoly or against any voting machine at all. Mr. Coe attempted to get around the objection by laying the bill on the table and then taking it up under a suspension of the rules. This failed, and the bill remained upon the table.

Mr. Goss introduced a resolution which recites that numerous important bills are being retained in committee and directs that all bills now withheld be reported out by April 14. The resolution was opposed by Mr. Meyers, Speaker Jones and Judge Littleton, on the score of economy of time, etc., and upon motion of Mr. Meyers the resolution went to the committee on rules.

Gov. Clough has approved H. F. 646, to reimburse Mathias Lellman and George L. Dingman for election expenses; H. F. 889, a drainage bill, and H. F. 114, to license peddlers.

COMMITTEE REPORTS.

Judiciary—H. F. 118 (committee on general legislation)—To prevent fraud in the sale of garden and greenhouse plants and amend section 10, H. F. 104 (Leimann)—Relating to the assignment and levying upon licenses for the sale of intoxicating liquors. Referred to author.

H. F. 84 (Dahl)—Creating an additional judge for Hennepin county delegation, to be considered with S. F. 617.

H. F. 75 (Smith)—Relating to the establishment of a new judicial circuit. Referred to delegations from Wright, Isanti, Anoka, Sherburne and Hennepin counties.

S. F. 332 (Whitney)—Amalgamating certain territory in Wadena county. To pass.

H. F. 115 (committee on general legislation)—To amend section 10, H. F. 104 (Leimann)—Relating to the assignment and levying upon licenses for the sale of intoxicating liquors. Referred to author.

H. F. 84 (Dahl)—Creating an additional judge for Hennepin county delegation, to be considered with S. F. 617.

H. F. 75 (Smith)—Relating to the establishment of a new judicial circuit. Referred to delegations from Wright, Isanti, Anoka, Sherburne and Hennepin counties.

S. F. 332 (Whitney)—Amalgamating certain territory in Wadena county. To pass.

H. F. 115 (committee on general legislation)—To amend section 10, H. F. 104 (Leimann)—Relating to the assignment and levying upon licenses for the sale of intoxicating liquors. Referred to author.

H. F. 84 (Dahl)—Creating an additional judge for Hennepin county delegation, to be considered with S. F. 617.

H. F. 75 (Smith)—Relating to the establishment of a new judicial circuit. Referred to delegations from Wright, Isanti, Anoka, Sherburne and Hennepin counties.

S. F. 332 (Whitney)—Amalgamating certain territory in Wadena county. To pass.

H. F. 115 (committee on general legislation)—To amend section 10, H. F. 104 (Leimann)—Relating to the assignment and levying upon licenses for the sale of intoxicating liquors. Referred to author.

H. F. 84 (Dahl)—Creating an additional judge for Hennepin county delegation, to be considered with S. F. 617.

H. F. 75 (Smith)—Relating to the establishment of a new judicial circuit. Referred to delegations from Wright, Isanti, Anoka, Sherburne and Hennepin counties.

S. F. 332 (Whitney)—Amalgamating certain territory in Wadena county. To pass.

H. F. 115 (committee on general legislation)—To amend section 10, H. F. 104 (Leimann)—Relating to the assignment and levying upon licenses for the sale of intoxicating liquors. Referred to author.

H. F. 84 (Dahl)—Creating an additional judge for Hennepin county delegation, to be considered with S. F. 617.

H. F. 75 (Smith)—Relating to the establishment of a new judicial circuit. Referred to delegations from Wright, Isanti, Anoka, Sherburne and Hennepin counties.

S. F. 332 (Whitney)—Amalgamating certain territory in Wadena county. To pass.

H. F. 115 (committee on general legislation)—To amend section 10, H. F. 104 (Leimann)—Relating to the assignment and levying upon licenses for the sale of intoxicating liquors. Referred to author.

H. F. 84 (Dahl)—Creating an additional judge for Hennepin county delegation, to be considered with S. F. 617.

H. F. 75 (Smith)—Relating to the establishment of a new judicial circuit. Referred to delegations from Wright, Isanti, Anoka, Sherburne and Hennepin counties.

S. F. 332 (Whitney)—Amalgamating certain territory in Wadena county. To pass.

H. F. 115 (committee on general legislation)—To amend section 10, H. F. 104 (Leimann)—Relating to the assignment and levying upon licenses for the sale of intoxicating liquors. Referred to author.

H. F. 84 (Dahl)—Creating an additional judge for Hennepin county delegation, to be considered with S. F. 617.

H. F. 75 (Smith)—Relating to the establishment of a new judicial circuit. Referred to delegations from Wright, Isanti, Anoka, Sherburne and Hennepin counties.

S. F. 332 (Whitney)—Amalgamating certain territory in Wadena county. To pass.

H. F. 115 (committee on general legislation)—To amend section 10, H. F. 104 (Leimann)—Relating to the assignment and levying upon licenses for the sale of intoxicating liquors. Referred to author.

H. F. 84 (Dahl)—Creating an additional judge for Hennepin county delegation, to be considered with S. F. 617.

H. F. 75 (Smith)—Relating to the establishment of a new judicial circuit. Referred to delegations from Wright, Isanti, Anoka, Sherburne and Hennepin counties.

S. F. 332 (Whitney)—Amalgamating certain territory in Wadena county. To pass.

H. F. 115 (committee on general legislation)—To amend section 10, H. F. 104 (Leimann)—Relating to the assignment and levying upon licenses for the sale of intoxicating liquors. Referred to author.

H. F. 84 (Dahl)—Creating an additional judge for Hennepin county delegation, to be considered with S. F. 617.

H. F. 75 (Smith)—Relating to the establishment of a new judicial circuit. Referred to delegations from Wright, Isanti, Anoka, Sherburne and Hennepin counties.

S. F. 332 (Whitney)—Amalgamating certain territory in Wadena county. To pass.

H. F. 115 (committee on general legislation)—To amend section 10, H. F. 104 (Leimann)—Relating to the assignment and levying upon licenses for the sale of intoxicating liquors. Referred to author.

H. F. 84 (Dahl)—Creating an additional judge for Hennepin county delegation, to be considered with S. F. 617.

H. F. 75 (Smith)—Relating to the establishment of a new judicial circuit. Referred to delegations from Wright, Isanti, Anoka, Sherburne and Hennepin counties.

S. F. 332 (Whitney)—Amalgamating certain territory in Wadena county. To pass.

H. F. 115 (committee on general legislation)—To amend section 10, H. F. 104 (Leimann)—Relating to the assignment and levying upon licenses for the sale of intoxicating liquors. Referred to author.

H. F. 84 (Dahl)—Creating an additional judge for Hennepin county delegation, to be considered with S. F. 617.

H. F. 75 (Smith)—Relating to the establishment of a new judicial circuit. Referred to delegations from Wright, Isanti, Anoka, Sherburne and Hennepin counties.

S. F. 332 (Whitney)—Amalgamating certain territory in Wadena county. To pass.

H. F. 115 (committee on general legislation)—To amend section 10, H. F. 104 (Leimann)—Relating to the assignment and levying upon licenses for the sale of intoxicating liquors. Referred to author.

H. F. 84 (Dahl)—Creating an additional judge for Hennepin county delegation, to be considered with S. F. 617.

H. F. 75 (Smith)—Relating to the establishment of a new judicial circuit. Referred to delegations from Wright, Isanti, Anoka, Sherburne and Hennepin counties.

S. F. 332 (Whitney)—Amalgamating certain territory in Wadena county. To pass.

H. F. 115 (committee on general legislation)—To amend section 10, H. F. 104 (Leimann)—Relating to the assignment and levying upon licenses for the sale of intoxicating liquors. Referred to author.

H. F. 84 (Dahl)—Creating an additional judge for Hennepin county delegation, to be considered with S. F. 617.

H. F. 75 (Smith)—Relating to the establishment of a new judicial circuit. Referred to delegations from Wright, Isanti, Anoka, Sherburne and Hennepin counties.

S. F. 332 (Whitney)—Amalgamating certain territory in Wadena county. To pass.

H. F. 115 (committee on general legislation)—To amend section 10, H. F. 104 (Leimann)—Relating to the assignment and levying upon licenses for the sale of intoxicating liquors. Referred to author.

H. F. 84 (Dahl)—Creating an additional judge for Hennepin county delegation, to be considered with S. F. 617.

H. F. 75 (Smith)—Relating to the establishment of a new judicial circuit. Referred to delegations from Wright, Isanti, Anoka, Sherburne and Hennepin counties.

S. F. 332 (Whitney)—Amalgamating certain territory in Wadena county. To pass.

H. F. 115 (committee on general legislation)—To amend section 10, H. F. 104 (Leimann)—Relating to the assignment and levying upon licenses for the sale of intoxicating liquors. Referred to author.

H. F. 84 (Dahl)—Creating an additional judge for Hennepin county delegation, to be considered with S. F. 617.

H. F. 75 (Smith)—Relating to the establishment of a new judicial circuit. Referred to delegations from Wright, Isanti, Anoka, Sherburne and Hennepin counties.

S. F. 332 (Whitney)—Amalgamating certain territory in Wadena county. To pass.

H. F. 115 (committee on general legislation)—To amend section 10, H. F. 104 (Leimann)—Relating to the assignment and levying upon licenses for the sale of intoxicating liquors. Referred to author.

H. F. 84 (Dahl)—Creating an additional judge for Hennepin county delegation, to be considered with S. F. 617.

H. F. 75 (Smith)—Relating to the establishment of a new judicial circuit. Referred to delegations from Wright, Isanti, Anoka, Sherburne and Hennepin counties.

S. F. 332 (Whitney)—Amalgamating certain territory in Wadena county. To pass.

H. F. 115 (committee on general legislation)—To amend section 10, H. F. 104 (Leimann)—Relating to the assignment and levying upon licenses for the sale of intoxicating liquors. Referred to author.

H. F. 84 (Dahl)—Creating an additional judge for Hennepin county delegation, to be considered with S. F. 617.

H. F. 75 (Smith)—Relating to the establishment of a new judicial circuit. Referred to delegations from Wright, Isanti, Anoka, Sherburne and Hennepin counties.

S. F. 332 (Whitney)—Amalgamating certain territory in Wadena county. To pass.

H. F. 115 (committee on general legislation)—To amend section 10, H. F. 104 (Leimann)—Relating to the assignment and levying upon licenses for the sale of intoxicating liquors. Referred to author.

H. F. 84 (Dahl)—Creating an additional judge for Hennepin county delegation, to be considered with S. F. 617.

H. F. 75 (Smith)—Relating to the establishment of a new judicial circuit. Referred to delegations from Wright, Isanti, Anoka, Sherburne and Hennepin counties.

S. F. 332 (Whitney)—Amalgamating certain territory in Wadena county. To pass.

H. F. 115 (committee on general legislation)—To amend section 10, H. F. 104 (Leimann)—Relating to the assignment and levying upon licenses for the sale of intoxicating liquors. Referred to author.

H. F. 84 (Dahl)—Creating an additional judge for Hennepin county delegation, to be considered with S. F. 617.

H. F. 75 (Smith)—Relating to the establishment of a new judicial circuit. Referred to delegations from Wright, Isanti, Anoka, Sherburne and Hennepin counties.

S. F. 332 (Whitney)—Amalgamating certain territory in Wadena county. To pass.

H. F. 115 (committee on general legislation)—To amend section 10, H. F. 104 (Leimann)—Relating to the assignment and levying upon licenses for the sale of intoxicating liquors. Referred to author.

H. F. 84 (Dahl)—Creating an additional judge for Hennepin county delegation, to be considered with S. F. 617.

H. F. 75 (Smith)—Relating to the establishment of a new judicial circuit. Referred to delegations from Wright, Isanti, Anoka, Sherburne and Hennepin counties.

S. F. 332 (Whitney)—Amalgamating certain territory in Wadena county. To pass.

H. F. 115 (committee on general legislation)—To amend section 10, H. F. 104 (Leimann)—Relating to the assignment and levying upon licenses for the sale of intoxicating liquors. Referred to author.

H. F. 84 (Dahl)—Creating an additional judge for Hennepin county delegation, to be considered with S. F. 617.

H. F. 75 (Smith)—Relating to the establishment of a new judicial circuit. Referred to delegations from Wright, Isanti, Anoka, Sherburne and Hennepin counties.

S. F. 332 (Whitney)—Amalgamating certain territory in Wadena county. To pass.

H. F. 115 (committee on general legislation)—To amend section 10, H. F. 104 (Leimann)—Relating to the assignment and levying upon licenses for the sale of intoxicating liquors. Referred to author.

H. F. 84 (Dahl)—Creating an additional judge for Hennepin county delegation, to be considered with S. F. 617.

H. F. 75 (Smith)—Relating to the establishment of a new judicial circuit. Referred to delegations from Wright, Isanti, Anoka, Sherburne and Hennepin counties.

S. F. 332 (Whitney)—Amalgamating certain territory in Wadena county. To pass.

</