

READ THE RECORD

FOLLOWERS OF MCKINLEY HOLD A CONVENTION RULED BY MR. HANNA

NONE OTHERS NEEDED APPLY

OPPOSING REPUBLICANS WERE STRICTLY DEBARRED FROM HANNA LOVE FEAST

INSULT FOR ADMIRAL DEWEY

It is Graciously Given by the Chairman of the Hanna Gathering—Committees Are to Report Today.

COLUMBUS, O., April 24.—The Republican state convention made a great administration in Ohio today. Usually there is only one keynote speech—that of the temporary chairman—but there were two today, and the one that caused more comment than any other convention speech in the history of the Ohio Republicans was by Senator Hanna.

It was very much of a Hanna day, without dissenting or discordant notes. The senator arrived early in the morning, and was engaged all forenoon in receiving visitors. Several delegations called on him in his study, and he responded in speeches. At the district meetings in the afternoon his friends were selected on the new state committee, and all other committees. There were sharp contests in some districts, but they were mostly between so-called preferences.

When Senator Hanna entered the convention hall there was a great demonstration, and he was forced to bow his acknowledgments from the platform.

In all the speeches and proceedings today there was no reference at any time to the tariff, the currency question or other former issues.

State Chairman Myron A. Norris, of Youngstown, called the convention to order.

Robert M. Nevin was introduced as the temporary chairman, and he made a decisive hit in his forcible delivery, and especially in the peculiar emphasis he put on the words "an admiral," in referring to Dewey. He said, in part: "When we look back but four years ago, we find a man who was serving his last term, and compare it with today, we can scarcely believe that the years have elapsed. At that time labor was seeking employment, mills and factories were closed, farm produce was selling at the lowest prices, and the people, instead of building for the future, were wondering whence would come the relief. During that time there had been anything in the past of the Democratic party that would have been a blessing to the people. It had changed any since 1896? Does it not still, through its many organs, insist upon the Chicago platform, with all its vagaries, all its demagoguery, all its revolution? Who would want to belong to that party who finds its chief source of power and promise in the words of prosperity and the lack of good times? Who wants to belong to a party that has no other policy than to divide the spoils, and that wants to depend upon drought and failure of crops in order to draw money from the pockets of the members of the party who know that as the price of labor goes down their hopes of success go up?"

After the demonstration at the close of his address, Chairman Nevin attempted to proceed with the order of business in calling the congressional districts for their respective selections. The members of the respective committees, but the convention was bound to call out Senator Hanna. In response to the repeated calls, Senator Hanna kept shaking his head and saying "no" to the call for business until the day he was worn out by visitors, and had to keep his seat while shaking hands at the hotel with those who kept passing by to greet him. He would not listen to the call for business until the day he was worn out by visitors, and had to keep his seat while shaking hands at the hotel with those who kept passing by to greet him.

I am sorry that I have not the strength nor the energy to comply with your request, and therefore I rise only to express my feelings of gratification at this kind reception of the Republican platform by your chairman, that this convention is a subject of congratulation to the Republican Ohio (applause), both as a representative body and in carrying out the spirit of the party by the expression of good cheer and sentiment on every side. It augurs well for the future of the party. And now, that we have established the fact that "we do know our business" (great applause), we will next consider the question that we proceed to do it. (Renewed cheering.) And I modestly suggest that from my own party we have plenty of business to do. As your chairman has said the Republicans of Ohio and the Republicans of the United States have confronted today with many new propositions and questions which he like tangled skein in our pathway. We are the Democratic party attempting to raise new issues upon every move or policy made by the government, and we are seeking to express their opposition to the party. I say the spirit of the hour is one of absolute fearlessness on the part of the Republicans. We are conscious of, as your chairman has said, fulfilling our promise made. We undertook for former years to care of the government. At our convention in Louisiana we were the first to care for the government. At our convention in Louisiana we were the first to care for the government. At our convention in Louisiana we were the first to care for the government.

IMPERIALISM INDORSERD. "The results of the war with Spain are accidental. The destiny which has been written for this country must be filled in the natural order of things. It was but one step forward in the direction of the duty set for us to do, and no matter what influences may be thrown around us to impede our progress it cannot and will not stop. (Renewed cheering.) Do not care whether you call it imperialism or expansion. The destiny of this country is written, and any party or combination of men that attempts by any subterfuge or makeshift in politics to stop the power and progress of this nation will go down under it just as the Democratic party has gone down. (Renewed cheering.) We are proud of our record; we are proud of our business experience, and we are glad to have had the opportunity to demonstrate to the world that the policy and business principles of the American people meant the civilization and Christianization of the whole world. (Tremendous cheering.) It is that success in material things which gives us that opportunity and makes it possible to accomplish those great results."

"Now that we are embarking in this new policy, and now that this government is confronted with the responsibility

BOERS IN BOLD ATTACK

MAKE A DETERMINED EFFORT TO RUSH THE BRITISH AT WEPENER OUTPOST

MET WITH A GALLING FIRE

RECOILED UNDER THE TREMENDOUS FUSILLADE OF COL. DALGETY'S FORCE

POSITION NOW PRECARIOUS

Boer Forces Will Find it Difficult to Escape From Relief Columns Closing in Upon Them.

MASERU, Basutoland, April 24.—The Boers severely attacked Col. Dalgety's northern position, facing Bokopong Acton, under whose fire they made a determined advance. The British maintained a heavy fire, before which the Boers recoiled, after extending across the flats and maintaining a continuous long-range fusillade for some hours.

Artillery can be heard in the direction of Dewet's camp, but there is no belief that the British relief column in that direction have advanced farther.

The natives report that another British relief force has been detached from Bloemfontein. If so, the position of the Boers around Wepener is precarious.

BOERS' FINAL DASH.

LONDON, April 25.—The report that the Boer attack upon Col. Dalgety's northern position, as described from Maseru, was probably a final attempt to rush the garrison before securing a safe retreat, and as Col. Dalgety successfully repulsed the attack, little further anxiety is felt on his account.

Lord Roberts has now spread a net with some 40,000 or more men, with 120 guns, covering the whole western semi-circle to the district of Wepener.

The Seventh division, under Gen. Buller, assisted by naval forces, has made a demonstration from Kamek Sliding, the Boers showing some opposition. At the same time a brigade moved out from Glen to take up a position on a range of hills at Genadendal, north of the Modder. The waterworks were found undamaged, with the exception of the removable sliding valves of the pumping engines. The Boers can now only escape without risking an encounter, northward to Ladybrand, and the chance of a successful outcome of the plans of Lord Roberts depends on whether the British troops can reach Thaba N'Chu and Ladybrand before the retreating commandoes from Dewetsdorp and Wepener.

All the correspondents report that the Boers are in a terrible condition, which accounts for the slow progress of the British columns, and if the Boers are as well informed of the British plans as they hitherto have been, they are likely again to escape.

It is now within three weeks of the date predicted for the British occupation of Pretoria, and although the present operations, as suggested in some quarters, may be the beginning of the main advance, it is not certain they will not entail a further halt at Bloemfontein.

ROUXVILLE PEOPLE WARNED.

A correspondent of the Standard with Gen. Brabant at Zastron, telegraphing Monday said:

"Before leaving Rouxville on the advance northward, Gen. Hart issued the following proclamation: 'Take Notice: Inhabitants of Rouxville and all concerned, that you are allowed to remain in occupation of your homes in peace and quietness subject to your proper behavior only as non-combatants in this war, and your obedience to such laws as the officer who I may appoint to command here may find it necessary to make. Such rules, however, will not be for your oppression, but for protection, and I hereby direct him and all troops under his command to treat you with all due respect and kindness. But, if in my absence you commit, abet or screen any act of hostility towards the troops of the queen, not consistent with the honorable customs of war with regard to the behavior of inhabitants considered to be non-combatants, I will, in due time, certainly inflict on you and this town such a penalty as will force you to regret the transgression.'"

"A Boer commando left Zastron last Monday, going in the direction of Wepener. Before leaving the commando compelled a number of unwilling burghers to join the fighting force by threats of confiscating their property."

TRYING MARCH.

"The march hither from Allwal North

MR. QUAY IS RULED OUT

DENIED A SEAT IN THE SENATE ON THE APPOINTMENT OF GOV. STONE

HE LOST BY A SINGLE VOTE

SCENE IN THE SENATE DURING THE FINAL DEBATE WAS HIGHLY DRAMATIC

SOME SENSATIONAL INCIDENTS

Galleries Were Crowded and Members of the House Flocked Over to Hear the Arguments and Vote.

WASHINGTON, April 24.—Hon. M. S. Quay today was refused a seat in the United States senate on the appointment of the governor of Pennsylvania by a

BULLETIN OF IMPORTANT NEWS OF THE DAY

Weather Forecast for St. Paul, Cloudy, With Showers.

Quay Loses His Seat. News From South Africa. Ohio Republican Convention. Proud Record of Democrats.

Kiefer Vetoes Hahshatan. Dunn's Instructions to Assessors.

Minneapolis Matters. Northwest News. Fighting in Philippines.

Editorial Page. Bishop Whipple on Puerto Rico.

Sporting Page. Scores of Ball Games.

Popular Wants. News of Railroads. Coeur d'Alene Inquiry Row.

Markets of the World. Chicago May Wheat, 95 1-4c. Bar Silver, 59 1-8c. Stocks in Better Tone.

Local Political News. Robert A. Smith Assaulted. Fight on City Ticket.

Working for McCarty.

Tommy (who is attending half-day sessions of the school)—Won't you vote for McCarty?

Pop—Why do you want me to vote for McCarty?

Tommy—Teacher says that if he is elected we may not have any school at all.

Pop—Why do you want me to vote for McCarty?

Tommy—Teacher says that if he is elected we may not have any school at all.

Pop—Why do you want me to vote for McCarty?

Tommy—Teacher says that if he is elected we may not have any school at all.

Pop—Why do you want me to vote for McCarty?

Tommy—Teacher says that if he is elected we may not have any school at all.

Pop—Why do you want me to vote for McCarty?

Tommy—Teacher says that if he is elected we may not have any school at all.

Pop—Why do you want me to vote for McCarty?

Tommy—Teacher says that if he is elected we may not have any school at all.

Pop—Why do you want me to vote for McCarty?

Tommy—Teacher says that if he is elected we may not have any school at all.

Pop—Why do you want me to vote for McCarty?

Tommy—Teacher says that if he is elected we may not have any school at all.

Pop—Why do you want me to vote for McCarty?

Tommy—Teacher says that if he is elected we may not have any school at all.

Pop—Why do you want me to vote for McCarty?

Tommy—Teacher says that if he is elected we may not have any school at all.

Pop—Why do you want me to vote for McCarty?

Tommy—Teacher says that if he is elected we may not have any school at all.

Pop—Why do you want me to vote for McCarty?

Tommy—Teacher says that if he is elected we may not have any school at all.

Pop—Why do you want me to vote for McCarty?

Tommy—Teacher says that if he is elected we may not have any school at all.

Pop—Why do you want me to vote for McCarty?

Tommy—Teacher says that if he is elected we may not have any school at all.

Pop—Why do you want me to vote for McCarty?

Tommy—Teacher says that if he is elected we may not have any school at all.

Pop—Why do you want me to vote for McCarty?

Tommy—Teacher says that if he is elected we may not have any school at all.

Pop—Why do you want me to vote for McCarty?

Tommy—Teacher says that if he is elected we may not have any school at all.

Pop—Why do you want me to vote for McCarty?

Tommy—Teacher says that if he is elected we may not have any school at all.

Pop—Why do you want me to vote for McCarty?

Tommy—Teacher says that if he is elected we may not have any school at all.

Pop—Why do you want me to vote for McCarty?

Tommy—Teacher says that if he is elected we may not have any school at all.

Pop—Why do you want me to vote for McCarty?

Tommy—Teacher says that if he is elected we may not have any school at all.

Pop—Why do you want me to vote for McCarty?

Tommy—Teacher says that if he is elected we may not have any school at all.

Pop—Why do you want me to vote for McCarty?

Tommy—Teacher says that if he is elected we may not have any school at all.

Pop—Why do you want me to vote for McCarty?

Tommy—Teacher says that if he is elected we may not have any school at all.

Pop—Why do you want me to vote for McCarty?

Tommy—Teacher says that if he is elected we may not have any school at all.

Pop—Why do you want me to vote for McCarty?

Tommy—Teacher says that if he is elected we may not have any school at all.

Pop—Why do you want me to vote for McCarty?

Tommy—Teacher says that if he is elected we may not have any school at all.

Pop—Why do you want me to vote for McCarty?

Tommy—Teacher says that if he is elected we may not have any school at all.

Pop—Why do you want me to vote for McCarty?

Tommy—Teacher says that if he is elected we may not have any school at all.

Pop—Why do you want me to vote for McCarty?

Tommy—Teacher says that if he is elected we may not have any school at all.

Pop—Why do you want me to vote for McCarty?

Tommy—Teacher says that if he is elected we may not have any school at all.

Pop—Why do you want me to vote for McCarty?

Tommy—Teacher says that if he is elected we may not have any school at all.

Pop—Why do you want me to vote for McCarty?

Tommy—Teacher says that if he is elected we may not have any school at all.

Pop—Why do you want me to vote for McCarty?

Tommy—Teacher says that if he is elected we may not have any school at all.

DEMOCRATS DON'T WITH PRIDE

A Vote for McCarty is the Same as a Vote Thrown Away.

While the Republican Officeholders Cannot Show a Single Act to Justify Their Retention in Power.

Neither McCarty nor Those Trying to Save Him From the Wreck Can Give an Apology for Cumbering the Earth—The Great Public Is Onto the Fact.

For the period of twenty-seven years the American people have been told of the crime of the Democratic administration.

In this memorable year our present reformer, McCarty, was elected on a "reform" platform. From the first day in office up to the present time his official life has been an endless chain of violations of a charter under which the city was supposed to be governed. The ring tells us it is due to Democratic extravagance. But this "extravagance" was simply a natural public-spirited response to the demands of a metropolitan city, and was advanced and accelerated while in progress by Democrats and Republicans alike. Bridges and school houses were built, the fine water system in the country bought, an efficient fire department instituted, all of which are a credit to our city.

What have our Republican officeholders done in the line of permanent improvements? Lacking the spirit of the Democrats, they considered it a great thing to pay off a portion of our "extravagance" expenditures, but failed to live up with the time and effect necessary improvements. Who must the present generation be through extravagant high taxation, be obliged to pay for improvements from which our fathers and great-grandfathers will draw the same benefit as we ourselves do, who—many of us—have been taxed out of our clean streets, sufficiently large schools for our children and all requirements to advanced conditions of urban life, and let our posterity bear a portion of the burden which presses us down to the ground? That would be "extravagance"—Democratic extravagance. Better all go busted and let the poor house that is now being built share the burdens, who, in their turn, will share the benefits which "Democratic extravagance" has imposed on our city.

You, intelligent voter, understand where the crime of '92 comes in? It was occasioned by electing officeholders, McCarty included, who for egotistic reasons have for their own years have cumbered the people and by juggling the city was exceptional and economically prosperous.

Why not have clean streets, sufficiently large schools for our children and all requirements to advanced conditions of urban life, and let our posterity bear a portion of the burden which presses us down to the ground? That would be "extravagance"—Democratic extravagance. Better all go busted and let the poor house that is now being built share the burdens, who, in their turn, will share the benefits which "Democratic extravagance" has imposed on our city.

You, intelligent voter, understand where the crime of '92 comes in? It was occasioned by electing officeholders, McCarty included, who for egotistic reasons have for their own years have cumbered the people and by juggling the city was exceptional and economically prosperous.

Why not have clean streets, sufficiently large schools for our children and all requirements to advanced conditions of urban life, and let our posterity bear a portion of the burden which presses us down to the ground? That would be "extravagance"—Democratic extravagance. Better all go busted and let the poor house that is now being built share the burdens, who, in their turn, will share the benefits which "Democratic extravagance" has imposed on our city.

You, intelligent voter, understand where the crime of '92 comes in? It was occasioned by electing officeholders, McCarty included, who for egotistic reasons have for their own years have cumbered the people and by juggling the city was exceptional and economically prosperous.

Why not have clean streets, sufficiently large schools for our children and all requirements to advanced conditions of urban life, and let our posterity bear a portion of the burden which presses us down to the ground? That would be "extravagance"—Democratic extravagance. Better all go busted and let the poor house that is now being built share the burdens, who, in their turn, will share the benefits which "Democratic extravagance" has imposed on our city.

You, intelligent voter, understand where the crime of '92 comes in? It was occasioned by electing officeholders, McCarty included, who for egotistic reasons have for their own years have cumbered the people and by juggling the city was exceptional and economically prosperous.

Why not have clean streets, sufficiently large schools for our children and all requirements to advanced conditions of urban life, and let our posterity bear a portion of the burden which presses us down to the ground? That would be "extravagance"—Democratic extravagance. Better all go busted and let the poor house that is now being built share the burdens, who, in their turn, will share the benefits which "Democratic extravagance" has imposed on our city.

You, intelligent voter, understand where the crime of '92 comes in? It was occasioned by electing officeholders, McCarty included, who for egotistic reasons have for their own years have cumbered the people and by juggling the city was exceptional and economically prosperous.

Why not have clean streets, sufficiently large schools for our children and all requirements to advanced conditions of urban life, and let our posterity bear a portion of the burden which presses us down to the ground? That would be "extravagance"—Democratic extravagance. Better all go busted and let the poor house that is now being built share the burdens, who, in their turn, will share the benefits which "Democratic extravagance" has imposed on our city.

You, intelligent voter, understand where the crime of '92 comes in? It was occasioned by electing officeholders, McCarty included, who for egotistic reasons have for their own years have cumbered the people and by juggling the city was exceptional and economically prosperous.

Why not have clean streets, sufficiently large schools for our children and all requirements to advanced conditions of urban life, and let our posterity bear a portion of the burden which presses us down to the ground? That would be "extravagance"—Democratic extravagance. Better all go busted and let the poor house that is now being built share the burdens, who, in their turn, will share the benefits which "Democratic extravagance" has imposed on our city.

You, intelligent voter, understand where the crime of '92 comes in? It was occasioned by electing officeholders, McCarty included, who for egotistic reasons have for their own years have cumbered the people and by juggling the city was exceptional and economically prosperous.

Why not have clean streets, sufficiently large schools for our children and all requirements to advanced conditions of urban life, and let our posterity bear a portion of the burden which presses us down to the ground? That would be "extravagance"—Democratic extravagance. Better all go busted and let the poor house that is now being built share the burdens, who, in their turn, will share the benefits which "Democratic extravagance" has imposed on our city.

You, intelligent voter, understand where the crime of '92 comes in? It was occasioned by electing officeholders, McCarty included, who for egotistic reasons have for their own years have cumbered the people and by juggling the city was exceptional and economically prosperous.

Why not have clean streets, sufficiently large schools for our children and all requirements to advanced conditions of urban life, and let our posterity bear a portion of the burden which presses us down to the ground? That would be "extravagance"—Democratic extravagance. Better all go busted and let the poor house that is now being built share the burdens, who, in their turn, will share the benefits which "Democratic extravagance" has imposed on our city.

You, intelligent voter, understand where the crime of '92 comes in? It was occasioned by electing officeholders, McCarty included, who for egotistic reasons have for their own years have cumbered the people and by juggling the city was exceptional and economically prosperous.

Why not have clean streets, sufficiently large schools for our children and all requirements to advanced conditions of urban life, and let our posterity bear a portion of the burden which presses us down to the ground? That would be "extravagance"—Democratic extravagance. Better all go busted and let the poor house that is now being built share the burdens, who, in their turn, will share the benefits which "Democratic extravagance" has imposed on our city.

You, intelligent voter, understand where the crime of '92 comes in? It was occasioned by electing officeholders, McCarty included, who for egotistic reasons have for their own years have cumbered the people and by juggling the city was exceptional and economically prosperous.

Why not have clean streets, sufficiently large schools for our children and all requirements to advanced conditions of urban life, and let our posterity bear a portion of the burden which presses us down to the ground? That would be "extravagance"—Democratic extravagance. Better all go busted and let the poor house that is now being built share the burdens, who, in their turn, will share the benefits which "Democratic extravagance" has imposed on our city.

You, intelligent voter, understand where the crime of '92 comes in? It was occasioned by electing officeholders, McCarty included, who for egotistic reasons have for their own years have cumbered the people and by juggling the city was exceptional and economically prosperous.

Why not have clean streets, sufficiently large schools for our children and all requirements to advanced conditions of urban life, and let our posterity bear a portion of the burden which presses us down to the ground? That would be "extravagance"—Democratic extravagance. Better all go busted and let the poor house that is now being built share the burdens, who, in their turn, will share the benefits which "Democratic extravagance" has imposed on our city.

You, intelligent voter, understand where the crime of '92 comes in? It was occasioned by electing officeholders, McCarty included, who for egotistic reasons have for their own years have cumbered the people and by juggling the city was exceptional and economically prosperous.

Why not have clean streets, sufficiently large schools for our children and all requirements to advanced conditions of urban life, and let our posterity bear a portion of the burden which presses us down to the ground? That would be "extravagance"—Democratic extravagance. Better all go busted and let the poor house that is now being built share the burdens, who, in their turn, will share the benefits which "Democratic extravagance" has imposed on our city.

You, intelligent voter, understand where the crime of '92 comes in? It was occasioned by electing officeholders, McCarty included, who for egotistic reasons have for their own years have cumbered the people and by juggling the city was exceptional and economically prosperous.

Why not have clean streets, sufficiently large schools for our children and all requirements to advanced conditions of urban life, and let our posterity bear a portion of the burden which presses us down to the ground? That would be "extravagance"—Democratic extravagance. Better all go busted and let the poor house that is now being built share the burdens, who, in their turn, will share the benefits which "Democratic extravagance" has imposed on our city.

You, intelligent voter, understand where the crime of '92 comes in? It was occasioned by electing officeholders, McCarty included, who for egotistic reasons have for their own years have cumbered the people and by juggling the city was exceptional and economically prosperous.

Why not have clean streets, sufficiently large schools for our children and all requirements to advanced conditions of urban life, and let our posterity bear a portion of the burden which presses us down to the ground? That would be "extravagance"—Democratic extravagance. Better all go busted and let the poor house that is now being built share the burdens, who, in their turn, will share the benefits which "Democratic extravagance" has imposed on our city.

You, intelligent voter, understand where the crime of '92 comes in? It was occasioned by electing officeholders, McCarty included, who for egotistic reasons have for their own years have cumbered the people and by juggling the city was exceptional and economically prosperous.

Why not have clean streets, sufficiently large schools for our children and all requirements to advanced conditions of urban life, and let our posterity bear a portion of the burden which presses us down to the ground? That would be "extravagance"—Democratic extravagance. Better all go busted and let the poor house that is now being built share the burdens, who, in their turn, will share the benefits which "Democratic extravagance" has imposed on our city.

You, intelligent voter, understand where the crime of '92 comes in? It was occasioned by electing officeholders, McCarty included, who for egotistic reasons have for their own years have cumbered the people and by juggling the city was exceptional and economically prosperous.

Why not have clean streets, sufficiently large schools for our children and all requirements to advanced conditions of urban life, and let our posterity bear a portion of the burden which presses us down to the ground? That would be "extravagance"—Democratic extravagance. Better all go busted and let the poor house that is now being built share the burdens, who, in their turn, will share the benefits which "Democratic extravagance" has imposed on our city.

You, intelligent voter, understand where the crime of '92 comes in? It was occasioned by electing officeholders, McCarty included, who for egotistic reasons have for their own years have cumbered the people and by juggling the city was exceptional and economically prosperous.

Why not have clean streets, sufficiently large schools for our children and all requirements to advanced conditions of urban life, and let our posterity bear a portion of the burden which presses us down to the ground? That would be "extravagance"—Democratic extravagance. Better all go busted and let the poor house that is now being built share the