

# Gained 45 Pounds

"DEAR MRS. PINKHAM—I was very thin and my friends thought I was in consumption."

"Had continual headaches, backache and falling of uterus, and my eyes were affected."

"Every one noticed how poorly I looked and I was advised to take Lydia E. Pinkham's Vegetable Compound."

"One bottle relieved me, and after taking eight bottles am now a healthy woman; have gained in weight from 95 pounds to 140; everyone asks what makes me so stout."

MRS. A. TOLLE, 1946 Hil- ton St., Philadelphia, Pa.

## AWFUL HEAT IN CHICAGO

EIGHT DEAD, MANY PROSTRATED AND ONE DRIVEN MAD.

CHICAGO, July 4.—Christina Johnson, a domestic employed at a residence on Sheffield avenue, became crazier by the excessive heat today and attempted to burn herself to death. She saturated her clothing with kerosene and applied a match. As the flames enveloped her she ran shrieking through the house, trying out that she was too hot and wanted to die. She was restrained with difficulty, and the fire extinguished, but not before she had suffered severe burns, which necessitated her removal to the hospital. The thermometer registered ninety degrees steadily throughout the day, with scarcely a breeze to afford the slightest relief. Up to 16 o'clock tonight eight deaths and four prostrations had been reported. The dead are: Frank Barnes, found dead in his cell at police station; Anton Mrozek, laborer, died in his bed; Tony Ratkey, overcame while fishing, fell in Lake Calumet and drowned; Julia Abel, six months old; unknown man, crazed by heat, committed suicide by jumping in front of a train; William Ruest, a prominent brewer. Prostrations—Christ Kurst, A. M. West-hope, Henry Bloche, Philip Klume.

## Introduction of Automobile Cab Service in Connection with the Baltimore and Ohio Trains in Chicago.

The Baltimore & Ohio Railroad Company has sprung a surprise with the announcement of its intention to establish on July 1 an Electric Automobile Cab Service in Chicago for the accompaniment of passengers to and from Grand Central Passenger Station. These automobiles, of the latest electric pattern, absolutely noiseless in regard to machinery and running gear. They will carry the passengers to the Baltimore & Ohio Railroad and will be in charge of experienced uniformed motormen. The rates for this extraordinary service are extremely reasonable, fifty cents per passenger to and from the center of the city, and the details absolutely prohibit extortion. It has been arranged so that passengers on incoming trains can place their orders for cabs with train conductors before arriving in Chicago, which will be telegraphed ahead, thus insuring satisfactory service. For outgoing passengers, orders will be taken at Baltimore & Ohio ticket offices. "This is a decided innovation in Chicago and in harmony with the service which the Baltimore & Ohio recently established at Washington and Philadelphia, where it has met with a most successful success, and has become a most popular feature with passengers on the Baltimore & Ohio Railroad."

# SAUSAGE

Main Store.....7th and Broadway  
Uptown Store.....Library Building

## Bargains for July 5.

- Red Raspberries, Strawberries, Black Raspberries, Currants, California Cherries and Gooseberries.
- Blueberries, 16-ounce case..... \$1.25
- Pineapples, Per dozen..... \$1.75
- Peas, Per dozen..... 20c
- Old Potatoes, Per bushel..... 25c
- Tomatoes, Per basket..... 20c

## Piano Given Away

In our tea and coffee department.

## Coffee.

Try Schoch's private growth Java and Arabian Mocha, fresh roasted, ground and pulverized to order, per pound, 40c. Our best Java and Mocha, per pound, 35c; 5 for \$1.75. Our "Falmes" Home Java and Mocha is the leading good value Coffee of the Twin Cities. Its flavor is that of the 30c and 35c Coffees elsewhere. Our price, per pound, 25c.

## New Summer Drinks.

- Hydrox Root Beer, pints, each 12c; per doz. \$1.20
- Hydrox Ginger Ale, pints, each 12c; per doz. \$1.20
- Hydrox Sarsaparilla, pints, each 12c; per doz. \$1.20
- Hydrox Club Soda, pints, each 12c; per doz. \$1.20
- Hydrox Birch Beer, pints, each 12c; per doz. \$1.20

SCHOCH'S own make of delicious Strawberry, Raspberry and Wild Cherry Flavored per bottle..... 10c. These Phosphates make ideal healthy summer drinks.

Eggs, Per dozen..... 10c  
Sparklets, Bubbles for carbonating any drink, each..... \$1.75  
The Andrew Schoch Grocery Co., "The Northwest's Greatest Grocery Stores," 7th & Broadway, 7th & St. Peter.

# MEMBERS OF THE RESOLUTIONS COMMITTEE CANNOT AGREE UPON FINANCIAL PLANK

## CONSERVATIVES ARE STRONG

Surprise Sixteen to One Advocates, Who Are Anxious to Settle Controversy of Convention Floor.

KANSAS CITY, July 4.—The contest over the question of the monetary ratio, which has been brewing ever since the delegates to the convention met in St. Louis, found expression in the committee on resolutions when it assembled today. The committee was called to order at 10 o'clock, and the members of the convention, but only a few of its officers, adjourning to meet again at 3:30 p. m. Senator Jones, of Arkansas, was unanimously chosen chairman, and Mr. Metcalf, of Nebraska, secretary.

When the committee reassembled Mr. Metcalf presented the draft of a platform covering all questions of public interest, which draft all the members accepted as Mr. Bryan's expression upon this question.

Mr. Girard, of Georgia, also presented a platform, as did Mr. Van Wyck, of New York. All these platforms were practically the same ground upon all questions except that of the finances. Mr. Metcalf's declaration was for the reaffirmation of the platform of 1896 in letter and spirit, and it emphasized the ratio of 16 to 1. Both the other drafts simply reaffirmed the Chicago platform without reference to the ratio.

The reading of Mr. Van Wyck's document brought Mr. Ball, of Texas, to his feet. His suggestion that the financial question should be immediately taken up and passed upon. He said it was apparent to all that there was no division upon any other platform question, and that the only question at issue was that of the preparation of the remainder of the platform which was merely a matter of clerical work.

This suggestion was adopted and the committee immediately turned its effort to settle the financial question. The session proved a very interesting one, and many speeches were made. Mr. Van Wyck, in a brief statement, declared that while in his platform he had referred to four issues as prominent, namely, those of imperialism, militarism, trusts and the finances, he considered the money question the most important, and he believed that the money question had been crowded into the back ground by other subjects which had pressed to the front during the past four years.

## SOMEWHAT A SURPRISE.

Senator Daniel, of Virginia, somewhat to the surprise of some of the members of the committee, followed Mr. Van Wyck in support of his view of the question. The senate said that no man who read the platform of 1896, and who now stood where he had stood on the financial question in 1896, and that he entertained precisely the same views on this subject which then controlled him. He said that the platform of 1896 was a platform of the party those who had left it four years ago on account of the position taken on the silver question, and if this could be accomplished, as he believed, he would be content to support the ratio, for he was content to pursue that course, simply reaffirming the Chicago platform.

Mr. Hiram, of Colorado, followed in a firm speech, denouncing in most emphatic language any effort to minimize the financial question. He asserted that the omission of a declaration on ratio of 16 to 1 was a serious mistake, and that the Colorado could be counted a doubtful state with a prospect of not only casting its electoral vote for McKinley as president, but also sending Mr. Taft back to the United States senate.

MR. BALL'S ARGUMENT. Representative Ball, of Texas, said he came from a state which gave Bryan 294-60 majority on the Chicago platform in 1896 and was ready to repeat the operation this year.

"Four years ago," he said, "the middle West and the South took strong grounds on the silver question and made a platform for the middle West and the South. The middle West and the South had not sufficient votes to save the election with the loss of those of the middle West and the East."

"If," he said referring to the platform, presented by Mr. Metcalf, "Mr. Bryan is a good enough platform, then the platform approved by him should be good enough for me."

He declared it was essential that there should be a declaration for the ratio in clear and unmistakable terms, and he followed this up with the assertion that if such a declaration was not made, the Colorado could be counted a doubtful state with a prospect of not only casting its electoral vote for McKinley as president, but also sending Mr. Taft back to the United States senate.

MR. STEPHENSON'S ARGUMENT. George Fred Williams, of Massachusetts, also made a spirited argument for a specific declaration on the question of ratio. He asserted that the Democratic party had become a party of no silver declaration during the next presidential term, and that the complexity of the ratio cannot be changed in that an issue in this campaign, he continued, time. We must secure 600,000 votes this year that we did not have in 1896 to win the election, and the only way to do this is to get the votes of the middle West, the trusts, imperialism and militarism. Why should we require the men of the East and the middle West to accept reiteration of an issue that we have already won?

"It is an effort to make them take something they do not want, although they are willing to accept a reaffirmation of the Chicago platform. The advocates of reiteration say that there was no distinction, yet the men of the East and the middle West thought there was enough distinction to give them a prospect of winning."

"Why do you insist upon a specific declaration for free silver at 16 to 1? Why do you want to cram it down their throats in order to be vindicated? The gentleman from Massachusetts, George Fred Williams, is insistent upon the complexity of the ratio cannot be changed in that an issue in this campaign, he continued, time. We must secure 600,000 votes this year that we did not have in 1896 to win the election, and the only way to do this is to get the votes of the middle West, the trusts, imperialism and militarism. Why should we require the men of the East and the middle West to accept reiteration of an issue that we have already won?"

MR. BALL'S ARGUMENT. Representative Ball, of Texas, said he came from a state which gave Bryan 294-60 majority on the Chicago platform in 1896 and was ready to repeat the operation this year.

"Four years ago," he said, "the middle West and the South took strong grounds on the silver question and made a platform for the middle West and the South. The middle West and the South had not sufficient votes to save the election with the loss of those of the middle West and the East."

"If," he said referring to the platform, presented by Mr. Metcalf, "Mr. Bryan is a good enough platform, then the platform approved by him should be good enough for me."

He declared it was essential that there should be a declaration for the ratio in clear and unmistakable terms, and he followed this up with the assertion that if such a declaration was not made, the Colorado could be counted a doubtful state with a prospect of not only casting its electoral vote for McKinley as president, but also sending Mr. Taft back to the United States senate.

MR. STEPHENSON'S ARGUMENT. George Fred Williams, of Massachusetts, also made a spirited argument for a specific declaration on the question of ratio. He asserted that the Democratic party had become a party of no silver declaration during the next presidential term, and that the complexity of the ratio cannot be changed in that an issue in this campaign, he continued, time. We must secure 600,000 votes this year that we did not have in 1896 to win the election, and the only way to do this is to get the votes of the middle West, the trusts, imperialism and militarism. Why should we require the men of the East and the middle West to accept reiteration of an issue that we have already won?"

# ONE THOUSAND WERE PUT TO DEATH

## Continued From First Page.

ing on Peking without reinforcements and the necessary pontons and stores, have caused despair, as it is regarded as tantamount to abandoning the Europeans.

## AMERICANS IN PEKING.

LONDON, July 4.—The Daily Mail this morning publishes an interview had with an Englishman just returned from China, who says that United States Minister Conger had with him at Peking his wife, his sister, two nieces, two other American ladies and a number of American visitors, all with the exception of Legation Squires, his wife and several children. Many women and children, he also says, were with the besieged members of the legation.

## ALLIES NEED MORE MEN.

LONDON, July 4.—A dispatch from Taku, dated Saturday, June 30, and Chefoo Tuesday, July 3, says that the British and Russian admirals at a council of war held on Saturday, July 2, decided it was impossible to relieve Peking without greatly increased forces. They also concluded that it would be possible to hold Tsin, but in the event of this not being possible, they would have to retain possession of Taku. One hundred and forty thousand imperial troops are stationed between Peking and Tien Tsin, while the total of the allied forces which can be concentrated at the present barely numbers 20,000.

It is reported that Gen. Nieh-Si-Chang is advancing for an attack on Tsin Tsin. Another report has been received that the German guards rescued the body of Baron von Ketteler, the late German minister to China, after he was murdered by the Chinese.

## DOWAGER EMPRESS' EDICTS.

BERLIN, July 4.—A dispatch from Canton, dated Tuesday, July 3, gives the text of two edicts of the dowager empress, dealing with the "Boxers," the attack of the foreign powers on China, and the latter's position. The edicts declare that the Chinese Christians are to be treated as the whole nation, including the princes, military officials, literati, and nobles, were united, and are now attempting to do a deed which is quite impossible. It is asserted that the powers began the fight by an attack on Taku, thereby enhancing the bitter feeling against all foreigners, saying that any attempt to suppress the people would be dangerous.

## FEAR TREACHERY.

BERLIN, July 4.—The German consul at Chefoo telegraphing Tuesday, July 3, after reiterating that Gen. Tung Fu Li Shan and Prince Tuan have seized the entire power at Peking under the motto "Expel the Christians," adds: "The governor of Shan Tun is at Tsinan, with 8,000 troops, ostensibly for the purpose of resisting a German attack. About 10,000 troops are on the frontier of Chefoo. This concentration is regarded with much suspicion. Another Chefoo dispatch dated today says that all missionaries from Chin Ning are on way to Tsinan."

## SITUATION DESPERATE.

SHANGHAI, July 4.—Another message from Sir Robert Hart, the inspector general of customs, dated Peking, Monday, June 25, reiterates that the situation at Peking is desperate, and that the Chinese troops were shelling the British legation, where all the members of the diplomatic body had congregated.

## WILL BE BAD ENOUGH.

NEW YORK, July 4.—The news from Peking will be bad enough when it comes from trustworthy sources and premature Chinese reports merit little attention, says a London telegraph. When Mr. Broderick addressed the house of commons the foreign office had not received any confirmation of the reported assassination of the French and British ministers. There was a feeling of utter helplessness among the members of parliament respecting the China situation, and the foreign office was believed to entertain little doubt that the worst had happened at Peking. Broderick's remark, however, after the murder of the German minister it was difficult for anybody in parliament to believe that any foreigner in the capital had survived the fury of the military mobs.

## GERMANY AND AMERICA CONFER.

BERLIN, July 4.—An important dispatch from Washington was received at the American legation today. Ambassador White was absent at the celebration, but Secretary of Embassy Jackson immediately apprised the foreign office of the receipt of the message and upon Count von Buelow's return from Wilhelm Haven a long conference occurred between the two. It is understood the dispatches clearly outlined the present Chinese policy of the United States. The main features of the programme are restoring order in China, the obtaining of full damages for outrages and the loss of life among American citizens and missionaries residing in China and the promising of a corresponding share of military aid wherever American interests require it. Under no condition, however, will the United States become a party to the division of China, or to territorial conquests.

## WARSHIP FOR CHINA.

BERLIN, July 4.—The emperor today ordered the iron-clad cruisers Kaiser and Deutschland to get ready for sailing at once.

## PANIC ON BERLIN BOURSE.

BERLIN, July 4.—There was a panic on the bourse today and values dropped 10 to 20 points in coal and iron shares. One good iron stock fell 30 points. Chinese loans have been losing heavily for days past. The bourse is now reckoning on the probability of an empire loan for the Chinese difficulties.

## NO NEWS IN WASHINGTON.

WASHINGTON, July 4.—The administration was without news dispatches from its representatives in China today. Some surprise is manifested that nothing came. Secretary Hay said at 9 o'clock tonight that there had been no word at all received during the day. Secretary Long also was without advices from Admiral Kempff or from the battery in Peking. The officials accept as correct the press dispatches that the Ore-

# MEMBERS OF THE RESOLUTIONS COMMITTEE CANNOT AGREE UPON FINANCIAL PLANK

## CONSERVATIVES ARE STRONG

Surprise Sixteen to One Advocates, Who Are Anxious to Settle Controversy of Convention Floor.

KANSAS CITY, July 4.—The contest over the question of the monetary ratio, which has been brewing ever since the delegates to the convention met in St. Louis, found expression in the committee on resolutions when it assembled today. The committee was called to order at 10 o'clock, and the members of the convention, but only a few of its officers, adjourning to meet again at 3:30 p. m. Senator Jones, of Arkansas, was unanimously chosen chairman, and Mr. Metcalf, of Nebraska, secretary.

When the committee reassembled Mr. Metcalf presented the draft of a platform covering all questions of public interest, which draft all the members accepted as Mr. Bryan's expression upon this question.

Mr. Girard, of Georgia, also presented a platform, as did Mr. Van Wyck, of New York. All these platforms were practically the same ground upon all questions except that of the finances. Mr. Metcalf's declaration was for the reaffirmation of the platform of 1896 in letter and spirit, and it emphasized the ratio of 16 to 1. Both the other drafts simply reaffirmed the Chicago platform without reference to the ratio.

The reading of Mr. Van Wyck's document brought Mr. Ball, of Texas, to his feet. His suggestion that the financial question should be immediately taken up and passed upon. He said it was apparent to all that there was no division upon any other platform question, and that the only question at issue was that of the preparation of the remainder of the platform which was merely a matter of clerical work.

This suggestion was adopted and the committee immediately turned its effort to settle the financial question. The session proved a very interesting one, and many speeches were made. Mr. Van Wyck, in a brief statement, declared that while in his platform he had referred to four issues as prominent, namely, those of imperialism, militarism, trusts and the finances, he considered the money question the most important, and he believed that the money question had been crowded into the back ground by other subjects which had pressed to the front during the past four years.

## SOMEWHAT A SURPRISE.

Senator Daniel, of Virginia, somewhat to the surprise of some of the members of the committee, followed Mr. Van Wyck in support of his view of the question. The senate said that no man who read the platform of 1896, and who now stood where he had stood on the financial question in 1896, and that he entertained precisely the same views on this subject which then controlled him. He said that the platform of 1896 was a platform of the party those who had left it four years ago on account of the position taken on the silver question, and if this could be accomplished, as he believed, he would be content to support the ratio, for he was content to pursue that course, simply reaffirming the Chicago platform.

Mr. Hiram, of Colorado, followed in a firm speech, denouncing in most emphatic language any effort to minimize the financial question. He asserted that the omission of a declaration on ratio of 16 to 1 was a serious mistake, and that the Colorado could be counted a doubtful state with a prospect of not only casting its electoral vote for McKinley as president, but also sending Mr. Taft back to the United States senate.

MR. BALL'S ARGUMENT. Representative Ball, of Texas, said he came from a state which gave Bryan 294-60 majority on the Chicago platform in 1896 and was ready to repeat the operation this year.

"Four years ago," he said, "the middle West and the South took strong grounds on the silver question and made a platform for the middle West and the South. The middle West and the South had not sufficient votes to save the election with the loss of those of the middle West and the East."

"If," he said referring to the platform, presented by Mr. Metcalf, "Mr. Bryan is a good enough platform, then the platform approved by him should be good enough for me."

He declared it was essential that there should be a declaration for the ratio in clear and unmistakable terms, and he followed this up with the assertion that if such a declaration was not made, the Colorado could be counted a doubtful state with a prospect of not only casting its electoral vote for McKinley as president, but also sending Mr. Taft back to the United States senate.

MR. STEPHENSON'S ARGUMENT. George Fred Williams, of Massachusetts, also made a spirited argument for a specific declaration on the question of ratio. He asserted that the Democratic party had become a party of no silver declaration during the next presidential term, and that the complexity of the ratio cannot be changed in that an issue in this campaign, he continued, time. We must secure 600,000 votes this year that we did not have in 1896 to win the election, and the only way to do this is to get the votes of the middle West, the trusts, imperialism and militarism. Why should we require the men of the East and the middle West to accept reiteration of an issue that we have already won?"

MR. BALL'S ARGUMENT. Representative Ball, of Texas, said he came from a state which gave Bryan 294-60 majority on the Chicago platform in 1896 and was ready to repeat the operation this year.

"Four years ago," he said, "the middle West and the South took strong grounds on the silver question and made a platform for the middle West and the South. The middle West and the South had not sufficient votes to save the election with the loss of those of the middle West and the East."

"If," he said referring to the platform, presented by Mr. Metcalf, "Mr. Bryan is a good enough platform, then the platform approved by him should be good enough for me."

He declared it was essential that there should be a declaration for the ratio in clear and unmistakable terms, and he followed this up with the assertion that if such a declaration was not made, the Colorado could be counted a doubtful state with a prospect of not only casting its electoral vote for McKinley as president, but also sending Mr. Taft back to the United States senate.

MR. STEPHENSON'S ARGUMENT. George Fred Williams, of Massachusetts, also made a spirited argument for a specific declaration on the question of ratio. He asserted that the Democratic party had become a party of no silver declaration during the next presidential term, and that the complexity of the ratio cannot be changed in that an issue in this campaign, he continued, time. We must secure 600,000 votes this year that we did not have in 1896 to win the election, and the only way to do this is to get the votes of the middle West, the trusts, imperialism and militarism. Why should we require the men of the East and the middle West to accept reiteration of an issue that we have already won?"

# MEMBERS OF THE RESOLUTIONS COMMITTEE CANNOT AGREE UPON FINANCIAL PLANK

## CONSERVATIVES ARE STRONG

Surprise Sixteen to One Advocates, Who Are Anxious to Settle Controversy of Convention Floor.

KANSAS CITY, July 4.—The contest over the question of the monetary ratio, which has been brewing ever since the delegates to the convention met in St. Louis, found expression in the committee on resolutions when it assembled today. The committee was called to order at 10 o'clock, and the members of the convention, but only a few of its officers, adjourning to meet again at 3:30 p. m. Senator Jones, of Arkansas, was unanimously chosen chairman, and Mr. Metcalf, of Nebraska, secretary.

When the committee reassembled Mr. Metcalf presented the draft of a platform covering all questions of public interest, which draft all the members accepted as Mr. Bryan's expression upon this question.

Mr. Girard, of Georgia, also presented a platform, as did Mr. Van Wyck, of New York. All these platforms were practically the same ground upon all questions except that of the finances. Mr. Metcalf's declaration was for the reaffirmation of the platform of 1896 in letter and spirit, and it emphasized the ratio of 16 to 1. Both the other drafts simply reaffirmed the Chicago platform without reference to the ratio.

The reading of Mr. Van Wyck's document brought Mr. Ball, of Texas, to his feet. His suggestion that the financial question should be immediately taken up and passed upon. He said it was apparent to all that there was no division upon any other platform question, and that the only question at issue was that of the preparation of the remainder of the platform which was merely a matter of clerical work.

This suggestion was adopted and the committee immediately turned its effort to settle the financial question. The session proved a very interesting one, and many speeches were made. Mr. Van Wyck, in a brief statement, declared that while in his platform he had referred to four issues as prominent, namely, those of imperialism, militarism, trusts and the finances, he considered the money question the most important, and he believed that the money question had been crowded into the back ground by other subjects which had pressed to the front during the past four years.

## SOMEWHAT A SURPRISE.

Senator Daniel, of Virginia, somewhat to the surprise of some of the members of the committee, followed Mr. Van Wyck in support of his view of the question. The senate said that no man who read the platform of 1896, and who now stood where he had stood on the financial question in 1896, and that he entertained precisely the same views on this subject which then controlled him. He said that the platform of 1896 was a platform of the party those who had left it four years ago on account of the position taken on the silver question, and if this could be accomplished, as he believed, he would be content to support the ratio, for he was content to pursue that course, simply reaffirming the Chicago platform.

Mr. Hiram, of Colorado, followed in a firm speech, denouncing in most emphatic language any effort to minimize the financial question. He asserted that the omission of a declaration on ratio of 16 to 1 was a serious mistake, and that the Colorado could be counted a doubtful state with a prospect of not only casting its electoral vote for McKinley as president, but also sending Mr. Taft back to the United States senate.

MR. BALL'S ARGUMENT. Representative Ball, of Texas, said he came from a state which gave Bryan 294-60 majority on the Chicago platform in 1896 and was ready to repeat the operation this year.

"Four years ago," he said, "the middle West and the South took strong grounds on the silver question and made a platform for the middle West and the South. The middle West and the South had not sufficient votes to save the election with the loss of those of the middle West and the East."

"If," he said referring to the platform, presented by Mr. Metcalf, "Mr. Bryan is a good enough platform, then the platform approved by him should be good enough for me."

He declared it was essential that there should be a declaration for the ratio in clear and unmistakable terms, and he followed this up with the assertion that if such a declaration was not made, the Colorado could be counted a doubtful state with a prospect of not only casting its electoral vote for McKinley as president, but also sending Mr. Taft back to the United States senate.

MR. STEPHENSON'S ARGUMENT. George Fred Williams, of Massachusetts, also made a spirited argument for a specific declaration on the question of ratio. He asserted that the Democratic party had become a party of no silver declaration during the next presidential term, and that the complexity of the ratio cannot be changed in that an issue in this campaign, he continued, time. We must secure 600,000 votes this year that we did not have in 1896 to win the election, and the only way to do this is to get the votes of the middle West, the trusts, imperialism and militarism. Why should we require the men of the East and the middle West to accept reiteration of an issue that we have already won?"

MR. BALL'S ARGUMENT. Representative Ball, of Texas, said he came from a state which gave Bryan 294-60 majority on the Chicago platform in 1896 and was ready to repeat the operation this year.

"Four years ago," he said, "the middle West and the South took strong grounds on the silver question and made a platform for the middle West and the South. The middle West and the South had not sufficient votes to save the election with the loss of those of the middle West and the East."

"If," he said referring to the platform, presented by Mr. Metcalf, "Mr. Bryan is a good enough platform, then the platform approved by him should be good enough for me."

He declared it was essential that there should be a declaration for the ratio in clear and unmistakable terms, and he followed this up with the assertion that if such a declaration was not made, the Colorado could be counted a doubtful state with a prospect of not only casting its electoral vote for McKinley as president, but also sending Mr. Taft back to the United States senate.

MR. STEPHENSON'S ARGUMENT. George Fred Williams, of Massachusetts, also made a spirited argument for a specific declaration on the question of ratio. He asserted that the Democratic party had become a party of no silver declaration during the next presidential term, and that the complexity of the ratio cannot be changed in that an issue in this campaign, he continued, time. We must secure 600,000 votes this year that we did not have in 1896 to win the election, and the only way to do this is to get the votes of the middle West, the trusts, imperialism and militarism. Why should we require the men of the East and the middle West to accept reiteration of an issue that we have already won?"

# MEMBERS OF THE RESOLUTIONS COMMITTEE CANNOT AGREE UPON FINANCIAL PLANK

## CONSERVATIVES ARE STRONG

Surprise Sixteen to One Advocates, Who Are Anxious to Settle Controversy of Convention Floor.

KANSAS CITY, July 4.—The contest over the question of the monetary ratio, which has been brewing ever since the delegates to the convention met in St. Louis, found expression in the committee on resolutions when it assembled today. The committee was called to order at 10 o'clock, and the members of the convention, but only a few of its officers, adjourning to meet again at 3:30 p. m. Senator Jones, of Arkansas, was unanimously chosen chairman, and Mr. Metcalf, of Nebraska, secretary.

When the committee reassembled Mr. Metcalf presented the draft of a platform covering all questions of public interest, which draft all the members accepted as Mr. Bryan's expression upon this question.

Mr. Girard, of Georgia, also presented a platform, as did Mr. Van Wyck, of New York. All these platforms were practically the same ground upon all questions except that of the finances. Mr. Metcalf's declaration was for the reaffirmation of the platform of 1896 in letter and spirit, and it emphasized the ratio of 16 to 1. Both the other drafts simply reaffirmed the Chicago platform without reference to the ratio.

The reading of Mr. Van Wyck's document brought Mr. Ball, of Texas, to his feet. His suggestion that the financial question should be immediately taken up and passed upon. He said it was apparent to all that there was no division upon any other platform question, and that the only question at issue was that of the preparation of the remainder of the platform which was merely a matter of clerical work.

This suggestion was adopted and the committee immediately turned its effort to settle the financial question. The session proved a very interesting one, and many speeches were made. Mr. Van Wyck, in a brief statement, declared that while in his platform he had referred to four issues as prominent, namely, those of imperialism, militarism, trusts and the finances, he considered the money question the most important, and he believed that the money question had been crowded into the back ground by other subjects which had pressed to the front during the past four years.

## SOMEWHAT A SURPRISE.

Senator Daniel, of Virginia, somewhat to the surprise of some of the members of the committee, followed Mr. Van Wyck in support of his view of the question. The senate said that no man who read the platform of 1896, and who now stood where he had stood on the financial question in 1896, and that he entertained precisely the same views on this subject which then controlled him. He said that the platform of 1896 was a platform of the party those who had left it four years ago on account of the position taken on the silver question, and if this could be accomplished, as he believed, he would be content to support the ratio, for he was content to pursue that course, simply reaffirming the Chicago platform.

Mr. Hiram, of Colorado, followed in a firm speech, denouncing in most emphatic language any effort to minimize the financial question. He asserted that the omission of a declaration on ratio of 16 to 1 was a serious mistake, and that the Colorado could be counted a doubtful state with a prospect of not only casting its electoral vote for McKinley as president, but also sending Mr. Taft back to the United States senate.

MR. BALL'S ARGUMENT. Representative Ball, of Texas, said he came from a state which gave Bryan 294-60 majority on the Chicago platform in 1896 and was ready to repeat the operation this year.

"Four years ago," he said, "the middle West and the South took strong grounds on the silver question and made a platform for the middle West and the South. The middle West and the South had not sufficient votes to save the election with the loss of those of the middle West and the East."

"If," he said referring to the platform, presented by Mr. Metcalf, "Mr. Bryan is a good enough platform, then the platform approved by him should be good enough for me."